

Lesson 4 – Exercises

Static Program Analysis and Constraint Solving
Master's Degree in Formal Methods in Computer Science
Year 2019/20

1. Assume the following collection functions $f_+, f_-, f_* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ for addition, subtraction and product, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(X, Y) &= \{x + y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \\ f_-(X, Y) &= \{x - y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \\ f_*(X, Y) &= \{x * y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

Given their abstract counterparts: \oplus, \ominus, \otimes , and the corresponding Galois connection between $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$, prove the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f_+(\gamma(\{-, +\}), \gamma(\{0\}))) &\subseteq \{-, +\} & \text{that is, } \{-, +\} \oplus \{0\} &= \{-, +\} \\ \alpha(f_-(\gamma(\{0\}), \gamma(\{+\}))) &\subseteq \{-\} & \text{that is, } \{0\} \ominus \{+\} &= \{-\} \\ \alpha(f_*(\gamma(\{0, -\}), \gamma(\{-\}))) &\subseteq \{0, +\} & \text{that is, } \{0, -\} \otimes \{-\} &= \{0, +\} \end{aligned}$$

Answer

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\{-, +\}) &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0\} \\ \gamma(\{0\}) &= \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$f_+(\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0\}, \{0\}) = \{x + 0 \mid x \neq 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0\}$$

Finally, we apply the abstraction function to the latter set:

$$\alpha(\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0\}) = \{-, +\}$$

Therefore, $\alpha(f_+(\gamma(\{-, +\}), \gamma(\{0\}))) = \{-, +\}$.

We follow a similar reasoning for the remaining cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(f_-(\gamma(\{0\}), \gamma(\{+\}))) \\ &= \alpha(f_-(\{0\}, \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x > 0\})) \\ &= \alpha(\{0 - x \mid x > 0\}) \\ &= \alpha(\{y \mid y < 0\}) \\ &= \{-\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(f_*(\gamma(\{0, -\}), \gamma(\{-\}))) \\
= & \alpha(f_*(\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq 0\}, \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x < 0\})) \\
= & \alpha(\{x * y \mid x \leq 0, y < 0\}) \\
= & \alpha(\{z \mid z \geq 0\}) \\
= & \{0, +\}
\end{aligned}$$

2. The sign analysis explained in this lesson relies on the correspondence between the concrete domain $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the abstract domain $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$, where $\mathbf{Sign} = \{+, 0, -\}$. In this exercise we are going to develop a sign analysis that relies on two different lattices:

- Concrete domain: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State})$, where $\mathbf{State} = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- Abstract domain: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\#)$, where $\mathbf{State}^\# = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sign}$.

(a) Given the language of arithmetic expressions, define a collecting semantics:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$$

The definition does not have to be compositional and can rely on standard denotational semantics $\llbracket e \rrbracket$.

Answer

Assuming that we are given a set Σ of states, we just have to evaluate $\llbracket e \rrbracket$ for each one of them and build a set with all the results, thus obtaining a set of numbers. Therefore:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket^* \Sigma = \{\llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$$

(b) Define the following abstraction and concretization functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \\
\gamma : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\#) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State})
\end{aligned}$$

Answer

Let us define an auxiliary function $Sgn : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sign}$ that returns the sign of a given integer. That is,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z} : Sgn(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ + & \text{if } x > 0 \\ - & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

The abstraction function α is defined as in the sign analysis explained in the slides of the lesson:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}) : \alpha(X) = \{Sgn(x) \mid x \in X\}$$

In order to define the concretization function we need an auxiliary function γ' , which returns the concrete counterparts of a single abstract state. That is, $\gamma' : \mathbf{State}^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State})$. For example, given a state $\sigma^\#$ such that $\sigma^\#(x) = +$ for every x , γ will map this state to the set of states that map all their variables to positive numbers. In general, for any $\sigma^\# \in \mathbf{State}^\#$:

$$\gamma'(\sigma^\#) = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbf{State} \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \text{Sgn}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma^\#(x) \right\}$$

Lastly, given a set $\Sigma^\#$ of abstract states, we define γ in this set by joining the results of γ' when applied to every abstract state in $\Sigma^\#$:

$$\gamma(\Sigma^\#) = \bigcup_{\sigma^\# \in \Sigma^\#} \gamma'(\sigma^\#)$$

(c) Define a sign analysis with an abstract interpreter:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket^\# : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\#) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$$

In this case, the definition has to be compositional. You can assume the existence of operators $\oplus, \ominus, \otimes : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$.

Answer

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket n \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# &= \{ \text{Sgn}(n) \} \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# &= \{ \sigma^\#(x) \mid \sigma^\# \in \Sigma^\# \} \\ \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# &= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \oplus \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \\ \llbracket e_1 - e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# &= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \ominus \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \\ \llbracket e_1 * e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# &= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \otimes \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\# \end{aligned}$$

(d) Prove that, for every $\Sigma^\# \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\#)$ it holds that:

$$(\alpha \circ \llbracket e \rrbracket^\# * \circ \gamma) \Sigma^\# \subseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^\# \Sigma^\#$$

You can assume that \oplus, \ominus, \otimes are correct approximations of the $+, -, *$ operators on sets of integers. In particular:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f_+(\gamma_S(s_1), \gamma_S(s_2))) &\subseteq s_1 \oplus s_2 && \text{for every } s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \\ \alpha(f_-(\gamma_S(s_1), \gamma_S(s_2))) &\subseteq s_1 \ominus s_2 && \text{for every } s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \\ \alpha(f_*(\gamma_S(s_1), \gamma_S(s_2))) &\subseteq s_1 \otimes s_2 && \text{for every } s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign}) \end{aligned}$$

where γ_S is the usual concretization function on sets of signs (do not confuse with the γ stated above).

Answer

Let us prove it by induction on the structure of e .

- **Case $e \equiv n$.** We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \circ \llbracket n \rrbracket^* \circ \gamma) \Sigma^\sharp \\
&= \alpha(\llbracket n \rrbracket^* \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)) \\
&= \alpha(\{\llbracket n \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket n \rrbracket^* \\
&= \alpha(\{n\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket n \rrbracket \\
&= \{Sgn(n)\} \quad \text{by definition of } \alpha \\
&= \llbracket n \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket n \rrbracket^\sharp
\end{aligned}$$

- **Case $e \equiv x$.** We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \circ \llbracket x \rrbracket^* \circ \gamma) \Sigma^\sharp \\
&= \alpha(\llbracket x \rrbracket^* \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)) \\
&= \alpha(\{\llbracket x \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket x \rrbracket^* \\
&= \alpha(\{\sigma(x) \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket x \rrbracket \\
&= \alpha(\{\sigma(x) \mid \sigma \in \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \gamma'(\sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \gamma' \\
&= \{Sgn(\sigma(x)) \mid \sigma \in \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \gamma'(\sigma^\sharp)\} \quad \text{by definition of } \alpha \\
&= \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \{Sgn(\sigma(x)) \mid \sigma \in \gamma'(\sigma^\sharp)\} \\
&= \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \{Sgn(\sigma(x)) \mid \forall z \in \mathbf{Var} : Sgn(\sigma(z)) = \sigma^\sharp(z)\} \quad \text{by definition of } \gamma' \\
&= \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \forall z \in \mathbf{Var} : Sgn(\sigma(z)) = \sigma^\sharp(z)\} \\
&\subseteq \bigcup_{\sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp} \{\sigma^\sharp(x)\} \\
&= \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\} \\
&= \llbracket x \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket x \rrbracket^\sharp
\end{aligned}$$

- **Case $e \equiv e_1 + e_2$.** We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \circ \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^* \circ \gamma)(\Sigma^\sharp) \\
&= \alpha(\llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^* \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)) \\
&= \alpha(\{\llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^* \\
&= \alpha(\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma + \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \quad \text{by definition of } \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that:

$$\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma + \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\} \subseteq f_+(\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}, \{\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\})$$

In fact, given a number z in the left hand side, it holds that $z = x + y$ where $x = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma$, $y = \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)$. This implies that x belongs to the set $\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}$ and y belongs to the set $\{\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}$. Let us denote these two sets by Z_1 and Z_2 respectively. Therefore, we get that $z = x + y$ for some $x \in Z_1$ and some $y \in Z_2$, hence $z \in f_+(Z_1, Z_2)$. As a result, we get that $\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma + \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\} \subseteq f_+(Z_1, Z_2)$. Since α is monotonically increasing, we get:

$$\alpha(\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma + \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) \subseteq \alpha(f_+(Z_1, Z_2))$$

Now we know that α and γ_S make up a Galois connection. This means that $\gamma_S \circ \alpha \sqsupseteq id$. In particular, $Z_1 \subseteq \gamma_S(\alpha(Z_1))$ and $Z_2 \subseteq \gamma_S(\alpha(Z_2))$. Since α and f_+ are monotonically increasing, we get:

$$\alpha(f_+(Z_1, Z_2)) \subseteq \alpha(f_+(\gamma_S(\alpha(Z_1)), \gamma_S(\alpha(Z_2))))$$

We also know that \oplus is a correct approximation to f_+ , hence:

$$\alpha(f_+(\gamma_S(\alpha(Z_1)), \gamma_S(\alpha(Z_2)))) \subseteq \alpha(Z_1) \oplus \alpha(Z_2)$$

Now let us examine $\alpha(Z_1)$. By expanding its definition we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Z_1) &= \alpha(\{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma \mid \sigma \in \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)\}) && \text{by definition of } Z_1 \\ &= \alpha(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^* \gamma(\Sigma^\sharp)) && \text{by definition of } \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^* \\ &= (\alpha \circ \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^* \circ \gamma)(\Sigma^\sharp) \\ &\subseteq \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp && \text{by induction hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly with $\alpha(Z_2)$. Therefore:

$$\alpha(Z_1) \oplus \alpha(Z_2) \subseteq \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp \oplus \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp = \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^\sharp \Sigma^\sharp$$

which proves the result.

- **Cases** $e \equiv e_1 - e_2$ **and** $e \equiv e_1 * e_2$. They are similar to the case of addition, but now we use the approximation properties of \ominus and \otimes , respectively.

3. We can define the sign analysis described in the lesson (in which the abstract domain was $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$) as an abstraction of the analysis given in Exercise 2.

- (a) Define a Galois connection between $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\sharp)$ (being \mathbf{State}^\sharp defined as in Exercise 2) and $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$.

Answer

We use σ^\sharp and ρ^\sharp to denote elements from \mathbf{State}^\sharp , Σ^\sharp to denote elements from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{State}^\sharp)$, and $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$ to denote elements from $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$.

For every set Σ^\sharp of elements in \mathbf{State}^\sharp , its abstraction is given by:

$$\alpha(\Sigma^\sharp) = \lambda x. \left\{ \sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp \right\}$$

Given a state $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$, its concretization is given by:

$$\gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) = \{ \sigma^\sharp \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \sigma^\sharp(x) \in \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(x) \}$$

Now let us prove that α and γ actually make up a Galois connection. It is easy to show that α and γ are monotonically increasing. Let us prove that $\gamma \circ \alpha \sqsupseteq id$ or, equivalently, that $\gamma(\alpha(\Sigma^\sharp)) \supseteq \Sigma^\sharp$ for every Σ^\sharp .

Assume some Σ^\sharp . For every state $\rho^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp$ and any variable $x \in \mathbf{Var}$ it trivially holds that $\rho^\sharp(x) \in \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\}$, since ρ^\sharp is contained within Σ^\sharp . Therefore we know that:

$$\Sigma^\sharp \subseteq \{\rho^\sharp \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \rho^\sharp(x) \in \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\}\} \quad (1)$$

Now let us prove that $\gamma(\alpha(\Sigma^\sharp)) \supseteq \Sigma^\sharp$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(\alpha(\Sigma^\sharp)) \\ = & \gamma(\lambda x. \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\}) && \text{by definition of } \alpha \\ = & \{\rho^\sharp \mid \forall z \in \mathbf{Var} : \rho^\sharp(z) \in (\lambda x. \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\})(z)\} && \text{by definition of } \gamma \\ = & \{\rho^\sharp \mid \forall z \in \mathbf{Var} : \rho^\sharp(z) \in \{\sigma^\sharp(z) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \Sigma^\sharp\}\} && \text{by applying the } \lambda\text{-abstraction} \\ \supseteq & \Sigma^\sharp && \text{by property (1) shown above} \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $(\alpha \circ \gamma) \sqsubseteq id$ or, equivalently, that $\alpha(\gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}))$ for any $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(\gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp})) \\ = & \alpha(\{\sigma^\sharp \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \sigma^\sharp(x) \in \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(x)\}) && \text{by definition of } \gamma \\ = & \lambda z. \{\rho^\sharp(z) \mid \rho^\sharp \in \{\sigma^\sharp \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \sigma^\sharp(x) \in \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(x)\}\} && \text{by definition of } \alpha \\ = & \lambda z. \{\rho^\sharp(z) \mid \forall x \in \mathbf{Var} : \rho^\sharp(x) \in \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(x)\} \\ \subseteq & \lambda z. \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(z) \\ = & \sigma^{\sharp\sharp} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) If $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp}$ is the sign analysis described in the lesson, prove its correctness by showing that $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \circ \gamma \sqsubseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp}$, where $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp}$ is the analysis of Exercise 2. In this case we get that both $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp}$ and $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp}$ yield elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Sign})$, so we do not have to apply the abstraction function to the set of signs returned by $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp}$.

Answer

Let us prove it by structural induction on e .

- **Case $e \equiv n$.** For any $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$, we get:

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket^{\sharp} \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) = \{Sgn(n)\} = \llbracket n \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$$

- **Case $e \equiv x$.** For any $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x \rrbracket^{\sharp} \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \\ = & \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \sigma^\sharp \in \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp})\} \\ = & \{\sigma^\sharp(x) \mid \forall z \in \mathbf{Var} : \sigma^\sharp(z) \in \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(z)\} \\ \subseteq & \sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(x) \\ = & \llbracket x \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \sigma^{\sharp\sharp} \end{aligned}$$

- **Case $e \equiv e_1 + e_2$.** For any $\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^{\sharp} \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \\ = & \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^{\sharp} \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \oplus \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^{\sharp} \gamma(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \\ = & (\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^{\sharp} \circ \gamma)(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \oplus (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^{\sharp} \circ \gamma)(\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}) \\ \subseteq & \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \sigma^{\sharp\sharp} \oplus \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \sigma^{\sharp\sharp} \\ = & \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket^{\sharp\sharp} \sigma^{\sharp\sharp} \end{aligned}$$