

Author: Harald Uhlig

Year: 1999

Name: A Toolkit for Analyzing Nonlinear Dynamic Stochastic Models Easily

Fernández-Villaverde, Rubio-Ramírez, Schorfheide (2016) Handbook of Macroeconomics



Syllabus



Goal of Paper:

Use perturbation method to solve DSGE

Theoretical Part



Modelling principles

Modern macroeconomic theory is applied dynamic general equilibrium analysis. To spell out such a theory, one needs to explicitly specify the

Environment

- 🔥 **T** technologies
- 🔥 **I** information
- 🔥 **P** preferences
- 🔥 **E** endowments

Object of Study

- 📍 The *social planners problem* In that case, one needs to specify the planners objective function.
- 📍 The *competitive equilibrium* In that case, one needs to specify the markets and provide a definition of an equilibrium. In particular, one needs to spell out the precise extent of market powers.
- 📍 The *game* In that case, one needs to specify the rules and to provide a definition of an equilibrium

Algorithm Part



The General Procedure:

- 1. Algebraic Equation System:** Find the necessary equations characterizing the equilibrium
 - 📌 First-order conditions
 - 📌 Constraints
 - 📌 Exogenous Shocks
- 2. Steady-State Calculation:** Pick parameters and find the steady states (s).
- 3. Log-Linearization:** Log-linearize the necessary equations characterizing the equilibrium of the system to make the equations approximately linear in the log-deviations from the steady state
- 4. Recursive Law of Motion Solving:** Solve for the recursive equilibrium law of motion via the method of undetermined coefficients
- 5. Impulse-Response Analysis:** Analyze the solution via impulse-response analysis



Mathematical Preliminary:

Log-linearization

The principle of log-linearization is to use a Taylor approximation around the steady state to replace all equations by approximations

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_t &= \log X_t - \log \bar{X} \\
 &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 \equiv f(0, 0) = f(x_t, x_{t-1}) \\ 1 \equiv g(0, 0) = \mathbb{E}_t[g(x_{t+1}, x_t)] \end{cases} \\
 &\Downarrow \\
 &\begin{cases} 0 \approx f_1 x_t + f_2 x_{t-1} \\ 0 \approx \mathbb{E}_t[g_1 x_{t+1} + g_2 x_t] \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Infinitesimal Approximation

Apply the infinitesimal approximation, eliminate the constant (the steady-state value)

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{x_t + ay_t} &\approx 1 + x_t + ay_t \\
 x_t y_t &\approx 0 \\
 \mathbb{E}_t[ae^{x_{t+1}}] &\approx \mathbb{E}_t[ax_{t+1}] \quad \text{up to a constant}
 \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}e^{x_t} &\approx 1 + x_t \\ aX_t &\approx a\bar{X}x_t \text{ up to a constant} \\ (X_t + a)Y_t &\approx \bar{X}\bar{Y}x_t + (\bar{X} + a)\bar{Y}y_t \text{ up to a constant}\end{aligned}$$

Economic Model Examples

Stochastic Neoclassical Growth Model

- *The environment:*

1. *Preferences:* The representative agent experiences utility according to

$$U = E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\eta} - 1}{1-\eta} \right]$$

2. *Technologies:* We assume a Cobb-Douglas production function

$$C_t + K_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho N_t^{1-\rho} + (1-\delta)K_{t-1}$$

$$\log Z_t = (1-\psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

3. *Endowment:* Each period,

- 1. the representative agent is endowed with one unit of time $N_t = 1$
- 2. he is endowed with capital K_{-1} before $t = 0$

4. *Information:* C_t , N_t and K_t need to be chosen based on all information \mathcal{I}_t up to time t

- *The social planners problem:*

- Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{C_t, K_t} E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\eta} - 1}{1-\eta} \right] \\ \text{s. t. } K_{-1}, Z_0 \\ C_t + K_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho + (1-\delta)K_{t-1} \\ \log Z_t = (1-\psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

- The competitive equilibrium:

- Optimization Problem:
 - Households:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{C_t, K_t} E \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\eta} - 1}{1-\eta} \right] \\ \text{s. t. } N_t = 1 \\ C_t + K_t = W_t N_t + R_t K_{t-1} \text{ (Budget Constraint)} \\ 0 = \lim E_0 \Pi_{s=1}^t R_t^{-1} K_t \text{ (Non-Ponzi Condition)} \end{aligned}$$

- Firms:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{N_t, K_{t-1}} Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho N_t^{1-\rho} + (1-\delta)K_{t-1} - W_t N_t - R_t K_{t-1} \\ \text{s. t. } \log Z_t = (1-\psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

- Markets Clear:

- [Capital Market Clear:] $K_{t-1}^{(s)} = K_{t-1}^{(d)}$
- [Labor Market Clear:] $N_t^{(s)} = N_t^{(d)}$

- [Goods Market Clear:] $C_t + K_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho + (1 - \delta)K_{t-1}$

- *Algebraic Equation:*

$$\text{F.O.Cs: } \begin{cases} 1 = E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}} \right)^\eta R_{t+1} \right] \Leftarrow \text{Euler Equation} \\ 0 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E_0 [\beta^T C_T^{-\eta} K_T] \Leftarrow \text{Transversality} \\ R_t = \rho Z_t K_{t-1}^{\rho-1} + (1 - \delta) \end{cases}$$

Budget Constraint: $C_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho + (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} - K_t$

Exogenous Shocks: $\begin{cases} \log Z_t = (1 - \psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{cases}$

Perturbation Algorithm

Steady-State

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C} &= \bar{Z} \bar{K}^\rho + (1 - \delta) \bar{K} - \bar{K} \\ \bar{R} &= \rho \bar{Z} \bar{K}^{\rho-1} + (1 - \delta) \\ 1 &= \beta \bar{R} \end{aligned}$$

Log-Linearization

Budget Constraint

$$C_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho + (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} - K_t$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$c_t \approx \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{C}} z_t + \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} \bar{R} k_{t-1} - \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} k_t$$

1. For the first equation, the feasibility constraint, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} C_t &= Z_t K_{t-1}^\rho + (1 - \delta) K_{t-1} - K_t \\ \bar{C} e^{c_t} &= \bar{Z} \bar{K}^\rho e^{z_t + \rho k_{t-1}} + (1 - \delta) \bar{K} e^{k_{t-1}} - \bar{K} e^{k_t} \\ \bar{C} + \bar{C} c_t &\approx \bar{Z} \bar{K}^\rho + (1 - \delta) \bar{K} - \bar{K} \\ &\quad + \bar{Z} \bar{K}^\rho (z_t + \rho k_{t-1}) + (1 - \delta) \bar{K} k_{t-1} - \bar{K} k_t \end{aligned}$$

Use the steady state relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y} &= \bar{Z} \bar{K}^\rho \\ \bar{C} &= \bar{Y} - \delta \bar{K} \end{aligned}$$

F.O.C of Firms

$$R_t = \rho Z_t K_{t-1}^{\rho-1} + (1 - \delta)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$r_t \approx [1 - \beta(1 - \delta)](z_t - (1 - \rho)k_{t-1})$$

2. For the second equation, the calculation of the return, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_t &= \rho Z_t K_{t-1}^{\rho-1} + 1 - \delta \\
 \bar{R} e^{r_t} &= \rho \bar{Z} \bar{K}^{\rho-1} e^{z_t + (\rho-1)k_{t-1}} + 1 - \delta \\
 \bar{R} + \bar{R} r_t &\approx \rho \bar{Z} \bar{K}^{\rho-1} + 1 - \delta \\
 &\quad + \rho \bar{Z} \bar{K}^{\rho-1} (z_t + (\rho-1)k_{t-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Use the steady state relationship

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \bar{R} = \rho \bar{Z} \bar{K}^{\rho} + 1 - \delta$$

Euler Equation

$$1 = E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}} \right)^{\eta} R_{t+1} \right]$$

\Downarrow

$$0 \approx E_t [\eta (c_t - c_{t+1}) + r_{t+1}]$$

3. For the third equation, the the Lucas asset pricing equation, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}} \right)^\eta R_{t+1} \right] \\ 1 &= E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{\bar{C} e^{c_t - c_{t+1}}}{\bar{C}} \right)^\eta \bar{R} e^{r_{t+1}} \right] \\ 1 &\approx E_t \left[\beta \bar{R} + \beta \bar{R} (\eta (c_t - c_{t+1}) + r_{t+1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Use the steady state relationship

$$1 = \beta \bar{R}$$

Exogenous Shocks

$$\log Z_t = (1 - \psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

\Downarrow

$$z_t \approx \psi z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

4. For the fourth equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_t &= (1 - \psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \\ \log(\bar{Z} e^{z_t}) &= (1 - \psi) \log \bar{Z} + \psi \log(\bar{Z} e^{z_{t-1}}) + \epsilon_t, \\ z_t &= \psi z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \end{aligned}$$

holding exactly.

Undetermined Coefficients

Write the system with undetermined coefficient with state variables k_{t-1}, z_t

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c_t &\approx \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{C}} z_t + \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} \bar{R} k_{t-1} - \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} k_t \\ r_t &\approx [1 - \beta(1 - \delta)](z_t - (1 - \rho)k_{t-1}) \\ 0 &\approx E_t[\eta(c_t - c_{t+1}) + r_{t+1}] \\ z_t &\approx \psi z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \end{aligned} \right\} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} k_t = \nu_{kk} k_{t-1} + \nu_{kz} z_t \\ r_t = \nu_{rk} k_{t-1} + \nu_{rz} z_t \\ c_t = \nu_{ck} k_{t-1} + \nu_{cz} z_t \end{cases}$$

1. for the first equation (“feasibility”):

$$c_t = \left(1 + \delta \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}}\right) z_t + \frac{\bar{K}}{\beta \bar{C}} k_{t-1} - \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} k_t$$

$$\nu_{ck} k_{t-1} + \nu_{cz} z_t = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{C}} z_t + \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \nu_{kk}\right) \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} k_{t-1} - \frac{\bar{K}}{\bar{C}} \nu_{kz} z_t$$

2. For the second equation (“calculation of the return”),

$$r_t = (1 - \beta(1 - \delta))(z_t - (1 - \rho)k_{t-1})$$

$$\nu_{rk} k_{t-1} + \nu_{rz} z_t = (1 - \beta(1 - \delta))(z_t - (1 - \rho)k_{t-1})$$

3. For the third equation (“asset pricing”),

$$0 = E_t[\eta(c_t - c_{t+1}) + r_{t+1}]$$

$$0 = E_t[\eta((\nu_{ck} k_{t-1} + \nu_{cz} z_t) - (\nu_{ck} k_t + \nu_{cz} z_{t+1}))$$

$$+ \nu_{rk} k_t + \nu_{rz} z_{t+1}]$$

$$= (\nu_{rk} - \eta \nu_{ck}) k_t + \eta \nu_{ck} k_{t-1} + ((\nu_{rz} - \eta \nu_{cz}) \psi + \eta \nu_{cz}) z_t$$

$$= ((\nu_{rk} - \eta \nu_{ck}) \nu_{kk} + \eta \nu_{ck}) k_{t-1}$$

$$+ ((\nu_{rk} - \eta \nu_{ck}) \nu_{kz} + (\nu_{rz} - \eta \nu_{cz}) \psi + \eta \nu_{cz}) z_t$$

Framework

Situation I: Endo State + Exg Shock

x_t is endogenous state variables, z_t is exogenous shocks, all formula below is in Matrix Form

- **Algebraic Formula:**

$$\begin{cases} 0 = E_t[Fx_{t+1} + Gx_t + Hx_{t-1} + Lz_{t+1} + Mz_t] \\ z_{t+1} = Nz_t + \epsilon_{t+1}; \quad E_t[\epsilon_{t+1}] = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- **Recursive Equilibrium Law of Motion:**

$$x_t = Px_{t-1} + Qz_t \quad (2)$$

② Theorem of P and Q :

If there is a recursive equilibrium law of motion solving equations (1) then the following must be true

1. P satisfies the (matrix) quadratic equation

$$FP^2 + GP + H = 0$$

The equilibrium described by the recursive equilibrium law of motion is stable iff all eigenvalues of P are smaller than unity in absolute value

2. Given P, Q is solved by the following formula

$$[N' \otimes F + I_k \otimes (FP + G)]Q = -vec(LN + M)$$

Proof Plugging the recursive equilibrium law of motion (2) into equation (1) twice and The coefficient matrices on x_{t-1} and z_t need to be zero.

Situation II: Endo State + Endo Other Variables + Exg Shock

x_t is endogenous state variables, y_t is endogenous other variables, z_t is exogenous shocks, all formula below is in Matrix Form

- **Algebraic Formula:**

$$\begin{cases} 0 = Ax_t + Bx_{t-1} + Cy_t + Dz_t \\ 0 = E_t[Fx_{t+1} + Gx_t + Hx_{t-1} + Lz_{t+1} + Mz_t] \\ z_{t+1} = Nz_t + \epsilon_{t+1}; \quad E_t[\epsilon_{t+1}] = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

• **Recursive Equilibrium Law of Motion:**

$$\begin{cases} x_t = Px_{t-1} + Qz_t \\ y_t = Rx_{t-1} + Sz_t \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

② Theorem of P, Q and R, S :

If there is a recursive equilibrium law of motion solving equations (3) then the coefficient matrices can be found as follows

🟡 C^+ : the pseudoinverse of $C_{l \times l}$

🟡 C^0 : an $(l - n) \times l$ matrix, whose rows form a basis of the null space of C'

⋄

1. Given P and R, Q and S satisfy the following Equations

$$V \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(Q) \\ \text{vec}(S) \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(D) \\ \text{vec}(LN + M) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} I_k \otimes A & I_k \otimes C \\ N' \otimes F + I_k \otimes (FP + JR + G) & N' \otimes J + I_k \otimes K \end{bmatrix}$$

2. R is given by

$$R = -C^+(AP + B)$$

3. P satisfies the (matrix) quadratic equations

$$0 = C^0 A P + C^0 B$$

$$0 = (F - J C^+ A) P^2 - (J C^+ B - G + K C^+ A) P - K C^+ B + H$$

⚠ 这里可以把 C^+ 和 C^0 理解为投影矩阵和残差矩阵

Solving the matrix quadratic equation

To generally solve the matrix quadratic equations

$$\Psi P^2 - \Gamma P - \Theta = 0$$



Solution

General Eigenvalue and eigenvector

Recall that a generalized eigenvalue λ and eigenvector s of a matrix Ξ with respect to a matrix Δ are defined by

$$\lambda \Delta s = \Xi s$$

LQ Problem \sim General Eigenvector Problem

Define the $2m \times 2m$ matrices Ξ and Δ via

$$\Xi = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & \Theta \\ I_m & 0_{m \times m} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi & 0_{m \times m} \\ 0_{m \times m} & I_m \end{bmatrix}$$

1. s can be written as

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

2. If there are m generalized eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ and corresponding m generalized eigenvectors s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m , written as $s'_i = [\lambda_i x'_i, x'_i]$ for some $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and if (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) is linearly independent, then

$$P = \underbrace{\Omega = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]}_{\Omega} \underbrace{\Lambda}_{\Lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m]} \Omega^{-1}$$