## A quick note on implied volatility Applied Stochastic Processes (FIN 514)

Instructor: Jaehyuk Choi

Peking University HSBC Business School, Shenzhen, China

2022-23 Module 1 (Fall 2022)

 Jaehyuk Choi (PHBS)
 ASP: Implied Vol
 2022-23 M1
 1

## Overview

- The Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM), Bachelier (Normal) models are seldom used to predict the option price. The option prices are determined from the supply and demand of market.
- However, the pricing models are still important because they provide a consistent measure to intuitively understand the prices of the options with different strikes, time to maturity, etc.
- Implied volatility (IV) is the value of the volatility in pricing model which returns (or solve) the price of an option given (i.e., from market):

$$C(K, S_0, \sigma, T_e) = \mathsf{Price}$$

• How can you compare the two prices?

$$C(K = 105, S_0 = 100, T_e = 1) = 5.9$$
  $P(K = 98, S_0 = 100, T_e = 1) = 8.7$ 

The implied volatility is same as 20%.



Jaehyuk Choi (PHBS) ASP: Implied Vol 2022-23 M1 2 / 5

## General rule

- Option prices (both call and put) increase as the volatility increases:  $C(K, S_0, \sigma, T_e)$  is monotonically increasing function.
- Option value = Time value + Intrinsic Value
  - Intrinsic value: the value you get by exercising option now. (>0)
  - $\bullet$  Time value: the extra value from the change of the underlying price until the expiry. (>0)
- The intrinsic value can be understood as the option value wih  $\sigma=0$ ,  $C(K,S_0,\sigma=0,T_e)$ , hence the minimum value.
- The call option value as  $\sigma \to \infty$ :
  - BSM model  $(S_T \ge 0)$ :  $S_0$ . The underlying stock is always worth more than any call option with K>0.
  - Bachelier (Normal) model ( $S_T$  can be negative):  $\infty$ . Call option can protect the (infinite) loss.

Jaehyuk Choi (PHBS) ASP: Implied Vol 2022-23 M1 3

## IV computation

- The computation of IV depends on numerical root-solving method. [Demo]
  - Newton method: using vega,

$$\sigma^{(k+1)} = \sigma^{(k)} - \frac{C(\sigma^{(k)}, \cdots) - \mathsf{Price}}{V(\sigma^{(k)})}, \quad V(\sigma) = \frac{\partial C(\sigma, \cdots)}{\partial \sigma}$$

- Brent's method: [Demo]
- BSM model:
  - Newton's method with good initial guess (PyFeng package)
  - Let's Be Rational (Jackel, 2015): Machine epsilon error within two step iterations.
- Normal model:
  - Choi et al. (2007). Numerical Approximation of the Implied Volatility Under Arithmetic Brownian Motion:

Polynomial approximation with error ( $< 10^{-9}$ )

→□▶→□▶→□▶→□▶ □ 900

$$\sigma_{\rm N} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T}} \left( 2C - \theta(F_0 - K) \right) \, h(\eta),$$

where C is the undiscounted price of either call  $(\theta=1)$  or for put  $(\theta=-1)$  option. The function  $h(\eta)$  and the argument  $\eta$  are defined in a sequence,

$$h(\eta) = \sqrt{\eta} \; \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{7} a_k \eta^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{9} b_k \eta^k}, \quad \eta = \frac{v}{\tanh^{-1}(v)}, \quad \text{and} \quad v = \frac{|F_0 - K|}{2C - \theta(F_0 - K)},$$

with the coefficients,

```
b_1 = 4.99053 41535 89422 e+1
    = 3.99496 16873 45134 e-1
a_0
                                 b_2 = 3.09357 39367 43112 e+1
    = 2.10096 07950 68497 e+1
a_1
                                 b_3 = 1.49510 50083 10999 e+3
   = 4.98034 02178 55084 e+1
a_2
                                 b_4 = 1.32361 45378 99738 e+3
    = 5.98876 11026 90991 e+2
a_3
                                 b_5 = 1.59891 96976 79745 e+4
    = 1.84848 96954 37094 e+3
a_{4}
                                 b_6 = 2.39200 88917 20782 e+4
    = 6.10632 24078 67059 e+3
a_5
                                 b_7 = 3.608817108375034 e+3
    = 2.49341 52853 49361 e+4
a_6
                                 b_8 = -2.067719486400926 e+2
a_7
    = 1.26645 80513 48246 e+4
                                 b_0 = 1.17424 05993 06013 e+1.
```

Jaehyuk Choi (PHBS) ASP: Implied Vol 2022-23 M1 5 / 5