1 1. Intro 1.1 FOC

$$\begin{aligned} \max(c_1, c_2) &\geq 0, s \ u \ (c_1, c_2) \ \text{ s.t. } c_2 = e_2 + (e_1 - c_1) \ (1 + r) \\ &\frac{\partial u (c_1, c_2)}{\partial c_1} - \lambda (1 + r) = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial u (c_1, c_2)}{\partial c_2} - \lambda = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

1.2 Useful assumptions on utility

$$u(c_1, c_2) = v(c_1) + \beta v(c_2)$$
 (2)

Examples

CARA:
$$v(c) = -\exp(-c)$$

CRRA: $v(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \quad \Box \neq 1$ (3)
 $\log : \quad v(c) = \log(c)$

1.3 Inter-temporal equilibrium model

- H households and two periods, t=1,2
- single, perishable good and no production
- each household has some utility over consumption and exogenous endowments.
 They can write debt-contracts, i.e. they can borrow and save at a market prices (1+r)

Market clearing ⇒ borrowing = saving

1.4 Competitive equilibrium - implicit assumptions

- price taking
- market-clearing
- rationality (Super strong assumption)
- rational expectations (super strong assumption)

Some formal results In equilibrium we must have that for each agent h

$$(1+r)\beta = \frac{v_h'\left(c_1^h\right)}{v_h'\left(c_2^h\right)}$$

Since v(.) is strictly concave this implies

$$c_2^1 > c_1^1 \Rightarrow c_2^h > c_1^h \forall h$$

if one agent consumes more than the other, then all agents must consume more Market clearing gives the results ${}^{\circ}$

Main take-away from this: We can get rid of individual consumption, be left with aggregate consumption only and read off asset prices from that