CSC343: Assignment 3

Lukas Finnbarr O'Callahan

April 5, 2018

Database Design

1A)

• Step One: Find all superkeys (F will not be in any superkey, as it is not on any FD LHS.)

```
ABG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
AG^+ = \{AG\}
BG^+ = \{BDG\}
                                           ACG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
CG^+ = \{CG\}
                                           ADG^+ = \{ADEFG\}
DG^+ = \{DG\}
                                           AEG^+ = \{AEFG\}
EG^+ = \{EFG\}
                                           BCG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
                                           BDG^+ = \{BDG\}
                                           BEG^+ = \{BDEFG\}
                                           CDG^+ = \{CDG\}
                                           CEG^+ = \{CEFG\}
                                           DEG^+ = \{DEFG\}
                                           ABCDG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ABCG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
                                           ABCEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ABDG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ABEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
                                           ABDEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ACDG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
                                           ACDEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ACEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
                                           BCDEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
ADEG^+ = \{ADEFG\}
BCDG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
BCEG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\}
BDEG^+ = \{BDEFG\}
```

• Step Two: Remove superkeys with redundant attributes

```
\begin{array}{ll} ABG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{ABG}C^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ ACG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{ABG}D^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ BCG^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{ABG}E^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \textbf{ABG}CD^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{ACG}D^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \textbf{ABG}CE^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{ACG}E^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \textbf{ABG}DE^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{BCG}D^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \textbf{ACG}DE^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{BCG}E^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \textbf{BCG}DE^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} & \textbf{BCG}E^+ = \{ABCDEFG\} \\ \end{array}
```

• Answer: Remaining superkeys are minimal, and therefore are candidate keys

ABG, ACG, BCG

1B)

• Step One: Rewrite all FDs so that each RHS only contains one attribute

```
\begin{split} B &\to D \\ BC &\to A \\ E &\to F \\ AB &\to C \\ AC &\to B \\ AD &\to E \end{split}
```

• Step Two: Check for possibly redundant LHS attributes

```
\mathbf{B} \to D
\mathbf{BC} \to A
          B^+ = \{B, D\}
                                                //So C cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
          C^+ = \{C\}
                                                //So B cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
 \mathbf{E} \to F
AB \to C
          A^+ = \{A\}
                                                //So B cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
          B^+ = \{B, D\}
                                                //So A cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
AC \rightarrow B
         A^+ = \{A\}C^+ = \{C\}
                                                //So C cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
                                                //So A cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
\mathbf{AD} \to E
          A^+ = \{A\}
                                                //So D cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
          D^+ = \{D\}
                                                //So A cannot be removed from the LHS of this FD
```

• Step Three: Check for redundant FDs

```
\mathbf{B} 	o \mathbf{D}
           B^+ = \{B\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
\mathbf{BC} \to \mathbf{A}
          BC^+ = \{BCD\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
 \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{F}
          E^+ = \{E\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
\mathbf{AB} \to \mathbf{C}
           AB^+ = \{ABDEF\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
\mathbf{AC} 	o \mathbf{B}
           AC^+ = \{AC\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
\mathbf{AD} 	o \mathbf{E}
           AD^+ = \{AD\}
                                                      //The closure of the LHS does not contain the RHS
```

• Answer: The original set FD is already a minimal cover

1C)

- R is **NOT** in BCNF given set FD; $E^+ = \{E, F\}$, and therefore E is the LHS of a FD which is not a superkey.
- Perform a BCNF decomposition: // Where the bold subrelations and FD sets are in BCNF

```
R(ABCDEFG): \{B \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow F, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E\}

\diamond E \rightarrow F:

R(EF): \{E \rightarrow F\}

R(ABCDEG): \{B \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E\}

\diamond AD \rightarrow E:

R(ADE): \{AD \rightarrow E\}

R(ABCDG): \{B \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B\}

\diamond B \rightarrow D:

R(BD): \{B \rightarrow D\}

R(ABCG): \{BC \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B\}

\diamond BC \rightarrow A:

R(ABC): \{AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A\}

R(BCG): \{\}
```

• Therefore, the following subrelations are in BCNF:

 $\begin{array}{c} R(EF) \\ R(ADE) \\ R(BD) \\ R(ABC) \\ R(BCG) \end{array}$

1D)

- R is **NOT** in 3NF given set FD;
 - $E \to F$ violates 3NF because E is not a superkey $(E^+ = (E, F) \neq (ABCDEFG))$ and F is not in any candidate key (where the candidate keys are ABG, ACG, and BCG)
- Perform a 3NF decomposition:
 - \circ 1) Set FD is already a minimal basis for itself
 - \circ 2) For each FD $X \to Y$ in FD, create subrelation XY:

BD

ABC

EF

ABC

ABC

ADE

o 3) Eliminate every relation whose schema is the subset of another:

BD

ABC

EF

ABC

ABC

ADE

• 4) No relation is a superkey for (ABCDEFG), so add the schema of some key:

ABG

• Therefore, the following subrelations are in 3NF:

R(BD)

R(ABC)

R(EF)

R(ADE)

R(ABG)

- 2) "Prove that if a relation S has only one-attribute keys, S is in BCNF if and only if it is in 3NF."
- First, let BCNF be defined as: For every FD $X \to Y, X^+ = \text{(all attributes in the relation)}$
- Next, let 3NF be defined as: For every FD $X \to Y, X^+ =$ (all attributes in the relation) **OR**

Y is the member of any key

• However, in the case where all keys consist of one attribute, this can be rewritten as: For every FD $X \to Y, X^+ =$ (all attributes in the relation)

OR

Y is the member of any key \Rightarrow $Y^+ = \text{(all attributes in the relation)} \Rightarrow // Y$ is the only member of the key \Leftrightarrow Y is the key $X^+ = \text{(all attributes in the relation)}$ // Due to the fact that $X \to Y$

• Therefore, both BCNF and 3NF share the following definition and are thus equivalent: For every FD $X \to Y, X^+ =$ (all attributes in the relation)

Entity-Relationship Model

