# Within Reach

## **Design Document**

10/28/2020

Version 0.3

## ordered Pair Tuple

Kyle Martin

Lucas Da Silva

Course: CptS 322 - Software Engineering Principles I

Instructor: Sakire Arslan Ay

Note:

Length = 3-4 pages text+ appendixes as needed. Cover page, table of contents, pictures, images, use-case UML diagrams do not count for the 3 pages text.

Posted as a PDF file.

Typed single-spaced.

Typed with black text.

Typed with #11 font size.

Typed using Calibri font.

Typed with one inch margins on sides, top and bottom.

(Please erase this page in your final document.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. INTRODUCTION
- II. ARCHITECTURE DESIGN
  - II.1. Overview
- III. DESIGN DETAILS
  - III.1. Subsystem Design
    - III.1.1. [Subsystem Name]
    - III.1.2. [Subsystem Name]
  - III.2. Data design
  - III.3. User Interface Design
- IV. TESTING PLAN
- V. REFERENCES
- VI. APPENDIX: GRADING RUBRIC

#### I. Introduction

Explain the purpose for providing this design document If this is a revision of an earlier document, please make sure to summarize what changes have been made during the revision (keep this discussion brief).

Then provide a brief description of your project and state your project goal.

At the end of the introduction, provide an overview of the document outline.

Section II includes ...
Section III includes ...

The purpose for providing this design document is to give an overview of the progress we have made in iteration 1. Our project is a webapp that is used to chat anonymously with others near you. When a user logs into the webapp they have the option to create an account or sign in. After they are logged in, they must give the webpage access to their location. Then they will be able to view posts/replies and have the option to create posts of their own.

In iteration 1 we completed the post and reply threads; a user has the option to anonymously create a post and reply to a post or another reply. We have made progress obtaining the user's location. As of now, the website can request permission for the user's location, however we are still working to create a public database in order for users to interact with one another.

In Section II we will discuss the architectural design of our project, and in section III we will talk about the design details of our document. Finally, in section IV we will discuss the progress report.

## **Document Revision History**

Rev 1.0<10/28> <Initial version> (Ex: Rev 1.0 2017-09-09 Initial version)

### II. Architecture Design

#### II.1. Overview

This section should describe the high-level architecture of your software: i.e., the major components and how they fit together.


- Mention the architectural pattern you adopted in your software and briefly discuss the rationale for using the proposed architecture (i.e., why that pattern fits well for your system).
- In your architecture design, you need to take into account the dependencies within and between the components, i.e. cohesion and coupling measures. Discuss the rationale for the proposed decomposition in terms of cohesion and coupling.
- Provide a block diagram that illustrates the proposed architecture.
- Briefly describe the subsystems (components) in the architecture.

#### **Client-Server Architectural Pattern**

We chose to use the Client-Server Architectural Pattern. We choose this pattern because we thought it best fit how we are managing the locations for each user. We send a request to the client side, asking for the user's location, And we then manage that response on the server side, where we process and save that data.

As of now, we have two subsystems. One controls the post threads, while the other obtains the user's location. So far these systems have high coherence, they perform similar tasks and are associated with one another. The post system relies on the user's location to determine what posts to display. They are also low coupling, modifications to either system will have relatively low impact on the other subsystem. Besides the post system relying on the user's location to determine the posts, changes to either subsystem will have relatively low impact on the other's functionality.

We also have 2 members in our group, and this pattern allows us to easily distribute the workload between us.



## **III. Design Details**

#### III.1. Subsystem Design

(suggested template)

#### III.1.1. [Post]

This subsystem controls the post and reply threads on the homepage of the website. It allows a user to create a post, or create a reply to a post or to another reply. As of now, this subsystem only interacts with the location subsystem. It obtains the user's location and displays only posts that are within 20 miles. It is dependent on this subsystem, as it will not display any posts without it.

#### III.1.2. [Location]

This subsystem sends a client side request to the user, and asks for their location. Upon getting the location, a handler processes the data and saves them in the database. Every 15 minutes or so, we must send a new request to update the location, and attach it to the posts, in order for users to only be able to see posts within a certain mile radius.

This section provides more detail about each subsystem in your architecture. **For each subsystem**, include a sub-section and explain the following:

- Explain the role of the subsystem (component) and its responsibilities.
- Provide a detailed description of the subsystem interfaces, i.e., which other subsystems does it interact with; what are the interdependencies between them.

**Note:** Some of your subsystems will interact with the Web clients (browsers). Make sure to include a detailed description of the Web API interface (i.e. the set of routes) your application will implement.

- Provide the methods and URL of each route and include a brief description.

Methods URL Description		Description
GET/POST	/Index	See posts and send sort order
GET/POST	/post	Creates a new post
POST	/location	Gets the user's location
GET	/like	Allows user to like a comment

GET/POST	/comments	Creates a new comment
GET	/dislike	Allows user to dislike a comment

- (in iteration -2) Provide your class level design for the subsytem. You should include a UML class diagram visualizing your class level design. In addition, explain each class in detail, specify and explain their methods.

If you have considered alternative designs, please describe briefly your reasons for choosing the final design.

### III.2. Data design

(in iteration-1) Include a list of the tables (models) in your database. Provide the schemas (attributes) of the tables and briefly explain each attribute.

#### Data for Post:

id	body	timestamp	likes	latitude	longitude
1	Test Post 1	2020-11-03 02:42:36.960566	2	46.7523504	-117.1459304
2	Test Post 2	2020-11-03 02:50:50.607842	0	46.7523504	-117.1459304
3	Test Post 3	2020-11-03 02:50:58.769068	0	46.7523504	-117.1459304

#### Data for reply:

id	body timestamp		likes	post
1	Test reply 1	2020-11-03 02:43:22.614799	0	1
2	Test reply 3	2020-11-03 02:51:04.591661	0	3
3	Test reply 2	2020-11-03 02:51:10.184992	0	2

(in iteration -2) Provide a UML diagram of your database model showing the associations and relationships among tables.

#### III.3. User Interface Design


Provide a detailed description of the user interface you have built so far. The information in this section should be accompanied with proper images of your screenshots. Make sure to mention which use-cases in your "Requirements Specification" document will utilize these interfaces for user interaction.

We have dedicated the majority of our time to backend development, so our user interface is not yet complete. The 4 use cases we have so far are: Create Post, View Post/Reply, Getting Location, and Sorting by New/Hot.

#### **Create Post: Allows the user to create a new post**




View Post/Reply: View each post that had just got posted, within a certain mile radius





Getting location: Click on show my location and a msg pops up that says it was sent successfully, and this is the first thing you must do before even creating a post.



Sorting by hot/new: Users can sort by new (Time) or by hot (Most likes)



## **IV. Progress Report**

So far we've finished the posts and reply thread, and we are close to finishing the location. We just need to set it up so that we can test for different users coming in. Right now we don't have a good way of testing for location, so we're gonna have to get started on that. In terms of front end, we just have a basic front end that I took from the smile app, but as soon as we have the backend working, we're gonna touch up the user interface.

## V. Testing Plan

#### (in iteration 2)

In this section goes a brief description of how you plan to test the system. Thought should be given to how mostly automatic testing can be carried out, so as to maximize the limited number of human hours you will have for testing your system. Consider the following kinds of testing:

- **Unit Testing:** Explain for what modules you plan to write unit tests, and what framework you plan to use. (Each team should write automated tests (at least) for testing the API routes)
- **Functional Testing:** How will you test your system to verify that the use cases are implemented correctly? (Manual tests are OK)
- UI Testing: How do you plan to test the user interface? (Manual tests are OK)

#### VI. References

Cite your references here.

For the papers you cite give the authors, the title of the article, the journal name, journal volume number, date of publication and inclusive page numbers. Giving only the URL for the journal is not appropriate.

For the websites, give the title, author (if applicable) and the website URL.

## VII. Appendix: Grading Rubric

(Please remove this page in your final submission)

These is the grading rubric that we will use to evaluate your document.

#### Iteration-1

Max Points	Design

10	Are all parts of the document in agreement with the product requirements?			
15	Is the architecture of the system described, with the major components and their interfaces?			
5	Is the rationale for subsystem decomposition and the choice for the architectural pattern explained well?			
	Are all the external interfaces to the system (if any) specified in detail?			
15	Are the major internal interfaces (e.g., client-server) specified in detail?			
15	Are the subsystems that the team has started to implement are described in the document?			
10	Is there sufficient detail in the design to start Iteration 2?			
	Clarity			
5	Is the solution at a fairly consistent and appropriate level of detail?			
3	Is the solution clear enough to be turned over to an independent group for implementation and still be understood?			
12	Is the document making good use of semi-formal notation (UML, diagrams, etc)			
5	Is the document identifying common architectural or design patterns, where appropriate?			
5	Is the document carefully written, without typos and grammatical errors?			

## **Grading rubric for iteration-2**

Max Points	Revision
15	Did the team revise their iteration1 design document and addressed the issues the instructor commented on?
	Design
	Are all parts of the document in agreement with the product requirements?

5	Is the architecture of the system described, with the major components and their interfaces?		
5	Is the rationale for subsystem decomposition and the choice for the architectural pattern explained well?		
	Are all the external interfaces to the system (if any) specified in detail?		
5	Are the major internal interfaces (e.g., client-server) specified in detail?		
10	Are the subsystems that the team has started to implement are described in the document? Are the algorithms and protocols for those subsystems explained in sufficient detail?		
20	Did the team provided a UML class diagram showing the system's classes, their attributes, operations (or methods), and the relationships among objects? Did the team briefly explain the classes included in the diagram?		
5	Is there sufficient detail in the design to start Iteration 3?		
	Clarity		
5	Is the solution at a fairly consistent and appropriate level of detail?		
5	Is the solution clear enough to be turned over to an independent group for implementation and still be understood?		
	Is the document making good use of semi-formal notation (UML, diagrams, etc)		
	Is the document identifying common architectural or design patterns, where appropriate?		
5	Is the document carefully written, without typos and grammatical errors?		
	Testing		
10	Is there a discussion of how unit testing for the API requests be done automatically? Did the team list the API routes that will be tested and did the team explain how they will test each route?		
5	Is there a discussion of how functional testing will be done?		
5	Is there a discussion of how UI testing will be done?		
	1		