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## 

## Overview

A paragraph for the main goal of the sprint.

| **Meeting Name** | **Date of Meeting** | **Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Meeting1 | 22.10.2025 | Use cases and diagrams planned |
| Meeting2 | 25.10.2025 | SRS planned |
| Meeting3 | 28.10.2025 | Contraists planned. |

## Sprint Board

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Status (To Do/In Progress/Done)** | **Estimated Story Points** | **Assignee** |
| Implement documents | Done | 6 | All team |
| Updating documents | In Progress | 2 | All team |

## Sprint Issues

**1. Yağmur**

* **Issue: Develop Requirements Document (SRS v1.0)**
  + **Description:** Based on the clarified scope and user scenarios, author the first complete version of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) document. This includes formalizing the high-level requirements and detailing initial use cases and scenarios.
  + **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** SRS v1.0 document is completed by Oct 31, 2025, and ready for team review.
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** Gantt Chart v1.0 is created and shared. The draft test plan is initiated with two proposed tests.

**2. Kerem**

* **Issue: Finalize Project Management Plan (PMP v1.0)**
  + **Description:** Create the first version of the Project Management Plan (PMP v1.0), detailing the project timeline, communication protocols, and initial risk assessment.
  + **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** PMP v1.0 (covering 18 Oct - 31 Oct) is delivered and approved by the team.

**3. Aylin**

* **Issue: Create System Models (Use Case, Activity, Context)**
  + **Description:** Develop the primary system diagrams to support the SRS. This includes Use Case diagrams for user interactions, an Activity Diagram for a key process, and a Context Diagram to show system boundaries.
  + **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** All specified diagrams are created and embedded within the SRS v1.0 document.
* **Issue: Scope Clarification & High-Level Requirements Set**
  + **Description:** Lead the effort to clarify user scenarios and project scope. Define the initial high-level requirement set (10 items) which will form the basis of the SRS.
  + **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** A document outlining the 10 high-level requirements and clarified scope is complete.

**4. Emir**

* **Issue: Finalize Project Proposal (v2.0)**
  + **Description:** Update the Project Proposal to v2.0 based on initial feedback and clarifications.
  + **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** Project Proposal v2.0 is delivered and approved by the team.
* **Issue: Conduct Ethical Review Meeting**
  + **Description:** Organize and conduct a meeting with a university psychology professor to perform an ethical review of the "Gather Up" social media concept. The goal was to gain expert insight into potential psychological impacts, user safety concerns, and ethical platform design.
* **Status:** Done
  + **Acceptance Criteria:** Meeting is successfully held. Key findings and recommendations from the professor are documented and presented to the team. (This will be a key input for the "Ethical or regulatory considerations" section of the main proposal).

## Sprint Results

Sprint 2 was a foundational "planning" sprint focused on critical documentation (SRS, PMP, Proposal) and requirements analysis rather than functional development.

### Story Points Completed

6 points. (4 points for each documentation , 1 point for gannt chart, 1 point for sprint planning)

### Comparison to Previous Sprint

* Sprint 1 was focused on project initiation, team formation, and initial idea validation.
* Sprint 2 successfully delivered all planned foundational documents, setting a strong and well-defined baseline for the development phases.

## Next Sprint Preview

**Proposed Sprint Goals**

* Preparing the first version of the Software Architecture Design (SAD) document and adding detailed designs to the SDD (Software Design Document).
* Writing 10 detailed requirements linked to the high-level requirements from Sprint 2.
* Completing and deliver the first version of the project checklist.
* Updating previous sprint's documents (SRS, PMP) as needed.

### Backlog Items for Next Sprint

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Story Points** | **Assignee** |
| Design Document v1.0 | 3 | Emir-Aylin |
| Architecture Document v1.0 | 3 | All team |
| SRS v1.0 | 3 | Yağmur-Kerem |

Conclusion

**Retrospective Summary**

* **What went well:** The sprint was highly successful in terms of documentation output. We completed the SRS v1.0, PMP v1.0, and Project Proposal v2.0 as planned. The meeting with the psychology professor was a significant success; it provided invaluable, proactive insights into the ethical considerations and user safety aspects of our platform, which will directly inform our design and moderation policies. The team collaborated effectively on defining the scope.
* **What can be improved:** The documentation workload was very heavy for a single sprint. In the future, we should break down large documentation tasks (like "Write SRS") into smaller, more manageable issues (e.g., "Write Use Cases," "Define Non-Functional Requirements").