

# Applied Stochastic Processes (FIN 514) Midterm Exam

Instructor: Jaehyuk Choi

2022-23 Module 1 (2022. 10. 13.)

**BM** stands for Brownian motion. Assume that  $B_t$ ,  $W_t$ ,  $X_t$  and  $Z_t$  are standard BMs unless stated otherwise. **RN** and **RV** stand for random number and random variable, respectively.  $P(A)$  denotes the probability of the event  $A$ .

1. (12 points) From the 2020 exam problem, we learn how to sample  $\text{Gamma}(k, \beta)$  RV when  $k$  is a positive integer. In this problem, we are going to generate  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  when  $\alpha > 0$  is any number using the acceptance-rejection sampling from the 2021 exam problem. To make problem simple, we can assume  $\beta = 1$  because  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)/\beta$ .

Let  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Reminded that its PDF is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x},$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the gamma function.

- (a) (2 points) Consider the following RV,  $Y$  ( $\geq 0$ ), whose PDF  $g(x)$  is given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} A x^{\alpha-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ A e^{-x} & \text{if } 1 < x. \end{cases}$$

Determine the constant  $A$  so that  $g(x)$  is a proper PDF.

- (b) (2 points) Find the CDF of  $Y$ ,  $G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds$ .
- (c) (3 points) How can we sample  $Y$  using  $G(x)$ ? (Give detail.)
- (d) (2 points) **First assume that**  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We are going to use  $Y$  with  $g(x)$  for sampling  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$  in the acceptance-rejection method. For this,  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  must satisfy

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

Show that the condition is satisfied. (Why do you need  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ?) What is  $C$ ?

- (e) (3 points) From (d), we can now sample  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, how can we sample  $X$  when  $\alpha \geq 1$ ?

## Solution:

- (a) We need to ensure that  $\int_0^\infty g(x) dx = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty g(x) dx &= A \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} dx + A \int_1^\infty e^{-x} dx \\ &= A \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{e} \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$A = \frac{\alpha e}{\alpha + e}.$$

(b) When  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(s)ds = \frac{e}{\alpha + e} x^\alpha.$$

When  $1 < x$ ,

$$G(x) = \int_0^1 g(s)ds + \int_1^x g(s)ds = \frac{e}{\alpha + e} + \frac{\alpha e}{\alpha + e} (e^{-1} - e^{-x}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha e}{\alpha + e} e^{-x}.$$

Therefore,

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e}{\alpha + e} x^\alpha & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 1 - \frac{\alpha e}{\alpha + e} e^{-x} & \text{if } 1 \leq x. \end{cases}$$

(c) We can sample  $Y$  using the inverse CDF,  $Y = G^{-1}(U)$ , for a uniform RV,  $U$ .

$$Y = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{\alpha + e}{e} U \right]^{1/\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \leq U \leq \frac{e}{\alpha + e} \\ -\log \left( \frac{\alpha + e}{\alpha e} (1 - U) \right) = \log \frac{\alpha e}{\alpha + e} - \log(1 - U) & \text{if } \frac{e}{\alpha + e} \leq U. \end{cases}$$

(d) When  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} = \frac{\alpha + e}{\alpha e \Gamma(\alpha)} g(x).$$

When  $1 < x$ , we know  $0 < x^{\alpha-1} \leq 1$  because  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . So,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-x} = \frac{\alpha + e}{\alpha e \Gamma(\alpha)} g(x).$$

From the two cases,  $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$  is satisfied with  $C = \frac{\alpha + e}{\alpha e \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( = \frac{\alpha + e}{e \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right)$ .

(e) If  $\alpha > 1$ , we separate  $\alpha$  into the largest integer and remainder parts:

$$\alpha = k + \alpha_0 \quad \text{where } k \text{ is the integer part of } \alpha \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_0 < 1.$$

Then, from the 2020 exam, we can separate  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$  by

$$\text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1) \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1) + \text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, 1).$$

We can sample  $\text{Gamma}(k, 1)$  from the 2020 exam problem and sample  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, 1)$  from above.

2. (9 points) [ $\lambda$ -SABR Model Simulation] The  $\lambda$ -SABR model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_t}{S_t^\beta} &= \sigma_t(\rho dZ_t + \rho_* dX_t) \quad \text{for } \rho_* = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}, \\ d\sigma_t &= \lambda(\theta - \sigma_t)dt + \nu\sigma_t dZ_t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_t$  and  $Z_t$  are independent standard BMs. This model is an extension of the SABR model because of the additional  $\lambda(\theta - \sigma_t)dt$  term. We are going to formulate the conditional Monte Carlo simulation for this model.

- (a) (3 points) How can you simulate  $\sigma_{t+\Delta t}$  from  $\sigma_t$ ? Write the Euler and Milstein schemes for  $\sigma_t$ . (Hint: the SDE for  $\sigma_t$  is same as the SDE for  $v_t$  in the GARCH diffusion model.)

- (b) (3 points) When  $\beta = 0$ , express  $S_T$  in terms of  $\sigma_T$ ,  $V_T$ ,  $U_T$ , and a standard normal RV  $X_1$ , where  $V_T$  and  $U_T$  are respectively the integrated variance and volatility,

$$V_T = \int_0^T \sigma_t^2 dt \quad \text{and} \quad U_T = \int_0^T \sigma_t dt.$$

- (c) (3 points) When  $\beta = 1$ , express  $S_T$  in terms of  $\sigma_T$ ,  $V_T$ ,  $U_T$ , and  $X_1$ . What are  $E(S_T|\sigma_T, V_T, U_T)$  and the equivalent BS volatility  $\sigma_{BS}$  conditional on  $\sigma_T$ ,  $V_T$ , and  $U_T$ ?

**Solution:**

- (a) The Euler/Milstein schemes are given by

$$\sigma_{t+\Delta t} = \sigma_t + \lambda(\theta - \sigma_t)\Delta t + \nu\sigma_t\sqrt{\Delta t}Z + \frac{\nu^2}{2}\sigma_t\Delta t(Z^2 - 1),$$

where  $Z$  is standard normal RN. The term in red is for Milstein scheme.

- (b) By integration,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_T - \sigma_0 &= \lambda(\theta T - U_T) + \nu \int_0^T \sigma_t dZ_t \\ \int_0^T \sigma_t dZ_t &= \frac{1}{\nu} (\sigma_T - \sigma_0 - \lambda(\theta T - U_T)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_T - S_0 &= \rho \int_0^T \sigma_t dZ_t + \rho_* \int_0^T \sigma_t dX_t \\ &= \frac{\rho}{\nu} (\sigma_T - \sigma_0 - \lambda(\theta T - U_T)) + \rho_* \sqrt{V_T} X_1. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) When  $\beta = 1$ , we use

$$d \log S_t = \sigma_t (\rho dZ_t + \rho_* dX_t) - \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} dt.$$

Integrating the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(S_T/S_0) &= \rho \int_0^T \sigma_t dZ_t + \rho_* \int_0^T \sigma_t dX_t - \int_0^T \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} (\sigma_T - \sigma_0 - \lambda(\theta T - U_T)) - \frac{V_T}{2} + \sqrt{V_T} X_1. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we obtain the conditional spot and volatility as

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_T|\sigma_T, V_T, U_T) &= S_0 \exp \left( E \left( \log \left( \frac{S_T}{S_0} \right) \right) + \frac{\rho_*^2}{2} V_T \right) \\ &= S_0 \exp \left( \frac{1}{\nu} (\sigma_T - \sigma_0 - \lambda(\theta T - U_T)) - \frac{\rho^2}{2} V_T \right) \\ \sigma_{BS} &= \rho_* \sqrt{V_T/T}. \end{aligned}$$

3. (9 points) [**Andersen's Heston model simulation**] The variance under the Heston model is given by the CIR process:

$$dv_t = \kappa(\theta - v_t)dt + \nu\sqrt{v_t} dZ_t.$$

It is well known that the Euler/Milstein scheme for  $v_t$  is not accurate because  $v_t$  becomes negative easily. To handle this problem, Andersen (2008) proposed an advanced scheme. First, let  $m$  and  $s^2$  be the mean and variance of  $v_{t+\Delta t}$  given  $v_t$ , and their ratio be  $\psi = s^2/m^2$ . We know the analytic solution for  $m$  and  $s^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} m &= E(v_{t+\Delta t}|v_t) = \theta + (v_t - \theta)e^{-\kappa\Delta t} \\ s^2 &= \text{Var}(v_{t+\Delta t}|v_t) = \frac{\nu^2}{\kappa}(1 - e^{-\kappa\Delta t}) \left[ v_t e^{-\kappa\Delta t} + \frac{\theta}{2}(1 - e^{-\kappa\Delta t}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

High  $\psi$  implies high probability of  $v_t$  hitting zero. Therefore, when  $\psi \geq 1$ , Andersen proposed to approximate  $v_{t+\Delta t}$  by

$$v_{t+\Delta t} \approx \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } p \\ \text{Exp}(\lambda) & \text{with probability } 1 - p, \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  is the exponential RV with rate  $\lambda$ . We are going to determine the two parameters,  $p$  and  $\lambda$ .

- (a) (3 points) How can you simulate  $v_{t+\Delta t}$  using only one uniform RV  $U$ ? Give detail.
- (b) (3 points) What are  $E(v_{t+\Delta t})$  and  $\text{Var}(v_{t+\Delta t})$  of Andersen's approximation? (Hint: the mean and variance of  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  are  $1/\lambda$  and  $1/\lambda^2$ , respectively.)
- (c) (3 points) Determine  $p$  and  $\lambda$  by matching the result of (b) to  $m$  and  $s^2$ . Why do you need to assume  $\psi \geq 1$ . (Express your answers with  $m$ ,  $s^2$ , and  $\psi = s^2/m^2$ . No need to use Eq. (1).)

**Solution:**

- (a) We use  $U \leq p$  to determine the probability  $p$ . If  $U > p$ , the re-scaled value  $\frac{U-p}{1-p}$  (or  $\frac{1-U}{1-p}$ ) is also a RV, so we use this to sample  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .

$$v_{t+\Delta t} \approx \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq U \leq p \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left( \frac{1-p}{U-p} \right), & \text{if } p < U \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- (b) The two moments are

$$\begin{aligned} E(v_{t+\Delta t}) &= 0 \cdot p + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1-p) = \frac{1-p}{\lambda} \\ E(v_{t+\Delta t}^2) &= 0 \cdot p + E(\text{Exp}(\lambda)^2)(1-p) = (E(\text{Exp}(\lambda))^2 + \text{Var}(\lambda))(1-p) = \frac{2(1-p)}{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}(v_{t+\Delta t}) = E(v_{t+\Delta t}^2) - E(v_{t+\Delta t})^2 = \frac{2(1-p)}{\lambda^2} - \frac{(1-p)^2}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1-p^2}{\lambda^2}$$

- (c) Matching,

$$\frac{1-p}{\lambda} = m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1-p^2}{\lambda^2} = s^2,$$

leads to

$$\frac{1-p}{\lambda} = m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1+p}{\lambda} = \frac{s^2}{m}.$$

We solve

$$p = \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{1-p}{m} = \frac{2}{m(\psi + 1)}.$$

We need  $\psi \geq 1$  in order for the probability  $p$  to be positive.