

# Assessing the impact of model simplifications during an experimental campaign of a floating wind turbine

Lucas H. S. Carmo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alexandre N. Simos<sup>a</sup> and Pedro C. de Mello<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Mello Moraes, 2231, São Paulo, 05508-030, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Floating wind turbines  
Model tests  
Numerical modeling  
Software-in-the-loop

## ABSTRACT

A Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## 1. Introduction

Ensaio com SIL com algumas limitações

## 2. Description of the experiment and its limitations

### 2.1. Model properties and experimental setup

- Características da FOWT, RNA, ancoragem
- Principais dimensões do tanque
- Condições de onda e vento

### 2.2. Implementation of a software-in-the-loop approach for aerodynamic loads

### 2.3. Main limitations of the experiment

## 3. Numerical models

Modelos numéricos que foram feitos com diferentes graus de proximidade pro ensaio

### 3.1. Drag coefficients from decay tests

Já falar do problema de ter pra uma direção só, mas que isso será discutido com mais detalhes mais pra frente

## 4. Comparison between the experiments and numerical simulations

Deixar guardado um resultado como tava antes no relatório p/ mostrar:

- A dificuldade de escolher qual coeficiente de arrasto usar, já que o pontoon afeta a vertical e a horizontal. A ideia é ilustrar que é importante pra forçante na vertical, mas que acaba sobrestimando a horizontal. Colocar um gráfico do decaimento de surge com o espectro de surge à direita; mesma coisa p/ heave e pitch, mostrando que p/ esse grupo de coeficientes é os verticais que pega bem. Gráfico do RAO em heave, mostrando que é a forçante viscosa que tá sendo importante;
- A necessidade de incluir as forças de 2a ordem na vertical;

\*Corresponding author.

✉ lucas.carmo@usp.br (L.H.S. Carmo)

ORCID(s): 0000-0001-8744-1391 (L.H.S. Carmo); 0000-0002-1879-5468 (A.N. Simos); 0000-0003-2621-9644 (P.C.d. Mello)

## 5. The impact of model simplifications on the response of the FOWT

- Comparar resultados das simulações nas condições reais e identificar diferenças pro modelo.
- Usar simulações intermediárias p/ explicar essas diferenças
- Avaliar o impacto da inclinação do casco

## 6. Conclusions

### CRedit authorship contribution statement

**Lucas H. S. Carmo:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. **Alexandre N. Simos:** Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – review, Supervision. **Pedro C. de Mello:** Experiments.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgments

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. Alexandre Simos thanks the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development - CNPq - for his research grant (# 306342/2020-0).

### References

- Agarwal, P., Manuel, L., 2011. Incorporating irregular nonlinear waves in coupled simulation and reliability studies of offshore wind turbines. *Applied Ocean Research* 33, 215–227.
- Aranha, J.A.P., Fernandes, A.C., 1995. On the second-order slow drift force spectrum. *Applied Ocean Research* 17, 311–313.
- Carmo, L., 2021. A slender-body approach for computing second-order wave forces in seakeeping simulations of floating offshore wind turbines. Ph.D. thesis. University of São Paulo.
- Carmo, L.H.S., Simos, A.N., 2022. On the complementarity of the slender-body and Newman's approximations for difference-frequency second-order wave loads on slender cylinders. *Ocean Engineering* 259, 111905.
- Coulling, A., Goupee, A., Robertson, A., Jonkman, J., 2013. Importance of second-order difference-frequency wave-diffraction forces in the validation of a FAST semi-submersible floating wind turbine model, in: 32th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering, ASME. p. V008T09A019.
- Faltinsen, O.M., 1993. Sea loads on ships and offshore structures. Cambridge University Press.
- Gueydon, S., Duarte, T., Jonkman, J., 2014. Comparison of second-order loads on a semisubmersible floating wind turbine, in: 33th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering, ASME. p. V09AT09A024.
- John, F., 1950. On the motion of floating bodies II. Simple harmonic motions. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics* 3, 45–101.
- Jonkman, J., Buhl, M., 2005. FAST User's Guide. Technical Report. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). Golden, CO, USA.
- Jurado, A., Bredmose, H., 2020. Accelerated hydrodynamic analysis for spar buoys with second-order wave excitation, in: 39th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering, ASME, Virtual, Online.
- Kim, M.H., Chen, W., 1994. Slender-body approximation for slowly-varying wave loads in multi-directional waves. *Applied Ocean Research* 16, 141–163.
- Kim, M.H., Yue, D., 1990. The complete second-order diffraction solution for an axisymmetric body - Part 2. Bichromatic incident waves and body motions. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 211, 557–593.
- Lee, C., 1995. WAMIT Theory Manual. Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Lighthill, J., 1986. Fundamentals concerning wave loading on offshore structures. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 173, 667–681.
- Lopez-Pavon, C., Watai, R.A., Ruggeri, F., Simos, A.N., Souto-Iglesias, A., 2015. Influence of wave induced second-order forces in semisubmersible FOWT mooring design. *Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering* 137.
- Ma, Q.W., Patel, M.H., 2001. On the non-linear forces acting on a floating spar platform in ocean waves. *Applied Ocean Research* 23, 29–40.
- Madsen, O., 1986. Hydrodynamic force on circular cylinders. *Applied Ocean Research* 8, 151–155.
- Manners, W., 1992. Hydrodynamic force on a moving circular cylinder submerged in a general fluid flow. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 438, 331–339.
- Molin, B., Chen, X.B., 2002. Approximations of the low-frequency second-order wave loads: Newman versus Rainey. *Proc. 17th IWWFEB*, Cambridge (UK).
- Morison, J.R., O'Brien, M.P., Johnson, J.W., Schaaf, S.A., 1950. The force exerted by surface waves on piles. *Journal of Petroleum Technology* 2, 149–154.

- Newman, J.N., 1974. Second-order, slowly-varying forces on vessels in irregular waves, in: Proc. Int. Symp. Dynamics of Marine Vehicles and Structures in Waves, Mechanical Engineering Publications Ltd., London, UK.
- Newman, J.N., 1977. Marine Hydrodynamics. MIT press.
- Pinkster, J.A., 1980. Low frequency second order wave exciting forces on floating structures. Ph.D. thesis. Delft University of Technology.
- Press, W.H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T., Flannery, B.P., 1992. Numerical recipes in C. 1. 2 ed., Cambridge University Press.
- Rainey, R., 1989. A new equation for calculating wave loads on offshore structures. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 204, 295–324.
- Rainey, R., 1995. Slender-body expressions for the wave load on offshore structures. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences 450, 391–416.
- Robertson, A., Jonkman, J., Masciola, M., Song, H., Goupee, A., Coulling, A., Luan, C., 2014. Definition of the semisubmersible floating system for phase II of OC4. Technical Report. National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO, USA.
- Robertson, A., Wendt, F., Jonkman, J., Popko, W., Dagher, H., Gueydon, S., Qvist, J., Vittori, F., Azcona, J., Uzunoglu, E., Soares, C.G., Harries, R., Yde, A., Galinos, C., Hermans, K., de Vaal, J.B., Bozonnet, P., Bouy, L., Bayati, I., Bergua, R., Galvan, J., Mendikoa, I., Sanchez, C.B., Shin, H., Oh, S., Molins, C., Debruyne, Y., 2017. OC5 project phase II: Validation of global loads of the DeepCwind floating semisubmersible wind turbine. Energy Procedia 137, 38 – 57. 14th Deep Sea Offshore Wind R&D Conference, EERA DeepWind.
- Simos, A., Carmo, L., Camargo, E., 2018a. On the use of the white-noise approximation for modelling the slow-drifts of a FOWT: An example using FAST, in: 37th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering, ASME.
- Simos, A.N., Ruggeri, F., Watai, R.A., Souto-Iglesias, A., Lopez-Pavon, C., 2018b. Slow-drift of a floating wind turbine: An assessment of frequency-domain methods based on model tests. Renewable energy 116, 133–154.
- Taylor, R.E., Rainey, R.C., Dai, D.N., 1992. Non-linear hydrodynamic analysis of TLP's in extreme waves: Slender body and diffraction theories compared, in: BOSS 1992 6th International Conference on the Behaviour of Offshore Structures, ASME, London, U.K.
- WAMIT, I., 2004. WAMIT User Manual - Versions 6.2, 6.2PC, 6.2S, 6.1S-PC. Chestnut Hill, MA, USA.
- Wehausen, J., 1971. The motion of floating bodies. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 3, 237–268.