**PEER REVIEW FORM: T4 Website Project**

**REVIEWER NAME \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Lou\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**REVIEWEE NAME \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Silva\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**WEBSITE ASSESSMENT RUBRIC**

| Assessment Area (Weight) | 0-50pts Failure | 50-70 pts Marginal Pass | 70-80 pts Pass | 80-90 pts  Good | 90-100 pts  Excellent | PEER SCORE |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Technical Completeness\* (50%)** | Less than half complete  完成度少于一半 | 50-75% complete  50-75% 的完成度 | 75-85% complete  75-85% 的完成度 | 85-95% complete  85-95% 的完成度 | 95% complete or better...  95% 或更高的完成度 | 75 |
| **Content Completeness\* (40%)** | Less than half complete  完成度少于一半 | 50-75% complete  50-75% 的完成度 | 75-85% complete  75-85% 的完成度 | 85-95% complete  85-95% 的完成度 | 95% complete or better...  95% 或更高的完成度 | 70 |
| **Creativity (25%)** | Website has no design features. Website is creatively designed, but not surprising  网站没有设计感，网站有创造性但没有惊喜感 | Website lacks interesting design features.  Does not have a personal style.  网站缺乏有趣的设计特点。  没有个人风格。 | Website uses interesting design features in an unsurprising way. Looks like many other sites of its kind.  网站没有趣的设计特点。看起来像许多类似站点相同。 | Website shows a creative approach to self-representation.  Uses some interesting design features  网站展示了自我表达的创造性方法。  使用一些有趣的设计特征 | Surprising design elements that create a distinct personal brand.  Pushes the boundaries of traditional web design  网站有令人惊讶的设计元素，创造一个独特的个人特点。  跨越了传统网页设计的界限 | 78 |
| **Usability (25%)** | Website is impossible to navigate.  网站难以使用 | Content is difficult to find. User interaction in unintuitive.  信息内容很难找到，用户交互不直观 | Some content is hard to find or some navigation does not work as expected.  有些内容很难找到，或者某些导航不能流畅运行。 | Content is easy to find, design is somewhat intuitive, the user might be somewhat confused about navigation.  内容很容易找到，设计有些直观，用户可能对导航有点困惑。 | Content is easy to find and site is easy to navigate.  Intuitive design features.  内容很容易找到，网站很容易导航。  导航设计具有直观性。 | 70 |

\*Based on individual assignment requirements

\*\* Final Project scores will be 25% peer assessment and 75% instructor assessment.

\*根据作业要求完成度打分

\*\*最后项目成绩将为25%同侪评估和75%讲师评估。

**TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Written by student (original work)  学生做的（原创作品） |  |
| Website Works: (3 pts)   * Interactions work as expected * Loads quickly * All images/elements display properly   网站运作：（3分）   * -交互作用如预期工作 * -网站加载迅速 * -所有图像/元素都能正确显示 | 3/3 |
| CSS Styles are used (+1 for External Style Sheet)  网站中运用到CSS Styles，外置Style Sheet加一分 | 1 |
| Javascript is used to drive interactivity (+1 if in an external file)  JavaScript用于驱动交互  （外部链接＋1） | 1 |
| **FINAL SCORE** | 5/6 |
| x**100** | 83 |

**CONTENT REQUIREMENTS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Includes a variety of content types (jpg, video, text, etc…)  多样的内容形式（jpg, video, text, etc…） | 0.5 |
| Includes examples of studio work  包括以往的作业 | 1 |
| Includes a description 有描述性文字 | 0 |
| Includes external content that is not just student work and description  包括外部内容，不只是学生的作品和描述 | 0 |
| **FINAL SCORE** | 1.5/4 |
| **x100** | 37.5 |

**NOTES:**

1. **The movement of the images is problematic and does not seem to have a good user experience.**
2. **The classification of the pictures is still too messy and confusing.**
3. **But the pictures are pretty good.**
4. **No external links.**