A path in regression Random Forest looking for spatial dependence: a taxonomy and a systematic review

Luca Patelli, Michela Cameletti, Natalia Golini, Rosaria Ignaccolo

Taxonomy Category	Strategy	Reference	Title	Fron
Pre	RF with SI	Behrens et al. (2018) Dhara et al. (2018) Hengl et al. (2018)	Spatial modelling with Euclidean distance fields and machine learning Machine learning based methods for estimation and stochastic simulation Random forest as a generic framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatio-temporal variables	
		Møller et al. (2020) Sekulić et al. (2020) Còrdoba & Balzarini	Oblique geographic coordinates as covariates for digital soil mapping Random forest spatial interpolation A random forest-based algorithm for data-intensive spatial interpolation	S S
		(2021) Hu et al. (2022)	in crop yield mapping Incorporating spatial autocorrelation into house sale price prediction	
		Santiago-Rosario et al. (2022)	using random forest model Contrasts among cationic phytochemical landscapes in the southern United States	Q
		Talebi et al. (2022)	A truly spatial random forests algorithm for geoscience data analysis and modelling	Q
	RF with FFS	Meyer et al. (2019)	Importance of spatial predictor variable selection in machine learning applications	S
Post	RF-RK	Guo et al. (2015)	Digital mapping of soil organic matter for rubber plantation at regional	S
		Hengl et al. (2015)	scale: an application of random forest plus residuals kriging approach Mapping soil properties of Africa at 250 m resolution: random forests significantly improve current predictions	S
		Fayad et al. (2016)	Regional scale rain-forest height mapping using regression-kriging of spaceborne and airborne LiDAR data: application on French Guiana	S
		Ahmed et al. (2017)	Assessing soil carbon vulnerability in the Western USA by geospatial modeling of pyrogenic and particulate carbon stocks	
		Garcìa-Tomillo et al. (2017)	Estimating soil organic matter using interpolation methods with a elec- tromagnetic induction sensor and topographic parameters: a case study in a humid region	_
		Vaysse & Lagacherie (2017)	Using quantile regression forest to estimate uncertainty of digital soil mapping products	S
		dos Reis et al. (2018)	Spatial prediction of basal area and volume in Eucalyptus stands using Landsat TM data: an assessment of prediction methods	_
		Liu et al. (2018)	Improve ground-level PM2.5 concentration mapping using a random forests-based geostatistical approach	Q
		Fox et al. (2020)	Jorests-vasea geostatistical approach Comparing spatial regression to random forests for large environmental data sets	S
		Còrdoba et al. (2021)	A spatially based quantile regression forest model for mapping rural land values	Q
			Statistical models of yield in on-farm precision experimentation Performance of linear mixed models and random forests for spatial pre-	Q Q
		Mammadov et al. (2021)	diction of soil Ph Estimation and mapping of surface soil properties in the Caucasus Moun- tains, Azerbaijan using high-resolution remote sensing data	Q
		Szatmári et al. (2021)	tatus, Azerbaijan using nigh-resolution remote sensing data Estimating soil organic carbon stock change at multiple scales using machine learning and multivariate geostatistics	Q
		da Silva-Sangoi et al. (2022)		Q
		Smith et al. (2022)	Spatial variability and uncertainty of soil nitrogen across the conterminous United States at different depths	Q
	RF-sGs	Koch et al. (2019)	Modeling depth of the redox interface at high resolution at national scale using random forest and residual Gaussian simulation	Q
In-Post	RF-GLS-RK	Saha et al. (2021)	Random forests for spatially dependent data	Q
Pre-Post	RF-RK with SI	Li et al. (2011) Kurina et al. (2019)	Can we improve the spatial predictions of seabed sediments? A case study of spatial interpolation Spatial predictive modelling essential to assess the environmental impacts of harbicides	
	RF-RK with SB	Viscarra Rossel et al. (2014)	of herbicides Mapping gamma radiation and its uncertainty from weathering products in a Tasmanian landscape with a proximal sensor and random forest	
			in a tasmanian ianascape with a proximal sensor and random jorest kriging Comparison of various uncertainty modelling approaches based on geo- statistics and machine learning algorithms	

Table 1 List of the 32 documents identified through the PRISMA methodology and categorised by the proposed taxonomy.

Adopted strategy: RF with SI: Random Forest with Spatial Information; RF with FFS: Random Forest with Forward Feature Selection; RF-RK: Random Forest Residual Kriging; RF-sGs: Random Forest sequential Gaussian simulation; RF-RK with SI: Random Forest Residual Kriging with Spatial Information; RF-RK with SB: Random Forest Residual Kriging with Spatial Bootstrap; RF-GLS-RK: Random Forest based on GLS Residual Kriging.

From: Q: query; S: backward snowballing.

References

- Ahmed, Z. U., Woodbury, P. B., Sanderman, J., Hawke, B., Jauss, V., Solomon, D. & Lehmann, J. (2017), 'Assessing soil carbon vulnerability in the Western USA by geospatial modeling of pyrogenic and particulate carbon stocks', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* **122**(2), 354–369.
- Behrens, T., Schmidt, K., Viscarra Rossel, R. A., Gries, P., Scholten, T. & MacMillan, R. A. (2018), 'Spatial modelling with Euclidean distance fields and machine learning', *European journal of soil science* **69**(5), 757–770.
- Còrdoba, M. & Balzarini, M. (2021), 'A random forest-based algorithm for dataintensive spatial interpolation in crop yield mapping', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 184, 106094.
- Còrdoba, M., Carranza, J. P., Piumetto, M., Monzani, F. & Balzarini, M. (2021), 'A spatially based quantile regression forest model for mapping rural land values', Journal of Environmental Management 289, 112509.
- da Silva-Sangoi, D. V., Horst, T. Z., Moura-Bueno, J. M., Dalmolin, R. S. D., Sebem, E., Gebler, L. & da Silva Santos, M. (2022), 'Soil organic matter and clay predictions by laboratory spectroscopy: Data spatial correlation', *Geoderma Regional* **28**, e00486.
- Dhara, A., Trainor-Guitton, W. & Tura, A. (2018), Machine-learning-based methods for estimation and stochastic simulation, *in* 'SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2018', pp. 2261–2265.
- dos Reis, A. A., Carvalho, M. C., de Mello, J. M., Gomide, L. R., Ferraz Filho, A. C. & Acerbi Junior, F. W. (2018), 'Spatial prediction of basal area and volume in Eucalyptus stands using Landsat TM data: an assessment of prediction methods', New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science 48(1), 1–17.
- Fayad, I., Baghdadi, N., Bailly, J.-S., Barbier, N., Gond, V., Hèrault, B., El Hajj, M., Fabre, F. & Perrin, J. (2016), 'Regional scale rain-forest height mapping using regression-kriging of spaceborne and airborne LiDAR data: Application on French Guiana', *Remote Sensing* 8(3), 240.
- Fox, E. W., Ver Hoef, J. M. & Olsen, A. R. (2020), 'Comparing spatial regression to random forests for large environmental data sets', *PloS one* **15**(3), e0229509.
- Garcìa-Tomillo, A., Miràs-Avalos, J. M., Dafonte-Dafonte, J. & Paz-Gonzàlez, A. (2017), 'Estimating soil organic matter using interpolation methods with a electromagnetic induction sensor and topographic parameters: a case study in a humid region', *Precision Agriculture* 18(5), 882–897.
- Guo, P.-T., Li, M.-F., Luo, W., Tang, Q.-F., Liu, Z.-W. & Lin, Z.-M. (2015), 'Digital mapping of soil organic matter for rubber plantation at regional scale: an application of random forest plus residuals kriging approach', *Geoderma* **237**, 49–59.
- Hengl, T., Heuvelink, G. B., Kempen, B., Leenaars, J. G., Walsh, M. G., Shepherd, K. D., Sila, A., MacMillan, R. A., Mendes de Jesus, J., Tamene, L. et al. (2015), 'Mapping soil properties of Africa at 250 m resolution: random forests significantly improve current predictions', *PloS one* 10(6), e0125814.

- Hengl, T., Nussbaum, M., Wright, M. N., Heuvelink, G. B. & Gräler, B. (2018), 'Random forest as a generic framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatio-temporal variables', *PeerJ* 6:e5518.
- Hu, L., Chun, Y. & Griffith, D. A. (2022), 'Incorporating spatial autocorrelation into house sale price prediction using random forest model', *Transactions in GIS* 26(5), 2123–2144.
- Koch, J., Stisen, S., Refsgaard, J. C., Ernstsen, V., Jakobsen, P. R. & Højberg, A. L. (2019), 'Modeling depth of the redox interface at high resolution at national scale using random forest and residual gaussian simulation', *Water Resources Research* 55(2), 1451–1469.
- Kurina, F. G., Hang, S., Macchiavelli, R. & Balzarini, M. (2019), 'Spatial predictive modelling essential to assess the environmental impacts of herbicides', *Geoderma* 354, 113874.
- Li, J., Heap, A. D., Potter, A., Huang, Z. & Daniell, J. J. (2011), 'Can we improve the spatial predictions of seabed sediments? A case study of spatial interpolation of mud content across the southwest Australian margin', *Continental Shelf Research* **31**(13), 1365–1376.
- Liu, Y., Cao, G., Zhao, N., Mulligan, K. & Ye, X. (2018), 'Improve ground-level PM2.5 concentration mapping using a random forests-based geostatistical approach', *Environmental Pollution* 235, 272–282.
- Makungwe, M., Chabala, L. M., Chishala, B. H. & Lark, R. M. (2021), 'Performance of linear mixed models and random forests for spatial prediction of soil pH', *Geoderma* 397, 115079.
- Mammadov, E., Nowosad, J. & Glaesser, C. (2021), 'Estimation and mapping of surface soil properties in the Caucasus Mountains, Azerbaijan using high-resolution remote sensing data', *Geoderma Regional* 26, e00411.
- Meyer, H., Reudenbach, C., Wöllauer, S. & Nauss, T. (2019), 'Importance of spatial predictor variable selection in machine learning applications—moving from data reproduction to spatial prediction', *Ecological Modelling* **411**, 108815.
- Møller, A. B., Beucher, A. M., Pouladi, N. & Greve, M. H. (2020), 'Oblique geographic coordinates as covariates for digital soil mapping', *Soil* **6**(2), 269–289.
- Paccioretti, P., Bruno, C., Gianinni Kurina, F., Còrdoba, M., Bullock, D. & Balzarini, M. (2021), 'Statistical models of yield in on-farm precision experimentation', Agronomy Journal 113(6), 4916–4929.
- Saha, A., Basu, S. & Datta, A. (2021), 'Random forests for spatially dependent data', *Journal of the American Statistical Association* pp. 1–19.
- Santiago-Rosario, L. Y., Harms, K. E. & Craven, D. (2022), 'Contrasts among cationic phytochemical landscapes in the southern United States', *Plant-Environment Interactions* **3**(5), 226–241.
- Sekulić, A., Kilibarda, M., Heuvelink, G. B., Nikolić, M. & Bajat, B. (2020), 'Random forest spatial interpolation', *Remote Sensing* 12(10), 1687.
- Smith, E. M., Vargas, R., Guevara, M., Tarin, T. & Pouyat, R. V. (2022), 'Spatial variability and uncertainty of soil nitrogen across the conterminous United States at different depths', *Ecosphere* **13**(7), e4170.

- Szatmàri, G. & Pàsztor, L. (2019), 'Comparison of various uncertainty modelling approaches based on geostatistics and machine learning algorithms', *Geoderma* **337**, 1329–1340.
- Szatmàri, G., Pàsztor, L. & Heuvelink, G. B. (2021), 'Estimating soil organic carbon stock change at multiple scales using machine learning and multivariate geostatistics', *Geoderma* **403**, 115356.
- Talebi, H., Peeters, L. J., Otto, A. & Tolosana-Delgado, R. (2022), 'A truly spatial random forests algorithm for geoscience data analysis and modelling', *Mathematical Geosciences* **54**(1), 1–22.
- Vaysse, K. & Lagacherie, P. (2017), 'Using quantile regression forest to estimate uncertainty of digital soil mapping products', *Geoderma* **291**, 55–64.
- Viscarra Rossel, R. A., Webster, R. & Kidd, D. (2014), 'Mapping gamma radiation and its uncertainty from weathering products in a tasmanian landscape with a proximal sensor and random forest kriging', *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **39**(6), 735–748.