

WEB DEV I (CANARY WHARF) [CCO4007-20P,SEP-CA,TRI1,2025-2026]

Set Exercises Assessment Brief

Key Information

Assessment 1 Deadline	26 November 2025 - 11:59 AM
Marked work returned by	18th December
Marking tutors	TBC
Contribution to overall mark	40%

The Brief

- Your task is to code solutions to a series of set exercises that will test your knowledge of fundamental web development techniques. The exercises can be found in your Web Dev I GitHub repository. Each exercise focuses on a different topic taught in class to allow you to put into practice what you have learned.
- **Exercise 1:** Semantic Markup
- **Exercise 2:** CSS Styling
- **Exercise 3:** Page Layout
- **Exercise 4:** Web Accessibility

You must attempt all four exercises in order to pass. Each exercise is worth 25 marks; where 20 marks are available for the correct use of the required techniques; and 5 marks are available for repository presentation, helpful commenting, and the correct use of coding conventions. Your mark for the assessment will be calculated by adding together your mark for each exercise.

Over the course of the module, you should keep your repository well organised. You should create a new folder for each exercise, which is clearly named and easy to find (e.g. 01-html-fundamentals). You should commit changes in your repository often (e.g. after completing each exercise), use descriptive commit messages, and regularly push your code back to GitHub.

Deliverables

The deliverables for this assignment are as follows:

- Your code for each of the set exercises. Every exercise must be pushed to your GitHub repository before the deadline.

Submission

- For each submission you should ensure your code for the required exercises has been submitted to your GitHub repository.

Please adhere to the following method:

- Check your exercises are functioning as expected.
- Commit and push your code to your GitHub repository.
- Copy the link to your repository.
- Paste the link into the submission portal on Ultra to confirm your submission.

Only code pushed to your GitHub repository before the assessment deadline will be marked. Ensure you give yourself enough time before your final push. Format Your code should be neat, accurately formatted (indented/spaced) and include code commenting. This is general good practice, but also a requirement of the brief that is assessed via the marking criteria.

Use of online sources

You are allowed and encouraged to use online resources to help you understand, learn, and practice the programming techniques that are required for this assignment

However, you must create an original solution to each exercise. This means you must have typed any code that you submit, without copying from another source. You must not submit code that was created by another person, or that was generated using an AI tool such as ChatGPT, GitHub Copilot, or DeepSeek. You should avoid taking large code snippets from online resources. If you do take or modify code from an online source, you must reference it in your code comments. The reference should be added ahead of the section of code that has been taken or modified, and must include the title and full URL of the source. If you are unsure of how to appropriately reference, please consult your tutor. Failure to follow this guidance may result in an academic misconduct (<https://www.bathspa.ac.uk/about-us/governance/policies/academic-misconduct/>) accusation, which can incur a severe penalty. Again, if you are unsure, please consult your tutor. Issues with academic misconduct can only occur after you have submitted, so if you think there may be an issue, the best thing to do is let your tutor know as soon as possible! Marking Criteria

- Each Set Exercise will be marked out of 25. The overall mark is calculated by adding together the mark for each exercise.
- Submissions will be marked against the following criteria:
 - **Implementation (80%)** - Correct and efficient use of HTML/CSS techniques.
 - **Code Readability & Repository Presentation (20%)** – Use of code commenting, conventions, and formatting. Repository organisation, file naming, and commit messages.

Criteria	Description	Mark range
Implementation (80%) Correct and efficient use of HTML/CSS techniques.	Limited solutions that demonstrate little use of the techniques required. Exercises are missing or incomplete.	0 - 19 (Low Fail)
	Solutions contain significant errors and may not function correctly. Exercises may be missing or incomplete.	20 - 39 (Fail)

	Basic solutions that demonstrate a limited understanding of the techniques required. Some exercises may not function correctly.	40 - 49 (Third)
	Fair solutions that show an understanding of the techniques required. Solutions are fully functional, although may omit some expected properties or elements.	50 - 59 (2:2)
	Overall good solutions that demonstrate a sound understanding of the techniques required. Solutions are fully functional, though may feature minor errors.	60 - 69 (2:1)
	Overall very good solutions that provide solid solutions to the challenges presented. Strong understanding of techniques is evident. Some code may be more advanced in nature or is completed to a very good standard.	70 - 79 (First)
	Excellent solutions that extend the exercises to demonstrate techniques outside the scope of the assessment. Strong understanding of critical techniques is evident. Some code is more advanced in nature or is completed to an excellent standard.	80 - 89 (High First)
	Beyond expectations for this level of study.	90 - 100 (Outstanding)
Code Readability & Repository Presentation (20%) Use of code commenting, conventions, and formatting. Repository organisation, file naming, and commit messages.	Limited repository organisation that does not adhere to the method specified. No comments included in the code and limited attention given to code presentation.	0 - 19 (Low Fail)
	Poor repository organisation with unclear exercise naming. Some exercises are saved in incorrect locations making them difficult to find. Commit messages lack description and code is likely pushed in a single commit. Little to no commenting in the code or attention given to code presentation.	20 - 39 (Fail)
	Basic repository organisation. Exercises may be in an unclear location. Commit messages are basic and would benefit from further clarity. Code is pushed on an irregular basis. Commenting is limited and code presentation has scope for improvement.	40 - 49 (Third)
	Fair repository organisation, though there may be some minor slips in presentation. Commit messages are good, though there is room for refinement. Code is pushed on a semi-regular basis. Code is commented to a fair degree. Code presentation is reasonable although some room	50 - 59 (2:2)

Good repository organisation, though some exercises may need better naming conventions. Commit messages are good, though there is room for refinement. Code is pushed regularly. Code is well commented and presented appropriately.	60 - 69 (2:1)
Very good repository organisation, exercises are easy to find and clearly labelled. Commit messages are clear with code frequently pushed. Code is very well presented with detailed commenting.	70 - 79 (First)
Excellent highly organised repository, with clear commit messages. Code is pushed very frequently. Code is presented and commented to a high standard.	80 - 89 (High First)
Beyond expectations for this level of study.	90 - 100 (Outstanding)

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)

ILO	Assessed
Demonstrate the application of HTML5 and CSS to create media-rich artefacts that are deployed online.	
Adhere to coding conventions that ease the review, maintenance and debugging of web applications.	✓
Use computational thinking to select and apply appropriate technical strategies for addressing a web development problem.	✓
Discuss the technical implementation of a web project and reflect critically on the results.	

**Mark penalties may be applied to late submissions without prior approval of an extension.
Please ensure that you prepare and submit your work in good time to allow for any issues
that may arise.**

