

# Real-time Soft Tissue Modelling on GPU for Medical Simulation

Prepared by Olivier COMAS at  
INRIA Lille, SHAMAN Team and CSIRO ICT Brisbane, EAHRC

DRAFT - Thu 22<sup>nd</sup> of July 2010 at 20:56

## Jury:

<i>Reviewers:</i>	Bernard	- INRIA (Shaman)
	Bernard	- INRIA (Shaman)
<i>Advisor:</i>	Stéphane COTIN	- INRIA (Shaman)
<i>President:</i>	Bernard	- INRIA (Shaman)
<i>Examinators:</i>	Bernard	- INRIA (Shaman)
	Bernard	- INRIA (Shaman)



# Contents

Contents	i
<b>I Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Medical simulation</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 General context and goal: medical training, patient-specific planning and per-operative guidance . . . . .	3
1.2 Challenges (trade-off between accuracy and real-time) . . . . .	3
<b>2 Background in continuum mechanics for soft-tissue modelling</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	6
2.2 Description of motion . . . . .	6
2.2.1 Lagrangian description . . . . .	7
2.2.2 Eulerian description . . . . .	7
2.2.3 Displacement field . . . . .	8
2.3 Analysis of deformation . . . . .	8
2.3.1 Deformation gradient tensor . . . . .	8
2.3.2 Change of volume . . . . .	9
2.3.3 <b>TODO: Change of surface?</b> . . . . .	10
2.4 Strain measures . . . . .	10
2.4.1 Cauchy-Green deformation tensors . . . . .	10
2.4.2 Green strain tensor . . . . .	11
2.4.3 Cauchy and Euler tensor . . . . .	11
2.4.4 <b>TODO: Principal strains?</b> . . . . .	12
2.4.5 Infinitesimal strain tensor . . . . .	12
2.5 Stress . . . . .	12
2.5.1 Cauchy stress . . . . .	12
2.5.2 First Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor . . . . .	14
2.5.3 Second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor . . . . .	15
2.6 Constitutive equations . . . . .	15
2.6.1 Elastic solids . . . . .	15
2.6.2 Generalised Hooke's law . . . . .	15
2.6.3 Orthotropic materials . . . . .	15
2.6.4 Isotropic materials . . . . .	15
2.7 Tissue characterisation . . . . .	15
2.7.1 Material models for organs (non-linear, visco-elastic and anisotropic) . . . . .	15
2.7.2 Measure/estimation of model parameters . . . . .	15

<b>3</b>	<b>Main principles of Finite Element Method (or how to solve equations of continuum mechanics from previous section)</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Discretisation . . . . .	17
3.2	Derivation of element equations . . . . .	17
3.3	Assembly of element equations . . . . .	17
3.4	Solution of global problem . . . . .	17
<b>II</b>	<b>Solid organs modelling</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>State of art: FEM</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Linear not accurate =&gt; Non-linear FEM =&gt; Introduction of TLED</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1	Differences with classic FEM and reasons of its efficiency . . . . .	23
5.2	Visco-elasticity and anisotropy added ( <a href="#">MICCAI 2008</a> ; <a href="#">MedIA 2009</a> )	23
<b>6</b>	<b>GPU implementation of TLED</b>	<b>25</b>
6.1	What is GPGPU . . . . .	25
6.2	Re-formulation of the algorithm for its Cg implementation . . . . .	25
6.3	CUDA implementation/optimisations ( <a href="#">ISBMS 2008a</a> ) . . . . .	25
<b>7</b>	<b>Implementation in SOFA</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1	Presentation of SOFA project and architecture . . . . .	27
7.2	Implementation in SOFA and TLED released in open-source . . . . .	27
<b>III</b>	<b>Hollow organs modelling</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>State of art: hollow structures</b>	<b>31</b>
8.1	Non-physic approaches (computer graphics stuff) . . . . .	31
8.2	Physically accurate approches (plates/shells) . . . . .	31
<b>9</b>	<b>Why a shell FEM? Colonoscopy simulator project</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	Project introduction . . . . .	33
9.2	Mass-spring model for colon implemented on GPU ( <a href="#">ISBMS 2008b</a> ) .	33
<b>10</b>	<b>More accurate: a co-rotational triangular shell model (<a href="#">ISBMS 2010</a>)</b>	<b>35</b>
10.1	Model description . . . . .	35
10.2	Validation . . . . .	35
10.3	Application to implant deployment simulation in cataract surgery . .	35
<b>11</b>	<b>'Shell meshing' technique (<a href="#">MICCAI 2010</a>)</b>	<b>37</b>
11.1	State of art: reconstruction/simplification . . . . .	37
11.2	Our method . . . . .	37

<b>12 Applications to medical simulation</b>	<b>39</b>
12.1 Nice medical stuff to show . . . . .	39
12.2 Interaction solid/hollow organs . . . . .	39
 <b>IV Conclusion</b>	 <b>41</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>43</b>



# Part I

## Introduction

Draft Version





---

## CHAPTER 1

---

# MEDICAL SIMULATION

- 1.1 General context and goal: medical training, patient-specific planning and per-operative guidance
- 1.2 Challenges (trade-off between accuracy and real-time)

Draft Version



---

## CHAPTER 2

---

# BACKGROUND IN CONTINUUM MECHANICS FOR SOFT-TISSUE MODELLING

---

*As seen in the previous chapter, realistic modelling of organs' deformation is a challenging research field that opens the door to new clinical applications including: medical training and rehearsal systems, patient-specific planning of surgical procedure and per-operative guidance based on simulation. In all these cases the clinician needs fast updates of the deformation model to obtain a real-time display of the computed deformations. If for medical training devices the haptic feedback from touching organs merely needs to feel real, the accuracy of the information provided to the clinician in the cases of planning or per-operative guidance is crucial. Therefore a substantial comprehension of the mechanics involved and a knowledge of physical properties of anatomical structures are both mandatory in our quest to realistically model the deformation of organs. This chapter will start by introducing the main concepts of continuum mechanics that are fundamental to study the mechanical response of organs. It will then present mathematical models able to describe the different mechanical aspects of materials and briefly expose their experimental validation.*

---

## 2.1 Introduction

In our everyday life, matter appears smooth and continuous: from the wood used to build your desk to the water you drink. But this is just illusion. The concept that matter is composed of discrete units has been around for millennia. In fact we know with certainty that our world is composed of microscopic atoms and molecules separated by empty space since the beginning of the twentieth century (Lautrup, 2005). However, certain physical phenomena can be predicted with theories that pay no attention to the molecular structure of materials. Consider for instance the deformation of the horizontal board of a bookshelf under the weight of the books. The bending of the shelf can be modelled without considering its molecular composition. The branch of physics in which materials are treated as continuous is known as *continuum mechanics*. Continuum mechanics studies the response of materials to different loading conditions. In this theory, matter is assumed to exist as a continuum, meaning that the matter in the body is continuously distributed and fills the entire region of space it occupies (Lai et al., 1996). This assumption is generally valid if the length scales of interest are large compared with the length scales of discrete molecular structure, but whether the approximation of continuum mechanics is actually justified in a given situation is merely a matter of experimental test.

Modelling anatomical structures requires an understanding of the deformation and stresses caused by the different solicitations that occur during medical procedures. A sufficient knowledge of continuum mechanics is therefore essential to follow the rest of this manuscript. Continuum mechanics can be divided into two main parts: general principles common to all media (analysis of deformation, strain and stress concepts) and constitutive equations defining idealised materials. This chapter will not only deal with those two aspects but will also introduce experiments carried out on organs in order to assess the physical parameters used in the mathematical models. This chapter will follow the notation used by Bonnet and Wood (1997) and Reddy (2007). The interested reader may refer to these books for more details.

## 2.2 Description of motion

Let us consider a body  $\beta$  of known geometry in a three-dimensional Euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For a given geometry and loading,  $\beta$  will undergo a set of macroscopic changes which is called *deformation*. The region of space occupied by the body at a given time  $t$  is termed a *configuration*. A change in the configuration of a continuum body results in a displacement. The displacement of a body has two components: a rigid-body displacement and a deformation. A rigid-body displacement consists of a simultaneous translation and rotation of the body without changing its shape or size. Deformation implies the change in shape and/or size of the body from an initial configuration noted  $\kappa_0$  to a new configuration  $\kappa$  called the *current* or *deformed configuration*.

Let us now consider a given particule of the body that we call  $X$ . What we will call particle in the following is in fact an infinitesimal volume of material. We denote the position it occupies in the initial configuration  $\mathbf{X}$  and note  $\mathbf{x}$  its position in the deformed configuration, both expressed in the chosen frame of reference. The mapping  $\chi$  defined as the following:

$$\chi : \beta_{\kappa_0} \rightarrow \beta_{\kappa} \quad (2.1)$$

is called the *deforming mapping* of the body  $\beta$  from  $\kappa_0$  to  $\kappa$ . When analysing the deformation of a continuous body, it is necessary to describe the evolution of configurations through time. Its mathematical description follows one of the two approaches: the material description or the spatial description. The material description is known as *Lagrangian description* whereas the spatial description is also called *Eulerian description*. These two approaches are detailed next.

### 2.2.1 Lagrangian description

In the Lagrangian description, the position and physical properties of the particles are referred to a reference configuration  $\kappa_R$ , often chosen to be the undeformed configuration  $\kappa_0$ . Thus, the current coordinates ( $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$ ) are expressed in terms of the reference coordinates ( $\mathbf{X} \in \kappa_0$ ):

$$\mathbf{x} = \chi(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad \chi(\mathbf{X}, 0) = \mathbf{X}, \quad (2.2)$$

and the variation of a typical variable  $\phi$  over the body is described with respect to the coordinates  $\mathbf{X}$  and time  $t$ :

$$\phi = \phi(\mathbf{X}, t). \quad (2.3)$$

For a fixed value of  $\mathbf{X} \in \kappa_0$ ,  $\phi(\mathbf{X}, t)$  gives the value of  $\phi$  at time  $t$  associated with the fixed particle  $X$  whose position in the reference configuration is  $\mathbf{X}$ . We note that a change in time  $t$  implies that the same particle  $X$  has a different value  $\phi$ . Thus, the Lagrangian description focuses its attention on the particules of the continuous body and it is usually used in solid mechanics.

### 2.2.2 Eulerian description

Rather than following the particles, the Eulerian description observes the changes at fixed locations. In the Eulerian description, the motion is this time referred to the current configuration  $\kappa$  and  $\phi$  is described with respect to the current position ( $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$ ):

$$\phi = \phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (2.4)$$

For a fixed value of  $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$ ,  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  gives the value of  $\phi$  associated with different material particles at different times, because different particles occupy the position  $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$  at different times. A change in time  $t$  implies that a different value  $\phi$  is observed at the same spatial location  $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$ , now probably occupied by a different

particle. Hence, the Eulerian description is focused on a spatial position. This approach is convenient for the study of fluid flow where the kinematic property of greatest interest is the rate at which change is taking place rather than the shape of the body of fluid at a reference time (Spencer, 1980). Because we are only interested in the study of solid bodies, the Lagrangian description will be used in the rest of the text.

### 2.2.3 Displacement field

The displacement of a particle  $X$  is called *displacement vector* and its expression in the Lagrangian description is the following:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}, t) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t) - \mathbf{X}. \quad (2.5)$$

A *displacement field* is a vector field of all displacement vectors for all particles in the body, which relates the deformed configuration  $\kappa$  with the undeformed configuration  $\kappa_0$ . Indeed if the displacement field is known, we can construct the current configuration  $\kappa$  from the undeformed configuration  $\kappa_0$ :  $\chi(\mathbf{X}, t) = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X})$ .

## 2.3 Analysis of deformation

### 2.3.1 Deformation gradient tensor

The displacement field tells us how a point displaces from the reference to the deformed configuration. However, we would also like to know how a piece of material is stretched and rotated as the body moves from the reference to the deformed configuration. Since the length of a material line  $d\mathbf{X}$  can change when going to the deformed configuration as well as its orientation, we can say that  $d\mathbf{X}$  deforms into  $d\mathbf{x}$ . The question then becomes how to relate  $d\mathbf{x}$  in the deformed configuration with  $d\mathbf{X}$  of the reference configuration.

Consider two particles  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in a continuous body separated by an infinitesimal distance  $d\mathbf{X}$ :

$$d\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{P_2} - \mathbf{X}_{P_1}. \quad (2.6)$$

After deformation the two particles have deformed to their current positions given by the mapping  $\chi$  (2.1) as:

$$\mathbf{x}_{P_1} = \chi(\mathbf{X}_{P_1}, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_{P_2} = \chi(\mathbf{X}_{P_2}, t). \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.6) the distance  $d\mathbf{x}$  between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  can then be expressed as:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{P_2} - \mathbf{x}_{P_1} = \chi(\mathbf{X}_{P_1} + d\mathbf{X}, t) - \chi(\mathbf{X}_{P_1}, t). \quad (2.8)$$

The *deformation gradient*  $\mathbf{F}$  can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \quad (2.9)$$

and the vector  $d\mathbf{x}$  can then be obtained in terms of  $d\mathbf{X}$  as:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{F}$  transforms vectors from the reference configuration into vectors in the current configuration and is therefore a second-order tensor.

Knowing that  $\chi(\mathbf{X}, t)$  is of course  $\mathbf{x}$ , the deformation gradient may also be written as:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \nabla_0 \mathbf{x} = \nabla_0 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{I}, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\nabla_0$  is the gradient operator with respect to  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  the displacement vector. In indicial notation in a Cartesian coordinate system, (2.11) can be explicated as:

$$[F] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_2} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X_3} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial X_2} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial X_3} \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X_2} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X_3} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

### 2.3.2 Change of volume

At this point, we have seen how a deformation can affect a vector. We will now look into its effect on volumes. Our motivation comes from the need to write global equilibrium statements that involve integrals over volumes. We can define volume elements in the reference and deformed configurations. Consider three non-coplanar line elements  $d\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$ ,  $d\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$  and  $d\mathbf{X}^{(3)}$  forming a parallelepiped in the reference configuration. The three vectors after deformation  $d\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$ ,  $d\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  and  $d\mathbf{x}^{(3)}$  can be obtained with:

$$d\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.13)$$

The volume of the parallelepiped that we will note  $dV$  can be calculated using the triple product between the three vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} dV &= d\mathbf{X}^{(1)} \cdot d\mathbf{X}^{(2)} \times d\mathbf{X}^{(3)} = (\hat{\mathbf{N}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}_2 \times \hat{\mathbf{N}}_3) dX^{(1)} dX^{(2)} dX^{(3)} \\ &= dX^{(1)} dX^{(2)} dX^{(3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_i$  denote the unit vector along  $d\mathbf{X}^{(i)}$ . The corresponding volume in the deformed configuration is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} dv &= d\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \cdot d\mathbf{x}^{(2)} \times d\mathbf{x}^{(3)} \\ &= (\mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}_1) \cdot (\mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}_2) \times (\mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}_3) dX^{(1)} dX^{(2)} dX^{(3)} \quad \text{by (2.13)} \\ &= \det \mathbf{F} dX^{(1)} dX^{(2)} dX^{(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The determinant of  $\mathbf{F}$  is called the *Jacobian* and it is denoted by  $J = \det \mathbf{F}$ . And we have:

$$dv = JdV. \quad (2.16)$$

Thus,  $J$  has the physical meaning of being the local ratio of current to reference volume of a material volume element.

### 2.3.3 TODO: Change of surface?

## 2.4 Strain measures

The length of a material curve from the reference configuration can change when displaced to a curve in the deformed configuration. If all the curves do not change length, it is said that a rigid body displacement occurred. The concept of strain is used to evaluate how much a given displacement differs locally from a rigid body displacement. Therefore, although we know how to transform vectors from the reference configuration into vectors in the current configuration using the deformation gradient, it is more useful to find a measure of the change in length of  $d\mathbf{X}$ . Many measures of strains can be defined and the most common ones are now introduced.

### 2.4.1 Cauchy-Green deformation tensors

Consider two particules  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in the neighbourhood of each other, separated by  $d\mathbf{X}$  in the reference configuration. In the deformed configuration  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  occupy the positions  $\tilde{P}_1$  and  $\tilde{P}_2$  and they are separated by  $d\mathbf{x}$ . We are interested in the change of distance between the two points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  as the body deforms.

The squared distances between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  and  $\tilde{P}_1$  and  $\tilde{P}_2$  are respectively given by:

$$(dS)^2 = d\mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{X} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ds)^2 &= d\mathbf{x} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}) \cdot (\mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}) \\ &= (\mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X})^T (\mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}) = (d\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{F}^T) (\mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}) = d\mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}) \\ &= d\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} d\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

using the property that the dot product between two vectors  $a$  and  $b$  can also be expressed as the simple product between the transpose of  $a$  and  $b$  ( $a \cdot b = a^T b$ ). We define the *right Cauchy-Green deformation tensor*  $\mathbf{C}$  as:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F}. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus, the change of distance between the two points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  after deformation of the continuous body may be written as:

$$(ds)^2 = d\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{C} d\mathbf{X}). \quad (2.20)$$

By definition,  $\mathbf{C}$  is a symmetric second-order tensor. The transpose of  $\mathbf{C}$  is denoted  $\mathbf{B}$  and is called the *left Cauchy-Green deformation tensor*:

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^T. \quad (2.21)$$



### 2.4.2 Green strain tensor

Using (2.17) and (2.20), the change in the squared lengths due to the body deformation between the reference and the current configuration can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}(ds)^2 - (dS)^2 &= d\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{C} d\mathbf{X}) - d\mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{X} \\ &= d\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{X}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.22)$$

Let us define the *Green-St. Venant strain tensor*  $\mathbf{E}$  as:

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{I}) \quad (2.23)$$

so we can write:

$$(ds)^2 - (dS)^2 = 2 d\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{E} d\mathbf{X}. \quad (2.24)$$

By definition, the Green strain tensor is a symmetric second-order tensor. Also, the change in squared lengths is zero if and only if  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$ . Using (2.11), the Green strain tensor may be developed as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla_0 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{I})^T (\nabla_0 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{I}) - \mathbf{I}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla_0 \mathbf{u})^T + \nabla_0 \mathbf{u} + (\nabla_0 \mathbf{u})^T (\nabla_0 \mathbf{u})]\end{aligned}\quad (2.25)$$

The Green strain tensor can be expressed in terms of its components in any coordinate system. In particular, in the cartesian coordinate system  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  the components  $E_{ij}$  of  $\mathbf{E}$  are the following:

$$E_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial X_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial X_i} + \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial X_j} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.26)$$

The components  $E_{11}$ ,  $E_{22}$  and  $E_{33}$  are called *normal strains* and it can be shown that they are in fact the ratio of the change in length to the original length along each of the three unit vectors. The components  $E_{12}$ ,  $E_{23}$  and  $E_{13}$  are called *shear strains* and they can be interpreted as a measure of the change in angle between line elements that were perpendicular to each other in the undeformed configuration.

### 2.4.3 Cauchy and Euler tensor

The change in the squared lengths during the body deformation can also be expressed relative to the current length. The length  $dS$  can be written in terms of  $d\mathbf{x}$  as:

$$(dS)^2 = d\mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{X} = d\mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{F}^{-1}) d\mathbf{x} = d\mathbf{x} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} d\mathbf{x} \quad (2.27)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{F}^{-1}$  is called the *Cauchy strain tensor*.  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  is in fact the inverse of the left Cauchy-Green tensor  $\mathbf{B}$  introduced previously.

In a similar way we defined the Green strain tensor, we can write the change in the squared lengths but relative to the current length:

$$(ds)^2 - (dS)^2 = 2 d\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.28)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is called *Almansi-Hamel strain tensor* or simply *Euler strain tensor*.

#### 2.4.4 **TODO: Principal strains?**

#### 2.4.5 Infinitesimal strain tensor

In some cases, it is possible to simplify the expression of the Green strain tensor defined in (2.25). Indeed, when the displacement gradients are small (that is,  $|\nabla \mathbf{u}| \ll 1$ ) we can neglect the nonlinear terms in the definition. In the case of infinitesimal strains, the Green-Lagrange strain tensor and the Eulerian strain tensor are approximately the same and can be approximated by the infinitesimal strain tensor denoted  $\epsilon$  and is given by:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]. \quad (2.29)$$

Its Cartesian components  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are the following:

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial X_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial X_i} \right). \quad (2.30)$$

The strain quantities defined in the previous sections are nonlinear expressions in terms of the mapping  $\chi$  and will lead to nonlinear governing equations. In solid mechanics, whenever the hypothesis is acceptable, it is common practice to assume that the displacements are small and the infinitesimal strain tensor is then used as a measure of the deformation.

### 2.5 Stress

Stress is a measure of the intensity of the internal forces acting between particles of a deformable body across imaginary internal surfaces. These internal forces are produced between the particles in the body as a reaction to external forces applied on the body. The *SI* unit for stress is pascal (symbol *Pa*), which is equivalent to one newton (force) per square meter (unit area). As with strain, different measures of stress can be defined. For instance, stress can be measured per unit of deformed area (section 2.5.1) or undeformed area (sections 2.5.2 and 2.5.3). In general, the stress is not uniformly distributed over the cross section of a material body, and consequently the stress at a point on a given area is different from the average stress over this entire area. Therefore, it is necessary to define the stress not over a given area but at a specific point in the body. Stress at a point in a three-dimensional continuum can be measured in terms of nine quantities, three per plane, on three mutually perpendicular planes at the point. These nine quantities may be viewed as the components of a second-order tensor that we call *stress tensor*.

#### 2.5.1 Cauchy stress

The Euler-Cauchy's stress principle states that on any surface (real or imaginary) that divides the body, the action of one part of the body on the other is equivalent to the system of distributed forces and couples on the surface dividing the body.

With that consideration in mind, let us consider a plane  $S$  that passes through an arbitrary internal point  $P$  which has a unit normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$ . This plane separates the body into two regions and we are interested in the forces applied by one region onto the other. First we will introduce the true stress, defined as the stress in the deformed configuration  $\chi$  measured per unit area of the deformed configuration. The force  $\Delta \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$  acting on a small element of area  $\Delta a$  in a continuous medium depends not only on the size of the area but also depends on the orientation of this area  $\mathbf{n}$ . The *Cauchy stress vector* at  $P$  on  $S$  can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{\Delta a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})}{\Delta a}. \quad (2.31)$$

This equation means that the stress vector depends on its location in the body and the orientation of the plane on which it is acting. In general, the stress vector is not perpendicular to that plane and it can be separated into two components: one component normal to the plane called *normal stress* and one component tangent to the plane named *shear stress*. Since  $\mathbf{t}$  depends on  $\mathbf{n}$  but is not in the direction of  $\mathbf{n}$ , it may be interesting to look into the relationship between  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ .

To establish this relationship, let us consider an infinitesimal tetrahedron in a Cartesian coordinate system. **TODO: Add figure of a tetrahedron with all notations**. We note  $-\mathbf{t}_1$ ,  $-\mathbf{t}_2$ ,  $-\mathbf{t}_3$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  the stress vectors in the outwards directions on the faces of the tetrahedron whose areas are respectively  $\Delta a_1$ ,  $\Delta a_2$ ,  $\Delta a_3$  and  $\Delta a$ . For the mass  $m$  inside the tetrahedron, we have by Newton's second law:

$$\sum \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{t}\Delta a - \mathbf{t}_1\Delta a_1 - \mathbf{t}_2\Delta a_2 - \mathbf{t}_3\Delta a_3 + \Delta v\mathbf{f} = m\mathbf{a}, \quad (2.32)$$

where  $\mathbf{f}$  is the force per unit volume acting on the body,  $\Delta v$  the volume of the tetrahedron and  $\mathbf{a}$  the acceleration. The areas  $\Delta a_1$ ,  $\Delta a_2$  and  $\Delta a_3$  being the projections of  $\Delta a$ , they are related to  $\Delta a$  in the Cartesian coordinate system  $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$  by:

$$\Delta a_i = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i)\Delta a, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.33)$$

Dividing (2.32) by  $\Delta a$ , using (2.33) and noting that  $\Delta v/\Delta a \rightarrow 0$  when the tetrahedron shrinks to a point yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t} &= (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{t}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{t}_2 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3)\mathbf{t}_3 \\ &= \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2\mathbf{t}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3\mathbf{t}_3) \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

We define the *Cauchy stress tensor*  $\sigma = \mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2\mathbf{t}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3\mathbf{t}_3$  and we have:

$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma \quad (2.35)$$

The stress vector  $\mathbf{t}$  represents the vectorial stress on a plane whose normal is  $\mathbf{n}$ . As we demonstrated, the stress tensor is a property of the medium that is independent of  $\mathbf{n}$ . It represents the current force per unit of deformed area:  $d\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{t}da = \sigma \cdot d\mathbf{a}$ .

The matrix form of (2.35) in a Cartesian coordinate system is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{31} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{32} \\ \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{23} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.36)$$

The Cauchy stress tensor is used for stress analysis of material bodies experiencing small deformations. This stress is basically defined as force/(unit deformed area). The strain measure that is appropriate to use with the Cauchy stress tensor is the infinitesimal strain tensor (see section 2.4.5). The problem with using the Cauchy stress tensor for analysing materials undergoing large deformation is that we generally do not know the area in the deformed configuration. Therefore, we need to develop alternative stress tensors that we can use in the reference configuration. We will introduce two new stress measures: the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor and the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor.

### 2.5.2 First Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor

We know that the Cauchy stress tensor represents the current force per unit of deformed area:  $d\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{n})da = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$ . We define a stress vector  $\mathbf{T}$  over the area element  $dA$  with normal  $\mathbf{N}$  in the undeformed configuration such that it results in the same total force

$$d\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{n})da = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N})dA. \quad (2.37)$$

The two stress vectors have the obviously the same direction but different magnitudes since they are not applied to the same area.

In a similar way we defined the Cauchy stress  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  with  $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{n}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , we introduce a stress tensor  $\mathbf{P}$  called the *first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor* such that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{N}$ . The first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor gives the current force per unit undeformed area.

The relation between the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor and the Cauchy stress tensor can be obtained as follows. From (2.37) we have:

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{da}{dA} \mathbf{t} \quad (2.38)$$

Let us assume the *Nanson's relation* TODO: demonstration in section *Change of surface?* expressed as:

$$d\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n} = J dA \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{N}, \quad (2.39)$$

where  $da$  is an area of the deformed configuration,  $dA$  an area from the reference configuration,  $\mathbf{F}$  the deformation gradient and  $J = \det \mathbf{F}$  the Jacobian of the transformation. Using  $d\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{t}da$  and Nanson's relation we have:

$$\mathbf{T} = J \mathbf{t} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \quad (2.40)$$

Finally we can express the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor  $\mathbf{P}$  as

$$\mathbf{P} = J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^{-T}. \quad (2.41)$$

### 2.5.3 Second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor

The *second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor*  $\mathbf{S}$  is introduced as the stress tensor associated with the force  $d\mathbf{F}$  in the undeformed area  $d\mathbf{A}$  that corresponds to the force  $d\mathbf{f}$  in the deformed area  $d\mathbf{a}$ :

$$d\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S} d\mathbf{A} \quad (2.42)$$

Thus, the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor gives the transformed current force per unit undeformed area. Similar to the relationship (2.13) between  $d\mathbf{x}$  and  $d\mathbf{X}$ , the force  $d\mathbf{f}$  is related to the force  $d\mathbf{F}$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{F} &= \mathbf{F}^{-1} d\mathbf{f} \\ &= \mathbf{F}^{-1} (\mathbf{P} d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \mathbf{S} d\mathbf{A} \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Therefore, the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor is related to the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor as follows:

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{P} = J \mathbf{F}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \quad (2.44)$$

## 2.6 Constitutive equations

### 2.6.1 Elastic solids

### 2.6.2 Generalised Hooke's law

### 2.6.3 Orthotropic materials

### 2.6.4 Isotropic materials

## 2.7 Tissue characterisation

### 2.7.1 Material models for organs (non-linear, visco-elastic and anisotropic)

### 2.7.2 Measure/estimation of model parameters



---

## CHAPTER 3

---

# MAIN PRINCIPLES OF FINITE ELEMENT METHOD (OR HOW TO SOLVE EQUATIONS OF CONTINUUM MECHANICS FROM PREVIOUS SECTION)

- 3.1 Discretisation
- 3.2 Derivation of element equations
- 3.3 Assembly of element equations
- 3.4 Solution of global problem





## Part II

# Solid organs modelling



---

## CHAPTER 4

---

# STATE OF ART: FEM



LINEAR NOT ACCURATE =>  
NON-LINEAR FEM =>  
INTRODUCTION OF TLED

- 5.1 Differences with classic FEM and reasons of its efficiency
- 5.2 Visco-elasticity and anisotropy added ([MICCAI 2008](#); [MedIA 2009](#))



## GPU IMPLEMENTATION OF TLED

- 6.1 What is GPGPU
- 6.2 Re-formulation of the algorithm for its Cg implementation
- 6.3 CUDA implementation/optimisations ([ISBMS 2008a](#))





## IMPLEMENTATION IN SOFA

- 7.1 Presentation of SOFA project and architecture
- 7.2 Implementation in SOFA and TLED released in open-source



## Part III

# Hollow organs modelling



## STATE OF ART: HOLLOW STRUCTURES

- 8.1 Non-physic approaches (computer graphics stuff)
- 8.2 Physically accurate approches (plates/shells)



# WHY A SHELL FEM? COLONOSCOPY SIMULATOR PROJECT

## 9.1 Project introduction

## 9.2 Mass-spring model for colon implemented on GPU ([ISBMS 2008b](#))





---

## CHAPTER 10

---

# MORE ACCURATE: A CO-ROTATIONAL TRIANGULAR SHELL MODEL ([ISBMS 2010](#))

10.1 Model description

10.2 Validation

10.3 Application to implant deployment simulation in  
cataract surgery



---

## CHAPTER 11

---

# 'SHELL MESHING' TECHNIQUE (MICCAI 2010)

### 11.1 State of art: reconstruction/simplification

### 11.2 Our method

Draft Version



---

## CHAPTER 12

---

# APPLICATIONS TO MEDICAL SIMULATION

**12.1 Nice medical stuff to show**

**12.2 Interaction solid/hollow organs**

Draft Version



## Part IV

# Conclusion

Draft Version





# References

- [Bonnet and Wood, 1997] J. Bonnet and R. D. Wood. *Nonlinear Continuum Mechanics For Finite Element Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Lai et al., 1996] W. Lai, D. Rubin and E. Krempel. *Introduction to Continuum Mechanics*. Butterworth-Heinemann, third ed., 1996.
- [Lautrup, 2005] B. Lautrup. *Physics of continuous matter: exotic and everyday phenomena in the macroscopic world*. Institute of Physics Publishing, 2005.
- [Reddy, 2007] J. N. Reddy. *An Introduction to Continuum Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [Spencer, 1980] A. Spencer. *Continuum Mechanics*. Longman Group Limited, 1980.