Time Hybrids

Lamda Days 2024

Luc Duponcheel



Time Hybrids nova science publishers

A New Generic Theory of Reality Fred Van Oystaeyen



Generic Theory



Generic Theory

theory of theories



Generic Theory

- theory of theories
- partially unifying framework theory where theories fit into





partially unifying framework theory where theories of reality fit into



- partially unifying framework theory where theories of reality fit into
 - relativity theory



- partially unifying framework theory where theories of reality fit into
 - relativity theory
 - quantum theory



- partially unifying framework theory where theories of reality fit into
 - relativity theory
 - quantum theory
- until now no unifying theory for them has been agreed upon





• declares features



- declares features
- defines laws that come with those declared features



- declares features
- defines laws that come with those declared features
- also defines features in terms of declared and defined features



Implementation



Implementation

• defines declared features of a specification



Implementation

- defines declared features of a specification
- provides proofs of the laws that come with those declared features



Description (as a painting)



Description (as a painting)

• below is an informal description of a pipe





Description (as a painting)

below is an informal description of a pipe



well, not really, does it?



Description (as a computational simulation)



Description (as a computational simulation)

 this TED talk of Stephen Wolfram is about a, mathematically well-founded, description of reality that, maybe, can, somehow, be seen as an implementation of the specification of the generic theory of Fred Van Oystaeyen





• relativity theory resp. quantum theory is a macro theory resp. micro theory, but where do micro and macro end?



- relativity theory resp. quantum theory is a macro theory resp. micro theory, but where do micro and macro end?
- traditionally, mathematics is used to deal with that in a continous, analytic way, using shrinking limits of time intervals



- relativity theory resp. quantum theory is a macro theory resp. micro theory, but where do micro and macro end?
- traditionally, mathematics is used to deal with that in a continous, analytic way, using shrinking limits of time intervals
- recently, mathematics is used to deal with that in a discrete, algebraic way (if only because of the observational minimal Planck time unit!)



Discrete



Discrete

 Stephen Wolfram's mathematics uses an expanding limit of a time interval starting at some time moment (think of a big bang of graph rewriting without specific rules), recall that it is an implementation



Discrete

- Stephen Wolfram's mathematics uses an expanding limit of a time interval starting at some time moment (think of a big bang of graph rewriting without specific rules), recall that it is an implementation
- Fred Van Oystaeyen's approach also goes for a discrete, algebraic way but makes no concrete choices, recall that it is a specification





 Category Theory is a partially unifying framework theory where theories of mathematics fit into



- Category Theory is a partially unifying framework theory where theories of mathematics fit into
 - probability



- Category Theory is a partially unifying framework theory where theories of mathematics fit into
 - probability
 - geometry (topology)



- Category Theory is a partially unifying framework theory where theories of mathematics fit into
 - probability
 - geometry (topology)
 - others



- Category Theory is a partially unifying framework theory where theories of mathematics fit into
 - probability
 - geometry (topology)
 - others
- · Category theory is, just like reality, compositional



Virtual Topology



Virtual Topology

 Fred Van Oystayen's Virtual Topology is, as far as I know, the most abstract (read: simplest) geometry as far as being useful for modeling reality



Virtual Topology

- Fred Van Oystayen's Virtual Topology is, as far as I know, the most abstract (read: simplest) geometry as far as being useful for modeling reality
- Virtual Topology is pointfree (cfr Category Theory)





• Mathematical notation can overwhelm you

$$R_{\mu
u} - rac{1}{2} R \, g_{\mu
u} + \Lambda \, g_{\mu
u} = rac{8 \pi G}{c^4} \, T_{\mu
u}$$



• Mathematical notation can overwhelm you

$$R_{\mu
u} - rac{1}{2} R \, g_{\mu
u} + \Lambda \, g_{\mu
u} = rac{8 \pi G}{c^4} \, T_{\mu
u}$$

Natural language notation can confuse you



Mathematical notation can overwhelm you

$$R_{\mu
u} - rac{1}{2} R \, g_{\mu
u} + \Lambda \, g_{\mu
u} = rac{8 \pi G}{c^4} \, T_{\mu
u}$$

- Natural language notation can confuse you
 - many words used for one concept without explanantion



Mathematical notation can overwhelm you

$$R_{\mu
u} - rac{1}{2} R \, g_{\mu
u} + \Lambda \, g_{\mu
u} = rac{8 \pi G}{c^4} \, T_{\mu
u}$$

- Natural language notation can confuse you
 - many words used for one concept without explanantion
 - one word used for many concepts without defining context



Mathematical notation can overwhelm you

$$R_{\mu
u} - rac{1}{2} R \, g_{\mu
u} + \Lambda \, g_{\mu
u} = rac{8 \pi G}{c^4} \, T_{\mu
u}$$

- Natural language notation can confuse you
 - many words used for one concept without explanantion
 - one word used for many concepts without defining context
- Program language notation is required to be precise and, therefore may also overwhelm you, but the benefit is that it is checked by a type system that, by the way, is not overwhelmed by it



Category

```
trait Category[BTC[_, _]]
  extends BtcComposition[BTC], BtcUnit[BTC]:
```



CategoryLaws

```
trait CategoryLaws[L[_]: Law]:

def leftIdentity[Z, Y]: BTC[Z, Y] => L[BTC[Z, Y]] =
    z2y => { i 'o' z2y } '=' { z2y }

def rightIdentity[Z, Y]: BTC[Z, Y] => L[BTC[Z, Y]] =
    z2y => { z2y 'o' i } '=' { z2y }
```



BtcComposition

```
trait BtcComposition[BTC[_, _]]:
  extension [Z, Y, X](y2x: BTC[Y, X])
  def 'o'(z2y: BTC[Z, Y]): BTC[Z, X]
```



BtcCompositionLaws

```
trait CompositionLaws[L[_]: Law]:

def associativity[Z, Y, X, W]
    : BTC[X, W] => BTC[Y, X] => BTC[Z, Y] => L[BTC[Z, W]] =
    x2w => y2x => z2y =>
    { (x2w 'o' y2x) 'o' z2y } '=' { x2w 'o' (y2x 'o' z2y) }
```



BtcUnit

```
trait BtcUnit[BTC[_, _]]:
   def i[Z]: BTC[Z, Z]
```



trait Time[Moment: Arbitrary: Ordered]



trait Time[Moment: Arbitrary: Ordered]

• this allows us to



trait Time[Moment: Arbitrary: Ordered]

- this allows us to
 - write statements involving arbitrary time moments



trait Time[Moment: Arbitrary: Ordered]

- this allows us to
 - write statements involving arbitrary time moments
 - state that one time moment is before another one



Universe

```
trait Universe[
 Set[_]: Sets,
 Morphism[_, _]: Category: ActingUponFunction,
 Moment: Time,
  State: [_] =>> VirtualTopology[
   Set,
   State
 ]: [_] =>> Functor[
    [_, _] =>> MomentMorphism,
   Morphism,
    [ ] =>> State
```





• this allows us to



- this allows us to
 - write statements using topological features of universe states



- this allows us to
 - write statements using topological features of universe states
 - write statements relating time moment transitions, to universe state morphisms (think of an dynamic, expanding universe)





 immobility can be expressed without mentioning real numbers for time and space



- immobility can be expressed without mentioning real numbers for time and space
- some history of geometry



- immobility can be expressed without mentioning real numbers for time and space
- some history of geometry
 - Euclides did not use numbers to measure with



- immobility can be expressed without mentioning real numbers for time and space
- some history of geometry
 - Euclides did not use numbers to measure with
 - Pythagoras showed that rational numbers were not enough



immobileAfter

```
val immobileAfter
  : MomentMorphism => L[Function[PreThingsSet, State]] =
mm =>
  {
    pts2s 'o' mm2ptsf(mm)
} '=' {
    mm2sm(mm) 'a' pts2s
}
```



immobileAfter

```
val immobileOnInterval
  : MomentMorphism => PreThingsSet => L[State] =
  case (bm, em) =>
    val mi: Interval[Moment] = interval apply ((bm, em))
    pts =>
      all apply {
       for {
         m <- mi
       } yield {
            (pts2s 'o' mm2ptsf((bm, m)))(pts)
          ነ '=' {
            (mm2sm((bm, m)) 'a' pts2s)(pts)
```





• the 'a' in the formula is not morphism composition 'o'



- the 'a' in the formula is not morphism composition 'o'
- the 'a' in the formula is an action of morphism mm2sm(mm) on the place function pts2s



- the 'a' in the formula is not morphism composition 'o'
- the 'a' in the formula is an action of morphism mm2sm(mm) on the place function pts2s
- cfr a monoid acting upon a set



- the 'a' in the formula is not morphism composition 'o'
- the 'a' in the formula is an action of morphism mm2sm(mm) on the place function pts2s
- cfr a monoid acting upon a set
- cfr rotations acting upon a Rubic Cube



Type System

```
val immobileAfter: M<sup>-</sup>
                                                                               ate
                         Expression type:
  mm =>1
     import su.{mmosm Set[Composition2[Set, Pre0bject]] => State
                         Symbol signature:
       ptsøs `o` mmøp
                         val ptsφs: PreThingsSet => State
       mmφsm(mm) `a` ptsφsι
    Expression type:
       Morphism[State, State]
val im
       Symbol signature:
    im def apply(v1: MomentMorphism): su.StateMorphism
       Apply the body of this function to the argument.
       Returns: the result of function application.
      mmφsm(mm) `a` ptsφsι
```

More Information

https://github.com/LucDuponcheelAtGitHub/timeHybrids



THANKS FOR ATTENDING



