Article Title

John Smith*

University of California john@smith.com

July 23, 2020

Abstract

The recent tensions on the measured value of the Hubble constant between CMB and astrophisical observations, has triggered the need of new methods for its determination. In view of this, an effort has been done by H0LiCoW to use the gravitational lensing of quasars as a probe for H0. This type of measurement requires a long term monitoring of lensed quasars (of the order of years). Since big telescopes have to deal with many observational requests, it is difficult to have a constant monitoring over the years, therefore this task can be achieved more easily by small/medium size telescopes. However, the number of lensed quasars with multiple images that can be resolved by these telescopes drops drastically. Here we present a method to deal with non resolved lensed quasars. This method has also the advantage of being less dependent on the microlensing effect of the lens galaxy.

I. Introduction

In the last years, the precision of the Planck 28 experiment [cita], whose task was to anal-29 yse the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) 30 anisotropies, has allowed to fully test our stan-31 dard cosmological model (ΛCDM) which as- 32 sumes the existence of Dark Energy (Λ) and 33 Cold Dark Matter (CDM). In particular, in ad- 34 dition to the minimal 6 parameters describ-35 ing ΛCDM, the CMB anisotropies allow to in- 36 directly constrain other parameters, such as 37 11 the current expansion rate of the Universe, H_0 , 38 12 whose inference strongly depends on the as-39 sumed cosmological model. For example, re- 40 laxing the spatial flatness hypothesis of our 41 15 Universe or the constant equation of state for 42 16 the dark energy, would impact the H_0 estima-43 17 In parallel, the are other independent meth-45 10 ods to measure H_0 , such as the distance ladder 46 20 [cita], water masers [cita], the time delay be-47 21 tween multiple images of gravitational lensed 48 quasars [1] and, gravitational waves [cita]. 23 The highest precision reached by Plank has 50 however shown a tension in the value of H_0 51 with respect to the distance ladder measurements, which has been further enhanced by the recent gravitational lensing results from the H0LiCOW collaboration [2], whose measured value is $H_0 = 73.3^{+1.7}_{-1.8} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, in agreement with the distance ladder results and, together with them, with a 5.3σ tension with the Planck analysis assuming flat Λ CDM. In this paper we will focus on the gravitational lensing: firstly suggested by Refsdal [3], this method directly relates the time delays between multiple images of the same source produced by a lensing object with H_0 in the form $\Delta_T \propto 1/H_0$. This method depends on the matter distribution in the source light trajectory, namely the lensing object (such as a galaxy) and objects along the line of sight, and it has a weaker dependence on the cosmological parameters if compared to the CMB analyses. In particular, it depends on the matter density Ω_m , the dark energy density Ω_{Λ} , the curvature parameter Ω_k and the dark energy equation of state ω [cita].

This method requires a long photometric monitoring of the multiple images of the source, of the order of years, and a good temporal sampling, to be able to observe the photometric

^{*}A thank you or further information

112

115

116

123

variations of the source. In this regard, the 92 COSMOGRAIL collaboration has been moni-54 toring 18 strongly lensed quasars since 2004 [4] 93 55 with 1-2 m size telescopes. And the H0LiCOW 94 collaboration has used part of these data to 95 57 evaluate H_0 with a precision of 2.4% [2]. 58 Improving the precision in the H_0 evaluation will help in finding the reason of this big dis-60 crepancy, and, it would also have a big impact 61 in the results of the next cosmological surveys, 62 up to a 40% improvement if H_0 is indepen-63 dently known with 1% precision [5]. 65

The paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2 98 66 we describe the Monte Carlo simulations used 99 in our work to estimate the time delays be-100 tween light curves. In Sec. 3 we describe the 101 69 statistical methods to derive time delays and 70 the corresponding errors, where we will also 102 71 introduce deep gaussian processes. In Sec. 4103 72 we will show the performances of the previ-73 ous methods applied both on our Monte Carlo 104 74 simulations and on the real data from COSMO-105 GRAIL [4]. In Sec. 5 we will discuss about 106 76 the color variability of quasars. In sec. 6 we 77 will describe our proposed method to estimate 78 the time delay for not resolved lensed quasars 79 by using the color information. And finally, in 108 Sec. 7 we will show the results of the proposed 109 81 method. 82

II. Monte Carlo Simulations of 113 Quasars Light Curves 114

Parte che potrebbe scrivere Luca Paganin?
Text requiring further explanation¹.

57 III. STATISTICAL METHODS TO DERIVE¹¹⁸ 58 THE TIME DELAY

Parte che potrebbe scrivere Luca Biggio?
(se possibile io metterei anche i deep gaussian processes)

¹Example footnote

IV. TIME DELAY ESTIMATIONS

Qui mostrerei i risultati dei metodi applicati sia al Monte Carlo che ai dati di Cosmograil: per il Monte Carlo mostrerei quel bel grafico che ha fatto Luca con il vero DeltaT e quello stimato. Per i dati veri farei una tabella tipo:

Quasar	Δt from other searches	Our Δt

Sarebbe fantastico non limitarsi solo ai quasars di cosmograil ma guardare anche https://research.ast.cam.ac.uk/lensedquasars/index.html Inoltre, parlerei di:

- 1. Come varia la stima di Δt al variare del campionamento dei gaussian processes
- Commenti sulla stima di Δt con il metodo standard e con i deep gaussian processes (qui bisogna vedere i risultati)

V. Quasars Color Variability

Parte che posso scrivere io (Alba). The study of quasars light curves has long been seen as a way to understand the structure of the central engine of active galactic nuclei. leggi https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/344/2/492/1124264 per i modelli che spiegano la variabilitÄă dei colori.

VI. METHOD TO ESTIMATE ΔT IN NON RESOLVED LENSED QUASARS

Parte che posso scrivere io (Alba). Reminder: cita anche il paper del microlensing che in alcuni casi puÚ far variare il colore

VII. TIME DELAY ESTIMATION FROM NON RESOLVED MULTIPLE IMAGES

Qui mettiamo i risultati. Usare curve Monte Carlo da GERLUMPH?

83

i. Subsection One

126

A statement requiring citation [?].

REFERENCES

- 127 [1] The H0LiCOW Collaboration, MNRAS, 128 Volume 468, Issue 3 (2017)
- 129 [2] The H0LiCOW Collaboration, MNRAS, 130 stz3094 (2020)
- 131 [3] S. Refsdal, MNRAS, 128, 307 (1964)
- 132 [4] The COSMOGRAIL Collaboration, 133 arXiv:2002.05736v1 (2020)
- 134 [5] D. Weinberg et al., *Phys. Rep.*, 530, 87 (2013)