## MAT157 Problem Set 8

## Nicolas Coballe

November 27, 2021

1.

Proof.

Because g is continuous and unbounded above and below, f-g is continuous and unbounded above and below. Thus, we can choose  $x_0$  such that  $(f-g)(x_0)=0$  (if we could not do this than there would have to be a infimum or supremum to  $(f-g)(\mathbb{R})$  which is a contradiction). Thus, if  $(f-g)(x_0)=0$  that means that  $f(x_0)-g(x_0)=0$ , meaning  $f(x_0)=g(x_0)$  as desired.

2. a)

Proof.

Because the equation of the tangent line for f at a is g(a) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a). f(2) = 8 and  $f'(x) = 3x^2$ ; thus, f'(2) = 12. Thus g(2) = f(2) + f'(2)(x - 2) = 8 + 12(x - 2) is the equation of the tangent line.

b)

Proof.

If 
$$f(x-3) = (x-2)^2$$
 then  $f(x) = ((x+3)-2)^2 = (x+1)^2 = x^2 + 2x + 1$ . Then  $f'(x) = 2x + 2$ , making  $f'(x^2 + 5) = 2(x^2 + 5) + 2 = 2x^2 + 12$ .

3.

Proof.

Let  $g_{a_0}(x) = \frac{1}{x-a_0}, \forall a_0, ..., a_n$ . Because these functions are all continuous (without their trivial holes), then the function  $f: \mathbb{R} - \{a_0, ..., a_n\}, \ f(x) = g_{a_0}(x) + \cdots + g_{a_n}(x)$  is continuous. Thus, if we take  $f|_{(-\infty,a_0)}. \ f|_{(-\infty,a_0)}(x) \neq 0, \ \forall x \in (-\infty,a_0)$ . This is because  $\frac{1}{x-y} < 0, \forall x < y$  and in each function  $g_{a_0}$ , and  $a_0$  is greater than x. Similarly we see that for  $f|_{(a_n,\infty)}, \ f|_{(a_n,\infty)}(x) > 0, \ \forall x \in (a_n,\infty)$ . Now consider any interval (c,d) where  $c = a_i$  and  $d = a_{i+1}, \ \forall i \in \{0,...,n-1\}$ . Now we will claim that  $f|_{(c,d)}$  is not bounded above or below.

Consider  $f|_{(c,d)}$ . Every  $g_{a_i}|_{(c,d)}(x)$  is bounded above and below for all  $a_i \geq d$  or  $a_i < c$  because  $g_{a_i}|_{(c,d)}((c,d))$  is bounded above by  $g_{a_i}(c)$  and below by  $g_{a_i}(d)$ . Thus, we know that  $f|_{(c,d)} = \sum_{i < c \text{ or } i \geq d} g_i|_{(c,d)} + g_c|_{(c,d)}$ . However, we know that  $\sum_{i < c \text{ or } i \geq d} g_i|_{(c,d)}$  is strictly decreasing and bounded on (c,d) and  $g_i|_{(c,d)}$  is strictly decreasing and not bounded above or below. Thus,  $f|_{(c,d)}$  is strictly decreasing and not bounded above or below. This means that  $f|_{(c,d)}$  is a bijection to  $\mathbb{R}$ , meaning that it has exactly one zero.

Thus we have shown that  $f|_{(c,d)}$  has exactly one zero. And there are n intervals of the form (c,d) for our set  $\{a_0,...,a_n\}$ , and we know that  $f|_{(-\infty,a_0)}$  and  $f|_{(a_n,\infty)}$  have no zeroes. Thus f has exactly n zeroes as desired.

Lemma 4.1: If  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and A has the Heine-Borel property, then f is uniformly continuous. (Heine-Cantor Theorem in the 1-dimensional Euclidean Metric).

## Proof.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta_{\alpha}$  be the  $\delta$  such that if  $0 < |x - \alpha| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(\alpha)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Because f is continuous, there exists a  $\delta_{\alpha} > 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in A$ . Consider the sets  $U_{\alpha} = (\alpha - \delta_{\alpha}, \alpha + \delta_{\alpha})$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in A$ . Notice that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$  covers A. Because A has the Heine-Borel property, then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$  has finite subcover,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$  with a corresponding finite set of  $\delta$ s,  $\Delta$ . Consider the set  $\Delta' = \{\frac{\delta}{2} : \delta \in \Delta\}$ 

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \min(\Delta')$ . If  $0 < |x - y| < \delta$  then there exists  $1 \le i \le n$  such that  $x \in U_i$ . Let  $x_i$  be the center of  $U_i$ , then  $|x - x_i| < \frac{\delta_i}{2}$ . Thus,  $|x_i - y| = |x_i + x - x - y| \le |x_i - x| + |x - y| \le \frac{\delta_i}{2} + \delta \le \delta_i$ . This implies that  $|y - x_i| \le \delta_i$ . Which means that  $|f(x_i) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $|f(x_i) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Thus,

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |\tilde{f}(x) - f(x_i)| + |f(x_i) - f(y)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$  as desired.

4. a)

Proof.

Because f is bounded than there exists  $M_f \in \mathbb{R} : |M_f| > |f(x)|, \ \forall x \in A$ . Similarly, there exists  $M_g \in \mathbb{R} : |M_g| > g(x), \ \forall x \in A$ . We can simply choose  $M_f$  and  $M_g$  such that they are non-zero. Let  $\delta_f$  be the  $\delta$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|M_g|}$  and let  $\delta_g$  be the  $\delta$  such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|M_f|}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min\{\delta_f, \delta_q\}$ . If  $0 < |x - y| < \delta$ , then:

$$\begin{split} |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| &= |f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y) + f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y)| + |f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)| \\ &= |f(x)||g(x) - g(y)| + |g(x)||f(x) - f(y)| \\ &< |M_f||g(x) - g(y)| + |M_g||f(x) - f(y)| \\ &< |M_f| \frac{\epsilon}{2|M_f|} + |M_g| \frac{\epsilon}{2|M_g|} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{split}$$

As desired.

b)

Proof.

fLet  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ . If  $0 < |x - y| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y| < \delta = \epsilon$  as desired.

Because g(x) = -g(x+1),  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then g(x) = g(x+2),  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus g is 2-periodic and we can describe every aspect of g by taking on  $g|_{[x,x+2]}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . However,  $g|_{[x,x+2]}$  is continuous and its domain has the Heine-Borel property because it is closed and bounded, thus it must be uniformly continuous by Lemma~4.1. Note for the next section because g is continuous and g(x) = -g(x+1), then there is some point in  $a \in (x,x+1)$  where there exists a  $\delta$ -interval around a such that  $f(a) - f(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in (x,x+1) - \{a\}$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} fg \\ \text{Consider for the sake of contradiction that } fg \text{ is uniformly continuous. Thus,} \\ \forall \epsilon > 0, \; \exists \delta > 0, \; \forall x,y \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < |x-y| < \delta \implies |xg(x) - yg(y)| < \epsilon. \\ \text{However, if fix } x \text{ and } y \text{ such that } x \neq y \text{ and } g(x) \neq g(y) \text{ we choose} \\ M \text{ sufficiently large such that } M = 2N, \; N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with} \\ M > \frac{\epsilon}{|g(y) - g(x)|} + \frac{|xg(y) - x(gx)|}{|g(y) - g(x)|} + \frac{|g(y)||x-y|}{|g(y) - g(x)|}. \\ |(x-M) - (y-M)| = |x-y+M-M| < \delta, \text{ but:} \\ |(x-M)g(x-M) - (y-M)g(y-M)| \\ = |(x-M)g(x-M) - (x-M)g(y-M)| - |(y-M)g(y-M) - (y-M)g(y-M)| \\ \geq |(x-M)g(x-M) - (x-M)g(y-M)| - |(y-M)g(y-M) - (x-M)g(y-M)| \\ = |x-M||g(x-M) - g(y-M)| - |g(y-M)||(y-M) - (x-M)| \\ = |x-M||g(x) - g(y)| - |g(y)||x-y| \\ = |xg(x) - xg(y) - Mg(x) + Mg(y)| - |g(y)||x-y| \\ \geq |Mg(y) - Mg(x)| - |xg(y) - xg(x)| - |g(y)||x-y| \\ = |M||g(y) - g(x)| - |xg(y) - xg(x)| - |g(y)||x-y| \\ > \epsilon \end{array}
```

This is a contradiction, thus fg is not uniformly continuous.

5. a)

Proof.

If we define  $g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R},\ g(x)=\begin{cases} f(x)\ \text{if}\ x\in(a,b]\\ \limsup_{x\to a^+}f(x)\ \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  Then because g is continuous on a closed interval, g is bounded. Because  $f=g|_{(a,b]},$  that means that f is also bounded.

b)

Proof.

Let  $\ell = \limsup_{x \to a^+} f(x)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , then