



Decision Trees and Random Forests

LAB 4: Decision Tree and Random Forests

- Principles of a supervised learning process
- Decision Trees
- Random Forests

Please send comment to (version 1.0 – January 31, 2017):

Romain BILLOT

romain.billot@telecom-bretagne.eu

Yannis HARALAMBOUS

yannis.haralambous@telecom-bretagne.eu

Philippe LENCA

philippe.lenca@telecom-bretagne.eu

Sorin MOGA

sorin.moga@telecom-bretagne.eu

1 Data presentation (as from Kaggle.com)

The sinking of the RMS Titanic is one of the most infamous shipwrecks in history. On April 15, 1912, during her maiden voyage, the Titanic sank after colliding with an iceberg, killing 1502 out of 2224 passengers and crew. This sensational tragedy shocked the international community and led to better safety regulations for ships.

One of the reasons that the shipwreck led to such loss of life was that there were not enough lifeboats for the passengers and crew. Although there was some element of luck involved in surviving the sinking, some groups of people were more likely to survive than others, such as women, children, and the upper-class.

In this challenge, we ask you to complete the analysis of what sorts of people were likely to survive. In particular, we ask you to apply the tools of machine learning to predict which passengers survived the tragedy.

–THE TITANIC DATASET–

SPECIAL NOTES:

Slot (not variable)	Meaning
transactionInfo	Data frame with vectors of the same length as the number of transactions
survival	Survival (0 = No; 1 = Yes)
pclass	Passenger Class (1 = 1st; 2 = 2nd; 3 = 3rd)
name	Name
sex	Sex
age	Age
sibsp	Number of Siblings/Spouses Aboard
parch	Number of Parents/Children Aboard
ticket	Ticket Number
fare	Passenger Fare
cabin	Cabin
embarked	Port of Embarkation (C = Cherbourg; Q = Queenstown; S = Southampton)
data	Binary incidence matrix that indicates which item labels appear in every transaction

Table 1: Structure of the titanic dataset

- Pclass is a proxy for socio-economic status (SES): 1st Upper; 2nd Middle; 3rd Lower;

- Age is in Years; Fractional if Age less than One (1). If the Age is Estimated, it is in the form xx.5

With respect to the family relation variables (i.e. `sibsp` and `parch`) some relations were ignored. The following are the definitions used for `sibsp` and `parch`.

- Sibling: Brother, Sister, Stepbrother, or Stepsister of Passenger Aboard Titanic,
- Spouse: Husband or Wife of Passenger Aboard Titanic (Mistresses and Fiances Ignored),
- Parent: Mother or Father of Passenger Aboard Titanic,
- Child: Son, Daughter, Stepson, or Stepdaughter of Passenger Aboard Titanic.

Other family relatives excluded from this study include cousins, nephews/nieces, aunts/uncles, and in-laws. Some children travelled only with a nanny, therefore `parch=0` for them. As well, some travelled with very close friends or neighbors in a village, however, the definitions do not support such relations.

Question 1

Load, understand and describe carefully the `train.csv` and `test.csv` files. Look at the number of people who survived. Create a new column in the test set that will contain your prediction that everyone dies

Question 2

Then we can analyze gender pattern. The disaster was famous for saving "women and children first", so let's take a look at the `Sex` and `Age` variables to see if any patterns are evident. We'll start with the gender of the passengers. After reloading the data into R, take a look at the summary of this variable. Build a cross table that shows the proportions of males and females crossed with the survival variable.

Question 3

Now we are going to work on the age. Look at the age distribution with descriptive statistics. Then create a new variable `Child` which takes one if the passenger is below 18 years old, 0 otherwise. Add this variable to the train dataset. Then create a table with both gender and age to see the survival proportions for different subsets (help: use the `aggregate` function)

Question 4

Next we focus on the `fare` which is a continuous variable that needs to be reduced to something that can be easily tabulated. Let's bin the fares into less than 10 dollars, between 10 and 20, 20 to 30 and more than 30 and store it to a new variable. Use the `aggregate` function again in order to highlight some relevant crossed proportions.

2 Decision Trees

In the first part of the lab, we have tried to find subsets of the passengers that were more, or less, likely to survive the disaster. To find more fine-grained subsets with predictive ability would require a lot of time to adjust our bin sizes and look at the interaction of many different variables. In this section, we are going to illustrate, step by step, the implementation of a decision tree algorithm. Decision trees have a number of advantages. They are what's known as a glass-box model, after the model has found the patterns in the data you can see exactly what decisions will be made for unseen data that you want to predict. They are also intuitive and can be read by people with little experience in machine learning after a brief explanation. Finally, they are the basis for some of the most powerful and popular machine learning algorithms. Conceptually, the algorithm starts with all of the data at the root node (drawn at the top) and scans all of the variables for the best one to split on. The way it measures this is to make the split on the variable that results in the most pure nodes below it, i.e with either the most 1's or the most 0's in the resulting buckets.

Question 5

Install or/and load the *rpart*, *rattle*, *rpart.plot*, *RColorBrewer* packages. Draw a first decision tree for only the gender variable *Sex* by using the *rpart*, and *fancyRpartPlot* functions.

Question 6

Build a more complex tree with a refined model composed of all possible variables. For that purpose, just change the model formula in the *rpart* function.

Question 7

From the tree previously built, make a prediction for the survival status of each passenger in the test dataset. Put the results into a dataframe and write it into a csv file as if you were preparing a submission to a machine learning contest.

3 Random Forests

Decision trees are faced with overfitting issues. To overcome these limitation, an ensemble method known as random forest is very popular in the machine learning community. The principle is to build a lot of different models, named weak classifiers, i.e an ensemble of simple decision trees, and let their outcomes be averaged or voted across the group. Before applying your first random forest algorithm, let's keep preparing the data with some feature engineering.

Question 8

Execute and understand the following code that makes some variable engineering in order to improve data quality and sense and improve the results. Execute BLOCK by BLOCK and spend some time to understand each BLOCK.

```
### BLOCK 1
test$Survived <- NA
combi <- rbind(train, test)
combi$Name <- as.character(combi$Name)

# BLOCK 2
combi$Title <- sapply(combi$Name, FUN=function(x) {strsplit(x, split='[,.]')[[1]][2]})
combi$Title <- sub(' ', '', combi$Title)
combi$Title[combi$Title %in% c('Mme', 'Mlle')] <- 'Mlle'
combi$Title[combi$Title %in% c('Capt', 'Don', 'Major', 'Sir')] <- 'Sir'
combi$Title[combi$Title %in% c('Dona', 'Lady', 'the Countess', 'Jonkheer')] <- 'Lady'
combi$Title <- factor(combi$Title)

# BLOCK 3
combi$FamilySize <- combi$SibSp + combi$Parch + 1

# BLOCK 4
combi$Surname <- sapply(combi$Name, FUN=function(x) {strsplit(x, split='[,.]')[[1]][1]})
combi$FamilyID <- paste(as.character(combi$FamilySize), combi$Surname, sep="")
combi$FamilyID[combi$FamilySize <= 2] <- 'Small'
famIDs <- data.frame(table(combi$FamilyID))
famIDs <- famIDs[famIDs$Freq <= 2,]
combi$FamilyID[combi$FamilyID %in% famIDs$Var1] <- 'Small'
combi$FamilyID <- factor(combi$FamilyID)

# BLOCK5
summary(combi$Age)
Agefit <- rpart(Age ~ Pclass + Sex + SibSp + Parch + Fare + Embarked + Title + FamilySize,
  data=combi[!is.na(combi$Age),], method="anova")
combi$Age[is.na(combi$Age)] <- predict(Agefit, combi[is.na(combi$Age),])
```

```

# BLOCK6
summary(combi)
summary(combi$Embarked)
which(combi$Embarked == '')
combi$Embarked[c(62,830)] = "S"
combi$Embarked <- factor(combi$Embarked)
summary(combi$Fare)
which(is.na(combi$Fare))
combi$Fare[1044] <- median(combi$Fare, na.rm=TRUE)

# BLOCK7
combi$FamilyID2 <- combi$FamilyID
combi$FamilyID2 <- as.character(combi$FamilyID2)
combi$FamilyID2[combi$FamilySize <= 3] <- 'Small'
combi$FamilyID2 <- factor(combi$FamilyID2)

# BLOCK8
train <- combi[1:891,]
test <- combi[892:1309,]

```

Question 9

After all this data preparation, you are ready to build your first random forest model with the *randomForest* function. Try to explain the same target (converted to a factor) with the variables *Pclass*, *Sex*, *Age*, *SibSp*, *Parch*, *Fare*, *Embarked*, *Title*, *FamilySize*, *FamilyID2*. Plot the importance of variables with the *varImpPlot* function.