

Level 5 Exemplar and comments

Paper 1 Question 1

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$\geq 25$											

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

- a) The Western treatment become more and more popular. According to Source A, people use Chinese treatment decrease while Western treatment increase. Chinese treatment decrease rapidly from 63.2% in 1912 into 38.22% in 1936 for in-patients, out-patients from 91.2% in 1912 to 83.08% in 1936, while Western treatment increase 10% from 36.8% in 1912 into 61.78% in 1936 while out-patients also increased 10%. So these can see Western treatment become much more popular.
- b) From many high ranking official attending the opening ceremony of Kawang Wah Hospital, we can see it enjoyed high social status. According to Source B, the Governor of Hong Kong, members of Legislative Council also attend his opening ceremony. So we can see it enjoy high social status.
- c) I agree. Because in cultural, medical and living style, we can see tradition and modernity co-existed. According to Source A, in the aspect of medical service, Chinese and Western

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

treatment and co-existed in hospital. Although western treatment become popular, both treatment still have many people to use. In 1936, there were 38.22% and 83.08% of people using Chinese treatment for in-patients and out-patients. 61.78% and 16.92% of people using western treatment. While people think that Chinese treatment is traditional treatment and western treatment is modern treatment. Both can provided for people that means the co-existence of tradition and modernity.

According to Source B, it shows that the clothing style of co-existence. In the photo, some people are wearing suits while some people wearing traditional Chinese clothing. That means the tradition which is Chinese clothing and modernity is suits are co-existence in Hong Kong.

According to my own knowledge, the building style of Hong Kong are also show its co-existence of tradition and modernity.

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>										
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

Many building in Hong Kong are building in western style such as the court in Hong Kong. These mean in a Asia place having many building in western style. It shows the tradition and modernity co-existed.

Finally, for the transportation, Hong Kong has different modern transportation facilities such as trams and railway, while at that time, Hong Kong still have many traditional transportation facilities such as rickshaws.

so we can

In conclusion, we can see the tradition and modernity co-existence in different aspects.

Paper 1 Question 2

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
											$\geq 25$

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

- a) The Nobel Committee believed that USSR is having or unstable big change in different aspect. According to Source C, now USSR is having heroic struggle to overcome the huge economic, social and political problems and they are watching the situation of USSR. That mean USSR at that time is unstable.
- b) Gorbachev's governance is to decentralizing with Soviet states. According to Source D, Gorbachev would not be deployed without mercy to punish the regime's opposition and removing the administrative and repressive machine on which the Soviet State depended. So we can see Gorbachev is to release its control to Soviet states and citizen, so the nature is to decentralizing with citizens and states.
- c) I don't agree. Although he is promoting world peace and he end of cold war, he is not the able leader inside of USSR. According to Source C, at 49%

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

Soviet Union is having a dramatic and heroic struggle to overcome the huge economic, social and political problems and USSR has a struggle with a sense of brotherhood. For Gorbachev, he became the leader in 1985. After 5 years he created such kind of problem. So he is not an able leader inside USSR.

According to Source D, his opening policy in political aspect cause a great problem. It meant that at that time USSR became a so-called communist society was only a dying state with its anxious citizens. So we can see his decentralising tendencies of USSR has create a bad result.

According to my own knowledge, Gorbachev has create lot of social, economic instability after the Perestroika and Glasnost which is political and economic reform. Since the reform is too aggressive.

It leads to hyper inflation of the country and daily products has come to a shortage. People living standard are greatly affected.

So we can see the those facts shows that Gorbachev is not an able leader although he promote world peace.

Paper 1 Question 3

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$\geq 25$											

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

- a) They are ~~extremely~~ <sup>extremely</sup> ~~with~~ <sup>against</sup> foreigny. According to Sun F, after the ~~staff~~ Red Guard ~~member~~ seeing ~~#~~ many imported goods such as coffee, waist, butter, and foreign books. ~~He~~ The Red Guard blame ~~the staff~~ ~~&~~ asking are you a Chinese or foreigner. So we can see that it is a extreme ~~against~~ with foreign in positive and welcomed attitude.
- b) They are very ~~welcomed~~ <sup>towards</sup> with the entry of McDonald's into China. According to Sun F, the Shenzhen's Deputy Mayor attended McDonald's opening ceremony and congratulated McDonald's on behalf of the city government. So we can see, government is in a positive attitude.
- c) Yes, I agree. Reform and Opening-up policy produce a fundamental change of China in Cultural, economic and social aspect. In Cultural aspect, according to Sun F, people are very opposing with foreign thing. The Red Guard blamed

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>									
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	$\geq 25$

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

the member after seeing there are so many imported goods. We can see that people do not welcome foreign things a lot, or even hate them. However, after reform and opening up, people welcome many foreign things. According to Source F, Shenzhen residents go to visit McDonald to buy new things. As McDonald is a foreign thing, we can see they are welcome ~~such~~ foreign thing. It is a totally different attitude toward it.

In economy aspect, there is also a fundamental change. Before reform and opening up, China is isolated himself in to connect with other countries. However, after 1978, they open up and allow foreign investment. So we can see there is a totally difference attitude. Such as McDonald.

According to our knowledge shown in Source F, In social aspect, there is a totally different attitude. Before 1978, the people

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

regards education can only make people  
to become foolish and they do not  
welcome civilians. However after 1978, they  
have 9 years compulsory education  
So we can see they regard education  
is very important.

In conclusion in culture, society, economy, it shows a totally different  
attitude and fundamental change.  
So we can see the policy transformed China.

Paper 1 Question 4

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>											
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$\geq 25$											

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

- a) The miscalculation of <sup>Germany towards power of</sup> British ~~power~~. According to Source G, wearing the helmet that represent Germany seeing the lion is too small through <sup>that means they are easy to defeat if</sup> hohenzollern glasses. However, with naked eye, they see the British lion is ~~far~~ <sup>further</sup> bigger than ~~it~~ them. So we can see there is a miscalculation of <sup>power of</sup> Germany towards ~~British~~.
- b) He would not. Because he think people are ignorant of the horrors of war. According to Source H, the politician think that people are work in Germany's young and old's mind and they are so ignorant of the horror of war. So the author would not support because he think participate in war <sup>nor cause a</sup> & ~~is~~ horror result.
- c) I agree. Because if they know the horror of war, ~~be~~ they would not participate in it. According to Source G, Germany think that British is just a little lion

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

that can be easily defeat through hollow  
 glasses, which wear a Germany's king family think British  
 is easily to defeat. However, with a naked  
 eye, that means the fact, the ~~the~~ British  
 lion is far bigger than they that mean  
 they are defeated by British. So we can  
 see Germany is too confident and miscalculate  
 the ~~the~~ power of Britain.

According to Source H, there are miscalculations  
 of German people, the author mentions that  
 those citizen are warlike and they are  
 ignorant at the horror of war. So  
 we can see that the warlike attitude  
 due to they have too confident toward  
 their country. That mean they are ~~not~~ having  
~~countries~~ miscalculations which is exaggerate their  
 power and minimize other power.

According to my own knowledge, there  
 are also miscalculations of ~~the~~ other  
 European powers. Because both allied  
 Treaties are secret and they may

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

not know the allied relationship of other  
power such as Triple Alliance and Triple  
Entente. So they may over estimated of  
~~what~~ the power of other countries  
which they may not notice of allied power  
before the war.

~~So~~ I agree that First world war  
was caused primarily by the miscalculus  
of European power. <sup>extreme nationalism  
allied</sup>

Some people may think that ~~extreme nationalism~~  
is the main reason because that made  
people to become warlike. However I don't  
agree because if they had not if they  
don't think that they have enough power  
to against other countries, the war  
would not start.

Paper 2 Question 4

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
											$\geq 25$

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

WWII is unavoidable due to several reasons which are the revengeful feeling brought by Paris Peace Conference, failing of collective security and the policies of several countries.

First of all, the revengeful feeling brought by Paris Peace Conference unavoidable. During Paris Peace Conference, many unequal treaties was adopted to Germany in order to punish her for avoiding other war and satisfy France's revengeful feeling brought by Franco-Prussian War. For example, Germany need to pay a huge indemnity of £66 billion dollars, reduce its military to 100000 army, ceded Polish Corridor to Poland and Germany have to respond for the sole responsibility of causing WWI. These cause a great revengeful of Germany that Germany lost its territorial autonomy such as demilitarize Rhineland, great financial burden, lost its military autonomy and a great humilitation of Germany. Therefore, Germany was extremely discontent so they seek a strong leader to take their national glory and converge to victorious power, also they seek help to regain its economic power. Therefore, it made

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

the use of Hitler and create several such as invade Austria, Czechoslovakia and Poland.  
 Therefore, when British, France do not tolerate Germany expansion. So the war broke out. The reason why it is unavoidable is Paris Peace Conference is the root of making Germany discontent and it makes the rise of Hitler which is a totalitarian leader. Paris Peace Conference make the Germany very weak so they seek help from those kind of way to regain national glory.  
 And Paris Peace Conference such kind of unequal treaties are unavoidable as it needs to satisfy France's revengeful feeling of Franco-Prussian War.  
 So, Paris Peace Conference is unavoidable and revengeful feeling is also unavoidable due to those treaties, so they need to revenge of being foreign expansion is also unavoidable because they need to have those extreme nationalism from war or from invading other countries. And Britain and France cannot see the expansion of Germany unwillingly because Germany will become stronger and stronger than Britain and France cannot counterbalance him. So WWI is unavoidable.

For Italy, it is the similar situation that Italy can

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>											

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

not get what the land he want during WWI that Britain and France Promise which is Fiume and Dalmatia. So this make Italy, as the victorious power, also discontent with Britain and France. So nevergetful feeling is unavoidable. So the rise of totalitarian is also unavoidable cos those people promise to get Italy's national glory and becoming a strong countries. So invasion is also unavoidable with similar situation with Germany.

Secondly, The failure of collective security also make WWII unavoidable. During 1919-1939, several attempts of collective security also failed. which are League of Nations, Treaties and Conferences. First of all, for League of Nations, it only can solve small conflicts such as the Greece Conflict and Fyialand's conflicts. But it can not solve the conflict between large countries, such as Italy invading Abyssinia and also Italy and Germany has get out from the League of Nations. It make those countries thinks that the effectiveness of League of Nations is low so they continue

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

to carry out invasion. However, the League of Nations' failure is unavoidable because it has not enough power and fatal problem such as without the joining of US and all participant of League of Nations are actively to punish the countries. Such as League of Nations have economic punishment to Italy after the Invasion of Abyssinia. Only 4 countries have to really do the punishment. So we can see the power and the fatal problem make failure of League of Nations unavoidable.

For the treaties and Conference, they have sign and join different treaties and Conference such as London Naval Treaties, Locarno Pact, Kellogg-Briand Pact and Geneva Conference. Although some of the treaties or Conference has make promises to agree not to invade other countries, however not binding power so it is only a blank of paper. For the Geneva Conference, there are strong afraid of countries to disavowance since they afraid other countries not to do the same thing.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>											

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

So these kind of failure make the Germany and Italy think that collective security is in low effectiveness. Therefore this encourage them to invasion and finally war is broke out. Thus the A is unavoidable since the collective security is unavoidably to have failure.

Last but not least, the policies of several countries also made the war unavoidable. For Britain and France, they have adopted appeasement policy, which they have no choice other than to appease at that time because the power of Britain and France is not enough to counterbalance Germany so starting a war is not wise. So it made Germany unlimited desire and continue to invade Czechoslovakia, Poland and finally they think that Germany have unlimited desire so they have to stop them at that time. So war is unavoidable.

For Nazi-Soviet Non aggression pact, it is also unavoidable for USSR because USSR, Soviet Germany invade Eastward at USSR's direction. So they have no choice to sign it

as to keep peace to USSR's own interest. But Germany have to declare a war on Britain and France and WWII broke out. So war is also unavoidable.

In conclusion, WWII is unavoidable.

Paper 2 Question 5

試題編號 Question No.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

We can divide the relationship between US and USSR in 5 stages, which is 1943-45 in a good relationship, 1945-63 in a bad relationship, 1963-79 is good relationship, 1979-85 in a worse relationship and 1985-91 in a good relationship.

First of all, in 1943-45 they are in an allied relationship. US and USSR are in the same power which is Allied Power to against Axis Power. From the Potsdam Conference we can see that US and USSR are attend in the same conference to discuss the after war settlement in WWII. We can see that the friendly relationship of US and USSR.

The reason why they have a friendly relation is because they have a common enemy which is Germany in Axis Power. As Germany fight USSR and US join the war to the Allied Power to against Japan in Axis Power. So they have the common enemy which is the Axis Power. So they

## 試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	$\geq 25$

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

have a friendly relations.

After WWII, US is in capitalist block and USSR is in Communist block

Secondly, during 1945-63, their relationship is deteriorated. From 1949, during the Berlin Blockade we can see that USSR block their road to the connection between US and Berlin. So we can see its tense relations. From 1947 and 1949, US adopt Marshall Plan while USSR adopt Molotov Plan which follow other's action on European Recovery. And also US have NATO in 1949 while Warsaw Pact in 1955 in term of military allied. We can see US and USSR are counter-balance each other. They have also attended in to several local war which is Korean War<sup>in 1950-53</sup> and Vietnam War<sup>in 1965-75</sup> which US support South Korean and South Vietnam with support capitalist and USSR support North Korea and North Vietnam which is communist to fight against each other. We can see that the relationship is very tense at that time in US and USSR.

The reason why they have a tense relationship is due to the ideological

In 1962, Cuban missile Crisis appear and nearly war broke out between US & USSR.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

difference between them. As US is a capitalist country and USSR is a communist country, they have different difference such as in political one is multi-party and democracy while one is one party dictatorship and autocratic. In Economic aspect, one is market economy and one is planned economy. Those difference make they are having interest of conflict as they are afraid of their market of economy will separated by other block. And US and USSR will spread the communism to other countries for order to make the ideological is different from US finally US is isolated. So US do many things to stop the spread of Communism. And their common enemy which is Germany is defeated after WWII. So disappearance of common enemy mean the relationship worse.

Third, in the period of 1963-79, they are in a period of friend relationship of detente. 1963, US and USSR set up a hotline between Warsaw and Washington to build their communication of each other. During 1969-

試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。  
Start each question on a new page.

1979, they have disarmament treaty signed which is SALT I and SALT II. During this period, both the leaders of the countries visit both other countries. So we can see that there is a strong communication between US and USSR. So their relationship is in friend.

For the reason why they have such kind of relationship at that time, it is due to Sino-Soviet relationship worse and the fear of Nuclear War. First, for Sino-Soviet relationship, China condemn USSR is in the way of readjustment and they don't agree with other powers. So their relations get worse and USSR afraid that US will be friendly with China so USSR is isolated. Therefore US went to adopt an policy with US. Secondly for the fear of Nuclear War, both US and USSR have many nuclear weapon, if it broke out, both countries are in total loss. The Cuban Missile Crisis show that they are nearly in war. Last but not least, they are in economic expansion too much.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ≥25

每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

For example, US use nearly 50% on military expenditure and it cause a great financial burden.

For the fourth stage, in 1979-85, they are in a worse relationship. As both US and USSR boycott both Olympic game in 1980 and 1984. And <sup>US has</sup> ~~they~~ adopt a 'Star War' toward USSR and it made their relationship worse.

The reason why they have such relationship is because USSR have invaded Afghanistan. It made US very discontent of USSR.

Last, for 1985-1991, they have a good relation each other. As both US and USSR has a visit in both countries and they are having peace keeping treaties signed. Moreover, in 1990, USSR President Gorbachev has a Nobel peace keeping prize to encourage peace keep. As US is ~~a~~ the country share the same opinion with Nobel as they are encourage democracy. So US and USSR has friendly relationship at that time.

## 試題編號 Question No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>								
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	$\geq 25$

1. 每題另起新頁作答。

Start each question on a new page.

2. 補充答題紙不可撕開使用。

Do not tear the supplementary answer sheet ap-

The reason why they have a friend relationship is because of the policy by Gorbachev - Glastnost and Perestroika. Which mean the opening up in policy and return in economy. It is changed into market economy and people have freedom of speech, press, assembly and multi parties are available. Which means both countries' ideological difference are narrowed because those countries are having similar ideology of democracy, market economy.

In Conclusion, there are different relationship during different period.

**Comments**

The script demonstrates comprehensive knowledge of the curriculum content. In Paper 1, the candidate generally managed to answer questions at different levels of difficulty, sometimes performing excellently (such as with Q.3). In Paper 2, the candidate provided logical answers to the questions, despite occasional weak points in the answer to Q.4.