

CHAPTER IV : SOME REGULARITY RESULTS

(IV.1) THEIR MODEL CASE : DIRICHLET ENERGY

let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded open set with. Lip. boundary
and $g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ then consider the following pb.

$$(P) \quad \inf \left\{ E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u|^2 \mid u-g \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \right\}$$

One can show that $\exists ! \bar{u}$ solution to (P). Furthermore \bar{u} satisfies

$$(EVE) \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \varphi \rangle dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

We will show that $\bar{u} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and satisfies the Laplace eq.

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

We speak then of interior regularity. If Ω is C^∞ smooth and $g \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ one can show $\bar{u} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ regular up to the boundary.

Two proofs of regularity : one specific to the context the other one which could be applied to more general pb.

thm (Weierstrass) let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ sati.

$$\int u(x) \Delta \psi(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \quad \textcircled{*}$$

then $u \in C^\infty$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω

Rmk A solution of EVE satisfies $\textcircled{*}$

proof Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$ suff. smee so that.

$$B_R(x) = \{y \mid |y-x| < R\} \subset \Omega$$

let $\mathcal{G}_u = \text{meas}(2B_1(0))$

the idea is to show that

$$\bar{u}(x) = \frac{1}{C_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{\partial B_R(x)} u d\sigma \quad (*)$$

then \bar{u} is independent of R , $\bar{u} \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\bar{u} = u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^d

Step 1 we start by making an appropriate choice of ω in $(*)$

let $R \gg \text{above}$ and choose $\varepsilon \in (0, R)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset (\varepsilon, R)$. Define then

$$\omega(y) = \varphi(|x-y|) \quad \text{and observe}$$

that $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\partial B_\varepsilon(x))$

For $r = |x-y|$ we have

$$\Delta \varphi = \varphi''(r) + \frac{d-1}{r} \varphi'(r) = r^{1-d} \frac{d}{dr} [r^{d-1} \varphi'(r)]$$

From now on we only discuss the case $d \geq 2$

$$\text{let } \psi(r) = \frac{d}{dr} [r^{d-1} \varphi'(r)] \quad (1)$$

and note that $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\varepsilon, R)$ and $\int_\varepsilon^R \psi(r) dr = 0 \quad (2)$

Remark that the converse is also true; namely that given $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\varepsilon, R)$ satisfying (2) we can find $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\varepsilon, R)$ verifying (1) .

Step 2 let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\varepsilon, R)$ set. (2) be arbitrary. Define the φ

and $\omega \gg \text{above}$ and use such ω in $(*)$ we get

since $\omega = 0$ on $R \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \mu \Delta \omega = \int_{B_R(x)} u \Delta \omega = \int_\varepsilon^R \psi(r) r^{1-d} \underbrace{\int_{\partial B_r(x)} u d\sigma dr}_{w(r)} \\ &= \int_\varepsilon^R \psi(r) w(r) dr \end{aligned}$$

and we get $w(r) = \text{const. a.e. } r \in (\varepsilon, R)$

We denote this constant by $\text{const. } \bar{u}(x)$ and by using the fact

that $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary to write

$$w(r) = \varphi_{d-1} \bar{u}(x) \text{ a.e. } r \in (0, R)$$

Step 3 First observe that the above equation is nothing else than (1) with the fact that \bar{u} is independent of r .

We integrate (1) and get

$$\bar{u}(x) = \frac{1}{\text{meas}(B_R(x))} \int_{B_R(x)} u(s) ds \quad (3)$$

From this we deduce that $\bar{u} \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and R be suff. small so that $\overline{B_R(x)} \cup \overline{B_R(y)} \subset \mathbb{R}$ when then have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}(x) - \bar{u}(y)| &= \frac{1}{w_d R^d} \left| \int_{B_R(x)} u(s) ds - \int_{B_R(y)} u(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{w_d R^d} \int_0^1 |u(s)| ds \quad \text{where } O = (B_R(x) \cup B_R(y)) \setminus (B_R(x) \cap B_R(y)) \end{aligned}$$

One can show that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta \text{ s.t. } \text{meas}(\delta) \leq \delta \Rightarrow \int_{\delta} |u(x)| dx \leq \epsilon$
we deduce that \bar{u} is cont.

It remains to prove that $\bar{u} = u$ a.e. \mathbb{R} . This follows from 2.b. thm and the fact $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (3) we have that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the right hand side of (3) is $u(x)$

thm let $k \geq 0$ an integer, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open set with C^{k+2} boundary, $f \in W^{k+2}_0(\Omega)$ and

$$(P') \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}(u) := \int \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + fu \mid u \in W_0^{k+2}(\Omega) \right\}$$

then $\exists \bar{u} \in W^{k+2}(\Omega)$. $\exists \gamma = \gamma(\epsilon, k) > 0$ so that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{W^{k+2}} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{W^{k+2}}$$

In particular if $k=0$ then $\bar{u} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

Rmk (i) Problem (P) and (P') are equivalent. If in (P) the boundary datum $g \in W^{k+2}(R)$, then choose $f = Dg \in W^{k,2}(R)$

(ii) A similar result can be obtained in Hölder spaces under appropriate reg. hypotheses on the boundary and $\beta \in (0,1)$

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{C^{k+2,\beta}} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{C^k B}$$

If $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$ it can also be proved that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{W^{k+2,\alpha}} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{W^{k,1}}$$

These are then known as Calderon-Zigmund estimates
are considerably harder to obtain than those for $\alpha \in 2$!

(iii) Both above results are however false if $\beta=0, \beta=1$
or $\alpha=\infty$ and if $\alpha=1$. This is another reason why
when dealing with parabolic diff. eq. or the
calculus of variations, Sobolev spaces and Hölder spaces
are more appropriate than C^k spaces.

proof We know from all the existence theory we have developed
that (P') has a unique solution $\bar{u} \in W_0^{1,2}(R)$ which
satisfies in addition

$$\int_R \langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \varphi \rangle dx = \int f \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(R).$$

We will only show the interior regularity of \bar{u} ; more precisely
we will show that $f \in W^{k,2}(R)$ implies $\bar{u} \in W^{k+2,\alpha}(R)$
to show the sharper result we refer the interested student
to the §.13 of Gilbarg-Trudinger.

The claim is then equivalent to proving that $\varphi \bar{u} \in W^{k+2,p}(\Omega)$ for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We let $u = \varphi \bar{u}$ and notice that $u \in W^k(\Omega)$ and that it is a weak solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &= \Delta(\varphi \bar{u}) = \varphi \Delta \bar{u} + \bar{u} \Delta \varphi + 2 \langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \varphi \rangle \\ &= -\varphi f + \bar{u} \Delta \varphi + 2 \langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \varphi \rangle = g\end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in W^{k+2}(\Omega)$, $\bar{u} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we have that $g \in L^p(\Omega)$

We have therefore transformed the problem into showing that $\exists u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which satisfies

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle = \int g \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is in fact $W^{2+k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whenever $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

steps We show that $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To achieve this goal we use the method of difference quotients. We introduce the following notation for $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $h \neq 0$ we let

$$(D_h u)(x) = \frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{\|h\|}$$

it easily follows from the characterization of Sobolev spaces that

$$\nabla(D_h u) = D_h(\nabla u)$$

$$\|D_{-h} u\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$$

$$\|D_h u\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma \Rightarrow u \in W^{1,2}$$

where γ is a constant independent of h .

Choose now

$$N(x) = (D_{-h}(D_h u))(x) = \frac{u(x) - u(x+h) - u(x-h)}{\|h\|^2}$$

and observe that since $u \in W^{1,2}$ then $N \in W^{1,2}$

We therefore find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla u, \nabla(D_h(D_h(u))) \rangle = \int g(x) D_h(D_h(u)) dx$$

6

Let us look at LHS

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla u, \nabla(D_h(D_h(u))) \rangle dx &= \frac{1}{|h|^2} \int \langle \nabla u, 2\nabla u - \nabla u(x-h) - \nabla u(x+h) \rangle dx \\ &= \frac{2}{|h|^2} \int |\nabla u|^2 - \langle \nabla u, \nabla u(x+h) \rangle dx = \frac{1}{|h|^2} \int |\nabla u(x+h) - \nabla u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla u(x-h) \rangle = \int \langle \nabla u, \nabla u(x+h) \rangle$$

$$\int |\nabla u(x+h)|^2 = \int |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx$$

So we have just found

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle \nabla u, \nabla(D_h(D_h(u))) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{|h|^2} \int (|\nabla u(x+h) - \nabla u(x)|)^2 dx \\ &= \int |D_h(\nabla u)|^2 dx = \int g(x) D_h(D_h(u)) dx \end{aligned}$$

Applying C-S. and the properties of D_h we get.

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|g\|_{L^2} \|D_h(D_h(u))\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2} \|D_h \nabla u\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|D_h \nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^2}$$

We have indeed obtained
 $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$!

$\nabla u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence

Step 2 this step relies heavily on the form of the equation!

Let now $g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let us show that $u \in W^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The general case of $g \in W^{k,2}$ implying that $u \in W^{2+k,2}$ follows by repeating the argument.

The idea is simple it consists in applying the previous step to $u_{xi} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ and observing that since $Du = g$ then $Du_{xi} = g_{xi}$

For every σ $i=1, \dots, d$

$$\langle \nabla u_{xi}, \nabla \sigma \rangle = \int g_{xi} \sigma \in H_0 \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

it is sufficient to establish it for $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle \nabla u_{xi}, \nabla \sigma \rangle &= \int \langle (\nabla u)_{xi}, \sigma \rangle = - \int \langle \nabla u, (\nabla \sigma)_{xi} \rangle \\ &= - \int \nabla u, \nabla \sigma_{xi} = - \int g \sigma_{xi} = \int g_{xi} \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in W^{1,2}$ then $g_{xi} \in L^2$ and by the previous step we have

$u_{xi} \in W^{3,2}$. Since it holds for every $i=1, \dots, d$ we get

$u \in W^{3,2}$. □

(iv.2) SOME GENERAL RESULTS

The generalization of previous regularity results to the Lagrangian of the form $L(x, u, \nabla u)$ is a different task. However we give here the corresponding theorem without proof.

Thm Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded set and $L \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ open. Let L satisfy for all $(x, z, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\gamma_1 V^2 \leq L(x, z, p) \leq \gamma_2 V^2$$

$$|D_p L|, |D_{xp} L|, |D_{zz} L|, |D_{zp} L| \leq \gamma_3 V^{d-1}$$

$$|D_{pp} L|, |D_{zz} L| \leq \gamma_3 V^{d-2}$$

$$\gamma_4 V^{d-1} |\lambda|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d D_{p_i p_i} L(x_i, p) \leq \gamma_5 V^{d-2} |\lambda|^2 \quad [8]$$

where $\alpha \geq 2$, $V^2 = 1 + z^2 + |p|^2$ and $\gamma_i > 0$ $i=1, \dots, 5$ are constants.

Then any minimizer of

$$(P) \inf \left\{ E(u) := \int L(x, u, \nabla u) dx \mid u - g \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \right\}$$

is in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ for every $D \subset \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega$.

Rank The last hyp. implies a kind of uniform convexity of $p \mapsto L(x, z, p)$. It guarantees the uniform ellipticity of the E-L eq.

The proof of this theorem relies on the De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory. During the proof one transforms the non linear E-L into an elliptic linear equation with bounded measurable coefficients.

Therefore to obtain the desired regularity one needs to know the regularity of solutions of such equations and this is precisely the famous theorem first established by De Giorgi then simplified by Nash and also proved at the same time but independently by Moser.

Thm Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open bounded set and $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a sol. of

$$\sum \int [\alpha_{ij}(x) \nabla x_i(x) \cdot \nabla x_j(x)] dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and denoting by $\gamma > 0$ a constant

$$\sum \alpha_{ij}(x) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq \gamma |\lambda|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

then $\exists 0 < \beta < 1$ so that $u \in C^{\alpha, \beta}(\Omega)$ for every $D \subset \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega$.

Rmk It is interesting to understand at least formally the relationship between the two theorems in the case in which $L \subset L(p)$. The coefficients $a_{ij}(x)$ and the fact $\pi = u_{xi} \in W^{1,2}$ is proved by the method of difference quotients