

! This class has been made inactive. No posts will be allowed until an instructor reactivates the class.

? question @22

stop following

16 views

Actions ▾

Chapter 9, Question 9

When using the for loop for this problem, if you iterate from

(for(k in 1:(2*n))

The summation returns 2n terms with the last term being an NA Is there an issue with the updated formula you gave us, sense z is supposed to return 2n numeric terms? This issue is also happening when I use the vector based program.

Is anyone else having this issue? Or are we supposed to receive 2n-1 terms?

hw3

Edit good question | 0

Updated 4 years ago by Bridget McGowan

S the students' answer, where students collectively construct a single answer

Actions ▾

I also have this issue

--

I see where this issue comes from; it seems that $Z_{2n} = \sum_{i=\max\{1, 2n-n+1\}}^{\min\{2n, n\}} = \sum_{i=n+1}^n$ and the upper bound n would be less than the lower bound $n + 1$. Unless the intended purpose was so that $\sum_{i=n+1}^n = -\sum_{i=n}^{n+1}$ for some reason? Then $-\sum_{i=n}^{n+1} x_i \cdot y_{2n-i+1} = -(x_n \cdot y_{n+1} + x_{n+1} \cdot y_n)$, but I feel that that's wrong.

Edit: Upon further inspection, I suspect the sum could be $z_k = \sum_{i=\max\{1, k-n\}}^{\min\{k, n\}} x_i \cdot y_{k-i}$ (what's written in the book) with y_0 defined as 0, or $z_k = \sum_{i=\max\{1, k-n\}}^{\min\{k, n\}} x_i \cdot y_{k-i+1}$ with y_{n+1} defined as 0 (only needed for Z_{2n}). Or the problem is written poorly.

Edit thanks! | 0

Updated 4 years ago by Charles Hwang and Hannah Butler

followup discussions for lingering questions and comments

Start a new followup discussion

Compose a new followup discussion