

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications



www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

A counterexample on outer inverses in semigroups



Yaroslav Shitov

 $National\ Research\ University\ Higher\ School\ of\ Economics,\ 20\ Myasnitskaya\ Ulitsa,\\ Moscow\ 101000,\ Russia$

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 July 2016 Accepted 24 September 2016 Available online 28 September 2016 Submitted by P. Semrl

MSC: 20M05 20M99

Keywords: semigroup theory generalized inverse

ABSTRACT

We construct a counterexample to the following conjecture, which was proposed recently by Bapat et al. 'If a is a regular element in a semigroup S, and x is an outer inverse of a, then a has a reflexive generalized inverse y which dominates x with respect to the minus order on S.'

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc.

The aim of this note is to provide a counterexample to the statement in the abstract, which is the content of Conjecture 1 in the recent paper [1]. In the basic terms, this statement reads as follows: For any elements a, b, x satisfying xax = x, aba = a, there are elements α , y such that

$$aya = a, \quad x\alpha = y\alpha,$$
 (1)

and some additional equalities hold.

Let S be the monoid presented by generators a, b, x and relations xax = x, aba = a. Let us explain what is S in more elementary terms. For arbitrary strings u, v of letters

E-mail address: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru.

a, b, x, we say that the strings uav, uabav (as well as uxv, uxaxv, respectively) can be obtained from each other by an *elementary substitution*. We think of elements in S as such strings, we multiply them by concatenation, and we identify two strings if and only if one of them can be obtained from the other by a sequence of elementary substitutions.

We have xax = x, aba = a immediately, and we assume that $\alpha, y \in S$ are such that the equalities (1) are true. Since the elementary substitutions respect the property of strings to contain x, the first equality of (1) shows that y does not contain x. Similarly, the elementary substitutions respect the first letters, so the second equality of (1) shows that y is the empty word. We get $x\alpha = \alpha$, which is impossible because the elementary substitutions preserve the parity of the length of words.

I would like to thank the anonymous referee for a helpful advice.

Reference

[1] R.B. Bapat, S.K. Jain, K.M.P. Karantha, M.D. Raj, Outer inverses: characterization and applications, Linear Algebra Appl. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2016.06.045, in press.