



DAT7001

Data Handling and Decision Making

Part 2: Report

Date for Submission: Please refer to the timetable on ilearn

(The submission portal on iLearn will close at 14:00 UK time on the date of submission)

Page 1 of 8
[1665 – Part 2]

Arden University © reserves all rights of copyright and all other intellectual property rights in the learning materials and this publication. No part of any of the learning materials or this publication may be reproduced, shared (including in private social media groups), stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or means, including without limitation electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written consent of Arden University. To find out more about the use and distribution of programme materials please see the Arden Student Terms and Conditions.

Version: V5



Assignment Brief

As part of the formal assessment for the programme you are required to submit a **Data Handling and Decision-Making** report. Please refer to your Student Handbook for full details of the programme assessment scheme and general information on preparing and submitting assignments.

Learning Outcomes:

After completing the module, you should be able to:

1. Analyse methods of auditing data holdings and gap identification.
2. Critically analyse theoretical and core processes of data manipulation and mining.
3. Utilise and evaluate basic statistical concepts.
4. Appreciate ethical issues and their importance to data handling and decision making.
5. Develop a practical ability with data analysis and data mining methods to analyse and interpret data sets.
6. Make recommendations based upon the findings from data analysis.
7. **Graduate Attribute** – Effective Communication

Effectively communicate both, verbally and in writing, using a range of media widely used in relevant professional context.



Guidance

Your assignment should include: a title page containing your student number, the module name, the submission deadline and the exact word count of your submitted document; the appendices if relevant; and a reference list in AU Harvard system. You should address all the elements of the assignment task listed below. Please note that tutors will use the assessment criteria set out below in assessing your work.

You must not include your name in your submission because Arden University operates anonymous marking, which means that markers should not be aware of the identity of the student. However, please do not forget to include your STU number.

Maximum word count: 4000 words

Please refer to the full word count policy which can be found in the Student Policies section here: [Arden University | Regulatory Framework](#)

Please note the following:

Students are required to indicate the exact word count on the title page of the assessment.

The word count includes everything in the main body of the assessment (including in text citations and references). The word count excludes **numerical data in tables, figures, diagrams, footnotes, reference list and appendices. ALL other printed words ARE included in the word count.**

Please note that exceeding the word count by over 10% will result in a 10-percentage point deduction.



Assignment Task – Report

This assignment is worth 80% of the marks for this module.

Assignment Part 2: Data-Driven Decision Support

Data-driven decision support encompasses a range of the most essential processes of data analytics, including data preparation and integration, modelling using statistical and/or machine learning techniques, and data presentation. The aim of this activity is to empower the organisational decision-making with statistically tested and systematically evaluated decision options. These options can be ranked using inferential models, such as forecasting, prediction and/or classification.

In Part 1 of this assignment, you have identified a case study – an organisation or project of your choice, as well as various data sources and datasets available to it, and an important organisational decision. In this part, you have an opportunity to demonstrate how **this specific decision formulated in Part 1 in the context of your chosen case study** can be supported with data analytics.

Task 2.1

Discuss data preparation process, including

- Explanation of data collection, filtering and integration procedures.
- Analysis of data representativeness.
- Statement on generalisability and limitations of the integrated dataset.

**(800 words)
(20 marks)
(LO: 2)**



Task 2.2

Perform data modelling, which should specifically demonstrate:

- Selection and justification of the inferential and/or machine learning models, most relevant to the objectives of your case study.
- Application of statistical tools such as Excel, SPSS and/or Weka, to your model and reporting on the initial outcomes of your modelling.
- Explanation what the decision in question should be, based on these outcomes.

**(2000 words)
(40 marks)
(LOs: 2 & 3)**

Task 2.3

Present further outcomes, in support of the decision obtained in Task 2.2. This discussion should be visualised with a range of charts and tables showing the identified and analysed relationships. It should also be accompanied with detailed interpretation of the demonstrated results.

**(700 words)
(20 marks)
(LOs: 5 & 7)**

Task 2.4

Propose recommendations on the implementation, acceptance and assessment of the decision arrived at in Tasks 2.2 and 2.3 and discuss how this decision can contribute to strategic management of the chosen organisation or project.

**(500 words)
(20 marks)
(LOs: 6 & 7)**

End of Questions



Formative Feedback

You have the opportunity to submit a complete draft of this assignment to receive formative feedback.

The feedback is designed to help you develop areas of your work and it helps you develop your skills as an independent learner.

If you are a distance learning student, you should submit your work, by email, to your tutor, no later than 2 weeks before the actual submission deadline. If you are a blended learning student, your tutor will give you a deadline for formative feedback and further details.

Formative feedback will not be given to work submitted after the above date or the date specified by your tutor - if a blended learning student.

Referencing Guidance

You **MUST** underpin your analysis and evaluation of the key issues with appropriate and wide ranging academic research and ensure this is referenced using the AU Harvard system.

Follow this link to find the referencing guides for your subject: [Arden Library](#)

Submission Guidance

Assignments submitted late will not be accepted and will be marked as a 0% fail.

Your assessment can be submitted as a single Word (MS Word) or PDF file, or, as multiple files.

If you chose to submit multiple files, you must name each document as the question/part you are answering along with your student number ie Q1 Section A STUXXXX. **If you wish to overwrite your submission or one of your submissions, you must ensure that your new submission is named exactly the same as the previous in order for the system to overwrite it.**

You must ensure that the submitted assignment is all your own work and that all sources used are correctly attributed. Penalties apply to assignments which show evidence of academic unfair practice. (See the Student Handbook which is available on the A-Z key information on iLearn.)



Assessment Criteria (Learning objectives covered - all)

| <p>Level 7 is characterised by an expectation of students' expertise in their specialism. Students are semi-autonomous, demonstrating independence in the negotiation of assessment tasks (including the major project) and the ability to evaluate, challenge, modify and develop theory and practice. Students are expected to demonstrate an ability to isolate and focus on the significant features of problems and to offer synthetic and coherent solutions, with some students producing original or innovative work in their specialism that is potentially worthy of publication by Arden University. A clear appreciation of ethical considerations (as appropriate) is also a prerequisite.</p> | | |
|--|------------|---|
| Grade | Mark Bands | Generic Assessment Criteria |
| Distinction | 80%+ | Outstanding analysis of key issues and concepts/. Outstanding development of conceptual structures and argument, making consistent use of scholarly conventions. Outstanding <i>research skills, independence of thought, an extremely high level of intellectual rigour and consistency, exceptional expressive / professional skills, and outstanding creativity and originality.</i> Outstanding <i>academic/intellectual skills. Work pushes the boundaries of the discipline and demonstrates an awareness of relevant ethical considerations. Work may be considered for publication by Arden university.</i> |
| | 70-79% | Excellent analysis of key issues and concepts/. Excellent development of conceptual structures and argument, making consistent use of scholarly conventions. <i>Excellent research skills, independence of thought, an extremely high level of intellectual rigour and consistency, exceptional expressive / professional skills, and substantial creativity and originality.</i> <i>Excellent academic/intellectual skills. Work pushes the boundaries of the discipline and demonstrates an awareness of relevant ethical considerations. Work may be considered for publication by Arden university..</i> |
| Merit | 60-69% | Very good level of competence demonstrated. High level of theory application. Very good analysis of key issues and concepts. Development of conceptual structures and argument making consistent use of scholarly conventions. Some evidence of original thought and a general awareness of relevant ethical considerations. |
| Pass | 55-59% | A good performance. A good knowledge of key issues and concepts. Fairly descriptive, with some analysis of existing scholarly material, and some argument development. Limited evidence of original thought. Some awareness of relevant ethical considerations. Good professional skills (where appropriate). |
| Pass | 50-54% | A satisfactory performance. Basic knowledge of key issues and concepts. Generally descriptive, with restricted analysis of existing scholarly material and little argument development. Use of scholarly conventions inconsistent. The work lacks original thought. Limited awareness of relevant ethical considerations. Satisfactory professional skills (where appropriate). |



| | | |
|----------------------|---------------|---|
| Marginal Fail | 40-49% | <i>Limited research skills impede use of learning resources and problem solving. Significant problems with structure/accuracy in expression. Very weak academic professional skills. Limited use of scholarly conventions. Errors in expression and the work may lack structure overall</i> |
| | 39% and below | <i>A poor performance in which there are substantial gaps in knowledge and understanding, underpinning theory and ethical considerations. Little evidence of research skills, use of learning resources and problem solving. Major problems with structure/ accuracy in expression. Professional skills not present. Very weak academic professional skills. No evidence of use of scholarly conventions.</i> |