

# Unit 10 Biostatistics Recitation

Ryan Wei

2023-07-07

## Problem 4

You decide to conduct a case-control study of your own to test if smoking status during pregnancy (1 = never, 2 = past, 3 = current) is associated with infant mortality (0 = did not die within one year of birth, 1 = died within one year of birth).

## Problem 4a

- ▶ Should you use linear or logistic regression to answer this question? Explain your reasoning.

## Problem 4a

- ▶ Should you use linear or logistic regression to answer this question? Explain your reasoning.
- ▶ Binary outcome → Logistic regression

## Problem 4b

```
. logistic mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

```
Logistic regression              Number of obs   =      120
                                LR chi2(2)       =       8.96
                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822      Pseudo R2      =     0.0538
```

mortality	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	2.284615	1.045482	1.81	0.071	.9317246	5.601942
3	3.994083	1.919169	2.88	0.004	1.557443	10.24288
_cons	.4814815	.1625384	-2.17	0.030	.2484455	.9330997

Note: \_cons estimates baseline odds.

```
. logit mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

```
Logistic regression              Number of obs   =      120
                                LR chi2(2)       =       8.96
                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822      Pseudo R2      =     0.0538
```

mortality	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	.8261977	.4576185	1.81	0.071	-.070718	1.723113
3	1.384814	.4805031	2.88	0.004	.4430453	2.326583
_cons	-.7308875	.3375798	-2.17	0.030	-1.392532	-.0692433

- What is the difference between the two outputs above and how are they related?

## Problem 4b: Answer

- ▶ The first output provides the **odds ratios** comparing the odds of infant mortality in each group with the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were never smokers at the time of pregnancy.

## Problem 4b: Answer

- ▶ The first output provides the **odds ratios** comparing the odds of infant mortality in each group with the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were never smokers at the time of pregnancy.
- ▶ The second output provides the **coefficients** used in the regression equation.

## Problem 4b: Answer

- ▶ The first output provides the **odds ratios** comparing the odds of infant mortality in each group with the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were never smokers at the time of pregnancy.
- ▶ The second output provides the **coefficients** used in the regression equation.
- ▶ The odds ratios can be calculated by exponentiating the coefficients:  $e^{\hat{\beta}_2} = \widehat{OR}_2$ .
- ▶ The coefficients can be calculated by taking the natural log of the odds ratios  $\hat{\beta}_2 = \ln(\widehat{OR}_2)$ .

```
exp(1.384814);log(2.284615)
```

```
## [1] 3.994083
```

```
## [1] 0.8261975
```



## Problem 4c

Write the fitted regression model. Be sure to define your variables.

```
. logistic mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

Logistic regression	Number of obs	=	120
	LR chi2(2)	=	8.96
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822	Pseudo R2	=	0.0538

mortality	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	2.284615	1.045482	1.81	0.071	.9317246	5.601942
3	3.994083	1.919169	2.88	0.004	1.557443	10.24288
_cons	.4814815	.1625384	-2.17	0.030	.2484455	.9330997

Note: \_cons estimates baseline odds.

```
. logit mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

Logistic regression	Number of obs	=	120
	LR chi2(2)	=	8.96
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822	Pseudo R2	=	0.0538

mortality	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	.8261977	.4576185	1.81	0.071	-.070718	1.723113
3	1.384814	.4805031	2.88	0.004	.4430453	2.326583
_cons	-.7308875	.3375798	-2.17	0.030	-1.392532	-.0692433

## Problem 4c: Answer

- ▶ Model:  $\text{logit}(\hat{p}) = \ln\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{1-\hat{p}}\right) = -0.73 + 0.83x_2 + 1.38x_3$ 
  - ▶  $x_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if past smoker} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
  - ▶  $x_3 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if current smoker} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
  - ▶  $p$  = the estimated probability of death within the first year of birth

## Problem 4d

Based on what you know about the study, state which values of the first column in the Stata output (i.e. Odds Ratio/Coef.) are interpretable and interpret those values.

```
. logistic mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

Logistic regression	Number of obs	=	120
	LR chi2(2)	=	8.96
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822	Pseudo R2	=	0.0538

mortality	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	2.284615	1.045482	1.81	0.071	.9317246	5.601942
3	3.994083	1.919169	2.88	0.004	1.557443	10.24288
_cons	.4814815	.1625384	-2.17	0.030	.2484455	.9330997

Note: \_cons estimates baseline odds.

```
. logit mortality i.smoking, nolog
```

Logistic regression	Number of obs	=	120
	LR chi2(2)	=	8.96
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0114
Log likelihood = -78.699822	Pseudo R2	=	0.0538

mortality	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
smoking						
2	.8261977	.4576185	1.81	0.071	-.070718	1.723113
3	1.384814	.4805031	2.88	0.004	.4430453	2.326583
_cons	-.7308875	.3375798	-2.17	0.030	-1.392532	-.0692433

## Problem 4d: Answer

- ▶ Since this is a **case-control study**, we cannot estimate the probability or odds of the outcome, infant mortality. However, we can estimate the **odds ratio** for this outcome. Therefore, we **cannot** interpret the intercept (i.e. the value in the row for `_cons` in the Stata output), but we can interpret the slopes (i.e. the values in the rows for `smoking` in the Stata output).

## Problem 4d: Answer

- ▶ Since this is a **case-control study**, we cannot estimate the probability or odds of the outcome, infant mortality. However, we can estimate the **odds ratio** for this outcome. Therefore, we **cannot** interpret the intercept (i.e. the value in the row for `_cons` in the Stata output), but we can interpret the slopes (i.e. the values in the rows for `smoking` in the Stata output).
- ▶ Interpretation
  - ▶  $\widehat{OR}_2$ : The estimated odds ratio comparing the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were past smokers during pregnancy is 2.28 times (or 128% higher than) the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were never smokers during pregnancy.
  - ▶  $\widehat{OR}_3$ : The estimated odds ratio comparing the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were current smokers during pregnancy is 3.99 times (or 299% higher than) the odds of infant mortality among babies born to mothers who were never smokers during pregnancy.