

# Detecting Causal Structure in Time Series Data

Lawrence Tray  
Ioannis Kontoyiannis

October 22, 2020

## Abstract

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Hypothesis Testing

### 2.1 Single Sample against known mean

We start with the simple case of determining whether or not a coin is fair. Each coin flip can be represented by a random variable with a Bernoulli distribution  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ . Each coin can result in either a Tails or a Heads denoted by  $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . We toss the coin  $n$  times leading us to a set  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . We wish to test the null hypothesis  $p = p_0$  against the alternative. We shall keep the  $p_0$  notation for generality, though for a fair coin we require  $p_0 = 1/2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : & \quad p = p_0 \\ H_1 : & \quad p \neq p_0 \end{aligned}$$

We denote the number of heads with the random variable  $K := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p, n)$ . For a particular experiment we observe  $K = k$ . We employ a standard likelihood ratio test. The test statistic  $t_n$  is calculated as the log-likelihood ratio of observing  $K = k$  under  $H_1$  and  $H_0$ .

$$t_n := \log \frac{\mathcal{L}(H_1)}{\mathcal{L}(H_0)} = \log \frac{\max_p P(K = k|p)}{P(K = k|p = p_0)} \quad (1)$$

We note that since  $K$  is distributed as a binomial,  $P(K = k|p) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ . If we can vary  $p$ , this probability is maximised for  $p = \hat{p} := k/n$ . Therefore, the test statistic is given by.

$$t_n = \log \frac{\binom{n}{k} \hat{p}^k (1-\hat{p})^{n-k}}{\binom{n}{k} p_0^k (1-p_0)^{n-k}} = \log \frac{\hat{p}^k (1-\hat{p})^{n-k}}{p_0^k (1-p_0)^{n-k}} \quad (2)$$

The combinatoric term  $\binom{n}{k}$  cancels out. This implies that the order in which the heads land does not matter when determining the fairness of the coin. We can work the above expression into a more usable form:

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= k \log \frac{\hat{p}}{p_0} + (n-k) \log \frac{1-\hat{p}}{1-p_0} \\ &= n \left( \hat{p} \log \frac{\hat{p}}{p_0} + (1-\hat{p}) \log \frac{1-\hat{p}}{1-p_0} \right) \\ &= n \mathcal{D}(\text{Bern}(\hat{p}) || \text{Bern}(p_0)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where  $\mathcal{D}(f||g) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between two arbitrary probability mass functions  $f$  and  $g$ . This is also called the relative entropy. The KL divergence has the property that:

$$\mathcal{D}(f||g) \geq 0 \quad \text{with equality iff} \quad f(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \quad (4)$$

We can exploit this result for the case that  $f \approx g$  to obtain a simplified expression for the KL divergence. We begin by defining  $\delta(x) := f(x) - g(x)$ . We are interested in the region where  $\delta$  is small. We start by substituting for  $f = \delta + g$  and

then taking the Taylor expansion of  $\log 1 + x$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(f||g) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\delta + g) \log \left( 1 + \frac{\delta}{g} \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\delta + g) \left( \frac{\delta}{g} - \frac{\delta^2}{2g^2} + O(\delta^3) \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \delta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\delta^2}{g} + O(\delta^3) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\delta^2}{g} + O(\delta^3) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(f||g) + O(\delta^3)
\end{aligned}$$

Where the summation over  $\delta$  evaluates to 0 because  $\delta$  is the difference of two valid p.m.f's which each sum to 1 over  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . We are able to neglect the  $O(\delta^3)$  terms for  $f$  very close to  $g$  we shall see what this means later.  $\chi^2(f||g)$  is known as the chi-squared distance between two distributions and is defined simply as  $\chi^2(f||g) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f - g)^2 / g$ . We now investigate the chi-squared divergence for  $f = \text{Bern}(p)$  and  $g = \text{Bern}(q)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^2(\text{Bern}(p)||\text{Bern}(q)) &= \frac{(p - q)^2}{q} + \frac{((1 - p) - (1 - q))^2}{1 - q} \\
&= \frac{(p - q)^2}{q(1 - q)} \\
&= \left( \frac{p - q}{\sqrt{q(1 - q)}} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can exploit these results to get a workable expression for the test statistic  $t_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
t_n &= n\mathcal{D}(\text{Bern}(\hat{p})||\text{Bern}(p_0)) \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \chi^2(\text{Bern}(\hat{p})||\text{Bern}(p_0)) + nO(\delta^3) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}} \right)^2 + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

So far we have been treating  $t_n$  as deterministic but it is merely an observation a random variable. To make this distinction clear we shall use upper-case to refer to random variables. Therefore, we have that the random variable  $T_n$  is a function of  $\hat{P} := K/n$ . For large  $n$ , we can find the distribution of  $\hat{P}$  by the Central Limit Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P} &= \frac{K}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \\
H_0 : \mathbb{E}[X_i] &= p_0, \text{Var}(X_i) = p_0(1 - p_0) \\
\text{CLT, as } n \rightarrow \infty : \hat{P} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu = p_0, \sigma^2 = p_0(1 - p_0)/n) \\
\therefore \frac{\hat{P} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}} &= Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore neglecting the error term  $\epsilon^1$ , we have that under  $H_0$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ .

$$T_n = \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} \chi_1^2 \quad (5)$$

By the definition of the chi-squared distribution with one degree of freedom. To reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$  at the  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence level, we require that  $P(T_n = t_n | H_0) < \alpha$ . In other words, a low probability of observing this result under the null hypothesis.

---

<sup>1</sup>See the Appendix for proof of negligibility

## 2.2 Two samples equality of means

We now complicate things by introducing a second coin, with throws denoted by  $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^m$  where we assume each throw is i.i.d Bernoulli with parameter  $q$  ( $Y_i \sim \text{Bern}(q)$ ). Note that the population sizes  $n$  and  $m$  may be different. We define  $L := \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i$  which is the analogue of  $K$ . We now set up our hypotheses to be:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : & \quad p = q \\ H_1 : & \quad p \neq q \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as before we can derive a formula for the test statistic. This time we denote the test statistic by  $t_N$  where  $N := n + m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} t_N &:= \log \frac{\mathcal{L}(H_1)}{\mathcal{L}(H_0)} = \log \frac{\max_{p,q} P(K = k|p)P(L = l|q)}{\max_p P(K = k|p)P(L = l|q = p)} \\ &= \log \frac{\binom{n}{k} \hat{p}^k (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k} \binom{m}{l} \hat{q}^l (1 - \hat{q})^{m-l}}{\binom{n}{k} \hat{r}^k (1 - \hat{r})^{n-k} \binom{m}{l} \hat{r}^l (1 - \hat{r})^{m-l}} \\ &= \log \frac{\hat{p}^k (1 - \hat{p})^{n-k}}{\hat{r}^k (1 - \hat{r})^{n-k}} + \log \frac{\hat{q}^l (1 - \hat{q})^{m-l}}{\hat{r}^l (1 - \hat{r})^{m-l}} \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $\hat{p} := k/n, \hat{q} := l/m, \hat{r} := (k + l)/(n + m) = (n\hat{p} + m\hat{q})/(n + m)$ . Using the same tricks as before, we can express this in terms of the chi-squared distance between the various parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} t_N &= \frac{n}{2} \chi^2(\text{Bern}(\hat{p}||\hat{r})) + \frac{m}{2} \chi^2(\text{Bern}(\hat{q}||\hat{r})) + \epsilon \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2\hat{r}(1 - \hat{r})} (n(\hat{p} - \hat{r})^2 + m(\hat{q} - \hat{r})^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\hat{r}(1 - \hat{r})} \left( n \left( \frac{m(\hat{p} - \hat{q})}{n + m} \right)^2 + m \left( \frac{n(\hat{q} - \hat{p})}{n + m} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{nm(\hat{p} - \hat{q})^2}{2\hat{r}(1 - \hat{r})(n + m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{nm}{\hat{r}(1 - \hat{r})(n + m)}} (\hat{p} - \hat{q}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Under the null hypothesis  $H_0$ , we require  $p = q (= \mu)$ ; we introduce this third variable  $\mu$  to refer to the true mean to avoid ambiguity. Applying the central limit theorem (for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $m$ ) and combining Gaussians in the standard way, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1 - \mu)}{n}\right) \\ \hat{Q} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1 - \mu)}{m}\right) \\ \hat{R} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\mu(1 - \mu)}{n + m}\right) \\ \therefore \sqrt{\frac{nm}{\mu(1 - \mu)(n + m)}} (\hat{P} - \hat{Q}) &= Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\ \delta \hat{R} := \hat{R} - \mu &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mu(1 - \mu)}{n + m}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We almost have  $T_n$  we just need to demonstrate that  $\hat{R}(1 - \hat{R})$  is sufficiently close to  $\mu(1 - \mu)$  for our purposes.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(1 - \hat{R}) &= (\mu + \delta \hat{R})(1 - (\mu + \delta \hat{R})) \\ &= \mu(1 - \mu) + O(\delta \hat{R}) \\ \therefore \frac{1}{\hat{R}(1 - \hat{R})} &= \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \mu)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + O(\delta \hat{R})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \mu)} (1 + O(\delta \hat{R})) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \mu)} \end{aligned}$$

We can neglect the terms of order  $\delta \hat{R}$  and higher powers, as it is zero mean and for sufficiently large  $n + m$  the variance approaches 0. Therefore, we have the desired expression for  $T_N$ .

$$T_N \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{nm}{\mu(1-\mu)(n+m)}} (\hat{P} - \hat{Q}) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} \chi_1^2 \quad (6)$$

For this test we can also use the z-statistic instead of the t-statistic. Since the former is distributed like a Gaussian it may be easier to deal with.

$$z_N = \frac{\hat{p} - \hat{q}}{\sqrt{\hat{r}(1-\hat{r})(1/n + 1/m)}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (7)$$

### 3 Appendix

#### 3.1 Proving H.O.T can be neglected

We have shown that under the null hypothesis, that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $(\hat{P} - p_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta/n)$  for some positive finite constant  $\beta$  (in this case  $\beta = p_0(1 - p_0)$  but we just require finiteness for this proof). Therefore,  $Q := \sqrt{n}(\hat{P} - p_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta)$ . Manipulating our expression for the error term  $\epsilon$  we can show that it can be expressed as a sum of

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= nO(\delta^3) \\ \therefore |\epsilon| &\leq n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i |\hat{P} - p_0|^{3+i} \quad \text{for some finite constants } \alpha_i \geq 0 \\ |\epsilon| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i n^{-\frac{1+i}{2}} (\sqrt{n} |\hat{P} - p_0|)^{3+i} \\ |\epsilon| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i n^{-\frac{1+i}{2}} |Q|^{3+i} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We know that  $Q$  is a Gaussian of zero mean and finite variance, therefore  $|Q|$  will be a finite value. However, we see that it is scaled by a negative power of  $n$ , therefore for sufficiently large  $n$  we have that the error term asymptotes to 0. To be precise:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\epsilon| < \eta) = 1 \text{ for arbitrarily small } \eta \geq 0 \quad (9)$$