# **CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science**

Krishna, S

# Recap

- ▶ Given FO formula  $\varphi$ , build an automaton  $A_{\varphi}$  preserving the language
- Satisfiability of FO reduces to non-emptiness of underlying automaton
- Starting today : non FO-definability

# **FO Definability**

Let  $\varphi$  be a FO formula. Define the quantifier rank of  $\varphi$  denoted  $c(\varphi)$ 

- If  $\varphi$  is atomic  $(x = y, x < y, S(x, y), Q_{\theta}(x))$  then  $c(\varphi) = 0$
- $ightharpoonup c(\neg \varphi) = c(\varphi)$
- $ightharpoonup c(\varphi \wedge \psi) = max(c(\varphi), c(\psi))$
- $ightharpoonup c(\exists \varphi) = c(\varphi) + 1$
- ▶ Quantifier free formulae written in DNF :  $C_1 \lor C_2 \lor \cdots \lor C_n$
- Formulae of quantifier rank c+1 written as a disjunction of the conjunction of formulae, each formula of the form  $\exists x \varphi, \neg \exists x \varphi$  or  $\varphi$ , with  $c(\varphi) \leqslant c$ . Eliminate repeated disjuncts/conjunts

## **Number of FO formulae of rank** *c*

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of first order variables. Fix a finite signature  $\tau$ . Let there be m atomic formulae over  $\tau$  having variables from  $\mathcal{V}$ .

- ▶ If  $\mathcal{V}$  has 2 variables x, y, and  $\tau$  has  $Q_a, S, <$ .
- Atomic formulae :  $\{Q_a(x), Q_a(y), S(x, y), x < y\}$
- $G = \{Q_a(x), \neg Q_a(x), Q_a(y), \neg Q_a(y), S(x, y), \neg S(x, y), x < y, \neg (x < y)\}$
- ▶ Each subset of *G* is a possible conjunct *C<sub>i</sub>*.
- ▶ All possible disjuncts using each C<sub>i</sub>: formulae in DNF of rank 0

## **Number of FO formulae of rank** *c*

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of first order variables. Fix a finite signature  $\tau$ . Let there be m atomic formulae over  $\tau$  having variables from  $\mathcal{V}$ .

- ▶ 2*m* atomic/negated atomic formulae
- ▶ Number of conjunctions  $C_i$  possible  $\leq 2^{2m}$
- Number of formulae in DNF  $\leq 2^{2^{2m}}$  (c = 0)

#### Rank 1

Let there be p formulae  $\varphi$  of rank 0.

- ▶ 2*p* formulae of the form  $\exists x \varphi$ ,  $\neg \exists x \varphi$
- ▶ 2<sup>2p</sup> conjunctions of rank 1
- Conjuncting any one of the p formulae of rank 0 gives all conjuncts of rank 1 : p2<sup>2p</sup> more
- ▶ Possible conjuncts of rank 1 is  $q = (p+1)2^{2p}$
- Possible disjuncts of these : 2<sup>q</sup>

## **Number of FO formulae of rank** *c*

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of first order variables, and let  $c \geqslant 0$ . There are finitely many FO formulae in DNF with rank c over  $\mathcal{V}$ .

7/10

## **Some Notation**

Given a word  $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ , and a finite set of variables V, define a V-enriched-word with respect to w as

- $\blacktriangleright$   $(a_1, U_1)(a_2, U_2) \dots (a_n, U_n)$  where
- $ightharpoonup \bigcup_i U_i = \mathcal{V}$
- $ightharpoonup U_i \cap U_i = \emptyset$
- ▶ A V-enriched-word is over the alphabet  $\Sigma \times 2^{V}$
- ▶  $(a, \{x\})(b, \{y, z\})(c, \emptyset)(d, \{u, v\})$  is a  $\{x, y, z, u, v\}$ -enriched word with respect to the word *abcd*.
- We will refer to V-enriched-word structures as V-structures from here on

### **Notational Semantics**

```
Given a V-structure w = (a_1, S_1) \dots (a_n, S_n),
  • w \models Q_a(x) iff there exists j such that a_j = a and x \in S_i
         • (a, \{v\})(b, \{u, v\})(a, \{x\}) \models Q_a(x)
  • w \models (x = y) iff there exists j such that x, y \in S_i
         ► (a, \{x\})(b, \{y, z\})(c, \emptyset) \nvDash (x = y)
  • w \models x < y iff there exists i < j such that x \in S_i, y \in S_i
         ► (a, \{x\})(b, \{y, z\})(c, \emptyset) \models x < y
  w \models \exists x Q_a(x) iff there exists i such that
      (a_1, S_1) \dots (a_i, S_i \cup \{x\}) \dots (a_n, S_n) \models Q_a(x)
         ▶ (b, \{v, z\})(a, \{u\})(c, \emptyset) \models \exists xQ_a(x) since
            (b, \{y, z\})(a, \{x, u\})(c, \emptyset) \models Q_a(x)
```

## **Notational Semantics**

```
▶ (a,\emptyset)(a,\emptyset)(b,\emptyset) \models \forall x \exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)]) iff

▶ (a,\emptyset)(a,\emptyset)(b,\emptyset) \models \neg \exists x \neg [\exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])] iff

▶ (a,\emptyset)(a,\emptyset)(b,\emptyset) \nvDash \exists x \neg [\exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])] iff

▶ (a,\{x\})(a,\emptyset)(b,\emptyset) \nvDash \neg [\exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])] and

▶ (a,\emptyset)(a,\{x\})(b,\emptyset) \nvDash \neg [\exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])] and

▶ (a,\emptyset)(a,\emptyset)(b,\{x\}) \nvDash \neg [\exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])]

▶ (a,\{x\})(a,\emptyset)(b,\emptyset) \models \exists y (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)]) iff

▶ (a,\{x\})(a,\emptyset)(b,\{y\}) \models (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])

Similarly, (a,\emptyset)(a,\{x\})(b,\{y\}) \models (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)]) and

(a,\emptyset)(a,\emptyset)(b,\{x,y\}) \models (Q_a(x) \rightarrow [(x < y) \land Q_b(y)])
```