1	Christian D. H. Schultz		
2	Assistant Chief Litigation Counsel		
	David A. Nasse Trial Counsel Division of Enforcement SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 100 F. Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20549 Telaphone (202) 551, 4740 (Sobultz)		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Telephone: (202) 551-4740 (Schultz) Email: schultzc@sec.gov		
8			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	Securities and Exchange Commission		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF WASHINGTON	
12			
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 19-cv-5242	
14	COMMISSION,		
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINE	
	VS.	COMPLAINT	
16		JURY TRIAL REQUESTED	
17	ANTON SENDEROV and LIOR BABAZARA a/k/a/ LIOR BAR,		
18	Defendants,		
19			
20			
21	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") alleges:		
22	SUMMARY OF THE ACTION		
23	1. This case concerns a fraudule	nt scheme in which investors in the United	
	States denosited millions of dollars into on	line trading accounts in connection with	
24	States deposited millions of dollars into online trading accounts in connection with		
25	the illegal offer and sale of high risk securities called "binary options" from at least		
26	January 2014 through August 2017 (the "Relevant Period").		
27	January 2017 unough August 2017 (the N	Colovalit i ci iod j.	
28			

- 2. Anton Senderov and Lior Babazara a/k/a Lior Bar (collectively, the "Defendants") controlled and operated two internet-based binary options brokers called LBinary/Live Binary at www.Lbinary.com ("LBinary") and Ivory Option at www.ivoryoption.com ("Ivory Option"). The LBinary and Ivory Option websites each contained a binary options trading platform where investors could open and fund trading accounts and trade binary options, including binary options referencing securities.
- 3. The Defendants also owned, controlled, and operated LianTech Finance Marketing, Ltd a/k/a LianTech Ltd. ("LianTech"), which operated a call center in Israel and maintained and operated the LBinary and/or Ivory Option websites. Employees at the LianTech call center solicited investors in the United States to open and fund binary options trading accounts with the websites, and some of them purported to advise investors on binary option trading after they opened such accounts.
- 4. LianTech used LBinary and Ivory Option to fraudulently make unregistered offers and sales of binary options that referenced well-known securities to investors in the United States. The Defendants, operating from Israel, controlled these activities and thereby directly or indirectly offered and sold binary options to United States investors through these entities.
- 5. The LBinary and Ivory Option websites, and employees at the LianTech call center, described the binary options offered through those websites as a profitable

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

25

27

28

investment even for retail investors with little to no experience in trading securities. In reality, the binary option offerings deceived many investors into depositing large sums of money into trading accounts at LBinary and Ivory Option, which were operated or controlled by the Defendants. Many investors then lost all or nearly all of their investment through unprofitable binary option trades and other deceptive or manipulative devices.

To persuade retail investors in the United States to invest in the binary 6. options offered on the LBinary and Ivory Option trading platforms, the LianTech call center employees and the websites made numerous false and misleading statements and engaged in deceptive business practices to defraud investors. For example, the LBinary and Ivory Option websites and the call center employees claimed that investors could make large profits trading binary options while working with LianTech's supposedly experienced investment professionals. In fact, the LianTech call center employees were trained to lie about their backgrounds and investment experience. Further, they failed to disclose that the interests of LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option were actually opposed to the interests of the investors because LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option made money only when investors made unprofitable "losing" binary option trades on the online trading platforms. This is material information that reasonable investors would want to know before they deposited money with LBinary or Ivory Option and made binary option trading decisions with advice and assistance from LianTech.

- 7. LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option did not register with the SEC as a broker or dealer, were never associated with an SEC-registered broker or dealer, and never registered with the SEC any of their offers or sales of binary options made through the LBinary and Ivory Option websites.
- 8. LianTech solicited thousands of investors in the United States who opened binary options trading accounts with LBinary or Ivory Option. As a result of the fraudulent representations on the LBinary and Ivory Option websites, and the deceptive conduct of LianTech call center employees, Defendants procured millions of dollars in deposits from investors in the United States in connection with the offer and sale of binary options. Many investors lost significant portions of their invested deposits.
- 9. Defendants' offers and sales of binary options through LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option violated the registration provisions of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)]. Because Defendants controlled LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option, they also are liable as control persons under Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)] for those entities' violations of the antifraud provisions of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c) thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], as well as the broker registration provision of Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)(1)].

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

The SEC seeks disgorgement of Defendants' ill-gotten gains, 10. prejudgment interest, civil monetary penalties, an injunction against further violations of the federal securities laws, and other appropriate relief. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The SEC brings this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1), and 11. 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e), and 27(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa(a)]. Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint, including by making use of the Internet to offer and sell securities and sending or receiving interstate and/or international emails or audio/video communications. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under Section 22 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v], Sections 21(d) and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78aa], and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 12. Venue is proper in this district under Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa(a)] because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district,

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

including at least one investor who was defrauded out of approximately \$500,000. Venue also is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3), as each of the Defendants resides outside of the United States.

DEFENDANTS

- Anton Senderov, age 34, is a citizen of Israel and is believed to reside 13. in Israel. Senderov, along with Lior Babazara, owned, controlled, and operated LianTech, which derives its name from the first two letters of the Defendants' first names (i.e., LIor and ANton). Senderov also controlled the LBinary and Ivory Options websites.
- Lior Babazara (also known as Lior Bar), age 37, is a citizen of Israel 14. and is believed to reside in Israel. Babazara, along with Senderov, owned, controlled, and operated LianTech, through which Senderov controlled the LBinary and Ivory Options websites.

FACTS

THE DEFENDANTS' BINARY OPTIONS OFFERINGS I.

A "binary option" is a financial instrument that expires at a 15. predetermined time where the payout is contingent on the future value of an underlying asset, such as a security or securities index. Binary options like those sold though the LBinary and Ivory Option online trading platforms are "binary" because they carry only two possible outcomes. Upon expiration, its holder will receive a

predetermined amount of money, or nothing or nearly nothing, depending on whether the underlying asset increases or decreases in value.

- 16. For example, if the current market price of a reference stock, "ABC, Inc.," is \$100 per share, an investor could use the LBinary or Ivory Option trading platform to trade a binary option on whether the price of that stock would be above or below \$100 at a certain time in the future (*e.g.* ABC, Inc., stock will be above \$100 at 1 p.m.). If, at the expiration of the binary option, the investor's trade proved correct i.e., the price of ABC, Inc., stock was above \$100 at 1 p.m. the investor might receive 175% of his original investment. If the stock price at expiration of the binary option was less than \$100, the investor would forfeit all or nearly all of his original investment.
- 17. Binary options in which the underlying financial asset is a security or securities within the meaning of Section 2(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(a)(1)] and Section 3(a)(10) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(10)] are themselves "securities" within the meaning of those provisions.
- 18. During the Relevant Period and through LianTech, Defendants controlled two internet-based, binary options brokers called LBinary/Live Binary and Ivory Option using www.Lbinary.com and www.ivoryoption.com websites. These online brokers offered and sold security-based binary options over the internet to investors in the United States and around the world.

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- Through the trading platforms on the LBinary and Ivory Option 19. websites, LianTech call center employees offered and sold to investors binary options with payouts that were contingent on the value of common stocks, including Google, Nike, Coca Cola, Citi Group, Apple, IBM, Microsoft, and numerous other domestic and international companies. The referenced securities also included numerous domestic and international securities indices, including the Nasdaq Composite and Dow Jones Industrial Average.
- 20. The LBinary and Ivory Option websites included statements that described themselves as binary options trading brokers and characterized the purchase of binary options through their trading platforms as trading and investing.
- 21. The Defendants owned, controlled, and operated LianTech Finance Marketing, Ltd, a/k/a LianTech, Ltd., which operated a call center in Israel. Employees at the LianTech call center solicited investors in the United States to open and fund binary options trading accounts with LBinary or Ivory Option. In some cases, LianTech employees represented themselves to investors as financial advisors who recommended particular binary option trades and executed trades on investors' behalf.

DEFENDANTS' BINARY OPTION SCHEME II.

The LBinary and Ivory Option websites were made to appear like 22. legitimate online broker' websites and advertised binary options as profitable and

COMPLAINT

simple investments, even for retail investors with little to no experience in trading securities.

- 23. Entities controlled by the Defendants were the counterparty on every single binary option trade that investors made through the online trading platforms, or that call center employees made through those platforms on behalf of the investors whose accounts they handled.
- 24. LianTech and entities controlled by Defendants did not charge Investors any fees to trade binary options through the online trading platforms. Rather, because they sat on the opposite side of the investors on every trade, the entities controlled by the Defendants retained the amounts investors placed on losing trades as revenue and profits. This fact was not explained to investors by LianTech call center employees or otherwise clearly disclosed to investors.
- 25. Accordingly, the interests of the Defendants, LianTech, and entities they controlled were in direct conflict with the interests of their investors. The investors sought to profitably trade binary options, while Defendants, LianTech, and entities they controlled depended on investors losing trades for revenue so that they could fund their operations and earn a profit.
- 26. Consistent with this conflict of interest, the LianTech business model and compensation structure incentivized call center employees to deceive investors into depositing significant sums of money into trading accounts, and, through a variety of deceptive acts, to cause those investors to lose their deposits.

A. Finding Investor Victims

- 27. The LianTech call center employees identified potential victims for their scam through contracts with third-party affiliate marketers. These affiliate marketers identified potential investors, and made an initial solicitation to them, by creating false and misleading online advertising materials, including elaborate infomercial-like videos, and by sending thousands of fraudulent spam emails to potential investors. These materials were designed to persuade prospective investors to visit the LBinary and Ivory Option websites and open a binary option trading account with a small minimum deposit, typically around \$250. For every investor that did so, after viewing an affiliate's marketing materials, LianTech paid that affiliate marketer a commission.
- 28. After a prospective investor opened and funded a trading account through the LBinary or Ivory Option websites, or otherwise registered or expressed an interest with one of the websites, an employee from the LianTech call center contacted them to encourage them to make large deposits and start trading binary options.

B. Fraudulent Call Center Operations

29. The LianTech call center operated like a boiler room where sales persons used deceptive, high-pressure tactics to offer and sell binary options that LBinary and Ivory Option offered through their trading platforms. Call center employees were trained to build rapport with investors, convince investors to deposit as much money

- 30. Sales employees at the LianTech call center were expected to make a minimum of 300 telephone calls each day and obtain a minimum of 8 deposits a week. From 2014 to 2017, the LianTech call center employed, over time, more than one hundred people.
- 31. LianTech call center sales employees were paid a base salary and a commission. Each employee's commission was calculated, not as a percentage of the investors' profits or trading activity, but rather as a percentage of the deposits made by investors whose accounts that employee handled. As a result, LianTech call center sales employees were incentivized to fraudulently induce investors to deposit significant funds to trade binary options, and to deter investors from withdrawing those funds.
- 32. Investors typically deposited money with LBinary or Ivory Option by credit card or bank wire at the direction of LianTech call center employees. These payments went to accounts held by third-party entities, which may have been directly or indirectly owned or controlled by the Defendants or otherwise tied to LianTech's operations, and investor deposits were then transferred to LianTech and other individuals and entities.

- 33. LianTech call center sales employees were trained to make false statements to investors concerning their professional background, experience and location to gain investors' trust and mislead them into believing that they had significant experience as investment professionals and the necessary qualifications to advise investors on how to trade binary options profitably.
- 34. LianTech call center sales employees were trained to create fake biographies and use aliases instead of their real names. They were trained to claim to be older than they actually were, to have numerous years of experience working in financial markets and trading securities, to have earned significant sums trading binary options, and to be located in Europe rather than Israel.
- 35. In reality, call center employees were located in the LianTech call center in Israel, were typically younger than they claimed, had significantly less experience working in the financial sector or advising investors on trading securities than they claimed to investors, and had not earned large sums trading binary options. The LianTech call center sales employees also fabricated professional sounding titles normally associated with legitimate trading and the provision of financial advice, such as "Financial Advisor," "Head of Managed Accounts," and "Chief Trading Officer."
- 36. LianTech training materials instructed call center sales employees on how to conduct their first solicitation call with potential investors. These materials also instructed employees to describe their fake personas and inform investors about

9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 potential profits they could earn. Tellingly, these materials did not instruct the employees to inform investors that the entities controlled by the Defendants were the counterparties on every investor trade and that they earned money whenever investors lost money on those trades.

- 37. Reasonable investors would have considered it important to their investment decisions to know that the interests of LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech were not aligned with their own, and that call center employees were fabricating their financial experience as well as their experience advising other investors on how to profitably trade securities.
- 38. Once investors made initial deposits, LianTech call center sales employees transferred investors to other sales employees in what was referred to as the "retention department." Sales employees in the retention department made additional false and misleading statements for the purpose of persuading investors to deposit as much money as possible into their trading accounts.
- Alignment of Interest. Retention department employees informed 39. investors that the entities controlled by the Defendants earned money by taking a percentage of the profits that investors earned from winning binary option trades. In addition, promotional materials provided to certain investors stated that commissions would be taken as percentage of "monthly profit." In reality, LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option earned money only when investors had losing binary option trades.

- 40. <u>Promises of profitable trades.</u> Retention department employees made it a business practice to promise investors that trading in binary options would be highly profitable. For example, in a Skype Chat with one investor, a LianTech call center employee wrote, "We will watch your money double in the next 30 days with no further investments[.]" Another investor was told if he deposited \$30,000 to \$40,000, he could expect to withdraw profits of \$3,000 to \$4,000 per month. Still another investor was told that the goal was for his investments to earn 7 percent a month. This practice was misleading and deceptive because the retention department employees knew (or recklessly failed to know) that many if not most investors were extremely unlikely to make any money trading binary options.
- 41. <u>Elevation to "VIP" Status.</u> The retention department employees routinely told investors that if they increased their total deposits to a particular level—usually in the five- and six- figure range—they would achieve "VIP," "gold," "platinum," or some similarly-named elevated status that provided access to more experienced brokers, larger payouts, and access to special VIP-only trading opportunities. In fact, these elevated status benefits were largely illusory and these pitches were nothing more than lures to induce investors to deposit additional funds.
- 42. <u>Insured Trades.</u> For investors with LBinary and Ivory Option who deposited sufficient funds to meet the requirements to enter into a VIP group, retention department employees offered purported "insured trades." VIP marketing materials regarding the VIP program that were sent to investors, claim to provide

"insured trading events" where all trades were "100% insured" to "give a 'sure profit." In reality, there was no "insurance" on any investors' trades.

- 43. <u>Withdrawals</u>. LianTech call center employees told investors they could withdraw funds whenever they wanted with no restrictions. When investors submitted withdrawal requests to either LBinary or Ivory Option, however, these requests were typically ignored, not processed, or denied due to withdrawal restrictions in certain purported terms and conditions.
- 44. In some cases, investors were told that the withdrawals were processed and they should await payment to their accounts, only for days and weeks to pass without the withdrawal appearing in the customers' bank or credit card accounts.
- 45. In other instances, after investors had stopped making additional deposits and had submitted withdrawal requests, retention department employees made unauthorized binary option trades in the investors' accounts. In one such case, an employee lost more than \$400,000 in an investor's account in a matter of days. In another instance, a LianTech employee traded away nearly \$107,000 in an investor's account.
- 46. After investors submitted withdrawal requests, the retention department employees who had served as their account representatives often ceased all communications with them and "disappeared." Investors who were able to reach someone at the LianTech call center were often told that their account representatives were sick, on vacation, in meetings, or otherwise busy with other investors.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

47.

Reasonable investors would have considered it important to their investment decisions to know that that the LianTech call center employees' in the retention department were falsely representing (1) the alleged alignment of interests; (2) the likelihood of profitable trades; (3) the alleged benefits under the "VIP Program"; (4) that the trades were insured; and (5) that investors could easily withdraw their funds.

Deceptive Websites C.

- 48. Ivory Option and LBinary licensed the technology and infrastructure necessary to run their binary options websites from SpotOption, Ltd., a binary options platform provider that has helped numerous entities defraud investors with binary options trading scams. Under these license agreements, SpotOption provided Ivory Option and LBinary with Internet software infrastructure, a website interface, a binary option trading platform, and customer relationship management software ("CRM"). Defendants and LianTech simply put the Ivory Option and LBinary "branding" on the SpotOption trading platform and embedded it on their websites.
- 49. The trading platform embedded on the Ivory Option and LBinary websites created the appearance of actual market-oriented options trading that looked similar to what an investor might see on a legitimate broker's website. The trading platform allowed investors to place "trades," see "live" market quotations, make deposits, and track their trades and balances. The trading platform referred to binary

options positions as "investments" or "trades" and showed the assets the positions referenced, including the names of relevant securities.

- 50. Similar to the way a legitimate broker would operate, LBinary's and Ivory Option's websites and other promotional materials stated, respectively, in 2015 and 2016 that, "Investors' funds are held in a segregated account. These funds are used only for trading options on our website." These statements were false and misleading because investor deposits were actually placed in aggregated accounts from which expenses associated with running the business were regularly paid, including salary payments to call center employees, commissions to affiliate marketers, and purported "licensing" payments to SpotOption, Ltd.
- 51. Reasonable investors would have considered it important to their investment decisions to know that their funds were not segregated as represented on the LBinary and Ivory Option websites and were instead being used to pay the expenses of LianTech, LBinary, Ivory Option and other entities controlled and/or operated by the Defendants.
- 52. The LBinary and Ivory Option websites contained additional false and misleading statements. For example, LBinary's website stated in 2015 that, "Trading is conducted only upon the client's instructions, and are not to be used for any other cause. Our liabilities and exposures are professionally handled and we guarantee pay-outs of your profits based on our terms." These statements were false and misleading because at times LianTech call center employees placed trades

directly in investor accounts without the investor's knowledge or consent and LianTech frequently did not honor investors' legitimate withdrawal requests.

- 53. Reasonable investors would have considered it important to their investment decisions to know that the entities controlled by the Defendants would engage in unauthorized trading and refuse their legitimate withdrawal requests.
- 54. In addition, LBinary's website contained the following additional statements in 2015:

"Our account managers are experienced professionals that provide guided material, one-on-one coaching, and scheduled webinars."

"The coalition of a user-friendly and flexible platform along with guided expertise allows our investors to maximize earnings with minimal effort from the comfort of their own home."

"LBinary's brokers are the best in the business. The company hires experienced brokers, which have led the company to become the leading brokerage in Europe. The reason that our company and our investors have had so much success is because of the level of expertise our brokers possess and project onto our traders. Each of our brokers bring with them their own skill set that they have developed and modeled over years of experience trading in financial markets."

- "A **One-on-One session** can be helpful for all types of Binary Option traders, no matter how experienced you may be. For those who have no background in the business, a **One-on-One session** is a great opportunity to consult with a professional while going through the full process of making a Binary Option trade."
- 55. These statements were false and misleading because the employees at the LianTech call center were trained to use fake names, titles, and credentials and

were either not experienced professionals capable of providing expert guidance to investors to help them make profitable binary options trades, or were not nearly as experienced as they claimed to be. These statements are also false and misleading because the so-called "brokers" had no incentive to help investors "succeed." Rather, these employees were incentivized to obtain the largest possible deposit from investors and to deter withdrawals. Moreover, LBinary was not a leading brokerage in Europe, but rather a boiler room call center operated from Israel. These misrepresentations would have been important to a reasonable investor's investment decisions.

56. Ivory Option's website contained similar false and misleading statements, concerning segregated accounts and the value of a one on one session with a LianTech account representative, and it also contained additional false and misleading statements. For example, the website stated:

Learn about binary options and discover new possibilities when you start trading with our best brokers. We will provide you the tools and education so that you can succeed. You can have access to all the investment plans we offer by taking a few minutes to open your account, then you can use our platform to make a profit.

This investment plan provides personal trading strategies based on the funds available on the account. If you are interested in learning about a specific asset on our website, we will provide an individual training program based on the asset of your choice. You will frequently receive e-mails with market updates as well as personal sessions with your broker. You can receive up to a 25% bonus based on your initial investment. You will

receive trading signals through e-mail and Skype and constant support from your broker and customer service representatives.

- 57. These statements are false and misleading because the LianTech call center employees were either not experienced investment professionals or not as experienced as they claimed to be, and they had little to no substantive experience with the Ivory Option platform. In addition, like the statements above on the LBinary website, these statements are also false and misleading because the so-called "brokers" were incentivized to cause investors to lose money trading binary options.
- 58. These misrepresentations would have been important to a reasonable investors' investment decisions.

D. The Defendants Controlled and Operated LianTech, LBinary, and Ivory Option

- 59. Israeli corporate records show that the Defendants owned, controlled, and operated LianTech, which operated the LianTech call center. Former LianTech call center employees confirmed that the Defendants owned, controlled, and supervised the call center and that they had offices at the call center and were regularly present. One former LianTech employee noted that Babazara occasionally gave "Wolf of Wall Street like" speeches at the LianTech call center to motivate the sales staff.
- 60. When new affiliate marketing campaigns were used to generate new investor leads, desk supervisors at the LianTech call center told the sales staff to work

hard in attracting investors because the Defendants had invested a lot of money to obtain the leads.

61. Senderov's internet domain registration account with GoDaddy (and its affiliate Domains by Proxy) registered the internet domains for LBinary and Ivory Option, giving Senderov the rights to and control over the websites www.lbinary.com and <a href="www.lbinary.c

E. Defendants Fraud Harmed United States Investors

- 62. The Ivory Option and LBinary websites were available to investors in the United States and thousands of United States investors made deposits to trade binary options and purchased binary options through those sites.
- 63. For example, data from LBinary's internal database shows that from October 2014 through December 2014, LBinary accepted deposits from more than 1,800 United States residents totaling more than \$3.155 million. After December 2014, other investors in the United States deposited at least \$680,000 into binary option trading accounts with LBinary.

64. For example, data from Ivory Option's internal database shows that from October 2014 through December 2014, Ivory Option accepted deposits from at least 980 United States investors totaling \$1.62 million. After December 2014, other United States investors deposited at least \$266,500 into binary option trading accounts with Ivory Option.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

FIRST CLAIM

Unregistered Offer or Sale of Securities in Violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act

- 65. Paragraphs 1-64 are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 66. No registration statement had been filed or was in effect for any of the security-based binary options offered or sold through or by the LBinary and Ivory Option websites, LianTech, and the Defendants.
- 67. Defendants, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or to sell such securities.
- 68. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants violated, and unless enjoined will again violate, Section 5 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e].

SECOND CLAIM

Control Person Liability Under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder

- 69. Paragraphs 1-64 are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 70. As alleged above, Defendants were controlling persons of LBinary, and Ivory Option, and LianTech for the purposes of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)].
- 71. As alleged above, LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech directly or indirectly, by use of the means or instruments of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or the facility of a national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, and with knowledge or recklessness: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person. Thus, LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].
- 72. Accordingly, Defendants are liable as a controlling persons pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)] for the violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] committed by LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech.

THIRD CLAIM

Control Person Liability Under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for Violations of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act

- 73. Paragraphs 1-64 are realleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 74. As alleged above, Defendants were controlling persons of LBinary, and Ivory Option, and LianTech for the purposes of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)].
- 75. Through the conduct described above, LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech:
 - (a) engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities for the account of others; and
 - (b) directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of, securities without being registered as a broker or dealer with the Commission or associated with a broker or dealer registered with the Commission.
- Thus, LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech violated Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)].
- 76. Accordingly, Defendants are liable as a controlling persons pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)] for the violations of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] committed by LBinary, Ivory Option, and LianTech.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- a) Find that Defendants committed the alleged violations;
- b) Order Defendants to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all ill-gotten gains they received or derived from the activities set forth in this Complaint, and to repatriate any ill-gotten funds or assets they caused to be sent overseas;
- c) Order Defendants to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3];
- d) Permanently enjoin Defendants from directly or indirectly violating Sections 5 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e] and Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5];
- e) Retain jurisdiction over this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that it may enter, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court; and
 - f) Grant such other relief as may be necessary or appropriate.

1	Dated: October 9, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		/s/ Christian D. H. Schultz
4		Christian D. H. Schultz Assistant Chief Litigation Counsel
5		
6		David Nasse Trial Counsel
7		That Counsel
8		Deborah Maisel
9		Senior Counsel
10		Jason Anthony
11		Senior Counsel
12		Division of Enforcement
13		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
14		100 F. Street, N.E.
15		Washington, D.C. 20549
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		