

Measure Theory and Integration

Luc Veldhuis

4 September 2017

New theory for integration

Non-integratable function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

$\int_0^1 f(x)dx$ is not integratable using the Riemann integral.

Why do we need a new way?

- More functions
- Abstraction!

X some general space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, calculate $\int_X f(x)dx$

Hopefully this new theory will extent the Riemann integral.

Idea

Look at the inverse of an interval in X . $f^{-1}((a, b])$. with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example

\mathbb{R} , $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $m(A)$ be the 'measure of A '

Properties we want:

- ① $m(A) \geq 0$
- ② $m(\emptyset) = 0$
- ③ $m([a, b]) = b - a$
- ④ $m(A \cup B) = m(A) + m(B)$ if $A \cap B = \emptyset$
- ⑤ $m(A) = m(x + A) \forall x$

Suppose A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots are such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

Does it follow that $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i)$? Assume it does.

These properties are inconsistent. There exists a set in \mathbb{R} for which not all properties hold.

Proof 1 – 5 are inconsistent

$x \sim y$ if $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ (reflexive, symmetric, transitive)

$[0, 1]$ partitions into disjoint equivalence classes.

Let E be a set which contains exactly 1 point from each equivalence class.

$\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$ (countable set)

$E_n = E + q_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Claim

$E_n \cap E_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$

Proof

Let $z \in E_n \cap E_m$

$$z = a_\alpha + q_n \quad a_\alpha \in E$$

$$z = a_\beta + q_m \quad a_\beta \in E$$

$$a_\alpha - a_\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$$

So they are the same equivalence class.

Claim

$$[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \subseteq [-1, 2]$$

Proof 1 – 5 are inconsistent (continued)

$$1 \leq m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \leq 3$$

$$1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) \leq 3$$

$$m(E_n) = \gamma \quad \forall n$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) = 0 \text{ or } \infty$$

σ -algebrae

X a set, \mathcal{A} a σ -algebra, \mathcal{A} on X a collection of subsets of X which satisfies

- $X \in \mathcal{A}$
- $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$
- $A_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$

Properties

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
- $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $A \cap B = (A^c \cup B^c)^c$

Examples

- $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, X\}$
- Power set of X
- $B \subset X, \{\emptyset, B, B^c, X\}$
- $\{A \subset X : A \text{ countable or } A^c \text{ countable}\}$

Claim

Let $\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \alpha \in I$ be a collection of σ -algebras.
Then $\bigcap_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is again a σ -algebra

Proof

- $X \in \mathcal{A}$
- $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha$
So $A^c \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \Rightarrow A^c \in \bigcap_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha$
- Do yourself

Example

Let G be a collection of sets. $\sigma(G) = \bigcap \mathcal{A}$, \mathcal{A} a σ algebra, $\mathcal{A} \geq G$. This is called the ' σ -algebra generated by G '.

Topological spaces

Consider \mathbb{R}^n , let O^n be the collection of open sets, C^n the collection of closed sets, K^n compact sets (bounded and closed).

Chapter 3

Definition

$B(\mathbb{R}^n) = B^n$ is the **Borel** σ -algebra, and is defined as $B^n = \sigma(O^n)$

Theorem

$$\sigma(O^n) = \sigma(C^n) = \sigma(K^n)$$

Proof

$$\sigma(C^n) \subset \sigma(O^n) \text{ and } \sigma(O^n) \subset \sigma(C^n)$$

$$\sigma(K^n) \subseteq \sigma(C^n)$$

$C \in C^n$ with $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (C \cup B_k)$, B_k is a closed ball around 0 with radius k . And $C \in \sigma(K^n)$

$$\text{So } \sigma(C^n) \subset \sigma(K^n)$$

Chapter 3

Definition

$J^n = \{[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times [a_3, b_3] \times \dots\}$ a n -dimensional rectangle.

$J^{n,o} = \{(a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times (a_3, b_3) \times \dots\}$ n -dimensional open rectangle. J_{rat}^n same as J^n with rational endpoints.

$J_{rat}^{n,o}$ same as $J^{n,o}$ but with rational endpoints.

Theorem

$$B^n = \sigma(O^n) = \sigma(J^{n,o}) = \sigma(J^n) = \sigma(J_{rat}^{n,o}) = \sigma(J_{rat}^n)$$

Proof sketch

$$O^n \supseteq J^{n,o} \supseteq J_{rat}^{n,o}$$

$$\text{So } \sigma(O^n) \supseteq \sigma(J^{n,o}) \supseteq \sigma(J_{rat}^{n,o})$$

Remains to show that $\sigma(O^n) \subseteq \sigma(J_{rat}^{n,o})$.

Every open set can be written as the countable union of open rectangles, so this equality holds.

Proof sketch (continued)

We also can write $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b)$

And $[a, b) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b)$