

周知 518021911039

1. Let F = finish dinner and P = play video games.

Then logician says $\neg F \Rightarrow \neg P$, which can be interpret as $P \Rightarrow F$.

However, being able to play video games after dinner should be $F \Rightarrow P$.

$P \Rightarrow F$ and $F \Rightarrow P$ are not logically equivalent. However, he treats them equivalent.

2. a. $\neg(P \vee (q \wedge r)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv \neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)$

b. $(\neg p \Rightarrow q) \vee \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv p \vee q \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \equiv \text{True}$

c. $(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \Leftrightarrow ((q \wedge \neg r) \Rightarrow (\neg p))$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg(q \wedge \neg r) \vee \neg p) \equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \vee r \vee \neg p)$$

$$\equiv ((\neg p \vee \neg q) \Rightarrow (\neg q \vee r \vee \neg p)) \wedge ((\neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \Rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q))$$

$$\equiv ((p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \vee r \vee \neg p)) \wedge ((q \wedge \neg r \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q))$$

$$\equiv (p \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee \neg p \vee \neg q)$$

3. a. 12

b. 15

c. 0

4. a. Yes. e.g. XOR

b. $4 \times 4 = 16$

c. Some of them can be expressed by other connectives.

e.g. $\neg A \vee B$ is equivalent to $A \Rightarrow B$

5. ① Modus Tollens \Rightarrow Modus Ponens

Modus Tollens is equivalent to $(\neg q, p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg p \equiv (\neg q \wedge (p \vee q)) \Rightarrow \neg p$

Let $a = \neg q$, $b = \neg p$, we have $(a \wedge (b \vee \neg a)) \Rightarrow b \equiv \frac{a, a \Rightarrow b}{b}$,

which is the Modus Ponens

② Modus Ponens \Rightarrow Modus Tollens

Modus Ponens is equivalent to $(a \Rightarrow b, a) \Rightarrow b \equiv ((\neg a \vee b) \wedge a) \Rightarrow b$

Let $q = \neg a$, $p = \neg b$, we have $((q \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p \equiv \frac{\neg q, p \Rightarrow q}{\neg p}$,

which is the Modus Tollens

- b.a. $\forall x \neg \text{like}(\text{Bob}, x) \Rightarrow \text{like}(\text{Alice}, x)$
- b. $\exists x \neg \text{like}(\text{Bob}, x) \wedge \text{like}(\text{Alice}, x)$
- c. $\forall x \text{like}(\text{Alice}, x) \Rightarrow \neg \text{like}(\text{Charles}, x)$
- d. $\forall x, p (\text{Person}(p) \wedge \neg (p = \text{David}) \wedge \neg \text{like}(p, x)) \Rightarrow \text{like}(\text{David}, x)$
- e. $\forall s, \text{WriteFirstLogicSentence}(s) \Rightarrow \text{Like}(I, s)$
- f. $\forall p, \text{Parent}(p, \text{my sibling}) \Rightarrow \text{Parent}(p, I)$
- g. $\forall c, (\text{Child}(c, \text{my parent}) \wedge \neg (c = I)) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(c, I)$

7. For any people speak the same language, they can understand each other.

8. a. $\text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{TheManILove})$
- b. $\neg \text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{EleanorRigby})$
 - c. $\text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{TheManILove}) \vee \text{Wrote}(\text{McCartney}, \text{TheManILove})$
 - d. $\exists s \text{Wrote}(\text{Joe}, s)$
 - e. $\exists d \text{CopyOf}(d, \text{Revolver}) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d)$
 - f. $\forall s \text{Sings}(\text{McCartney}, s, \text{Revolver}) \Rightarrow \text{Wrote}(\text{McCartney}, s)$
 - g. $\forall s, p \text{Sings}(p, s, \text{Revolver}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, s)$
 - h. $\forall s \text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, s) \Rightarrow \exists a, p \text{Sings}(p, s, a)$
 - i. $\exists a \forall s, p \text{Wrote}(\text{Joe}, s) \wedge \text{Sings}(p, s, a)$
 - j. $\exists d, a \text{CopyOf}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d) \wedge \text{Sings}(\text{BillieHoliday}, \text{TheManILove}, a)$
 - k. $\forall a \exists s \text{Sings}(\text{McCartney}, s, a) \Rightarrow \exists d \text{CopyOf}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d)$
 - l. $\forall a, s \text{Sings}(\text{BillieHoliday}, s, a) \Rightarrow \exists d \text{CopyOf}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d)$