

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

Insert title here

Insert your name here

Master's Thesis – May 26, 2023 Chair for Network and Data Security.

Supervisor: Name of or first examiner Advisor: Name(s) of your other advisers



Abstract

How can I improve my scientific writing skills?

- https://www.zfw.rub.de/sz/
- http://www.cs.joensuu.fi/pages/whamalai/sciwri/sciwri.pdf
- https://www.student.unsw.edu.au/writing
- https://www.sydney.edu.au/content/dam/students/documents/learning-resources/learning-centre/writing-a-thesis-proposal.pdf

Official Declaration

Hereby I declare, that I have not submitted this thesis in this or similar form to any other examination at the Ruhr-Universität Bochum or any other institution or university.

I officially ensure, that this paper has been written solely on my own. I herewith officially ensure, that I have not used any other sources but those stated by me. Any and every parts of the text which constitute quotes in original wording or in its essence have been explicitly referred by me by using official marking and proper quotation. This is also valid for used drafts, pictures and similar formats.

I also officially ensure that the printed version as submitted by me fully confirms with my digital version. I agree that the digital version will be used to subject the paper to plagiarism examination.

Not this English translation, but only the official version in German is legally binding

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre, dass ich keine Arbeit in gleicher oder ähnlicher Fassung bereits für eine andere Prüfung an der Ruhr-Universität Bochum oder einer anderen Hochschule eingereicht habe.

Ich versichere, dass ich diese Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen benutzt habe. Die Stellen, die anderen Quellen dem Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen sind, habe ich unter Angabe der Quellen kenntlich gemacht. Dies gilt sinngemäß auch für verwendete Zeichnungen, Skizzen, bildliche Darstellungen und dergleichen.

Ich versichere auch, dass die von mir eingereichte schriftliche Version mit der dig-

italen Version übereinstimr	. Ich erkläre mich damit einverstanden, dass die digitale
Version dieser Arbeit zweck	Plagiatsprüfung verwendet wird.
Date	Insert your name here

Erklärung

Date

Insert your name here

Contents

1 Linear Amortized Analysis

1.1 Amortized Analysis: The Potential Method

Analysing the time and space complexity of algorithms is itself a vast field. For AARA, amortized analysis using the potential method is used. To perform amortized analysis, we define a potential function Φ , which assigns to every possible state of a data structure a *non-negative* integer. Using the potential assigned to a specific state, more expensive operations can be amortized by preceding, cheaper operations.

To illustrate the advantage of amortized analysis over worst-case analysis, we illustrate both using sequences of inserts over a DynamicArray as an example. When initialising a DynamicArray, we provide the size needed. Subsequent inserts to the DynamicArray will be performed instantaneously if memory is free. Whenever an insert to the array would exceed the memory allocated to it, the array doubles in size. This operation is costly, because we have to allocate the memory and move all previous data into the new memory location. Looking at the worst-case runtime, inserting into a dynamic array has a cost of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. There are two important nuances: (1) Not every insert operation is equally costly and (2) The expensive inserts are rarer.

In order to perform amortized analysis using the potential method, we first need to define a potential function Φ . The amortized cost is subsequently given as the sum of the actual cost of the operation and the difference in potential before and after the operation. Formally, we write $C_{actual}(o)$ to denote the actual cost of some operation o as well as S_{before} and S_{after} for the state of the DynamicArray before and after performing operation o. This yields the following formula for the amortized cost of an operation o:

$$C_{amortized}(o) = C_{actual}(o) + (\Phi(S_{after}) - \Phi(S_{before}))$$

For an arbitrary DynamicArray D of size N, of which n memory cells have been used, we define the potential function $\Phi(D) = 2n - N$. Note that $n \leq N$ and $2n \geq N$, because the DynamicArray is always at least half full due to the resizing strategy explained above. As alluded to earlier, a potential function needs to be non-negative for every possible state passed to it. We can immediately conclude

that the above function satisfies that constraint, due to $2n \ge N$ being an invariant. We now examine how different types of insert operations affect the potential function and subsequently the amortized cost. Suppose we insert into a DynamicArray, such that no doubling in size is necessary. The actual cost of the operation is constant. Because no resizing of the DynamicArray is induced, we simply increment n. This yields the following potentials:

$$\Phi(S_{before}) = 2n - N$$

$$\Phi(S_{after}) = 2(n+1) - N$$

Label/annotate equations?

Hence, $\Phi(S_{after}) - \Phi(S_{before}) = 2$. This yields an amortized cost of $C_{amortized}(o) = C_{actual}(o) + 2$, where we know that $C_{actual}(o)$ is constant. As a result, the amortized cost is again constant.

Let us now assume that we insert one element into a DynamicArray, inducing a doubling in size. This results in the following potentials:

$$\Phi(S_{before}) = 2n - N$$

$$\Phi(S_{after}) = 2(n+1) - 2N$$

Note that n+1=N, because the array needed to double in size. The potential therefore simplifies to $\Phi(S_{after})=0$. This concludes that our potential function is indeed well-formed, because it will not yield negative values for any valid state. We know that the actual cost of resizing the array is $\mathcal{O}(n)$, pluging this into the formula for amortized cost: $C_{amortized}(o) = \mathcal{O}(n) + (0 - \mathcal{O}(n))$. Yielding constant time again, because the difference in potential allowed us to 'pay' for the cost incurred by reallocating the array.

Generalizing the new won insight, allows us to claim the following: Any sequence of n insert operations takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ amortized time. This follows, as the sum of n constant time operations is $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The formula for amortized cost ?? allows us to provide an upper bound on the actual cost of an operation as well. Since the potential function is required to be non-negative, we get that $\Phi(S) \geq 0$ for some state S. Using this inequality, we can infer the following bound simply by using the new inequality:

$$C_{actual}(o) \le C_{amortized}(o)$$

1.2 Judgements 3

Because we inferred that any sequence of n insert operations has $\mathcal{O}(n)$ amortized cost, this inequality shows that any sequence of insert operations also has at most $\mathcal{O}(n)$ actual cost.

AARA will deploy the potential method in order to provide bounds. To this end, we will define properties of the potential function in ??

Reference to chapter for potential properties.

1.2 Judgements

In this section we will introduce all needed definitions from type theory, that will allow us to encode a notion of resource usage in type judgements. Let Bochum be a string of characters. In type theory, writing Bochum: T is called a typing judgement, stating that Bochum is of type T. In this example T could be the type String. However, Bochum: int would not be valid, for obvious reasons.

In the above example, we were judging the concrete value. In most cases, we dont perform judgements over concrete values, but using the variable names assigned to them; this resembles how software is written, in that we assign variable names to values. Because judgments usually only comprise variable names, we need to have a mechanism that allows associating variable names with values - this is precisely what contexts do. A context Γ is a mapping from variable names to values. Putting both together, we can write the following judgement: $\Gamma \vdash e : A$, which can be interpreted as "Given the context Γ , the expression e is of type A". After introducing the Type system in ??, we will introduce typing rules. Those will provide a mechanism of deducing new information.

Whenever we make a claim about the result of some computation, there are different levels we have to discriminate.

We call the first type of judgement a *Bounding Judgement*, and encode it as $\Gamma \mid \frac{p}{p'} e$: A, where Γ is a context, p and p' are non-negative rationals and e is some expression of type A. The judgement can then be read as "Given the context Γ and p resources, we can evaluate the expression e of type A, and we have p' resources remaining".

The second type of judgement is an *Evaluation Judgement*. We write $e \downarrow v$ to denote that the expression e evaluates to the value v. This judgement can also be decorated with resource bounds in the following way: Denote by $e \downarrow v$

Do these judgements have specific names???

1.3 Type System

In order to enable bounding resource consumption, we need to define a type system that permits this. More precisely, we introduce a type system featuring resource-annotated types. This is done by supplementing types with a potential $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. One resource-annotated type is that of a generic list $L^q(A)$. That is, a list comprising elements of type A, where *every element* of the list has the assigned potential a.

Another resource-annotated type are functions, written $A \xrightarrow{p/p'} B$. The meaning of the potentials p and p' is different compared to lists. The type $A \xrightarrow{p/p'} B$ can be interpreted as a function from type A to type B, for which we need p resources in order to start the evaluation and are left with p' resources after evaluation.

The type system used is given in Figure ?? below:

$$A, B = Unit|A \times B|A + B|L^{q}(A)|A \xrightarrow{p/p'} B$$

Figure 1.1: Resource-Annotated Type System

For simplicity, we select unit to be the only primitive type without a resource annotation. This is mainly for notational convenience, as extending the type system by any primitive type can be easily done; we will elaborate on this point further in ...

Elaborate on this point later on.

The first resource-annotated type is a generic List type $L^q(A)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ is the potential assigned to each element of the list.

List of Figures

List of Tables