Introduction to Coccinelle

Julia Lawall (Inria/LIP6)

http://coccinelle.lip6.fr

October 31, 2013

Common programming problems

- Programmers don't really understand how C works.
 - !e1 & e2 does a bit-and with 0 or 1.
- A simpler API function exists, but not everyone uses it.
 - Mixing different functions for the same purpose is confusing.
- A function may fail, but the call site doesn't check for that.
 - A rare error case will cause an unexpected crash.
- Etc.

Need for pervasive code changes.

Example: Bad bit-and

```
if (!dma_cntrl & DMA_START_BIT) {
   BCMLOG(BCMLOG_DBG, "Already Stopped\n");
   return BC_STS_SUCCESS;
}
```

From drivers/staging/crystalhd/crystalhd_hw.c

Example: Inconsistent API usage

```
drivers/mtd/nand/r852.c:
    if (!bounce) {
      dev->phys_dma_addr =
        pci_map_single(dev->pci_dev, (void *)buf, R852_DMA_LEN,
           (do_read ? PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE : PCI_DMA_TODEVICE));
      if (pci_dma_mapping_error(dev->pci_dev, dev->phys_dma_addr))
        bounce = 1;
    }
drivers/mtd/nand/denali.c:
    denali->buf.dma buf =
       dma_map_single(&dev->dev, denali->buf.buf, DENALI_BUF_SIZE,
                       DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL);
    if (dma_mapping_error(&dev->dev, denali->buf.dma_buf)) ...
    pci_set_master(dev);
    ret = pci_request_regions(dev, DENALI_NAND_NAME);
```

Example: Missing error check

From arch/cris/arch-v32/mm/intmem.c

Our goals

- Automatically find code containing bugs or defects, or requiring collateral evolutions.
- Automatically fix bugs or defects, and perform collateral evolutions.
- Provide a system that is accessible to software developers.

Requirements for automation

The ability to abstract over irrelevant information:

• if (!dma_cntrl & DMA_START_BIT) { ... }: dma_cntrl is not important.

The ability to match scattered code fragments:

• kmalloc may be far from the first dereference.

The ability to transform code fragments:

Replace pci_map_single by dma_map_single, or vice versa.

Coccinelle

Program matching and transformation for unpreprocessed C code.

Fits with the existing habits of C programmers.

C-like, patch-like notation

Semantic patch language (SmPL):

- Metavariables for abstracting over subterms.
- "..." for abstracting over code sequences.
- Patch-like notation (-/+) for expressing transformations.

The !& problem

The problem: Combining a boolean (0/1) with a constant using & is usually meaningless:

```
if (!dma_cntrl & DMA_START_BIT) {
   BCMLOG(BCMLOG_DBG, "Already Stopped\n");
   return BC_STS_SUCCESS;
}
```

The solution: Add parentheses.

Our goal: Do so automatically for any expression E and constant C.

A semantic patch for the !& problem

```
@@
expression E;
constant C;
@@
- !E & C
+ !(E & C)
```

Two parts per rule:

- Metavariable declaration
- Transformation specification

A semantic patch can contain multiple rules.

Metavariable types

Surrounded by @@ @@.

- expression, statement, type, constant, local idexpression
- A type from the source program
- iterator, declarer, iterator name, declarer name, typedef

Transformation specification

- - in the leftmost column for something to remove
- + in the leftmost column for something to add
- * in the leftmost column for something of interest
 - Cannot be used with + and -.
- Spaces, newlines irrelevant.

Exercise 1

1. Create a file ex1.cocci containing the following:

```
@@
expression E;
constant C;
@@
- !E & C
+ !(E & C)
```

- Run spatch: spatch --sp-file ex1.cocci --dir linux-3.2/drivers/staging/crystalhd
- 3. Did your semantic patch do everything it should have?
- 4. Did it do something it should not have?

Exercise 2

Some code contains a cast on the result of kmalloc. For example:

```
info->RegsBuf = (unsigned char *)
kmalloc(sizeof(info->ATARegs), GFP_KERNEL);
```

If the destination of the returned value has pointer type, this cast is not needed.

1. Complete the following semantic patch to remove this unnecessary cast.

```
@@ expression * e; expression arg1, arg2; type T; @@
[fill it in]
```

- Test your semantic patch on the code in linux-3.2/drivers/isdn
- 3. Are you satisfied with the appearance of the results? If not, try to improve it.

Practical issues

To check that your semantic patch is valid:

```
spatch --parse-cocci mysp.cocci
```

To run your semantic patch:

```
spatch --sp-file mysp.cocci file.c
spatch --sp-file mysp.cocci --dir directory
```

To understand why your semantic patch didn't work:

```
spatch --sp-file mysp.cocci file.c --debug
```

If you don't need to include header files:

More practical issues

Put the interesting output in a file:

```
spatch ... > output.patch
Omit the uninteresting output:
    spatch --very-quiet ...
The source code:
     /usr/src/linux-source-3.2/scripts/coccinelle/
These slides:
    http://pagesperso-systeme.lip6.fr/Julia.Lawall/tutorial/
    part1.pdf
```

Inconsistent API usage

Do we need this function?

The use of pci_map_single

The code:

Issues:

- Change function name.
- Add field access to the first argument.
- Rename the fourth argument.

pci_map_single: Example and definitions

Commit b0eb57cb

```
- rbi->dma_addr = pci_map_single(adapter->pdev,
+ rbi->dma_addr = dma_map_single(
+ &adapter->pdev->dev,
    rbi->skb->data, rbi->len,
    PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE);
```

PCI constants

```
/* This defines the direction arg to the DMA mapping routines. */
#define PCI_DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL 0
#define PCI_DMA_TODEVICE 1
#define PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE 2
#define PCI_DMA_NONE 3
```

DMA constants

```
enum dma_data_direction {
    DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL = 0,
    DMA_TO_DEVICE = 1,
    DMA_FROM_DEVICE = 2,
    DMA_NONE = 3,
};
```

Outline of a semantic patch, including the patch example:

```
@@

@@

- rbi->dma_addr = pci_map_single(adapter->pdev,
+ rbi->dma_addr = dma_map_single(
+ &adapter->pdev->dev,
    rbi->skb->data, rbi->len,
    PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE);
```

Eliminate irrelevant code:

00

```
@@
- pci_map_single(adapter->pdev,
+ dma_map_single(
+     &adapter->pdev->dev,
     rbi->skb->data, rbi->len,
     PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE)
```

Abstract over subterms:

Rename the fourth argument:

pci_map_single: Second attempt

Need to consider all direction constants.

```
@@ expression E1,E2,E3; @@
- pci_map_single(E1,
+ dma_map_single(&E1->dev,
    E2, E3,
 PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE)
+ DMA FROM DEVICE)
@@ expression E1,E2,E3; @@
- pci_map_single(E1,
+ dma_map_single(&E1->dev,
    E2, E3,
PCI_DMA_TODEVICE)
    DMA_TO_DEVICE)
```

Etc. Four rules in all.

pci_map_single: Third attempt

Avoid code duplication: Use a disjunction.

```
@@ expression E1,E2,E3; @@
- pci_map_single(E1,
+ dma_map_single(&E1->dev,
    E2, E3,
     PCI_DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL
     DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL
     PCI_DMA_TODEVICE
     DMA_TO_DEVICE
     PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE
     DMA_FROM_DEVICE
     PCI_DMA_NONE
     DMA_NONE_DEVICE
```

```
00 expression E1,E2,E3,E4; 00
- pci_map_single(E1,
+ dma_map_single(&E1->dev,
     E2, E3, E4)
00 expression E1,E2,E3; 00
dma_map_single(E1, E2, E3,
     PCI_DMA_BIDIRECTIONAL
     DMA BIDIRECTIONAL
     PCI_DMA_TODEVICE
     DMA TO DEVICE
     PCI_DMA_FROMDEVICE
     DMA FROM DEVICE
     PCI DMA NONE
     DMA_NONE_DEVICE
```

Exercise 3

- 1. Implement some version of the semantic patch for converting calls to pci_map_single to calls to dma_map_single.
- Test your implementation on the directory linux-3.2/drivers/net/ethernet.
- Implement both the third version and the fourth version. Compare the results.
- 4. Other PCI functions replicate DMA behavior, e.g., pci_unmap_single. For example, commit b0eb57cb contains:

Extend your semantic patch to implement this transformation. Try to minimize the number of rules.

Getter and setter functions

Some functions from include/linux/ide.h:

```
static inline void *
ide_get_hwifdata (ide_hwif_t * hwif)
{
         return hwif->hwif_data;
}
static inline void
ide_set_hwifdata (ide_hwif_t * hwif, void *data)
{
         hwif->hwif_data = data;
}
```

Goal: Replace uses of hwif->hwif_data by calls to these function.

Getter and setter functions: First attempt

```
00
expression hwif;
00
- hwif->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(hwif)
00
expression hwif, data;
00
- hwif->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(hwif, data)
```

Problems

@@ expression hwif; @@

```
- hwif->hwif_data
  + ide_get_hwifdata(hwif)
• The rule applies to
     unsigned long base = (unsigned long)hwif->hwif_data;
  but also to
     hwif->hwif_data = NULL;
ide_get_hwifdata has prototype:
     static inline void *ide_get_hwifdata (ide_hwif_t * hwif);
  The rule transforms all hwif data field references.
```

Second attempt: Rule order

```
00
expression hwif, data;
00
- hwif->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(hwif, data)
00
expression hwif;
00
- hwif->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(hwif)
```

Applies to 9 code sites, in 2 files.

Third attempt: Metavariable type constraints

```
@@ ide_hwif_t *hwif; expression data; @@
- hwif->hwif_data = data
+ ide_set_hwifdata(hwif, data)

@@ ide_hwif_t *hwif; @@
- hwif->hwif_data
+ ide_get_hwifdata(hwif)
```

Can optionally add typedef ide_hwif_t; in the first rule.

- Typedef is needed when the type appears only in a cast.
- Typedef appears only once, where earliest needed.

Exercise 4

- Implement all three variants of the semantic patch for introducing the ide_get_hwifdata and ide_set_hwifdata getter and setter functions.
- 2. Test each variant on the directory linux-3.2/drivers/ide, and compare the results.
- 3. Reimplement each variant using a disjunction.
- 4. Compare the results of the disjunction variants to the original implementations.

Exercise 5

In the case of pci_map_single and dma_map_single, we could also prefer to convert PCI occurrences of dma_map_single to calls to pci_map_single (i.e., the reverse transformation).

- 1. Implement a semantic patch to do this transformation.
- 2. Test your semantic patch on the directory linux-3.2/drivers/net/ethernet.
- 3. Given the definition of pci_map_single in include/asm-generic/pci-dma-compat.h, why should the file ethernet/cadence/macb.c not be transformed?
- 4. Check that your semantic patch makes no modification in this file.

Summary

SmPL features seen so far:

- Metavariables for abstracting over arbitrary expressions.
- Metavariables restricted to particular types.
- Disjunctions.
- Multiple rules.
- Rule ordering.