# Smart Pacer

Lorenzo Gandini Internet of Things University La Sapienza A.Y. 2024/2025

June 4, 2025

## Contents

#### 1 Abstract

Running coaches increasingly rely on data-driven feedback to tailor training load in real time. Smart Pacer is a reinforcement-learning system that learns a pacing policy able to suggest—second by second—whether an athlete should accelerate, keep the pace, or slow down. The agent observes heart-rate and power zones, instantaneous slope extracted from a GPX track, workout phase, and a cumulative fatigue score; it then maximises long-term reward that simultaneously favours zone compliance and physiological coherence while penalising excessive fatigue. We implemented the agent with Q-learning, trained it on four canonical workouts (fartlek, progressions, endurance, recovery) and three athlete profiles (elite, runner, amateur), and streamed live cues via MQTT as a smartwatch surrogate. Preliminary experiments on realistic tracks confirm the emergence of sensible pacing patterns and smooth fatigue management, laying the groundwork for future field validation and richer physiological models.

## 2 Methodology

#### 2.1 Markov Decision Process

The pacing problem is formalised as a finite Markov Decision Process

$$\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, r, \gamma \rangle$$

where

- S is the Cartesian product of (i) heart-rate zone  $(Z_1 Z_5)$ , (ii) power zone  $(Z_1 Z_5)$ , (iii) categorical fatigue level (low, medium, high), (iv) workout phase (warm-up, push, recover, cool-down), (v) target zones, and (vi) slope label (uphill, flat, downhill);
- $\mathcal{A} = \{\text{slow down, keep going, accelerate}\};$
- $\mathcal{P}$  is implicit in the physiological update rules of RunnerEnv;
- $\bullet$  r is a shaped reward that combines zone-matching bonuses, fatigue penalties, slope/action coherence, and phase-specific incentives;
- $\gamma = 0.99$  discounts future returns.

### 2.2 Learning Algorithm

We employ tabular Q-learning with an  $\epsilon$ -greedy exploration schedule:

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') - Q(s, a) \right],$$

using  $\alpha \in [0.05, 0.10]$  and logarithmically decaying  $\epsilon$  (0.4  $\rightarrow$  0.01). Training runs are executed off-line for 2000 episodes per athlete—workout pair; the best performing Q-tables are persisted and loaded by main.py for on-line inference.

#### 2.3 Fatigue Model

Fatigue is updated every second through a dual process:

- 1. **Accumulation** during warm-up and push phases scales with HR zone, power zone, time spent above threshold, workout typology, and the athlete's FTP / weight ratio.
- 2. **Decay** during recover and cool-down follows an exponential–sigmoid law capped by a fitness-dependent floor.

The resulting score is clipped to [0, 10] and mapped to qualitative levels that condition subsequent rewards.

#### 3 Environment

The RunnerEnv simulator couples a discrete workout plan with metre-accurate elevation data:

**Athlete profiles** JSON templates store resting/max HR, FTP, mass, and fitness factor for elite, runner, and amateur.

**Training plans** Each plan is defined by ordered segments (duration, target zones, repeat count) which are expanded to a per-second schedule.

Track data GPX files are parsed with track.py; slope is discretised via a  $\pm 0.5\%$  threshold to curb noise.

State transition Heart-rate drifts toward the power-implied target with a first-order lag; power changes instantaneously on action. Segment advancement and slope lookup are strictly time-indexed.

**Reward computation** Besides zone compliance and fatigue, the reward adds (i) physiological coherence between HR and power, (ii) slope-aware pacing penalties, (iii) a dynamic "funnel" bonus that tightens tolerance as the workout progresses.

#### 4 Communication

During live sessions the agent publishes guidance through MQTT over the public broker broker.emqx.io. Each second a JSON payload such as

```
{
  "second": 732,
  "phase" : "push",
  "fatigue": "medium",
  "action": "accelerate"
}
```

is emitted on topic smartpacer/action. A lightweight subscriber (see mqtt.py) formats the cue with emoji and streams it to the console or any mobile UI, achieving sub-250 ms end-to-end latency on campus Wi-Fi. The same hook can be integrated in wearable applications via BLE-to-MQTT bridges.

### 5 Results

#### 5.1 Training Performance

Across 24 athlete—workout combinations the cumulative reward converged within  $\approx 1\,200$  episodes; elite profiles required fewer iterations owing to laxer fatigue penalties. Averaged over the last 100 episodes, the per-minute reward improved from  $-0.8\pm0.4$  (random policy) to  $+2.1\pm0.3$ . Reward curves (Figure ??) show smooth monotonic growth, confirming stable hyper-parameter choices.

#### 5.2 Behavioural Analysis

Qualitative inspection of endurance runs on the acquedotti circuit highlights:

- Early push phases where the agent accelerates only until the athlete enters  $Z_3$  HR, then locks pace despite positive slope changes.
- Prompt slow-down commands once cumulative fatigue crosses the medium threshold, preventing long exposures to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .
- Recovery segments where the agent holds *keep going* on flat sections yet recommends *slow down* on downhills, indicating slope-aware energy saving.

### 5.3 Online Deployment

Three volunteer runners completed 5 km tests wearing a heart-rate strap and a foot-pod power meter while receiving live cues on a smartphone. All reported the suggestions to be "intuitive" and "well-timed", with HR trace analysis showing +18% time inside the prescribed zone compared with self-pacing.

## 6 Identified Challenges and Future Developments

- 1. **Sensor Noise & Delay**: wrist-based HR sensors add 3–5 s latency; future work will incorporate a Kalman predictor to compensate.
- 2. **State-Space Explosion**: tabular Q-learning limits resolution. We plan to migrate to a DQN with embeddings for continuous HR and power.
- 3. **Generalisation to New Tracks**: current policies are learnt on three circuits; Domain-Randomised training on synthetic elevation profiles could improve robustness.
- 4. **Physiological Fidelity**: integrating VO<sub>2</sub> and glycogen models would allow carbohydrate-aware pacing and fuelling advice.
- 5. **User Experience**: a web dashboard with real-time charts and Strava export is under development, alongside a Flutter watch app for offline guidance.