# Measure Theory and Integration

Luc Veldhuis

2 Oktober 2017

## Lebesque measures

#### Lebesque measure $\lambda^n$

① The Lebesque measues is invariant under translation. If  $r(B) = \lambda^n(x+B)$  with r a measure on B.  $r(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = \lambda(x+\bigcup B_i) = \lambda(\bigcup (x+B_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x+B_i)$ . Take a rectangle I = [0,b),  $r(I) = \lambda(x+I) = \lambda([x+a,x+b)) = b-a = \lambda I$ .

## Lebesque measures

### Lebesque measure $\lambda^n$

If  $\mu$  on B is invariant under translations, and  $\mu([0,1]^n)=k<\infty$ , then  $\mu=k\lambda^n$ .  $(\mu(A)=k\lambda^n(A))$  If I is a rectangle, subdivide it in intervals of length  $\frac{1}{M}$  with  $M\in\mathbb{N}$ . Claim:  $\mu(I)=k(I)\mu([0,\frac{1}{M})^n)$ , and  $\lambda^n(I)=k(I)\lambda^n([0,\frac{1}{M})^n)$ . Special case:  $\mu([0,1)^n)=M^n\mu([0,\frac{1}{M})^n)$  and  $\lambda([0,1)^n)=M^n\lambda^n([0,\frac{1}{M})^n)$ . So  $\mu(I)=\frac{k(I)}{M^n}\mu([0,1)^n)$  and  $\lambda^n(I)=\frac{k(I)}{M^n}\mu([0,1)^n)$  and  $\lambda^n(I)=\frac{k(I)}{M^n}\mu([0,1)^n)$ 

## Outer measure

#### Theorem

 $\mathcal{S}$  a semi-ring,  $\mu$  countably additive in  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$  disjoint,  $\cup S_i = S$ , then  $\mu(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(S_i)$ . Goal: extend  $\mu$  to  $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$  (as a measure).

#### Outer-measure

 $A\subseteq X$   $C(A)=\{(S_j)_{i=1}^\infty|A\subseteq\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty S_j,S_j\in\mathcal{S}\}.$   $\mu^*(A)=\inf\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(S_j)|(S_i)_{j=1}^\infty\in C(A)\}$  is the outer measure of A relative to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

### **Properties**

- $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$
- $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mu^*(A) \subseteq \mu^*(B)$
- $\bullet$   $\mu^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_j)$  (countable subadditivity)



## Outer measure

#### Proof

Find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $(S_k^j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in C(A_j)$  we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(S_k^j) \leq \mu^*(A_j) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^j}$ .  $\mu^*(A_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_j) + \epsilon$ 

#### Claim

If  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\mu * (S) = \mu(S)$  so check:

- $\mu * (S) \le \mu(S)$  (trivial)
- $\mu * (S) \ge \mu(S)$  (difficult)

Proof sketch:

$$S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$$
,  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ .

$$\overline{\mu}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2)$$



## $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\sigma$ algebra

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{A}^* = \{ A \subseteq X | \mu^*(A \cap Q) + \mu^*(Q \cap A^c) = \mu^*(Q) | \forall Q \in X \}$ 

Claim:  $S \subseteq A^*$ .

(trival) Claim:  $A^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra Claim:  $\mu^*$  is a measure on  $A^*$ 

## Semi-ring

### Example

$$X = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} = \text{all } \infty \text{ sequences of 0's and 1's.}$$
  $s \in X, \ x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$  Let 1 have probability  $p$  and 0 probability  $1 - p$ . Cylinder:  $c_1 = \{x \in X | x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0\}$   $c_2 = \{x \in X | x_0 = 1, x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0\}$   $\mu(c_1) = p(1-p)^2$   $\mu(c_2) = p(1-p)^3$ 

Cylinders closed under intersection, either empty set or one of the 2 sets.

$$S,T\in\mathcal{S},\ S\setminus T=\cup_{i=1}^MS_i\in\mathcal{S}.$$
  $c_1\setminus c_2=\{x\in X|x_0=1,x_1=0,x_2=0,x_3=1\}$  again a cylinder. If  $c_1\cap c_2=\emptyset$  then if  $c_1\cup c_2$  is a cylinder, then  $\mu(c_1)+\mu(c_2)=\mu(c_1\cup c_2).$  (Analog to lebesque proof, if union of intervals is again an interval, then...)

## Semi-ring

### Cylinders

If  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  then  $\mu(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(C_i)$ .

But  $C = \bigcup_{i=1} C_i$  can never be true, so statement always holds. So semi-additivity is proven.

So you can extend this to a  $\sigma$  algebra generated by the cylinders.