### ZIPF CLASSIFICATION OF TEXTS

Prof. Alberto Borghese 6 CFU

Luca Cappelletti

Course Project Year 2017/2018



IT Master Degree Universiy of Milan Italy 30 giugno 2018

### **Zipf Classifier**

### Using Zipfs to classify books and articles

### Introduction

Hello, I'm Luca Cappelletti and here I will show you a complete explanation and example of usage of <u>ZipfClassifier</u>, a classifier that leverages the assumption that some kind of datasets (texts, <u>some images</u>, even <u>sounds in spoken languages</u>) follows the <u>Zipf law</u>.

In the following examples, we will be trying to classify books to their authors and style periods and articles to their type of content.

### How to use this notebook

This is a <u>Jupyter Notebook</u>. You can either read it <u>here on github</u> or, **preferably** to enjoy all its features, run it on your own computer. Jupyter comes installed with <u>Anaconda</u>, to execute it you just need to run the following in your terminal:

jupyter-notebook

### What we will use

### The packages

We will use obviously the <u>ZipfClassifier</u> and other two packages of mine: <u>Zipf</u> (comes installed as a dependecy in the zipf\_classifier) to create the distributions from the texts and <u>Dictances</u> for the classifications metrics, even though any custom metric is usable. If you need to install them just run the following command in your terminal:

```
pip install dictances zipf classifier
```

```
In [1]:
```

### **Additional packages**

We will also be using some utilities, such as the loading bar tqdm. If you don't have them already you can install them by running:

```
pip install tqdm tabulate
```

The others packages should be already installed with python by default.

```
In [2]:
```

```
# To import source code from external packages
import inspect
# To use mathematical functions and defines
import math
# To access os functions on files and directories
import os
# To randomize (predictably) datasets
import random
# To access high level os functions on files and directories
import shutil
# To output html tables
import tabulate
# To display jupyter notebook html content (such as tables)
from IPython.display import HTML, display
# To highlight code
from pygments import highlight
from pygments.formatters import HtmlFormatter
from pygments.lexers import PythonLexer
# A nice loading bar for showing progresses
from tqdm import tqdm_notebook as tqdm
```

### Some small helpers

Let's make ome small functions to help out loading folders:

```
In [3]:
```

```
def get_dirs(root):
    """Return subdirectories under a directory."""
    return [
        root + "/" + d for d in os.listdir(root)
        if os.path.isdir(root + "/" + d)
]
```

and the book folders:

```
In [4]:
```

```
def get_books(root):
    """Return all books found under a given root."""
    return [
        book[0] for book in os.walk(root) for chapter in book[2][:1]
        if chapter.endswith('.txt')
]
```

and the saved zipfs:

```
In [5]:
```

```
def get_zipfs(root):
```

```
"""Return all zipfs found under a given root."""
return [
    zipfs[0] + "/" + zipf for zipfs in os.walk(root) for zipf in zipfs[2]
    if zipf.endswith('.json')
]
```

### Some stylers

```
In [6]:
frame number = 30
In [7]:
def b(string):
    """Return a boldified string."""
    return "\033[1m%s\033[0;0m" % string
In [8]:
def red(string):
    """Return a red string."""
    return "\033[0;31m%s\033[0;0m" % string
In [9]:
def yellow(string):
    """Return a yellow string."""
    return "\033[0;33m%s\033[0;0m" % string
In [10]:
def green(string):
    """Return a green string."""
    return "\033[0;32m%s\033[0;0m" % string
In [11]:
def gray(string):
    """Return a gray string."""
    return "\033[0;37m%s\033[0;0m" % string
In [12]:
def print function(function):
    """Print the source of a given function."""
    code = inspect.getsource(function)
    formatter = HtmlFormatter()
    display(
        HTML('<style type="text/css">{}</style>{}'.format(
            formatter.get style defs('.highlight'),
            highlight(code, PythonLexer(), formatter))))
In [13]:
def success(results, metric):
    """Show the result of a given test."""
```

successes = results["success"]

### The datasets

I've prepared three datasets:

### **Authors dataset**

Dataset of english books from three famous authors: D. H. Lawrence, Oscar Wilde and Mark Twain.

This dataset will be used to build a classifier able to classify the books to the respective author.

### Periods dataset

Dataset of english books from four **style periods**: **Modernism**, **Naturalism**, **Realism** and **Romanticism**. This dataset will be used to build a classifier able to classify the books to the respective style period.

### Recipes dataset

Dataset of italian articles, some containing recipes and some containing food reviews, food descriptions (eg wikipedia) or other articles.

We will use this to classify articles to recipes and non recipes.

### **Retrieving the datasets**

We download and extract the datasets:

- · Link to authors dataset
- Link to periods dataset
- Link to recipes dataset

Put them in the same folder of this notebook to use the datasets.

```
In [14]:
datasets = ["authors", "periods", "recipes"]
```

Before going any further, let's check if the dataset are now present:

```
In [15]:
```

```
for dataset in datasets:
   if not os.path.isdir(dataset):
      raise FileNotFoundError("The dataset %s is missing!" % (red(dataset)))
```

Ok! We can proceed.

### Splitting into train and test

Let's say we leave 60% to learning and 40% to testing. Let's proceed to split the dataset in two:

```
In [16]:
```

```
learning_percentage = 0.6
```

First we check if the dataset is already split (this might be a re-run):

### In [17]:

```
def is_already_split(root):
    """Return a bool indicating if the dataset has already been split."""
    split_warns = ["learning", "testing"]
    for sub_dir in os.listdir(root):
        for split_warn in split_warns:
            if split_warn in sub_dir:
                 return True
    return False
```

Then we split the dataset's books as follows:

Since we want the zipfs that the classifier will use to do the classification built on a proportioned dataset, we pick the percentage of books put aside for learning from the minimum number of books for class in the dataset.

### In [18]:

```
def split books(root, percentage):
    """Split the dataset into learning and testing."""
   min books = math.inf
    for book class in get dirs(root):
        books = get books(book class)
        min_books = min(min_books, len(books))
    for book class in get dirs(root):
        books = get books(book class)
        random.seed(42) # for reproducibility
        random.shuffle(books) # Shuffling books
        n = int(min_books * percentage)
        learning set, testing set = books[:n], books[
            n:] # splitting books into the two partitions
        # Moving into respective folders
        [
            shutil.copytree(book,
                            "%s/learning/%s" % (root, book[len(root) + 1:]))
            for book in learning set
        ]
            shutil.copytree(book,
                            "%s/testing/%s" % (root, book[len(root) + 1:]))
```

```
for book in testing_set
]
```

Here we actually run the two functions:

```
In [19]:
```

```
for dataset in datasets:
    if is_already_split(dataset):
        print("I believe I've already split the dataset %s!" % (b(dataset)))
    else:
        split_books(dataset, learning_percentage)
```

```
I believe I've already split the dataset authors!
I believe I've already split the dataset periods!
I believe I've already split the dataset recipes!
```

### The metrics

Since distributions hold the following properties:

$$q_i > 0 \quad \forall i \in Q, \qquad \sum_{i \in Q} q_i = 1$$

we will use metrics that will exploit this properties.

These metrics must wither have computational complexity  $O(\min(n, m))$  (where n and m are respectively the cardinality of distributions P and Q) or be defined only on the intersection of the distributions, for being practically usable (other than for other reasons shown in the Root of errors section).

Informations on the metrics used are below:

### **Kullback Leibler Divergence**

$$D_{KL}(P, Q) = \sum_{i} P(i) \log \frac{P(i)}{Q(i)}$$

The KL divergece is defined for all events in a set  $P, Q \subseteq X$ .

This forces to define the KL for zipfs only on the subset of the events that are shared beetween the two distributions:  $X = P \cap Q$ .

This ignores all the information about non-sharec events and it is solved via the Jensen Shannon divergence.

### Jensen Shannon Divergence

$$JSD(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{KL}(P,M) + \frac{1}{2}D_{KL}(Q,M)$$
  $M = \frac{1}{2}(P+Q)$ 

The JS divergence is defined for every event in a set  $X = P \cup Q$ , it is **symmetric** and has **always a finite** value.

### Getting the current implementation

The current implementation works as follows:

Starting from the extended formulation:

$$m_i = \frac{1}{2}(p_i + q_i), \quad p_i = \begin{cases} p_i & i \in P \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}, \quad q_i = \begin{cases} q_i & i \in Q \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
 
$$JSD(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{m_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in Q} q_j \log \frac{q_j}{m_j}$$

Replacing in the formulation  $m_i$ :

$$JSD(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{2}(p_i + q_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in Q} q_j \log \frac{q_j}{\frac{1}{2}(p_j + q_j)}$$

Splitting the sums in 3 distinc sets:  $S_1 = i \in P \setminus P \cap Q$ ,  $S_2 = i \in P \cap Q$  and  $S_3 = i \in Q \setminus P \cap Q$ .

$$JSD(P,Q) = JSD_{S_1}(P,Q) + JSD_{S_2}(P,Q) + JSD_{S_3}(P,Q)$$

$$JSD_{S_{1}}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{\frac{1}{2}(p_{i} + q_{i})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in P \setminus P \cap Q} q_{j} \log \frac{q_{j}}{\frac{1}{2}(p_{j} + q_{j})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{\frac{1}{2}(p_{i} + q_{i})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{\frac{1}{2}p_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i} \log \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i} \log 2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log 2 \sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_{i}$$

$$\begin{split} JSD_{S_2}(P,Q) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{2}(p_i + q_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in P \cap Q} q_j \log \frac{q_j}{\frac{1}{2}(p_j + q_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{2}(p_i + q_i)} + q_i \log \frac{q_i}{\frac{1}{2}(p_i + q_i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i \log \frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} + q_i \log \frac{2q_i}{p_i + q_i} \end{split}$$

$$JSD_{S_3}(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 \sum_{j \in Q \setminus P \cap Q} q_j$$

Summing  $JSD_{S_1}$  and  $JSD_{S_3}$  we can obtain:

$$JSD_{S_1} + JSD_{S_3} = \frac{1}{2}\log 2\left(\sum_{i \in P \setminus P \cap Q} p_i + \sum_{j \in Q \setminus P \cap Q} q_j\right)$$

In particular, if  $\sum_{j \in O}^{m} q_j = 1$  and  $\sum_{i \in P}^{n} p_i = 1$ , we can write:

$$\begin{split} JSD_{S_1} + JSD_{S_3} &= \frac{1}{2} log 2 \left( 2 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i - \sum_{j \in P \cap Q} q_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} log 2 \left( 2 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i + q_j \right) \end{split}$$

Putting all togheter we obtain:

$$JSD(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \left( p_i \log \frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} + q_i \log \frac{2q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right) + \log 2 \left( 2 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i + q_j \right) \right]$$

What's marvelous about this semplification is that the computational complexity decrease from a naive literal interpretation of the initial formula of O(n + m) to  $O(\min(n, m))$  simply choosing to iterate over whichever of the two distributions holds less events.

The process is nearly identical for all other metrics shown below:

### Hellinger

Given two distributions  $P, Q \subseteq X$ , the **Hellinger distance** is defined as follows:

$$H(P,Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in X} \left(\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i}\right)^2}$$

### Achieving the current implementation

Given  $\sum_{i\in P}^n p_i = 1$  and  $\sum_{i\in Q}^m q_i = 1$ , we can proceed by separating the sum inside the squared root into three distinct partitions of X:  $S_1 = i \in P \setminus P \cap Q$ ,  $S_2 = i \in P \cap Q$  and  $S_3 = i \in Q \setminus P \cap Q$ .

$$H(P,Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{H_{S_1}(P,Q) + H_{S_2}(P,Q) + H_{S_3}(P,Q)}$$

Recalling the definitions of  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$ :

$$p_i = \begin{cases} p_i & i \in P \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}, \quad q_i = \begin{cases} q_i & i \in Q \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

We begin from  $H_{S_1}(P, Q)$ :

$$\begin{split} H_{S_1}(P,Q) &= \sum_{i \in P \times P \cap Q} \left( \sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in P \times P \cap Q} \left( \sqrt{p_i} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in P \times P \cap Q} p_i \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} p_i \end{split}$$

We solve  $H_{S_2}(P, Q)$ :

$$H_{S_2}(P, Q) = \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \left( \sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i} \right)^2$$

Now we solve  $H_{S_3}(P,Q)$ :

$$\begin{split} H_{S_3}(P,Q) &= \sum_{i \in Q \times P \cap Q} q_i \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} q_i \end{split}$$

Now, putting it all togheter we have:

$$\begin{split} H_{S_1}(P,Q) + H_{S_2}(P,Q) + H_{S_3}(P,Q) &= 2 + \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \left[ \left( \sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i} \right)^2 - p_i - q_i \right] \\ &= 2 + \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} - 2\sqrt{p_i q_i} \\ &= 2 \left( 1 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \sqrt{p_i q_i} \right) \end{split}$$

So the Hellinger distance is redefined as:

$$H(P,Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2 \left( 1 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \sqrt{p_i q_i} \right)}$$
$$= \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i \in P \cap Q} \sqrt{p_i q_i}}$$

### In [20]:

```
metrics = [
   jensen_shannon,
   normal_total_variation,
```

```
intersection total variation,
   kullback leibler,
   intersection squared hellinger,
   hellinger,
   squared hellinger
]
In [21]:
for metric in metrics:
    print function(metric)
def jensen shannon(a: dict, b: dict)->float:
    """Return the jensen shannon divergence beetween a and b."""
    total = 0
    delta = 0
    big, small = sort(a, b)
    big_get = big.__getitem__
    for key, small value in small.items():
        try:
            big_value = big_get(key)
            if big_value:
                denominator = (big value + small value) / 2
                total += small_value * log(small_value / denominator
) + \
                     big value * log(big value / denominator)
                delta += big_value + small_value
        except KeyError:
            pass
    total += (2 - delta) * log(2)
    return total / 2
def normal_total_variation(a: dict, b: dict) -> float:
    """Determine the Normalized Total Variation distance."""
    big, small = sort(a, b)
    big get = big. getitem
    total = 2
    for k, small_value in small.items():
        try:
            big value = big get(k)
            if big_value:
                total += abs(big value - small value) - big value -
small_value
        except KeyError:
            pass
    return total / 2
def intersection total variation(a: dict, b: dict, overlap: bool=Fal
se)->float:
    """Return the total distance beetween the intersection of a and
 b."""
    return intersection_nth_variation(a, b, 1, overlap)
```

```
def kullback leibler(a: dict, b: dict) -> float:
    """Determine the Kullback Leibler divergence."""
    total = 0
    big, small = sort(a, b)
    big get = big. getitem
    for key, small_value in a.items():
        try:
            big value = big get(key)
            if big value:
                total += small value * log(small value / big value)
        except KeyError:
            pass
    return total
def intersection squared hellinger(a: dict, b: dict) -> float:
    """Determine the Intersection Squared Hellinger distance."""
    total = 0
    big, small = sort(a, b)
    big get = big. getitem
    for key, small value in small.items():
        try:
            total += (sqrt(small value) - sqrt(big get(key)))**2
        except KeyError:
            pass
    return total
def hellinger(a: dict, b: dict) -> float:
    """Determine the Hellinger distance."""
    try:
        v = squared hellinger(a, b)
        return sqrt(v)
    except ValueError as e:
        if isclose(v, 0, abs_tol=1e-15):
            return 0
        raise e
def squared hellinger(a: dict, b: dict) -> float:
    """Determine the Squared Hellinger distance."""
    total = 1
    big, small = sort(a, b)
    big get = big. getitem
    for key, small_value in small.items():
        try:
            total -= sqrt(small value * big get(key))
        except KeyError:
            pass
    return total
```

### The options

We will use the following options for learning and testing. More informations about options' customizations is available <a href="here">here</a>. In this test we use simply the default settings (a plain zipf) with no stop word removal or cardinality removal.

### A couple examples

Possible options could be to remove english or italian stop words (the stop words list is in the package zipf):

```
{
    "remove_stop_words": false, # Removes stop words
    "stop_words": "it" # Removes italian stop words
}

{
    "remove_stop_words": false, # Removes stop words
    "stop_words": "en" # Removes english stop words
}
```

Or to remove words that appear less than a given time:

```
{
   "minimum_count": 1, # Removes words that appear less than 'minimum_coun
t'
}
```

Chaining options are available but the current implementation uses too much memory to be of practically usable.

```
In [22]:

options = {}
```

### **Creating the Zipfs**

We will now convert all the chapters in the dataset into the respective zipf for each option.

```
In [23]:
```

We define the paths for zipfs and their sources:

```
In [24]:
```

```
def get_build_paths(dataset):
    """Return a triple with the build paths for given dataset."""
    learning_path = "%s/learning" % dataset
    testing_path = "%s/testing" % dataset
    zipfs_path = '%s/zipfs' % dataset

print(
    "I will build learning zipfs from %s,\ntesting zipfs from %s\nand save t
hem in %s\n"
    % (b(learning_path), b(testing_path), b(zipfs_path)))
    return learning_path, testing_path, zipfs_path
```

First we create the learning zipfs:

### In [25]:

And then the testing zipfs:

### In [26]:

We create a factory for creating the zipfs objects from files with the options defined above. More informations about factory customization and other possible factories is available <a href="here">here</a>.

```
In [27]:
```

```
factory = ZipfFromDir(options=options)
print("Created a factory with options %s" % (factory))
```

```
Created a factory with options {
   "remove_stop_words": false,
   "stop_words": "it",
   "minimum_count": 0,
   "chain_min_len": 1,
   "chain_max_len": 1,
   "chaining_character": " ",
   "sort": false
}
```

Wake up zipfs factory daemons:

```
In [28]:
```

```
factory.start_processes()
```

Actually creating the zipfs:

```
In [29]:
```

```
for dataset in datasets:
    print("Building dataset %s" % (b(dataset)))
    learning_path, testing_path, zipfs_path = get_build_paths(dataset)
    build_learning_zipfs(learning_path, zipfs_path)
    build_testing_zipfs(testing_path, zipfs_path)
    print(gray('=' * frame_number))
```

```
Building dataset authors
I will build learning zipfs from authors/learning,
testing zipfs from authors/testing
and save them in authors/zipfs
```

Creating learning zipfs in authors/learning Some of the paths I'm converting are:

### Learning data paths

authors/learning/twain

authors/learning/dh\_lawrence

authors/learning/wilde

Creating testing zipfs in authors/testing

## Testing data paths authors/testing/twain/3275 authors/testing/twain/320 authors/testing/wilde/florentine-tragedy authors/testing/dh\_lawrence/4483 authors/testing/wilde/2252 authors/testing/twain/3297 authors/testing/wilde/2305 authors/testing/dh\_lawrence/fantasia-of-unconscious

### Testing data paths authors/testing/wilde/2317 authors/testing/twain/3259

Building dataset **periods**I will build learning zipfs from **periods/learning**,
testing zipfs from **periods/testing**and save them in **periods/zipfs** 

Creating learning zipfs in periods/learning Some of the paths I'm converting are:

## Learning data paths periods/learning/romanticism periods/learning/realism periods/learning/naturalism periods/learning/modernism

Creating testing zipfs in periods/testing

| Testing data paths                      |
|---|
| periods/testing/romanticism/579         |
| periods/testing/modernism/3452          |
| periods/testing/romanticism/550         |
| periods/testing/romanticism/2124        |
| periods/testing/romanticism/4545        |
| periods/testing/romanticism/491         |
| periods/testing/modernism/3484          |
| periods/testing/romanticism/143         |
| periods/testing/modernism/blanco-posnet |
| periods/testing/realism/indian-summer   |

\_\_\_\_\_

Building dataset recipes
I will build learning zipfs from recipes/learning,
testing zipfs from recipes/testing
and save them in recipes/zipfs

Creating learning zipfs in recipes/learning Some of the paths I'm converting are:

| Learning data paths          |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| recipes/learning/recipes     |  |
| recipes/learning/non_recipes |  |

### Creating testing zipfs in recipes/testing

# Testing data paths recipes/testing/non\_recipes/409d884a6896b8673a0643cb615a7d4b recipes/testing/non\_recipes/7e36a2f244dbce34106e0b1c9b9b41ca recipes/testing/recipes/955d93252cccff4b4d7943b8e678e367 recipes/testing/recipes/63ec182e7c66babebcd4a2cc487b098b recipes/testing/non\_recipes/b456e579f6cd6939e5013d250c7fe0ab recipes/testing/non\_recipes/71eb580781257553cd6c850c8144492d recipes/testing/non\_recipes/efdce7aa0c2c0c3c61f96ccc77e0f029 recipes/testing/non\_recipes/9edf96e7b7f8251c85c14f64e9df15d7 recipes/testing/non\_recipes/fd68ae7002749fe0e63a581fead0ff35 recipes/testing/non\_recipes/9598041f7ffb1393f1a02f3f81d127d8

\_\_\_\_\_

Slaying daemons:

```
In [30]:
```

```
factory.close processes()
```

### **Creating the Classifier**

Now we have rendered the learning. Let's run some tests!

The classifier works as follows:

Given a function z(d):  $W^u - > [0, 1]^v$ ,  $u \le v$  a function to convert a document into a zipf where d is a list of words and W is the domain of possible words, a metric m(P,Q):  $[0,1]^n \times [0,1]^m - > R$ , a learning set L of k tuples  $(l_i, Z_i)$ , where  $l_i$  is the label of the set of zipfs  $Z_i$ , we proceed to classify a given document d via two steps:

- 1. Convert the document d to zipf:  $z_d = z(d)$
- 2. Predicted label is  $l^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{l_i} \left\{ (l_i, Z_i) : \frac{1}{\#\{Z_i\}} \sum_{z \in Z_i} m(z_d, z) \right\}$ , where  $\#\{Z_i\}$  is the cardinality of  $Z_i$ .

```
In [31]:
```

```
def get_classifier_paths(dataset):
    """Return paths for classifier, given a dataset."""
    zipfs_path = get_build_paths(dataset)[2]
    learning_zipfs_path = "%s/learning" % zipfs_path
    testing_zipfs_path = "%s/testing" % zipfs_path
    return learning_zipfs_path, testing_zipfs_path
```

```
In [32]:
```

### In [33]:

### In [34]:

```
def metrics_test(classifier, test_couples):
    """Run test on all metrics usable on zipfs."""
    global metrics
    for metric in metrics:
        results = classifier.test(test_couples, metric)
        success(results, metric)
```

First we create the classifier with the options set above:

```
In [35]:
classifier = ZipfClassifier(options)
print("We're using a classifier with options %s" % classifier)

We're using a classifier with options {
    "sort": false
}

In [36]:
```

```
for dataset in datasets:
    print("Testing dataset %s" % (b(dataset)))
    learning_zipfs_path, testing_zipfs_path = get_classifier_paths(dataset)
    print(gray('-' * frame_number))
    load_zipfs(classifier, learning_zipfs_path)
    print(gray('-' * frame_number))
    test_couples = load_test_couples(testing_zipfs_path)
    print(gray('-' * frame_number))
    metrics_test(classifier, test_couples)
    classifier.clear()
    print(gray('=' * frame_number))
```

Testing dataset authors

I will build learning zipfs from authors/learning, testing zipfs from authors/testing and save them in authors/zipfs

\_\_\_\_\_

Loading zipfs from authors/zipfs/learning

| Path                                    | Class       |
|---|-------------|
| authors/zipfs/learning/dh_lawrence.json | dh_lawrence |
| authors/zipfs/learning/twain.json       | twain       |
| authors/zipfs/learning/wilde.json       | wilde       |

-----

Loading tests from authors/zipfs/testing

| Path  | Class       |
|---|-------------|
| authors/zipfs/testing/twain/double-barrelled.json | twain       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/twain/3294.json             | twain       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/wilde/2280.json             | wilde       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/dh_lawrence/3484.json       | dh_lawrence |
| authors/zipfs/testing/wilde/2299.json             | wilde       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/twain/3277.json             | twain       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/wilde/2318.json             | wilde       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/dh_lawrence/3487.json       | dh_lawrence |
| authors/zipfs/testing/wilde/2288.json             | wilde       |
| authors/zipfs/testing/twain/323.json              | twain       |

-----

Success with metric jensen\_shannon: 79.38%

| Info     | Values |
|----------|--------|
| success  | 127    |
| failures | 32     |

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| unclassified                  | 1         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0180276 |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 16        |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 16        |

Success with metric normal\_total\_variation: 73.75%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 118       |
| failures                      | 42        |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0265837 |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 15        |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 21        |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for twain | 5         |
| Mistook twain for wilde       | 1         |

Success with metric intersection\_total\_variation: 78.75%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 126       |
| failures                      | 34        |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0366234 |
| Mistook twain for wilde       | 3         |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 10        |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for wilde | 4         |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 14        |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for twain | 2         |
| Mistook twain for dh_lawrence | 1         |

Success with metric kullback\_leibler: 60.0%

| Info                          | Values   |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| success                       | 96       |
| failures                      | 64       |
| unclassified                  | 0        |
| mean_delta                    | 0.123656 |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for wilde | 26       |

| Info                          | Values |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Mistook twain for wilde       | 20     |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 13     |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 1      |
| Mistock twein for db lawrence | 1      |

Success with metric intersection squared hellinger: 87.5%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 140       |
| failures                      | 20        |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0367975 |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 8         |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 10        |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for twain | 1         |
| Mistook dh_lawrence for wilde | 1         |

Success with metric hellinger: 81.25%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 130       |
| failures                      | 30        |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0217585 |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 15        |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 15        |

Success with metric squared\_hellinger: 81.25%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 130       |
| failures                      | 30        |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0259997 |
| Mistook wilde for dh_lawrence | 15        |
| Mistook wilde for twain       | 15        |

\_\_\_\_\_

Testing dataset **periods** 

I will build learning zipfs from periods/learning, testing zipfs from periods/testing and save them in periods/zipfs

Tooding ginfs from noviods/ginfs/loovning

| Path                                    | Class       |
|---|-------------|
| periods/zipfs/learning/romanticism.json | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/learning/realism.json     | realism     |
| periods/zipfs/learning/naturalism.json  | naturalism  |
| periods/zipfs/learning/modernism.json   | modernism   |

\_\_\_\_\_

Loading tests from periods/zipfs/testing

| Path   | Class       |
|--|-------------|
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/680.json             | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/modernism/sea-and-sardinia.json  | modernism   |
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/158.json             | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/fugitive-pieces.json | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/3882.json            | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/129.json             | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/modernism/misalliance.json       | modernism   |
| periods/zipfs/testing/romanticism/684.json             | romanticism |
| periods/zipfs/testing/modernism/in-the-cage.json       | modernism   |
| periods/zipfs/testing/realism/4137.json                | realism     |

-----

Success with metric jensen\_shannon: 63.77%

| Info                               | Values     |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| success                            | 551        |
| failures                           | 313        |
| unclassified                       | 0          |
| mean_delta                         | 0.00737391 |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 16         |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 137        |
| Mistook modernism for realism      | 31         |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 25         |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 11         |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 27         |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 5          |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 16         |

| Info                               | Values |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 10     |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 23     |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 3      |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 9      |

Success with metric normal\_total\_variation: 58.8%

| Info                               | Values    |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| success                            | 508       |
| failures                           | 356       |
| unclassified                       | 0         |
| mean_delta                         | 0.0114856 |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 20        |
| Mistook modernism for realism      | 27        |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 133       |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 26        |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 37        |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 24        |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 17        |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 8         |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 24        |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 12        |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 3         |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 25        |

Success with metric intersection\_total\_variation: 59.49%

| Info                               | Values    |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| success                            | 514       |
| failures                           | 350       |
| unclassified                       | 0         |
| mean_delta                         | 0.0246552 |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 40        |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 30        |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 85        |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 23        |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 63        |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 21        |

| Info                               | Values |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Mistook modernism for realism      | 8      |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 17     |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 33     |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 8      |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 20     |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 2      |

Success with metric kullback\_leibler: 63.54%

| Info                               | Values   |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| success                            | 549      |
| failures                           | 315      |
| unclassified                       | 0        |
| mean_delta                         | 0.116207 |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 25       |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 15       |
| Mistook modernism for realism      | 33       |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 55       |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 10       |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 40       |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 41       |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 34       |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 26       |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 15       |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 14       |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 7        |

Success with metric intersection\_squared\_hellinger: 79.51%

| Info                             | Values    |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| success                          | 687       |
| failures                         | 177       |
| unclassified                     | 0         |
| mean_delta                       | 0.0207118 |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism | 24        |
| Mistook realism for romanticism  | 44        |
| Mistook realism for naturalism   | 10        |
| Mistook modernism for realism    | 7         |

| Info                               | Values |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 36     |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 4      |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 11     |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 7      |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 12     |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 9      |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 9      |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 4      |

Success with metric hellinger: 65.39%

| Info                               | Values     |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| success                            | 565        |
| failures                           | 299        |
| unclassified                       | 0          |
| mean_delta                         | 0.00946594 |
| Mistook modernism for realism      | 27         |
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 137        |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 24         |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 10         |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 6          |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 32         |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 4          |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 17         |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 7          |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 27         |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 4          |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 4          |

Success with metric squared\_hellinger: 65.39%

| Info                          | Values    |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| success                       | 565       |
| failures                      | 299       |
| unclassified                  | 0         |
| mean_delta                    | 0.0107078 |
| Mistook modernism for realism | 27        |

| Info                               | Values |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Mistook romanticism for realism    | 137    |
| Mistook realism for romanticism    | 24     |
| Mistook modernism for naturalism   | 10     |
| Mistook romanticism for naturalism | 6      |
| Mistook naturalism for realism     | 32     |
| Mistook realism for naturalism     | 4      |
| Mistook naturalism for modernism   | 17     |
| Mistook realism for modernism      | 7      |
| Mistook modernism for romanticism  | 27     |
| Mistook naturalism for romanticism | 4      |
| Mistook romanticism for modernism  | 4      |

\_\_\_\_\_

Testing dataset recipes
I will build learning zipfs from recipes/learning, testing zipfs from recipes/testing and save them in recipes/zipfs

Tanding -info form washing /-info/12-2-

Loading zipfs from recipes/zipfs/learning

| Path                                    | Class       |
|---|-------------|
| recipes/zipfs/learning/non_recipes.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/learning/recipes.json     | recipes     |

\_\_\_\_\_

Loading tests from recipes/zipfs/testing

|   | I           |
|---|-------------|
| Path  | Class       |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/3d42a56c681d56a73985877ba3c8bd6f.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/7c3d10eb81a2660e81cff3c3b41a951e.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/recipes/e2cd675ec121020b224e5118b5ae4d1b.json     | recipes     |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/recipes/2a90bc4cd74f6bbc578c9c5d8fda741f.json     | recipes     |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/bfbc1b5eee1d423942b8b0b55f82bbff.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/6c41a532214cc6dfdbcc9b3bb5be0630.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/c31a242182356ea14acf080f628b03cc.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/bbecd5cafac80b5441765704a18db2c3.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/3a2b4e9cb1130e371f12e48525e2e190.json | non_recipes |
| recipes/zipfs/testing/non_recipes/38928442e96835f1897ab5c1cb4276a3.json | non_recipes |

-----

Success with metric jensen\_shannon: 85.71%

| Info                            | Values    |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| success                         | 6183      |
| failures                        | 1030      |
| unclassified                    | 1         |
| mean_delta                      | 0.0484755 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 1030      |

Success with metric normal\_total\_variation: 72.04%

| Info                            | Values    |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| success                         | 5197      |
| failures                        | 2016      |
| unclassified                    | 1         |
| mean_delta                      | 0.0538705 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 2016      |

Success with metric intersection\_total\_variation: 93.64%

| Info                            | Values    |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| success                         | 6755      |
| failures                        | 459       |
| unclassified                    | 0         |
| mean_delta                      | 0.0799341 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 458       |
| Mistook recipes for non_recipes | 1         |

Success with metric kullback\_leibler: 28.64%

| Info                            | Values   |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| success                         | 2066     |
| failures                        | 5148     |
| unclassified                    | 0        |
| mean_delta                      | 0.848787 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 5148     |

Success with metric intersection\_squared\_hellinger: 99.57%

| Info         | Values |
|--------------|--------|
| success      | 7183   |
| failures     | 31     |
| unclassified | 0      |

| Info                            | Values   |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| mean_delta                      | 0.148349 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 31       |

Success with metric hellinger: 92.47%

| Info                            | Values    |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| success                         | 6671      |
| failures                        | 541       |
| unclassified                    | 2         |
| mean_delta                      | 0.0540858 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 541       |

Success with metric squared hellinger: 92.49%

| Info                            | Values    |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| success                         | 6672      |
| failures                        | 541       |
| unclassified                    | 1         |
| mean_delta                      | 0.0821632 |
| Mistook non_recipes for recipes | 541       |

### **Root of errors**

Here follows a list of some of the possible cause of errors I have diagnosed in the dataset formulation:

### Cardinality of difference of sets

The difference of the two sets has an important effect on the good result of the classification: if  $\#\{P \setminus P \cap Q\} >> \#\{Q \setminus P \cap Q\}$  the metric should include only the intersection. It is for this reason that the intersection\_squared\_hellinger metric works best generally, but expecially in these situation such in the case of datasets **periods**.

### **Small texts**

When a text is significantly smaller than the average element in the learning set it will only be marked as a false positive or negative. In these datasets I have removed elements with less than 200 characters for this reason, since they do not offer enough informations for a significant classification.

### **Conclusions**

The classification method proposed, expecially using the intersection\_squared\_hellinger is extremely fast: in average it converts to zipf an average recepy and test it in **1.06 ms**  $\pm$  **50.3 \mus**. It also is, in the case of web articles in particular, in average, correct and consistent: it could be used as a fast

catalogation tecnique for focused web crawlers as a part of the filters to remove unwanted content. Combinations of the distances proposed might bring an higher success rate.

### **Test computer specifications**

The computer on which the metrics where timed had the following specifications:

| Computer specifications |               |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Model Name              | MacBook Pro   |
| Processor Name          | Intel Core i7 |
| Processor Speed         | 2.3 GHz       |
| Number of Processors    | 1             |
| Total Number of Cores   | 4             |
| L2 Cache (per Core)     | 256 KB        |
| L3 Cache                | 6 MB          |
| Memory                  | 16 GB         |

### **Future works**

In the near future, I'll develop the classifier using a learning algoritms to determine which combinations of distances achieves the best success rate. Also, I'll be trying to use this classifier as a way to power an autonomous crawler starting from the current implementation of an other project of mine <u>TinyCrawler</u>.