



Introduction of Deep Reinforcement Learning (RL)

Hung-yi Lee

Supervised Learning → RL



It is challenging to label data in some tasks.

..... machine can know the results are good or not.

Outline

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)


Policy Gradient

Actor-Critic

Reward Shaping

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration


Machine Learning ≈ Looking for a Function




Example: Playing Video Game

- Space invader

Termination: all the aliens are killed, or your spaceship is destroyed.




Example: Playing Video Game




Example: Playing Video Game

Find an actor maximizing expected reward.



Example: Learning to play Go





Example: Learning to play Go


Find an actor maximizing expected reward.



Machine Learning is so simple



Step 1: Function with Unknown





- Input of neural network: the observation of machine represented as a vector or a matrix
- Output neural network : each action corresponds to a neuron in output layer

Step 2: Define “Loss”

Start with
observation s_1

Observation s_2

Observation s_3



Step 2: Define “Loss”

Start with
observation s_1



Observation s_2



Observation s_3



After many turns



Obtain reward r_T

Action a_T

This is an *episode*.

Total reward
(*return*):


$$R = \sum_{t=1}^T r_t$$

What we want
to maximize

Step 3: Optimization

Trajectory

$$\tau = \{s_1, a_1, s_2, a_2, \dots\}$$



How to do the optimization here is the main challenge in RL.

c.f. GAN

$$R(\tau) = \sum_{t=1}^T r_t$$

↑

Outline

To learn more about policy gradient:
<https://youtu.be/W8XF3ME8G2I>

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)

Policy Gradient


Actor-Critic

Reward Shaping

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration

How to control your actor

- Make it take (or don't take) a specific action \hat{a} given specific observation s .



$$L = e$$


Don't take action \hat{a}

$$L = -e$$


$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} L$$

How to control your actor

Take action \hat{a} given s



Don't take action \hat{a}' given s'



$$L = e_1 - e_2 \quad \theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} L$$

How to control your actor

Training Data

$\{s_1, \hat{a}_1\}$	+1	Yes
$\{s_2, \hat{a}_2\}$	-1	No
$\{s_3, \hat{a}_3\}$	+1	Yes
\vdots	\vdots	
$\{s_N, \hat{a}_N\}$	-1	No



$$L = +e_1 - e_2 + e_3 \cdots - e_N$$


$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} L$$

How to control your actor

Training Data

$\{s_1, \hat{a}_1\}$	A_1	+1.5
$\{s_2, \hat{a}_2\}$	A_2	-0.5
$\{s_3, \hat{a}_3\}$	A_3	+0.5
\vdots	\vdots	
$\{s_N, \hat{a}_N\}$	A_N	-10


? ?



$$L = \sum A_n e_n$$


$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} L$$

Version 0




Short-sighted Version!

Version 0



- An action affects the subsequent observations and thus subsequent rewards.
- *Reward delay*: Actor has to sacrifice immediate reward to gain more long-term reward.
- In space invader, only "fire" yields positive reward, so version 0 will learn an actor that always "fire".

Version 1



$$G_1 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_N$$

$$G_2 = r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_N$$

$$G_3 = r_3 + \dots + r_N$$

cumulated reward

Training Data

$$\{s_1, a_1\} \quad A_1 = G_1$$

$$\{s_2, a_2\} \quad A_2 = G_2$$


$$\{s_3, a_3\} \quad A_3 = G_3$$

\vdots

$$\{s_N, a_N\} \quad A_N = G_N$$

$$G_t = \sum_{n=t}^N r_n$$

Version 2



Also the credit of a_1 ?

$$G_1 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_N$$

$$G'_1 = r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots$$

Discount factor $\gamma < 1$

Training Data

$$\{s_1, a_1\} \quad A_1 = G'_1$$

$$\{s_2, a_2\} \quad A_2 = G'_2$$

$$\{s_3, a_3\} \quad A_3 = G'_3$$


\vdots

\vdots

$$\{s_N, a_N\} \quad A_N = G'_N$$

$$G'_t = \sum_{n=t}^N \gamma^{n-t} r_n$$

Version 3



Good or bad reward is “relative”

If all the $r_n \geq 10$

$r_n = 10$ is negative ...

Minus by a baseline b ???

Make G'_t have positive and negative values

Training Data

$$\{s_1, a_1\} \quad A_1 = G'_1 - b$$

$$\{s_2, a_2\} \quad A_2 = G'_2 - b$$

$$\{s_3, a_3\} \quad A_3 = G'_3 - b$$

\vdots

\vdots

$$\{s_N, a_N\} \quad A_N = G'_N - b$$

$$G'_t = \sum_{n=t}^N \gamma^{n-t} r_n$$

Policy Gradient


- Initialize actor network parameters θ^0
- For training iteration $i = 1$ to T

- Using actor θ^{i-1} to interact
- Obtain data $\{s_1, a_1\}, \{s_2, a_2\}, \dots, \{s_N, a_N\}$
- Compute A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N

- Compute loss L
- $\theta^i \leftarrow \theta^{i-1} - \eta \nabla L$

Data collection is in the “for loop” of training iterations.

Policy Gradient



Each time you update the model parameters, you need to collect the whole training set again.

Policy Gradient

- Initialize actor network parameters θ^0

- For training iteration $i = 1$ to T

- Using actor θ^{i-1} to interact
- Obtain data $\{s_1, a_1\}, \{s_2, a_2\}, \dots, \{s_N, a_N\}$
- Compute A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N

Experience of θ^{i-1}

- Compute loss L
- $\theta^i \leftarrow \theta^{i-1} - \eta \nabla L$

May not be good for θ^i



One man's meat is another man's poison.

棋魂第八集



※ 小馬步飛：跟將棋一樣，將棋子放在斜一格；大馬步飛則是放在斜好幾格。



棋魂第八集

要是大馬步飛有100手的話，小馬步飛就只有99手。

相對地，大馬步飛之下的下法會很複雜，出錯率也相對地提高。


因為小馬步飛的複雜比較容易推測，也不容易出錯。

之前走小馬步飛是對的。

Policy Gradient


- Initialize actor network parameters θ^0
- For training iteration $i = 1$ to T
 - Using actor θ^{i-1} to interact
 - Obtain data $\{s_1, a_1\}, \{s_2, a_2\}, \dots, \{s_N, a_N\}$
 - Compute A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N
 - Compute loss L
 - $\theta^i \leftarrow \theta^{i-1} - \eta \nabla L$

Trajectory of θ^{i-1}



On-policy v.s. Off-policy

- The **actor to train** and the **actor for interacting** is the same. → On-policy
- Can the **actor to train** and the **actor for interacting** be different? → Off-policy



In this way, we do not have to collection data after each update.


Off-policy → Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO)

- The **actor to train** has to know its difference from the **actor to interact**.

video:

<https://youtu.be/OAKAZhFmYol>

Not apply to
everyone




the actor to train

<https://disp.cc/b/115-bLHe>



the actor to interact

Collection Training Data: Exploration



The actor needs to have randomness during data collection.

A major reason why we sample actions. 😊

Suppose your actor always takes “left”.

We never know what would happen if taking “fire”.


DeepMind - PPO

<https://youtu.be/gn4nRCC9TwQ>



OpenAI - PPO

<https://blog.openai.com/openai-baselines-ppo/>



Outline

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)

Policy Gradient

Actor-Critic


Reward Shaping

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration

Critic

$$G'_1 = r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots$$

- Critic: Given actor θ , how good it is when observing s (and taking action a)
- Value function $V^\theta(s)$: When using actor θ , the discounted *cumulated* reward expects to be obtained after seeing s



The output values of a critic depend on the actor evaluated.


How to estimate $V^\theta(s)$

- **Monte-Carlo (MC) based approach**

The critic watches actor θ to interact with the environment.


After seeing s_a ,

Until the end of the episode,
the cumulated reward is G'_a



After seeing s_b ,

Until the end of the episode,
the cumulated reward is G'_b



How to estimate $V^\pi(s)$

- **Temporal-difference (TD) approach**


$\cdots s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1} \cdots$

(ignore the expectation here)

$$V^\theta(s_t) = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} \cdots$$

$$V^\theta(s_{t+1}) = r_{t+1} + \gamma r_{t+2} + \cdots$$

$$V^\theta(s_t) = \gamma V^\theta(s_{t+1}) + r_t$$



MC v.s. TD

[Sutton, v2,
Example 6.4]

- The critic has observed the following 8 episodes

- $s_a, r = 0, s_b, r = 0, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 1, \text{END}$

- $s_b, r = 0, \text{END}$

$$V^\theta(s_b) = 3/4$$

$$V^\theta(s_a) = ? \quad 0? \quad 3/4?$$

Monte-Carlo: $V^\theta(s_a) = 0$


Temporal-difference:

$$V^\theta(s_a) = V^\theta(s_b) + r$$

3/4 3/4 0

(Assume $\gamma = 1$, and the actions are ignored here.)


Version 3.5



Training Data

$\{s_1, a_1\}$	$A_1 = G'_1 - b$
$\{s_2, a_2\}$	$A_2 = G'_2 - b$
$\{s_3, a_3\}$	$A_3 = G'_3 - b$
\vdots	\vdots
$\{s_N, a_N\}$	$A_N = G'_N - b$

Version 3.5




Training Data

$\{s_1, a_1\}$	$A_1 = G'_1 - V^\theta(s_1)$
$\{s_2, a_2\}$	$A_2 = G'_2 - V^\theta(s_2)$
$\{s_3, a_3\}$	$A_3 = G'_3 - V^\theta(s_3)$
\vdots	\vdots
$\{s_N, a_N\}$	$A_N = G'_N - V^\theta(s_N)$

Version 3.5

$$\{s_t, a_t\} \quad A_t = G'_t - V^\theta(s_t)$$




(not necessary take a_t)

(You sample the actions based on
a distribution)

$$A_t > 0$$

a_t is better than average.



Just a sample

$$A_t < 0$$


a_t is worse than average.

Version 4

Advantage Actor-Critic


$$r_t + V^\theta(s_{t+1}) - V^\theta(s_t)$$

$$\{s_t, a_t\} \quad A_t = \cancel{G'_t - V^\theta(s_t)}$$



Tip of Actor-Critic

- The parameters of actor and critic can be shared.




Outlook: Deep Q Network (DQN)

Video:

https://youtu.be/o_g9JUMw1Oc

https://youtu.be/2-zGCx4iv_k

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.02298>



Outline

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)

Policy Gradient

Actor-Critic

Reward Shaping

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration


Sparse Reward

$$A_t = r_t + V^\theta(s_{t+1}) - V^\theta(s_t)$$

Training Data

s_1	s_2	s_3		s_N
a_1	a_2	a_3	a_N
r_1	r_2	r_3		r_N

$\{s_1, a_1\}$	A_1
$\{s_2, a_2\}$	A_2
$\{s_3, a_3\}$	A_3
\vdots	\vdots
$\{s_N, a_N\}$	A_N

If $r_t = 0$ in most cases  We don't know actions are good or bad.


e.g., robot arm to bolt on the screws

The developers define extra rewards to guide agents.

 ***reward shaping***

Reward Shaping

VizDoom <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Hk3mPK5gg¬eId=Hk3mPK5gg>






Visual Doom AI Competition @ CIG 2016
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=94EPSjQH38Y>

Reward Shaping

VizDoom <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Hk3mPK5gg¬eId=Hk3mPK5gg>

Parameters	Description	FlatMap	CIGTrack1
living	Penalize agent who just lives	-0.008 / action	
health_loss	Penalize health decrement	-0.05 / unit	
ammo_loss	Penalize ammunition decrement	-0.04 / unit	
health_pickup	Reward for medkit pickup	0.04 / unit	
ammo_pickup	Reward for ammunition pickup	0.15 / unit	
dist_penalty	Penalize the agent when it stays	-0.03 / action	
dist_reward	Reward the agent when it moves	9e-5 / unit distance	



<https://bair.berkeley.edu/blog/2017/12/20/reverse-curriculum/>

Reward Shaping - Curiosity

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05363>

Obtaining extra reward when the agent sees something new (but meaningful).

Curiosity Driven Exploration by Self-Supervised Prediction

ICML 2017

Deepak Pathak, Pulkit Agrawal, Alexei Efros, Trevor Darrell
UC Berkeley

Source of video: <https://pathak22.github.io/noreward-rl/>

Outline

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)

Policy Gradient

Actor-Critic

Reward Shaping

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration

Motivation

- Even define reward can be challenging in some tasks.
- Hand-crafted rewards can lead to uncontrolled behavior.




Three Laws of Robotics:

1. A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
2. A robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
3. A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Laws.




restraining individual human behavior and sacrificing some humans will ensure humanity's survival

Imitation Learning



Actor can interact with the environment, but reward function is not available

We have demonstration of the expert.



Each $\hat{\tau}$ is a trajectory of the expert.

Self driving: record human drivers

Robot: grab the arm of robot


Isn't it Supervised Learning?

- Self-driving cars as example

$$\hat{\tau} = \{s_1, \hat{a}_1, s_2, \hat{a}_2, \dots\}$$




forward



Problem: The experts only sample limited observation.

Yes, also known as
Behavior Cloning



More problem


The agent will copy every behavior, even irrelevant actions.



BANDICUT
Easy Video Cutter & Joiner
www.bandicam.com/bandicut

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j2FSB3bseek>


Inverse Reinforcement Learning




Inverse Reinforcement Learning

- Principle: *The teacher is always the best.*
- Basic idea:
 - Initialize an actor
 - In each iteration
 - The actor interacts with the environments to obtain some trajectories.
 - Define a reward function, which makes the trajectories of the teacher better than the actor.
 - The actor learns to maximize the reward based on the new reward function.
 - Output the reward function and the actor learned from the reward function


Framework of IRL



GAN




IRL



Robot

- How to teach robots? <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DEGbtjTOIB0>



Robot

Chelsea Finn, Sergey Levine, Pieter
Abbeel, Guided Cost Learning: Deep
Inverse Optimal Control via Policy
Optimization, ICML 2016 <http://dl.berkeley.edu/gcl/>

Guided Cost Learning: Deep Inverse Optimal Control via Policy Optimization

Chelsea Finn, Sergey Levine, Pieter Abbeel
UC Berkeley


To Learn More ...

Visual Reinforcement Learning with Imagined Goals, NIPS 2018

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.04742>

Skew-Fit: State-Covering Self-Supervised Reinforcement Learning, ICML 2020

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.03698>



Reinforcement learning with Imagined Goals (RIG)

Concluding Remarks

What is RL? (Three steps in ML)

Policy Gradient

Actor-Critic

Sparse Reward

No Reward: Learning from Demonstration