Detecting Causal Structure in Time Series Data

Lawrence Tray Ioannis Kontoyiannis

January 11, 2021

Abstract

1 Introduction

2 Hypothesis Testing

2.1 Single Sample against known mean

We start with the simple case of determining whether or not a coin is fair. Each coin flip can be represented by a random variable with a Bernoulli distribution $X_i \sim Bern(p)$. Each coin can result in either a Tails or a Heads denoted by $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$. We toss the coin n times leading us to a set $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We wish to test the null hypothesis $p = p_0$ against the alternative. We shall keep the p_0 notation for generality, though for a fair coin we require $p_0 = 1/2$.

 $H_0: p = p_0$ $H_1: p \neq p_0$

We denote the number of heads with the random variable $K := \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim Bern(p, n)$. For a particular experiment we observe K = k. We employ a standard likelihood ratio test. The test statistic t_n is calculated as the log-likelihood ratio of observing K = k under H_1 and H_0 .

$$t_n := \log \frac{\mathcal{L}(H_1)}{\mathcal{L}(H_0)} = \log \frac{\max_p P(K = k|p)}{P(K = k|p = p_0)} \tag{1}$$

We note that since K is distributed as a binomial, $P(K = k|p) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$. If we can vary p, this probability is maximised for $p = \hat{p} := k/n$. Therefore, the test statistic is given by.

$$t_n = \log \frac{\binom{n}{k} \hat{p}^k (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k}}{\binom{n}{k} p_0^k (1 - p_0)^{n-k}} = \log \frac{\hat{p}^k (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k}}{p_0^k (1 - p_0)^{n-k}}$$
(2)

The combinatoric term $\binom{n}{k}$ cancels out. This implies that the order in which the heads land does not matter when determining the fairness of the coin. We can work the above expression into a more usable form:

$$t_{n} = k \log \frac{\hat{p}}{p_{0}} + (n - k) \log \frac{1 - \hat{p}}{1 - p_{0}}$$

$$= n \left(\hat{p} \log \frac{\hat{p}}{p_{0}} + (1 - \hat{p}) \log \frac{1 - \hat{p}}{1 - p_{0}} \right)$$

$$= n \mathcal{D} \left(Bern(\hat{p}) || Bern(p_{0}) \right)$$
(3)

Where $\mathcal{D}(f|g) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between two arbitrary probability mass functions f and g. This is also called the relative entropy. The KL divergence has the property that:

$$\mathcal{D}(f||g) \ge 0$$
 with equality iff $f(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ (4)

We can exploit this result for the case that $f \approx g$ to obtain a simplified expression for the KL divergence. We begin by defining $\delta(x) := f(x) - g(x)$. We are interested in the region where δ is small. We start by substituting for $f = \delta + g$ and

then taking the Taylor expansion of $\log 1 + x$.

$$\mathcal{D}(f||g) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\delta + g) \log \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{g} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\delta + g) \left(\frac{\delta}{g} - \frac{\delta^2}{2g^2} + O(\delta^3) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \delta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\delta^2}{g} + O(\delta^3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\delta^2}{g} + O(\delta^3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(f||g) + O(\delta^3)$$

Where the summation over δ evaluates to 0 because δ is the difference of two valid p.m.f's which each sum to 1 over $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We are able to neglect the $O(\delta^3)$ terms for f very close to g we shall see what this means later. $\chi^2(f||g)$ is known as the chi-squared distance between two distributions and is defined simply as $\chi^2(f||g) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f-g)^2/g$. We now investigate the chi-squared divergence for f = Bern(p) and g = Bern(q).

$$\chi^{2}(Bern(p)||Bern(q)) = \frac{(p-q)^{2}}{q} + \frac{((1-p) - (1-q))^{2}}{1-q}$$
$$= \frac{(p-q)^{2}}{q(1-q)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{p-q}{\sqrt{q(1-q)}}\right)^{2}$$

Now we can exploit these results to get a workable expression for the test statistic t_n .

$$\begin{split} t_n &= n\mathcal{D}\left(Bern(\hat{p})||Bern(p_0)\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{2}\chi^2\left(Bern(\hat{p})||Bern(p_0)\right) + nO(\delta^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}\right)^2 + \epsilon \end{split}$$

So far we have been treating t_n as deterministic but it is merely an observation a random variable. To make this distinction clear we shall use upper-case to refer to random variables. Therefore, we have that the random variable T_n is a function of $\hat{P} := K/n$. For large n, we can find the distribution of \hat{P} by the Central Limit Theorem.

$$\hat{P} = \frac{K}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$$

$$H_{0} : \mathbb{E}[X_{i}] = p_{0}, Var(X_{i}) = p_{0}(1 - p_{0})$$
CLT, as $n \to \infty : \hat{P} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mu = p_{0}, \sigma^{2} = p_{0}(1 - p_{0})/n \right)$

$$\therefore \frac{\hat{P} - p_{0}}{\sqrt{p_{0}(1 - p_{0})/n}} = Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^{2} = 1)$$

Therefore neglecting the error term ϵ^1 , we have that under H_0 , for sufficiently large n.

$$T_n = \frac{1}{2}Z^2 \sim \frac{1}{2}\chi_1^2 \tag{5}$$

By the definition of the chi-squared distribution with one degree of freedom. To reject the null hypothesis H_0 at the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence level, we require that $P(T_n \ge t_n|H_0) < \alpha$. In other words, a low probability of observing this result under the null hypothesis.

¹See the Appendix for proof of negligibility

2.2 Two samples equality of means

We now complicate things by introducing a second coin, with throws denoted by $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^m$ where we assume each throw is i.i.d Bernoulli with parameter q ($Y_i \sim Bern(q)$). Note that the population sizes n and m may be different. We define $L := \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i$ which is the analogue of K. We now set up our hypotheses to be:

$$H_0: p=q$$

 $H_1: p \neq q$

Proceeding as before we can derive a formula for the test statistic. This time we denote the test statistic by t_N where N := n + m.

$$t_{N} := \log \frac{\mathcal{L}(H_{1})}{\mathcal{L}(H_{0})} = \log \frac{\max_{p,q} P(K = k|p)P(L = l|q)}{\max_{p} P(K = k|p)P(L = l|q = p)}$$

$$= \log \frac{\binom{n}{k} \hat{p}^{k} (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k} \binom{m}{l} \hat{q}^{k} (1 - \hat{q})^{m-l}}{\binom{n}{k} \hat{r}^{k} (1 - \hat{r})^{n-k} \binom{m}{l} \hat{r}^{l} (1 - \hat{r})^{m-l}}$$

$$= \log \frac{\hat{p}^{k} (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k}}{\hat{r}^{k} (1 - \hat{r})^{n-k}} + \log \frac{\hat{q}^{k} (1 - \hat{q})^{m-l}}{\hat{r}^{l} (1 - \hat{r})^{m-l}}$$

Where, $\hat{p} := k/n$, $\hat{q} := l/m$, $\hat{r} := (k+l)/(n+m) = (n\hat{p} + m\hat{q})/(n+m)$. Using the same tricks as before, we can express this in terms of the chi-squared distance between the various parameters:

$$\begin{split} t_N &= n\mathcal{D}\left(Bern(\hat{p})||Bern(\hat{r})\right) + m\mathcal{D}\left(Bern(\hat{q})||Bern(\hat{r})\right) \\ &\approx \frac{n}{2}\chi^2\left(Bern(\hat{p})||Bern(\hat{r})\right) + \frac{m}{2}\chi^2\left(Bern(\hat{q})||Bern(\hat{r})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\hat{r}(1-\hat{r})}\left(n(\hat{p}-\hat{r})^2 + m(\hat{q}-\hat{r})^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\hat{r}(1-\hat{r})}\left(n\left(\frac{m(\hat{p}-\hat{q})}{n+m}\right)^2 + m\left(\frac{n(\hat{q}-\hat{p})}{n+m}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{nm(\hat{p}-\hat{q})^2}{2\hat{r}(1-\hat{r})(n+m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(\hat{p}-\hat{q})}{\sqrt{\hat{r}(1-\hat{r})(1/n+1/m)}}\right)^2 \end{split}$$

Under the null hypothesis H_0 , we require $p = q(= \mu)$; we introduce this third variable μ to refer to the true mean to avoid ambiguity. Applying the central limit theorem (for sufficiently large n and m) and combining Gaussians in the standard way, we have that:

$$\hat{P} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{n}\right)$$

$$\hat{Q} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{m}\right)$$

$$\hat{R} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{n+m}\right)$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{\frac{nm}{\mu(1-\mu)(n+m)}} (\hat{P} - \hat{Q}) = Z \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, 1\right)$$

$$\delta \hat{R} \coloneqq \hat{R} - \mu \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{n+m}\right)$$

We almost have T_n we just need to demonstrate that $\hat{R}(1-\hat{R})$ is sufficiently close to $\mu(1-\mu)$ for our purposes.

$$\hat{R}(1-\hat{R}) = (\mu + \delta \hat{R})(1 - (\mu + \delta \hat{R}))$$

$$= \mu(1-\mu) + O(\delta \hat{R})$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{\hat{R}(1-\hat{R})} = \frac{1}{\mu(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{1}{1+O(\delta \hat{R})}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu(1-\mu)} (1+O(\delta \hat{R}))$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\mu(1-\mu)}$$

We can neglect the terms of order $\delta \hat{R}$ and higher powers, as it is zero mean and for sufficiently large n+m the variance approaches 0. Therefore, we have the desired expression for T_N .

$$T_N \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{nm}{\mu(1-\mu)(n+m)}} (\hat{P} - \hat{Q}) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} \chi_1^2$$
 (6)

For this test we can also use the z-statistic instead of the t-statistic. Since the former is distributed like a Gaussian it may be easier to deal with.

$$z_N = \frac{\hat{p} - \hat{q}}{\sqrt{\hat{r}(1 - \hat{r})(1/n + 1/m)}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
 (7)

3 ABP

ABP(r, T) on a graph G with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E = E(G)

1. Initialise messages for (v, v'):

$$y_{v' \to v}^{(0)} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

2. Iterate for $1 \le t \le T$ and for $(v, v') \in E$:

compute average
$$s^{(t-1)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2|E|} \sum_{(v,v') \in E} y_{v' \to v}^{(t-1)}$$

recentre messages
$$z_{v' \rightarrow v}^{(t-1)} \leftarrow y_{v' \rightarrow v}^{(t-1)} - s^{(t-1)}$$

sum incoming
$$y_{v' \to v}^{(t)} \leftarrow \sum_{(v',v'') \in E \setminus \{v\}} z_{v'' \to v'}^{(t-1)}$$

if $(v''' \to v \to v')$ on cycle of length $r' \le r$ then correct:

$$y_{v' \rightarrow v}^{(t)} \leftarrow y_{v' \rightarrow v}^{(t)} - \sum_{(v,v'') \in E \backslash \{v',v'''\}} z_{v'' \rightarrow v'}^{(t-r')}$$

3. Assignment, for all $v \in V$:

Sum incoming
$$y_v^{(T)} = \sum_{(v,v') \in E} y_{v' \to v}^{(t)}$$

Assign labels $\sigma_v = 1$ if $y_v^{(T)} > 0$ and 0 otherwise

4 Appendix

4.1 Proving H.O.T can be neglected

We have shown that under the null hypothesis, that for sufficiently large n, $(\hat{P} - p_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta/n)$ for some positive finite constant β (in this case $\beta = p_0(1 - p_0)$ but we just require finiteness for this proof). Therefore, $Q := \sqrt{n}(\hat{P} - p_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta)$. Manipulating our expression for the error term ϵ we can show that it can be expressed as a sum of

$$\epsilon = nO(\delta^{3})$$

$$\therefore |\epsilon| \leq n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} |\hat{P} - p_{0}|^{3+i} \quad \text{for some finite constants } \alpha_{i} \geq 0$$

$$|\epsilon| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} n^{-\frac{1+i}{2}} (\sqrt{n} |\hat{P} - p_{0}|)^{3+i}$$

$$|\epsilon| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} n^{-\frac{1+i}{2}} |Q|^{3+i}$$
(8)

We know that Q is a Gaussian of zero mean and finite variance, therefore |Q| will be a finite value. However, we see that it is scaled by a negative power of n, therefore for sufficiently large n we have that the error term asymptotes to 0. To be precise:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\epsilon| < \eta) = 1 \text{ for arbitrarily small } \eta \ge 0$$
(9)