Logic

Luc Veldhuis

November 2016

Logic operators

The 4 connectives ordered by rank.

- \bullet (bi-implication)
- ② → (implication)
- ¬ (negation)

Quantors

∃,∀ (existential, universal)

The quantors have the same rank as the negation.

Examples

$$P \land Q \rightarrow R == (P \land Q) \rightarrow R$$

$$P \lor Q \leftrightarrow R == (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow R$$

$$\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P == (\neg Q) \rightarrow (\neg P)$$

$$\neg P \rightarrow Q \leftrightarrow R == (((\neg P) \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow R)$$

Truth table

Table: Truth table

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & Q & P \rightarrow Q \\ T & T & T \\ T & F & F \\ F & T & T \end{array}$$

F F T

When is a reasoning correct?

Standard structure:

$$\frac{P_1, \dots, P_n \leftarrow \mathsf{Premises}}{Q \leftarrow \mathsf{Conclusion}}$$

Correct

$$\frac{3>2\rightarrow2>1}{2>1}$$

Standard stucture

$$rac{\overline{P} o Q}{Q}$$

Mudus ponens, very important rule.



Wrong structure

Wrong

$$\frac{\overline{3>2\rightarrow2>1}}{3>2}$$

Wrong standard stucture

$$rac{\overline{P
ightarrow Q}}{\overline{P}}$$

If in every situation where $P_1, \dots P_n$ are all true, then Q is also true.

Some more rules

Contraposition

Double negation

Logic consequence

$$\frac{\overline{P} \rightarrow P}{P \rightarrow Q}$$

$$\frac{\overline{P}}{P \rightarrow Q}$$

$$\frac{\overline{P}}{P \lor Q}$$

$$\overline{P}$$

$$Q$$