

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

1. District (ज़िला): CENTRAL P.S.(थाना): ANAND PARBAT Year(वर्ष): 2015 FIR No(प्र.सू.रि.सं.): 0673 Date : 22/09/2015

2. Act(s)(अधिनियम): Section(s)(धारा(एँ)):
- IPC 1860 420/468/471/467

3. Occurrence of Offence (अपराध की घटना):

(a) Day(दिन): Date From(दिनांक से): Date To(दिनांक तक):
Time Period (समय अवधि): Time From (समय से): Time To (समय तक):
(b) Information received at P.S.(थाना जहां सूचना प्राप्त हुई): Date(दिनांक): 22/09/2015 Time (समय): 17:50 hrs
(c) General Diary Reference (रोजानामचा संदर्भ): Entry No.(प्रविष्टि सं.): 024A Date/Time(दिनांक/समय): 22/09/2015 17:50

4. Type of Information (सूचना का प्रकार):

5. Place of Occurrence (घटनास्थल):

(a) Direction and Distance from P.S (थाना से दूरी और दिशा): EAST , 1.0 Km(s) Beat No(बीट सं.): 4
(b) Address(पता): H.NO 408/5, AF-4/1, GALI NO-30, NAI BASTI, ANAND PARBAT DELHI
(c) In case, Outside the limit of the Police Station (यदि थाना सीमा के बाहर हैं):
Name of P.S(थाना का नाम): District(ज़िला):

6. Complainant / Informant (शिकायतकर्ता/सूचनाकर्ता):

(a) Name(नाम): PUSHPA SHARMA (W/O) LATE SH MADAN LAL SHARMA
(b) Date/Year of Birth (जन्म तिथि /वर्ष): Nationality (राष्ट्रियता): INDIA
(c) Passport No.(पासपोर्ट सं.): Date of Issue (जारी करने की तिथि): Place of Issue (जारी करने का स्थान):
(d) Occupation (व्यवसाय):
(e) Address(पता): H.NO 1961, SEC-6, NEAR DAV PUBLIC SCHOOL, BAHADURGARH, HARYANA, JHAJJAR, HARYANA, INDIA,

7. Details of Known/Suspect/Unknown accused with full particulars(attach separate sheet if necessary)(जात/ संदिग्ध /अज्ञात अभियुक्त का का पुरे विवरण सहित वर्णन):

- SUBHASH SHARMA (S/O) LATE SH JAI NARAIN SHARMA
(R/O) H.NO 408/5, AF-4/1, GALI NO-30, NAI BASTI, ANAND PARBAT DELHI,

8. Reason for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता द्वारा रिपोर्ट देरी से दर्ज कराने के कारण):

NO DELAY

9. Particulars of the properties stolen/involved (attach separate sheet if necessary):

Sl.No. (क्र.सं.)	Property Type(Description)	Est. Value(Rs.)(मूल्य (रु में))
------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------

10. Total value of property stolen (चोरी हुई सम्पत्ति का कुल मूल्य):

11. Inquest Report / U.D. Case No., if any (मृत्यु समीक्षा रिपोर्ट / यू.डी.प्रकरण नं., यदि कोई हो):

12.F.I.R. Contents (attach separate sheet, if required)(प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट तथ्य):

To, The Commissioner of Delhi Police PHQ, I.P. Estate, New Delhi. Sub. : Complaint against Subhash Sharma S/o Late Sh. Jai Narain Sharma R/o H.No. 408/5, AF-4/1, Gali No.30, Nai Basti, Anand Parbat, Delhi for preparing the false and forged documents i.e Will and G.P.A of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma in order to grab the property of the complainant. Sir, It is humbly submitted as under :- 1. That the complainant is peace loving and law abiding citizen. 2. That the accused Sh. Subhash Sharma is the real brother of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma who died on 11.05.2000 leaving behind him the complainant as his wife and his three sons. 3. That Sh. Madan Lal Sharma was become the owner of the property bearing No. 408/5, AF-4/1, Gali No. 30, Nai Basti, Anand Parbat, Delhi measuring area 50 Sq. Yds after he purchases the same in the year 1985 for the Rs. 20,000/- and the said payment was made through a cheque bearing No. 939030 dt. 10.08.1985 drawn on United Western Bank Ltd., Karol Bagh, Delhi. Sd. English Pushpa Sharma. 4. That after the death of Sh. Madan Lal Sharma the aforesaid property as per Hindu Law devolved upon his heirs i.e. the complainant being his wife and upon Sh. Dalip Sharma, Rakesh Sharma and Pradeep Sharma being his sons. It is pertinent to mention here that Sh. Dalip Sharma, Sh. Rakesh Sharma and Sh. Pradeep Sharma sold all their rights, title and interest in the aforesaid property to the complainant by executing a Sale Deed dt. 27.03.2006 duly registered with the Sub-Registrar, Delhi as document No. 2544 in Addl. Book No. 1, Vol. No. 1844 on pages 113 to 118 on 27.03.2006 and as such from that date the complainant become the absolute owner of the aforesaid property. 5. That as stated earlier that Sh. Subhash Sharma is the real brother of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma as such Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma allowed him to reside and the use the said house on license basis and after the death of Sh. Madan Lal Sharma, the complainant had also allowed him to use the aforesaid property as licensee after the death of Sh. Madan Lal Sharma on 11.05.2000. 6. That at the time of allowing the Sh. Subhash Sharma the complainant was unaware of the malafide/dishonest intention of Sh. Subhash Sharma as he had taken the advantage of the permission given by the complainant to him and made various Sd. English Pushpa Sharma alteration and construction in the aforesaid property, so the complainant had got terminated the license of Sh. Subhash Sharma to live the said property vide notice dt. 07.01.2006 and by the said notice Sh. Subhash Sharma was called upon to vacate the said property after removing the construction raised by him but the accused instead of vacating the said property threatened the complainant to face the dire consequences if she ever again asked the accused to vacate the aforesaid property. 7. That the complainant after seeing the threatening attitude of the accused filed a Civil suit against the accused for recovery of possession and damages and during the proceeding of the suit, the complainant got shocked to know that the accused in order grab the property of the complainant came up with the false and forged Will dt. 02.11.1999 and G.P.A. dt. 10.02.1994, which was prepared by him to achieve his evil designs. It is pertinent to mention here that neither any photograph affixed on the aforesaid forged document nor any thumb impression of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma was there. It is also pertinent to mention here that Sh. Madan Lal Sharma expired on 11.05.2000 and that time the accused Subhash Sharma has not mentioned anything about the said Will and G.P.A and it was only after the service of notice Sd. English Pushpa Sharma of Civil Suit which has been filed against him by the complainant. The accused came with the manufactured, forged Will and G.P.A in order to save his skin and in order to achieve his illegal goals to grab the property of the complainant anyhow. 8. That the long gap between the death of the Sh. Madan Lal Sharma and the production of forged WILL and GPA by the accused after filing of the civil suit against him draw a clear inference that the aforesaid documents are not genuine but forged one. It is pertinent to mention here that Sh. Subhash Sharma during the course of Civil proceedings at the time of his cross examination on Civil Suit No. 613/08 titled as "Subhash Sharma Vs. Pushpa Sharma & Anr. " has given contradictory answers as on the one hand he saying

that the aforesaid property has been jointly purchased by Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma and by himself but he failed to place any document in support of his aforesaid property clearly mentioned the name of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma and even the payment of the said purchase has been solely made by Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma. 9. That Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma had ever execute any G.P.A. dt. 10.12.1994 and a Will dt. 02.11.1999 in favour of the accused. Sd. English Pushpa Sharma. However, it is submitted that Sh. Subhash Sharma in collusion with Sh. Shiv Kumar Maheshwari S/o Late Sh. B.P. Maheshwari R/o 8681, Block No. 9, Gali No. 8, Dev Nagar, Karol Bagh, New Delhi and also with collusion Sh. V.K. Sharma S/o Late Sh. S.L. Sharma R/o 29, Anand Parbat, Karol Bagh, New Delhi. The accused also manufactured the aforesaid forged G.P.A. dt. 10.02.1994 in collusion with Sh. R.S. Yadav R/o 408/5, AF-2, Gali No. 30, New Basti, Anand Parbat, New Delhi and Sh. R.S. Gupta R/o 9865, Sarai Rohilla, New Delhi-110005 and the said document were prepared by the accused in order to cheat the complainant and to grab her property. 10. That the mala fide intention of the accused Subhash Sharma has also got crystal clear as he has not file the entire documents regarding the sale transaction of the aforesaid property and he has intentionally removed the Sale Deed and only filed the receipt and affidavit of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma in order to create a fog screen before the Hon'ble Court. 11. That the accused Subhash Sharma has also prepared the false Sale Deed of the joint property, which was lying at Paniyala, Rajasthan and sold out the same. However, it is pertinent to mention here that the aforesaid Sale Deed was executed on 16.02.2006 and in Sd. English Pushpa Sharma. The said Sale Deed it was mentioned that we Madan Lal Sharma, Jagdish, Lalit Kumar have given authority to Sh. Subhash Sharma to sell out the property bearing No. 422, RG Khasra No. 422/0, 18, 898/0, 25 at Mauja paniyala and sold land area is 0,32,25 hectare at the sale consideration amount of Rs. 3,84,000/-. However, it is pertinent to mention here that when Sh. Madan Lal Sharma has already been expired in year 2000 then how he can give a authority letter to anyone in year 2006. The conduct of the accused Subhash clearly reveals that he has tendency to cheat other. 12. That the accused person with a view to illegally grab the property of the complainant manufactured / prepared aforementioned G.P.A and Will of Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma in order to gain himself and cause loss to the complainant. 13. That the complainant had also made number of police complaints in year 2006 and in the year 2011 against the accused but it is matter is great regret that no action has been taken by the police officials because of which the accused Subhash Sharma became fearless and he is still threatening the complainant to forget about the aforesaid property mentioned in the G.P.A and in the Will and to withdraw the cases, which the complainant has filed against Sd. English Pushpa Sharma. him and if the complainant fails to comply with the directions of the accused person then she should be get ready to face the dire consequences for her refusal. 14. That due to the cheating and fraud committed by the accused and the constant threat given by the accused to the complainant, the complainant is under apprehension to danger her life and property at the hands of the accused and hence seeks indulgence of your good self to initiate appropriate legal action against the accused for the deeds done by him. It is, humbly requested to your good self that appropriate action may kindly be taken against the above named accused and may kindly lodge an FIR under appropriate section of IPC against the above said accused person, in the interest of justice. Complainant (Pushpa Sharma) W/o Late Sh. Madan Lal Sharma H No. 1961 Sec -6 Near DAV Public School Bahadurgarh, Haryana DO PS Anand Parbat to register a case U/S 420/468/471/467 IPC and hand over the investigation to under signed Date & Time of Presentation of Tehrir – 22/9/15 at 5/30 PM. Sd. English Pardeep Kumar SI D-4843 PS Anand Parbat 22/9/15. कार्यवाही पुलिस अज थाना एक तहरीर English मर्तबा मरासला SI Pardeep Kumar साहब ने मन HC/DO को दर पेश की तहरीर की मौसुलगी पर मन HC /DO ने मु० न० 673/15 U/S 420/468/471/467 IPC दर्ज असल तहरीर मय FIR Computerised Copy SI Pardeep Kumar साहब के हवाले की गई। दीगर नकुलात बजरिये डाक अफसरान बाला की खिदमत मे अरसाल होंगे। बकलम HC/DO

13. Action Taken Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2:

(की गयी कार्यवाही: चूंकि उपरोक्त जानकारी से पता चलता है कि किया गया अपराध मद् सं.2.में उल्लेख धारा के तहत है):

(i) Registered the case and took up the investigation:

OR (या)

(प्रकरण दर्ज किया गया और जांच के लिए लिया गया):

(ii) Directed (Name of the I.O.)(जांच अधिकारी का नाम): PRADEEP KUMAR

Rank (पद):

SI (SUB-INSPECTOR)

No(सं.): 16090162

to take up the investigation (को जांच आपने पास में लेने के लिए निर्देश दिया गया) OR(या)

(iii) Refused investigation due to(जांच के लिए):

OR (के कारण इंकार किया या)

(iv) Transferred to P.S(name)(थाना):

District(ज़िला):

on point of jurisdiction (को क्षेत्राधिकार के कारण हस्तांतरित)

F.I.R read over to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant, free of cost : (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता को प्राथमिकी पढ़ कर सुनाई गयी, सही दर्ज हुई माना और एक कॉपी निशुल्क शिकायतकर्ता को दी गयी) :

R.O.A.C.(आर.ओ.ए.सी.):

14. Signature / Thumb Impression

of the Complainant / Informant:

(शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता के हस्ताक्षर / अंगूठे का निशान):

Signature of Officer

Name(नाम): PRIYAVART

Rank (पद): HC (HEAD CONSTABLE)

No.(सं.): 28061478

15. Date and Time of despatch to the court:

(अदालत में प्रेषण की दिनांक और समय):