

# Kinematic, Static, Dynamic and Control Analysis of a 6-DOF Serial Manipulator

Group B1

December 24, 2025

## 1 Introduction

This report presents the complete modeling and analysis of a 6-degree-of-freedom serial robotic manipulator. The study includes kinematic modeling using the Modified Denavit–Hartenberg (MDH) convention, Jacobian-based analysis, static and dynamic modeling, trajectory generation, and joint-space control.

All formulations follow the conventions presented in Craig [1].

## 2 Coordinate Frames and MDH Convention

The Modified Denavit–Hartenberg (Craig) convention is used throughout this work. The homogeneous transformation from frame  $\{i-1\}$  to frame  $\{i\}$  is defined as:

$$A_i = R_x(\alpha_{i-1}) T_x(a_{i-1}) R_z(\theta_i) T_z(d_i) \quad (1)$$

where:

- $a_{i-1}$  is the distance from  $\hat{Z}_{i-1}$  to  $\hat{Z}_i$  along  $\hat{X}_{i-1}$
- $\alpha_{i-1}$  is the angle from  $\hat{Z}_{i-1}$  to  $\hat{Z}_i$  about  $\hat{X}_{i-1}$
- $d_i$  is the distance from  $\hat{X}_{i-1}$  to  $\hat{X}_i$  along  $\hat{Z}_i$
- $\theta_i$  is the joint angle about  $\hat{Z}_i$

## 3 MDH Parameter Table

The MDH parameters extracted from the CAD model are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Modified Denavit–Hartenberg Parameters

$i$	$\alpha_{i-1}$ [rad]	$a_{i-1}$ [m]	$d_i$ [m]
1	0	0	0.16099
2	$+\pi/2$	0	0
3	0	0.10596	0
4	$+\pi/2$	0.14652	0.13721
5	$-\pi/2$	0	0
6	$+\pi/2$	0	0
TCP	0	0	0.12539

## 4 Forward Kinematics

The forward kinematics from the base frame to the end-effector frame  $\{6\}$  is given by:

$$T_0^6 = \prod_{i=1}^6 A_i \quad (2)$$

The symbolic formulation was derived and later evaluated numerically in MATLAB. Orthonormality of the resulting rotation matrix was verified numerically.

## 5 Jacobian Analysis

The geometric Jacobian is defined as:

$$J(q) = \begin{bmatrix} J_v \\ J_\omega \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where:

$$J_{v,i} = \hat{z}_i \times (p_e - p_i) \quad (4)$$

$$J_{\omega,i} = \hat{z}_i \quad (5)$$

The Jacobian was validated using finite-difference approximation of the end-effector velocity.

## 6 Static Analysis

Static joint torques due to an external wrench applied at the end-effector were computed using:

$$\tau = J^T F \quad (6)$$

Additionally, gravity compensation torques were computed using the Jacobian-based formulation.

## 7 Dynamic Modeling

The Recursive Newton–Euler (RNE) algorithm was implemented to compute joint torques:

$$\tau = M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) \quad (7)$$

Mass and inertia properties were extracted directly from the CAD model and converted to SI units.

## 8 Trajectory Generation

Joint-space trajectories were generated using:

- Cubic polynomials (position and velocity continuity)
- Quintic polynomials (position, velocity, and acceleration continuity)

## 9 Control

Two joint-space control strategies were implemented:

- Proportional-Derivative (PD) control
- Computed-torque control using inverse dynamics

## 10 Tool Frame Extension (Optional)

The physical robot includes a tool offset along the  $\hat{Z}_6$  axis. This tool frame was not included in the numerical implementation but can be modeled as:

$$T_0^E = T_0^6 T_6^E \quad (8)$$

where  $T_6^E$  is a fixed homogeneous transform representing the TCP.

## 11 Conclusion

A complete and consistent modeling framework for a 6-DOF serial manipulator was developed and validated. The results demonstrate correct kinematic, static, and dynamic behavior aligned with standard robotics theory.

## References

- [1] J. J. Craig, *Introduction to Robotics: Mechanics and Control*, 3rd ed. Pearson, 2005.