CS 218 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Nutan Limaye

Module 1: Basics of algorithms

Divide, Delegate and Combine (Divide and Conquer)

You cannot do everything and be efficient!

Integer multiplication

Problem Description

Input: Two n-digit non-negative integers x, y

Compute: $x \times y$

We know that this has a simple algorithm (we studied in school).

What is the time complexity of that algorithm?

Primitive operations:

Adding two single digit numbers takes O(1) time.

Multiplying two single digit numbers takes O(1) time.

Inserting a zero at the end of a number takes O(1) time.

Total number of primitive operations

O(n) operations to multiply 1 digit of y with x.

O(n) such operations. Totally $O(n^2)$ operations.

Integer Multiplication

Can we do better than $O(n^2)$?

Recursive Algorithm

```
Mult((u, v))
```

- Let a, b the first and the second half of u respectively.
- Let c, d the first and the second half of v respectively.
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \mathsf{Output} \\ 10^n \cdot \mathtt{Mult}(a,c) + 10^{n/2} \cdot \left(\mathtt{Mult}(a,d) + \mathtt{Mult}(b,c)\right) + \mathtt{Mult}(b,d). \end{array}$

Recursive Algorithm

```
Mult((u, v))
```

- Let a, b the first and the second half of u respectively.
- Let c, d the first and the second half of v respectively.
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \mathsf{Output} \\ 10^n \cdot \mathtt{Mult}(a,c) + 10^{n/2} \cdot (\mathtt{Mult}(a,d) + \mathtt{Mult}(b,c)) + \mathtt{Mult}(b,d). \end{array}$

Running time analysis of the algorithm.

$$T(n) = 4 \cdot T(n/2) + O(n)$$

$$= 4 \cdot (4 \cdot T(n/4) + O(n/2)) + O(n)$$

$$= \vdots$$

$$= O(n^2).$$

Analysing Recursive Algorithms

Master Theorem

Theorem

Let

$$T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + \Theta(n^c),$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \ge 1, b > 1$ and $c \ge 0$. Then

- $T(n) = \Theta(n^c)$ if $a < b^c$,
- $T(n) = \Theta(n^c \log n)$ if $a = b^c$, and
- $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ if $a \ge b^c$.

If $T(n) = 4 \cdot T(n/2) + O(n)$ then a = 4, b = 2, c = 1, i.e. $a \ge b^c$. Therefore, we get $T(n) = O(n^2)$.

Karatsuba's algorithm

```
Mult((u, v))
```

- Let a, b the first and the second half of u respectively.
- Let c, d the first and the second half of v respectively.
- $\begin{aligned} & \bullet & \text{Output} \\ & & 10^n \cdot \text{Mult}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) + 10^{n/2} \cdot (\text{Mult}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}) + \text{Mult}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})) + \text{Mult}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}). \end{aligned}$

Can we do better?

Above algorithm makes 4 calls to $Mult(\cdot)$.

Can we make only 3 calls and get the same answer?

If we can do that then, $T(n) = 3 \cdot T(n/2) + O(n)$. We will be able to get $T(n) = O(n^{\log 3}) = O(n^{1.584})$.

How do we save?

Karastuba's algorithm

How do we save?

- We do not really need $a \cdot d$ and $b \cdot c$ individually. We only need their addition, i.e. $a \cdot d + b \cdot c$.
- Can this be computed using a single multiplication?
- $(a+b)\cdot(c+d)-a\cdot c-b\cdot d$.
- Now we can compute all the terms we need using only 3 multiplications and a few additions and subtractions.
 - Namely, these multiplications: $(a+b)\cdot(c+d)$, $a\cdot c$, and $b\cdot d$.

Karatsuba's algorithm

Mult((u, v))

- Let a, b the first and the second half of u respectively.
- Let c, d the first and the second half of v respectively.
- Let $M_1 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(a, c)$, $M_2 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(a + b, c + d)$, and $M_3 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(b, d)$.
- Output $10^n \cdot M_1 + 10^{n/2} \cdot (M_2 M_1 M_3) + M_3$.

Karatsuba's algorithm

Mult((u, v))

- Let a, b the first and the second half of u respectively.
- Let c, d the first and the second half of v respectively.
- Let $M_1 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(a, c)$, $M_2 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(a + b, c + d)$, and $M_3 \leftarrow \text{Mult}(b, d)$.
- Output $10^n \cdot M_1 + 10^{n/2} \cdot (M_2 M_1 M_3) + M_3$.

Running time analysis of the algorithm.

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T(n/2) + O(n)$$

$$= 3 \cdot (3 \cdot T(n/4) + O(n/2)) + O(n)$$

$$= \vdots$$

$$= O(n^{\log 3}) = O(n^{1.584})$$

The story behind Integer Multiplication

Andrei kolmogorov conjectured that the high school algorithm cannot be improved further.

He organised a seminar (with multiple future meetings) at Moscow State University to prove this and related conjectures. (1960)

Within less than a week a student (Anatoly Karatsuba) found a clever algorithm. (1960)

Andrei Toom broke the numbers down into 3 parts and showed that 5 multiplications are enough.

Thereby getting $T(n) = 5 \cdot T(n/3) + O(n) = n^{\log_3 5}$. (1963)

The story behind Integer Multiplication

Stephen Cook observed that Andrei Toom's idea can be generalised.

Thereby getting the time down to $O(C(r) \cdot n^{\log_r(2r-1)})$ (1966).

Schönhage and Strassen broke the $n^{1+\varepsilon}$ barrier.

They got the running time down to $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ (1971).

The next breakthrough was due to Martin Fürer.

He got the time down to $O(n \cdot \log n \cdot 2^{O(\log^* n)})$ (2007).

The story behind Integer Multiplication

Finally the best imaginable result for integer multiplication has been proved.

Harvey and Hoeven gave an upper bound of $O(n \cdot \log n)$ as recently as (2019)!