SELF-CONSISTENCY IMPROVES CHAIN OF THOUGHT REASONING IN LANGUAGE MODELS

LLM Competitions

Yalei Yu

April 19, 2025



Motivations

 Self-consistency leverages the intuition that a complex reasoning problem typically admits multiple different ways of thinking, leading to its unique correct answer Ideas

 a new decoding strategy, self-consistency, to replace the naive greedy decoding used in chain-of-thought prompting, that further improves language models' reasoning performance by a significant margin

Approaches

- propose a "sample-and-marginalize" decoding procedure
- first samples a diverse set of reasoning paths instead of only taking the greedy one
- selects the most consistent answer by marginalizing out the sampled reasoning paths
- majority vote



Examples



Self-consistency over diverse reasoning paths

Definitions

ullet $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$, where \mathbf{a}_i a generated answer, \mathbf{r}_i a sequence of tokens a marginalization over \mathbf{r}_i by taking majority vote over \mathbf{a}_i :

$$\arg\max_{a} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(\mathbf{a}_{i} = a) \tag{1}$$

Majority vote is a simple decision rule in many fields, like machine learning, ensemble methods, and voting systems. It means: Choose the option that more than half of the voters (or models, or data points) agree on.

Interpretation: This expression computes the value of a that appears most frequently in the sequence a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m . In other words, it returns the **mode** of the list $\{a_i\}$.

Example:

lf

$$\mathbb{A} = [2, 3, 2, 4, 2, 3],$$

then:

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{1}(a_{i} = 2) = 3$$

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{1}(a_{i} = 3) = 2$$

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{1}(a_{i} = 4) = 1$$

So,

$$\arg\max\sum\mathbf{1}(a_i=a)=2$$

Normalization

Normalize the conditional probability $P(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{a}_i | \mathrm{prompt}, \, \mathrm{question})$ by the output length, "normalized" weighted sum as follows:

$$P(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{a}_i | \text{prompt, question}) = \exp^{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \log P(t_k | \text{prompt, question}, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1})}$$
 (2)

where $\log P(t_k| \text{prompt}, \text{ question}, t_1, \cdots, t_{k-1})$ is the \log probability of generating the k-th token t_k in $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$ conditional previous tokens, and K is the total number of tokens.