The Java® Language Specification
Java SE 20 Edition

James Gosling
Bill Joy
Guy Steele
Gilad Bracha
Alex Buckley
Daniel Smith
Gavin Bierman

2023-03-03

Specification: JSR-395 Java SE 20
Version: 20
Status: Final Release
Release: March 2023

Table of Contents

9 Interfaces 343

13   Binary Compatibility 451

17   Threads and Locks 741

18   Type Inference 769

Introduction

THE Java® programming language is a general-purpose, concurrent, class-based, object-oriented language. It is designed to be simple enough that many programmers can achieve fluency in the language. The Java programming language is related to C and C++ but is organized rather differently, with a number of aspects of C and C++ omitted and a few ideas from other languages included. It is intended to be a production language, not a research language, and so, as C. A. R. Hoare suggested in his classic paper on language design, the design has avoided including new and untested features.

The Java programming language is strongly and statically typed. This specification clearly distinguishes between the *compile-time errors* that can and must be detected at compile time, and those that occur at run time. Compile time normally consists of translating programs into a machine-independent byte code representation. Run-time activities include loading and linking of the classes needed to execute a program, optional machine code generation and dynamic optimization of the program, and actual program execution.

The Java programming language is a relatively high-level language, in that details of the machine representation are not available through the language. It includes automatic storage management, typically using a garbage collector, to avoid the safety problems of explicit deallocation (as in C's `free` or C++'s `delete`). High-performance garbage-collected implementations can have bounded pauses to support systems programming and real-time applications. The language does not include any unsafe constructs, such as array accesses without index checking, since such unsafe constructs would cause a program to behave in an unspecified way.

The Java programming language is normally compiled to the bytecode instruction set and binary format defined in *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*.

1.1   Organization of the Specification

Chapter 2 describes grammars and the notation used to present the lexical and syntactic grammars for the language.

Chapter 3 describes the lexical structure of the Java programming language, which is based on C and C++. The language is written in the Unicode character set. It supports the writing of Unicode characters on systems that support only ASCII.

Chapter 4 describes types, values, and variables. Types are subdivided into primitive types and reference types.

The primitive types are defined to be the same on all machines and in all implementations, and are various sizes of two's-complement integers, IEEE 754 floating-point numbers, a `boolean` type, and a Unicode character `char` type. Values of the primitive types do not share state.

Reference types are the class types, the interface types, and the array types. The reference types are implemented by dynamically created objects that are either instances of classes or arrays. Many references to each object can exist. All objects (including arrays) support the methods of the class `Object`, which is the (single) root of the class hierarchy. A predefined `String` class supports Unicode character strings. Classes exist for wrapping primitive values inside of objects. In many cases, wrapping and unwrapping is performed automatically by the compiler (in which case, wrapping is called boxing, and unwrapping is called unboxing). Classes and interfaces may be generic, that is, they may be parameterized by reference types. Parameterized types of such classes and interfaces may then be invoked with specific type arguments.

Variables are typed storage locations. A variable of a primitive type holds a value of that exact primitive type. A variable of a class type can hold a null reference or a reference to an object that is an instance of the named class or any subclass of that class. A variable of an interface type can hold a null reference or a reference to an instance of any class that implements the named interface. A variable of an array type can hold a null reference or a reference to an array. A variable of class type `Object` can hold a null reference or a reference to any object, whether class instance or array.

Chapter 5 describes conversions and numeric promotions. Conversions change the compile-time type and, sometimes, the value of an expression. These conversions include the boxing and unboxing conversions between primitive types and reference types. Numeric promotions are used to convert the operands of a numeric operator to a common type where an operation can be performed. There are no

loopholes in the language; casts on reference types are checked at run time to ensure type safety.

Chapter 6 describes declarations and names, and how to determine what names mean (that is, which declaration a name denotes). The Java programming language does not require classes and interfaces, or their members, to be declared before they are used. Declaration order is significant only for local variables, local classes, local interfaces, and the order of field initializers in a class or interface. Recommended naming conventions that make for more readable programs are described here.

Chapter 7 describes the structure of a program, which is organized into packages. The members of a package are classes, interfaces, and subpackages. Packages, and consequently their members, have names in a hierarchical name space; the Internet domain name system can usually be used to form unique package names. Compilation units contain declarations of the classes and interfaces that are members of a given package, and may import classes and interfaces from other packages to give them short names.

Packages may be grouped into modules that serve as building blocks in the construction of very large programs. The declaration of a module specifies which other modules (and thus packages, and thus classes and interfaces) are required in order to compile and run code in its own packages.

The Java programming language supports limitations on external access to the members of packages, classes, and interfaces. The members of a package may be accessible solely by other members in the same package, or by members in other packages of the same module, or by members of packages in different modules. Similar constraints apply to the members of classes and interfaces.

Chapter 8 describes classes. The members of classes are classes, interfaces, fields (variables) and methods. Class variables exist once per class. Class methods operate without reference to a specific object. Instance variables are dynamically created in objects that are instances of classes. Instance methods are invoked on instances of classes; such instances become the current object `this` during their execution, supporting the object-oriented programming style.

Classes support single inheritance, in which each class has a single superclass. Each class inherits members from its superclass, and ultimately from the class `Object`. Variables of a class type can reference an instance of the named class or any subclass of that class, allowing new classes to be used with existing methods, polymorphically.

Classes support concurrent programming with `synchronized` methods. Methods declare the checked exceptions that can arise from their execution, which allows

3

compile-time checking to ensure that exceptional conditions are handled. Objects can declare a `finalize` method that will be invoked before the objects are discarded by the garbage collector, allowing the objects to clean up their state.

For simplicity, the language has neither declaration "headers" separate from the implementation of a class nor separate type and class hierarchies.

A restricted kind of class, enum classes, supports the definition of small sets of values and their manipulation in a type safe manner. Unlike enumerations in other languages, enum constants are objects and may have their own methods.

Another restricted kind of class, record classes, supports the compact expression of simple objects that serve as aggregates of values.

Chapter 9 describes interfaces. The members of interfaces are classes, interfaces, constant fields, and methods. Classes that are otherwise unrelated can implement the same interface. A variable of an interface type can contain a reference to any object that implements the interface.

Classes and interfaces support multiple inheritance from interfaces. A class that implements one or more interfaces may inherit instance methods from both its superclass and its superinterfaces.

Annotations are metadata that may be applied to declarations in a program, as well as to the uses of types in declarations and expressions. The form of an annotation is defined by an annotation interface, a specialized kind of interface. Annotations are not permitted to affect the semantics of programs in the Java programming language in any way. However, they provide useful input to various tools.

Chapter 10 describes arrays. Array accesses include bounds checking. Arrays are dynamically created objects and may be assigned to variables of type `Object`. The language supports arrays of arrays, rather than multidimensional arrays.

Chapter 11 describes exceptions, which are nonresuming and fully integrated with the language semantics and concurrency mechanisms. There are three kinds of exceptions: checked exceptions, run-time exceptions, and errors. The compiler ensures that checked exceptions are properly handled by requiring that a method or constructor can result in a checked exception only if the method or constructor declares it. This provides compile-time checking that exception handlers exist, and aids programming in the large. Most user-defined exceptions should be checked exceptions. Invalid operations in the program detected by the Java Virtual Machine result in run-time exceptions, such as `NullPointerException`. Errors result from failures detected by the Java Virtual Machine, such as `OutOfMemoryError`. Most simple programs do not try to handle errors.

Chapter 12 describes activities that occur during execution of a program. A program is normally stored as binary files representing compiled classes and interfaces. These binary files can be loaded into a Java Virtual Machine, linked to other classes and interfaces, and initialized.

After initialization, class methods and class variables may be used. Some classes may be instantiated to create new objects of the class type. Objects that are class instances also contain an instance of each superclass of the class, and object creation involves recursive creation of these superclass instances.

When an object is no longer referenced, it may be reclaimed by the garbage collector. If an object declares a finalizer, the finalizer is executed before the object is reclaimed to give the object a last chance to clean up resources that would not otherwise be released. When a class is no longer needed, it may be unloaded.

Chapter 13 describes binary compatibility, specifying the impact of changes to classes and interfaces on other classes and interfaces that use the changed classes and interfaces but have not been recompiled. These considerations are of interest to developers of classes and interfaces that are to be widely distributed, in a continuing series of versions, often through the Internet. Good program development environments automatically recompile dependent code whenever a class or interface is changed, so most programmers need not be concerned about these details.

Chapter 14 describes blocks and statements, which are based on C and C++. The language has no `goto` statement, but includes labeled `break` and `continue` statements. Unlike C, the Java programming language requires `boolean` (or `Boolean`) expressions in control-flow statements, and does not convert types to `boolean` implicitly (except through unboxing), in the hope of catching more errors at compile time. A `synchronized` statement provides basic object-level monitor locking. A `try` statement can include `catch` and `finally` clauses to protect against non-local control transfers. Chapter 14 also describes patterns, which are used within statements (and expressions) to conditionally declare and initialize local variables.

Chapter 15 describes expressions. This document fully specifies the (apparent) order of evaluation of expressions, for increased determinism and portability. Overloaded methods and constructors are resolved at compile time by picking the most specific method or constructor from those which are applicable.

Chapter 16 describes the precise way in which the language ensures that local variables are definitely set before use. While all other variables are automatically initialized to a default value, the Java programming language does

not automatically initialize local variables in order to avoid masking programming errors.

Chapter 17 describes the semantics of threads and locks, which are based on the monitor-based concurrency originally introduced with the Mesa programming language. The Java programming language specifies a memory model for shared-memory multiprocessors that supports high-performance implementations.

Chapter 18 describes a variety of type inference algorithms used to test applicability of generic methods and to infer types in a generic method invocation.

Chapter 19 presents a syntactic grammar for the language.

1.2   Example Programs

Most of the example programs given in the text are ready to be executed and are similar in form to:

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for (int i = 0; i < args.length; i++)
            System.out.print(i == 0 ? args[i] : " " + args[i]);
        System.out.println();
    }
}
```

On a machine with the Oracle JDK installed, this class, stored in the file `Test.java`, can be compiled and executed by giving the commands:

```
javac Test.java
java Test Hello, world.
```

producing the output:

```
Hello, world.
```

1.3   Notation

Throughout this specification, we refer to classes and interfaces drawn from the Java SE Platform API. Whenever we refer to a class or interface (other than those declared in an example) using a single identifier N, the intended reference is to the class or interface named N in the package `java.lang`. We use the canonical name (§6.7) for classes or interfaces from packages other than `java.lang`.

A cross-reference within this specification is shown as (§x.y). Occasionally, we refer to concepts in the *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition* via cross-references of the form (JVMS §x.y).

Non-normative text, designed to clarify the normative text of this specification, is given in smaller, indented text.

> This is non-normative text. It provides intuition, rationale, advice, examples, etc.

To shorten the description of some rules, especially those that systematically analyze the constructs of the Java programming language, the customary abbreviation "iff" is used to mean "if and only if".

The type system of the Java programming language occasionally relies on the notion of a *substitution*. The notation $[F_1:=T_1,\ldots,F_n:=T_n]$ denotes substitution of F_i by T_i for $1 \le i \le n$.

1.4 Relationship to Predefined Classes and Interfaces

As noted above, this specification often refers to classes and interfaces of the Java SE Platform API. In particular, some classes and interfaces have a special relationship with the Java programming language. Examples include classes such as `Object`, `Class`, `ClassLoader`, `String`, and `Thread`, and the classes and interfaces in the package `java.lang.reflect`, among others. This specification constrains the behavior of such classes and interfaces, but does not provide a complete specification for them. The reader is referred to the Java SE Platform API documentation.

Consequently, this specification does not describe reflection in any detail. Many linguistic constructs have analogs in the Core Reflection API (`java.lang.reflect`) and the Language Model API (`javax.lang.model`), but these are generally not discussed here. For example, when we list the ways in which an object can be created, we generally do not include the ways in which the Core Reflection API can accomplish this. Readers should be aware of these additional mechanisms even though they are not mentioned in the text.

1.5 Preview Features

A *preview feature* is:

- a new feature of the Java programming language ("preview language feature"), or

- a new module, package, class, interface, field, method, constructor, or enum constant in the `java.*` or `javax.*` namespace ("preview API")

that is fully specified, fully implemented, and yet impermanent. It is available in implementations of a given release of the Java SE Platform to provoke developer feedback based on real world use; this may lead to it becoming permanent in a future release of the Java SE Platform.

Implementations must disable, at both compile time and run time, the preview features defined by a given release of the Java SE Platform, unless the user indicates via the host system, at both compile time and run time, that preview features are to be enabled.

The preview features defined by a given release of the Java SE Platform are enumerated in the Java SE Platform Specification for that release. The preview features are specified as follows:

- Preview language features are specified in standalone documents that indicate changes ("diffs") to *The Java® Language Specification* for that release. The specifications of preview language features are incorporated into *The Java® Language Specification* by reference, and made a part thereof, if and only if preview features are enabled at compile time.

  Java SE 20 defines two preview language features: *Pattern Matching for* `switch` and *Record Patterns*. The standalone documents which specify these preview features are available in the same download bundle as this PDF of *The Java® Language Specification*.

- Preview APIs are specified within the Java SE API Specification for that release.

The rules for use of preview language features are as follows:

- If preview features are disabled, then any source code reference to a preview language feature, or to a class or interface declared using a preview language feature, causes a compile-time error.

- If preview features are enabled, then any source code reference to a class or interface declared using a preview language feature causes a *preview warning*, unless one of the following is true:

  - The reference appears in a declaration that is annotated to suppress preview warnings (§9.6.4.5).

  - The reference appears in an import declaration (§7.5).

    > When preview features are enabled, Java compilers are strongly encouraged to give a non-suppressible warning for every source code reference to a preview language feature. Details of this warning are beyond the scope of *The Java® Language Specification*, but the intent should be to alert programmers to the possibility of code being affected by future changes to preview language features.

Some preview APIs are described as *reflective* by the Java SE Platform Specification, principally in the `java.lang.reflect`, `java.lang.invoke`, and `javax.lang.model` packages. The rule for use of reflective preview APIs is as follows:

- Whether preview features are enabled or disabled, any source code reference to a reflective preview API element causes a preview warning, unless one of the following is true:

  - The declaration where the reference appears is within the same module as the declaration of the reflective preview API element.

  - The reference appears in a declaration that is annotated to suppress preview warnings.

  - The reference appears in an import declaration.

All preview APIs not described as reflective in the Java SE Platform Specification are *normal*. The rules for use of normal preview APIs are as follows:

- If preview features are disabled, then any source code reference to a normal preview API element causes a compile-time error, unless:

  - The declaration where the reference appears is within the same module as the declaration of the normal preview API element.

- If preview features are enabled, then any source code reference to a normal preview API element causes a preview warning, unless one of the following is true:

  – The declaration where the reference appears is within the same module as the declaration of the normal preview API element.

  – The reference appears in a declaration that is annotated to suppress preview warnings.

  – The reference appears in an import declaration.

1.6 Feedback

Readers are invited to report technical errors and ambiguities in *The Java®
Language Specification* to `jls-jvms-spec-comments@openjdk.org`.

Questions concerning the behavior of `javac` (the reference compiler for the Java
programming language), and in particular its conformance to this specification,
may be sent to `compiler-dev@openjdk.org`.

1.7 References

Apple Computer. *Dylan Reference Manual*. Apple Computer Inc., Cupertino, California.
September 29, 1995.

Bobrow, Daniel G., Linda G. DeMichiel, Richard P. Gabriel, Sonya E. Keene, Gregor Kiczales,
and David A. Moon. *Common Lisp Object System Specification*, X3J13 Document
88-002R, June 1988; appears as Chapter 28 of Steele, Guy. *Common Lisp: The Language*,
2nd ed. Digital Press, 1990, ISBN 1-55558-041-6, 770-864.

Ellis, Margaret A., and Bjarne Stroustrup. *The Annotated C++ Reference Manual*. Addison-
Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1990, reprinted with corrections October 1992, ISBN
0-201-51459-1.

Goldberg, Adele and Robson, David. *Smalltalk-80: The Language*. Addison-Wesley, Reading,
Massachusetts, 1989, ISBN 0-201-13688-0.

Harbison, Samuel. *Modula-3*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1992, ISBN
0-13-596396.

Hoare, C. A. R. *Hints on Programming Language Design*. Stanford University Computer
Science Department Technical Report No. CS-73-403, December 1973. Reprinted in
SIGACT/SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages. Association
for Computing Machinery, New York, October 1973.

IEEE. *IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic*. IEEE Std 754-2019 (Revision of IEEE 754-2008). July 2019, ISBN 978-1-5044-5924-2.

Kernighan, Brian W., and Dennis M. Ritchie. *The C Programming Language*, 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1988, ISBN 0-13-110362-8.

Madsen, Ole Lehrmann, Birger Møller-Pedersen, and Kristen Nygaard. *Object-Oriented Programming in the Beta Programming Language*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1993, ISBN 0-201-62430-3.

Mitchell, James G., William Maybury, and Richard Sweet. *The Mesa Programming Language, Version 5.0*. Xerox PARC, Palo Alto, California, CSL 79-3, April 1979.

Stroustrup, Bjarne. *The C++ Programming Language*, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1991, reprinted with corrections January 1994, ISBN 0-201-53992-6.

Unicode Consortium, The. *The Unicode Standard, Version 15.0*. Mountain View, California, 2022, ISBN 978-1-936213-32-0.

Grammars

THIS chapter describes the context-free grammars used in this specification to define the lexical and syntactic structure of a program.

2.1 Context-Free Grammars

A *context-free grammar* consists of a number of *productions*. Each production has an abstract symbol called a *nonterminal* as its *left-hand side*, and a sequence of one or more nonterminal and *terminal* symbols as its *right-hand side*. For each grammar, the terminal symbols are drawn from a specified *alphabet*.

Starting from a sentence consisting of a single distinguished nonterminal, called the *goal symbol*, a given context-free grammar specifies a language, namely, the set of possible sequences of terminal symbols that can result from repeatedly replacing any nonterminal in the sequence with a right-hand side of a production for which the nonterminal is the left-hand side.

2.2 The Lexical Grammar

A *lexical grammar* for the Java programming language is given in §3 (*Lexical Structure*). This grammar has as its terminal symbols the characters of the Unicode character set. It defines a set of productions, starting from the goal symbol *Input* (§3.5), that describe how sequences of Unicode characters (§3.1) are translated into a sequence of input elements (§3.2).

These input elements, with white space (§3.6) and comments (§3.7) discarded, form the terminal symbols for the syntactic grammar for the Java programming language and are called *tokens* (§3.5). These tokens are the identifiers (§3.8),

keywords (§3.9), literals (§3.10), separators (§3.11), and operators (§3.12) of the Java programming language.

2.3   The Syntactic Grammar

The *syntactic grammar* for the Java programming language is given in Chapters 4, 6-10, 14, and 15. This grammar has as its terminal symbols the tokens defined by the lexical grammar. It defines a set of productions, starting from the goal symbol *CompilationUnit* (§7.3), that describe how sequences of tokens can form syntactically correct programs.

For convenience, the syntactic grammar is presented all together in Chapter 19.

2.4   Grammar Notation

Terminal symbols are shown in `fixed width` font in the productions of the lexical and syntactic grammars, and throughout this specification whenever the text is directly referring to such a terminal symbol. These are to appear in a program exactly as written.

Nonterminal symbols are shown in *italic* type. The definition of a nonterminal is introduced by the name of the nonterminal being defined, followed by a colon. One or more alternative definitions for the nonterminal then follow on succeeding lines.

For example, the syntactic production:

> *IfThenStatement:*
>   `if` (*Expression*) *Statement*

states that the nonterminal *IfThenStatement* represents the token `if`, followed by a left parenthesis token, followed by an *Expression*, followed by a right parenthesis token, followed by a *Statement*.

The syntax *{x}* on the right-hand side of a production denotes zero or more occurrences of *x*.

For example, the syntactic production:

> *ArgumentList:*
>   *Argument {, Argument}*

states that an *ArgumentList* consists of an *Argument*, followed by zero or more occurrences of a comma and an *Argument*. The result is that an *ArgumentList* may contain any positive number of arguments.

The syntax *[x]* on the right-hand side of a production denotes zero or one occurrences of *x*. That is, *x* is an *optional symbol*. The alternative which contains the optional symbol actually defines two alternatives: one that omits the optional symbol and one that includes it.

This means that:

> *BreakStatement:*
>   break *[Identifier]* ;

is a convenient abbreviation for:

> *BreakStatement:*
>   break ;
>   break *Identifier* ;

As another example, it means that:

> *BasicForStatement:*
>   for (*[ForInit]* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*

is a convenient abbreviation for:

> *BasicForStatement:*
>   for (; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*
>   for (*ForInit* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*

which in turn is an abbreviation for:

> *BasicForStatement:*
>   for (; ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*
>   for (; *Expression* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*
>   for (*ForInit* ; ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*
>   for (*ForInit* ; *Expression* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*

which in turn is an abbreviation for:

BasicForStatement:
```
for ( ; ; ) Statement
for ( ; ; ForUpdate ) Statement
for ( ; Expression ; ) Statement
for ( ; Expression ; ForUpdate ) Statement
for ( ForInit ; ; ) Statement
for ( ForInit ; ; ForUpdate ) Statement
for ( ForInit ; Expression ; ) Statement
for ( ForInit ; Expression ; ForUpdate ) Statement
```

so the nonterminal *BasicForStatement* actually has eight alternative right-hand sides.

A very long right-hand side may be continued on a second line by clearly indenting the second line.

For example, the syntactic grammar contains this production:

NormalClassDeclaration:
   {ClassModifier} `class` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
      [ClassExtends] [ClassImplements] [ClassPermits] ClassBody

which defines one right-hand side for the nonterminal *NormalClassDeclaration*.

The phrase *(one of)* on the right-hand side of a production signifies that each of the symbols on the following line or lines is an alternative definition.

For example, the lexical grammar contains the production:

ZeroToThree:
   (one of)
```
0  1  2  3
```

which is merely a convenient abbreviation for:

ZeroToThree:
```
0
1
2
3
```

When an alternative in a production appears to be a token, it represents the sequence of characters that would make up such a token.

Thus, the production:

BooleanLiteral:
   (one of)
```
true false
```

is shorthand for:

BooleanLiteral:
```
t r u e
f a l s e
```

The right-hand side of a production may specify that certain expansions are not permitted by using the phrase "but not" and then indicating the expansions to be excluded.

For example:

Identifier:
    IdentifierChars but not a *ReservedKeyword* or *BooleanLiteral*
       or *NullLiteral*

Finally, a few nonterminals are defined by a narrative phrase in roman type where it would be impractical to list all the alternatives.

For example:

RawInputCharacter:
    any Unicode character

Lexical Structure

THIS chapter specifies the lexical structure of the Java programming language.

Programs are written in Unicode (§3.1), but lexical translations are provided (§3.2) so that Unicode escapes (§3.3) can be used to include any Unicode character using only ASCII characters. Line terminators are defined (§3.4) to support the different conventions of existing host systems while maintaining consistent line numbers.

The Unicode characters resulting from the lexical translations are reduced to a sequence of input elements (§3.5), which are white space (§3.6), comments (§3.7), and tokens. The tokens are the identifiers (§3.8), keywords (§3.9), literals (§3.10), separators (§3.11), and operators (§3.12) of the syntactic grammar.

3.1 Unicode

Programs are written using the Unicode character set (§1.7). Information about this character set and its associated character encodings may be found at `https://www.unicode.org/`.

The Java SE Platform tracks the Unicode Standard as it evolves. The precise version of Unicode used by a given release is specified in the documentation of the class `Character`.

The Unicode standard was originally designed as a fixed-width 16-bit character encoding. It has since been changed to allow for characters whose representation requires more than 16 bits. The range of legal code points is now U+0000 to U+10FFFF, using the hexadecimal *U+n* notation. Characters whose code points are greater than U+FFFF are called *supplementary characters*. To represent the complete range of characters using only 16-bit units, the Unicode standard defines an encoding called UTF-16. In this encoding, supplementary characters are represented as pairs of 16-bit code units, the first from the high-surrogates range

(U+D800 to U+DBFF), and the second from the low-surrogates range (U+DC00 to U+DFFF). For characters in the range U+0000 to U+FFFF, the values of code points and UTF-16 code units are the same.

The Java programming language represents text in sequences of 16-bit code units, using the UTF-16 encoding.

> Some APIs of the Java SE Platform, primarily in the `Character` class, use 32-bit integers to represent code points as individual entities. The Java SE Platform provides methods to convert between 16-bit and 32-bit representations.

This specification uses the terms *code point* and *UTF-16 code unit* where the representation is relevant, and the generic term *character* where the representation is irrelevant to the discussion.

Except for comments (§3.7), identifiers (§3.8), and the contents of character literals, string literals, and text blocks (§3.10.4, §3.10.5, §3.10.6), all input elements (§3.5) in a program are formed only from ASCII characters (or Unicode escapes (§3.3) which result in ASCII characters).

> ASCII (ANSI X3.4) is the American Standard Code for Information Interchange. The first 128 characters of the Unicode UTF-16 encoding are the ASCII characters.

3.2   Lexical Translations

A raw Unicode character stream is translated into a sequence of tokens, using the following three lexical translation steps, which are applied in turn:

1. A translation of Unicode escapes (§3.3) in the raw stream of Unicode characters to the corresponding Unicode character. A Unicode escape of the form \u*xxxx*, where *xxxx* is a hexadecimal value, represents the UTF-16 code unit whose encoding is *xxxx*. This translation step allows any program to be expressed using only ASCII characters.

2. A translation of the Unicode stream resulting from step 1 into a stream of input characters and line terminators (§3.4).

3. A translation of the stream of input characters and line terminators resulting from step 2 into a sequence of input elements (§3.5) which, after white space (§3.6) and comments (§3.7) are discarded, comprise the tokens that are the terminal symbols of the syntactic grammar (§2.3).

The longest possible translation is used at each step, even if the result does not ultimately make a correct program while another lexical translation would. There

are two exceptions to account for situations that need more granular translation: in step 1, for the processing of contiguous \ characters (§3.3), and in step 3, for the processing of contextual keywords and adjacent > characters (§3.5).

> The input characters `a--b` are tokenized as `a`, `--`, and `b`, which is not part of any grammatically correct program, even though the tokenization `a`, `-`, `-`, `b` could be part of a grammatically correct program. The tokenization `a`, `-`, `-`, `b` can be realized with the input characters `a- -b` (with an ASCII SP character between the two `-` characters).

> It might be supposed that the raw input `\\u1234` is translated to a \ character and (following the "longest possible" rule) a Unicode escape of the form `\u1234`. In fact, the leading \ character causes this raw input to be translated to seven distinct characters: `\ \ u 1 2 3 4`.

3.3   Unicode Escapes

A compiler for the Java programming language ("Java compiler") first recognizes Unicode escapes in its raw input, translating the ASCII characters `\u` followed by four hexadecimal digits to a *raw input character* which denotes the UTF-16 code unit (§3.1) for the indicated hexadecimal value. One Unicode escape can represent characters in the range U+0000 to U+FFFF; representing supplementary characters in the range U+010000 to U+10FFFF requires two consecutive Unicode escapes. All other characters in the compiler's raw input are recognized as raw input characters and passed unchanged.

This translation step results in a sequence of Unicode input characters, all of which are raw input characters (any Unicode escapes having been reduced to raw input characters).

UnicodeInputCharacter:
  UnicodeEscape
  RawInputCharacter

UnicodeEscape:
  \ *UnicodeMarker HexDigit HexDigit HexDigit HexDigit*

UnicodeMarker:
  u *{u}*

HexDigit:
  (one of)
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 a b c d e f A B C D E F

> *RawInputCharacter:*
>   any Unicode character

    The \, u, and hexadecimal digits here are all ASCII characters.

The *UnicodeInputCharacter* production is ambiguous because an ASCII \ character in the compiler's raw input could be reduced to either a *RawInputCharacter* or the \ of a *UnicodeEscape* (to be followed by an ASCII u). To avoid ambiguity, for each ASCII \ character in the compiler's raw input, input processing must consider the most recent raw input characters that resulted from this translation step:

• If the most recent raw input character in the result was itself translated from a Unicode escape in the compiler's raw input, then the ASCII \ character is eligible to begin a Unicode escape.

    For example, if the most recent raw input character in the result was a backslash that arose from a Unicode escape \u005c in the raw input, then an ASCII \ character appearing next in the raw input is eligible to begin another Unicode escape.

• Otherwise, consider how many backslashes appeared contiguously as raw input characters in the result, back to a non-backslash character or the start of the result. (It is immaterial whether any such backslash arose from an ASCII \ character in the compiler's raw input or from a Unicode escape \u005c in the compiler's raw input.) If this number is even, then the ASCII \ character is eligible to begin a Unicode escape; if the number is odd, then the ASCII \ character is not eligible to begin a Unicode escape.

    For example, the raw input "\\\u2122=\u2122" results in the eleven characters " \ \ u 2 1 2 2 = ™ " because while the second ASCII \ character in the raw input is not eligible to begin a Unicode escape, the third ASCII \ character is eligible, and \u2122 is the Unicode encoding of the character ™.

If an eligible \ is not followed by u, then it is treated as a *RawInputCharacter* and remains part of the escaped Unicode stream.

If an eligible \ is followed by u, or more than one u, and the last u is not followed by four hexadecimal digits, then a compile-time error occurs.

The character produced by a Unicode escape does not participate in further Unicode escapes.

    For example, the raw input \u005cu005a results in the six characters \ u 0 0 5 a, because 005c is the Unicode value for a backslash. It does not result in the character z, which is Unicode value 005a, because the backslash that resulted from processing the Unicode escape \u005c is not interpreted as the start of a further Unicode escape.

Note that \u005cu005a cannot be written in a string literal to denote the six characters \ u 0 0 5 a. This is because the first two characters resulting from translation, \ and u, are interpreted in a string literal as an illegal escape sequence (§3.10.7).

Fortunately, the rule about contiguous backslash characters helps programmers to craft raw inputs that denote Unicode escapes in a string literal. Denoting the six characters \ u 0 0 5 a in a string literal simply requires another \ to be placed adjacent to the existing \, such as "\\u005a is Z". This works because the second \ in the raw input \\u005a is not eligible to begin a Unicode escape, so the first \ and the second \ are preserved as raw input characters, as are the next five characters u 0 0 5 a. The two \ characters are subsequently interpreted in a string literal as the escape sequence for a backslash, resulting in a string with the desired six characters \ u 0 0 5 a. Without the rule, the raw input \\u005a would be processed as a raw input character \ followed by a Unicode escape \u005a which becomes a raw input character Z; this would be unhelpful because \Z is an illegal escape sequence in a string literal. (Note that the rule translates \u005c\u005c to \\ because the translation of the first Unicode escape to a raw input character \ does not prevent the translation of the second Unicode escape to another raw input character \.)

The rule also allows programmers to craft raw inputs that denote escape sequences in a string literal. For example, the raw input \\\u006e results in the three characters \ \ n because the first \ and the second \ are preserved as raw input characters, while the third \ is eligible to begin a Unicode escape and thus \u006e is translated to a raw input character n. The three characters \ \ n are subsequently interpreted in a string literal as \ n which denotes the escape sequence for a linefeed. (Note that \\\u006e may be written as \u005c \u005c\u006e because each Unicode escape \u005c is translated to a raw input character \ and so the remaining raw input \u006e is preceded by an even number of backslashes and processed as the Unicode escape for n.)

The Java programming language specifies a standard way of transforming a program written in Unicode into ASCII that changes a program into a form that can be processed by ASCII-based tools. The transformation involves converting any Unicode escapes in the source text of the program to ASCII by adding an extra u - for example, \uxxxx becomes \uuxxxx - while simultaneously converting non-ASCII characters in the source text to Unicode escapes containing a single u each.

This transformed version is equally acceptable to a Java compiler and represents the exact same program. The exact Unicode source can later be restored from this ASCII form by converting each escape sequence where multiple u's are present to a sequence of Unicode characters with one fewer u, while simultaneously converting each escape sequence with a single u to the corresponding single Unicode character.

A Java compiler should use the \uxxxx notation as an output format to display Unicode characters when a suitable font is not available.

3.4   Line Terminators

A Java compiler next divides the sequence of Unicode input characters into lines by recognizing *line terminators*.

> *LineTerminator:*
>   the ASCII LF character, also known as "newline"
>   the ASCII CR character, also known as "return"
>   the ASCII CR character followed by the ASCII LF character

> *InputCharacter:*
>   *UnicodeInputCharacter* but not CR or LF

Lines are terminated by the ASCII characters CR, or LF, or CR LF. The two characters CR immediately followed by LF are counted as one line terminator, not two.

A line terminator specifies the termination of the `//` form of a comment (§3.7).

> The lines defined by line terminators may determine the line numbers produced by a Java compiler.

The result is a sequence of line terminators and input characters, which are the terminal symbols for the third step in the tokenization process.

3.5   Input Elements and Tokens

The input characters and line terminators that result from Unicode escape processing (§3.3) and then input line recognition (§3.4) are reduced to a sequence of *input elements*.

> *Input:*
>   *{InputElement} [Sub]*

> *InputElement:*
>   *WhiteSpace*
>   *Comment*
>   *Token*

Token:
   Identifier
   Keyword
   Literal
   Separator
   Operator

Sub:
   the ASCII SUB character, also known as "control-Z"

Those input elements that are not white space or comments are *tokens*. The tokens are the terminal symbols of the syntactic grammar (§2.3).

White space (§3.6) and comments (§3.7) can serve to separate tokens that, if adjacent, might be tokenized in another manner.

> For example, the input characters – and = can form the operator token -= (§3.12) only if there is no intervening white space or comment. As another example, the ten input characters `staticvoid` form a single identifier token while the eleven input characters `static void` (with an ASCII SP character between c and v) form a pair of keyword tokens, `static` and `void`, separated by white space.

As a special concession for compatibility with certain operating systems, the ASCII SUB character (`\u001a`, or control-Z) is ignored if it is the last character in the escaped input stream.

The *Input* production is ambiguous, meaning that for some sequences of input characters, there is more than one way to reduce the input characters to input elements (that is, to tokenize the input characters). Ambiguities are resolved as follows:

- A sequence of input characters that could be reduced to either an identifier token or a literal token is always reduced to a literal token.

- A sequence of input characters that could be reduced to either an identifier token or a reserved keyword token (§3.9) is always reduced to a reserved keyword token.

- A sequence of input characters that could be reduced to either a contextual keyword token or to other (non-keyword) tokens is reduced according to context, as specified in §3.9.

- If the input character > appears in a type context (§4.11), that is, as part of a *Type* or an *UnannType* in the syntactic grammar (§4.1, §8.3), it is always reduced to

the numerical comparison operator >, even when it could be combined with an adjacent > character to form a different operator.

> Without this rule for > characters, two consecutive > brackets in a type such as `List<List<String>>` would be tokenized as the signed right shift operator >>, while three consecutive > brackets in a type such as `List<List<List<String>>>` would be tokenized as the unsigned right shift operator >>>. Worse, the tokenization of four or more consecutive > brackets in a type such as `List<List<List<List<String>>>>` would be ambiguous, as various combinations of >, >>, and >>> tokens could represent the >>>> characters.

Consider two tokens x and y in the resulting input stream. If x precedes y, then we say that x is *to the left of y* and that y is *to the right of x*.

> For example, in this simple piece of code:
>
> ```
> class Empty {
> }
> ```
>
> we say that the } token is to the right of the { token, even though it appears, in this two-dimensional representation, downward and to the left of the { token. This convention about the use of the words left and right allows us to speak, for example, of the right-hand operand of a binary operator or of the left-hand side of an assignment.

3.6 White Space

White space is defined as the ASCII space character, horizontal tab character, form feed character, and line terminator characters (§3.4).

> *WhiteSpace:*
>   the ASCII SP character, also known as "space"
>   the ASCII HT character, also known as "horizontal tab"
>   the ASCII FF character, also known as "form feed"
>   *LineTerminator*

3.7 Comments

There are two kinds of comments:

- /* *text* */

   A *traditional comment*: all the text from the ASCII characters /* to the ASCII characters */ is ignored (as in C and C++).

- // *text*

  An *end-of-line comment*: all the text from the ASCII characters // to the end of the line is ignored (as in C++).

  Comment:
   TraditionalComment
   EndOfLineComment

  TraditionalComment:
   / * *CommentTail*

  CommentTail:
   * *CommentTailStar*
   NotStar CommentTail

  CommentTailStar:
   /
   * *CommentTailStar*
   NotStarNotSlash CommentTail

  NotStar:
   InputCharacter but not *
   LineTerminator

  NotStarNotSlash:
   InputCharacter but not * or /
   LineTerminator

  EndOfLineComment:
   / / *{InputCharacter}*

These productions imply all of the following properties:

- Comments do not nest.
- /* and */ have no special meaning in comments that begin with //.
- // has no special meaning in comments that begin with /* or /**.

  As a result, the following text is a single complete comment:

  ```
  /* this comment /* // /** ends here: */
  ```

The lexical grammar implies that comments do not occur within character literals, string literals, or text blocks (§3.10.4, §3.10.5, §3.10.6).

3.8 Identifiers

An *identifier* is an unlimited-length sequence of *Java letters* and *Java digits*, the first of which must be a *Java letter*.

> *Identifier:*
>   *IdentifierChars* but not a *ReservedKeyword* or *BooleanLiteral*
>   or *NullLiteral*

> *IdentifierChars:*
>   *JavaLetter {JavaLetterOrDigit}*

> *JavaLetter:*
>   any Unicode character that is a "Java letter"

> *JavaLetterOrDigit:*
>   any Unicode character that is a "Java letter-or-digit"

A "Java letter" is a character for which the method `Character.isJavaIdentifierStart(int)` returns true.

A "Java letter-or-digit" is a character for which the method `Character.isJavaIdentifierPart(int)` returns true.

> The "Java letters" include uppercase and lowercase ASCII Latin letters `A-Z` (\u0041-\u005a), and `a-z` (\u0061-\u007a), and, for historical reasons, the ASCII dollar sign (`$`, or \u0024) and underscore (`_`, or \u005f). The dollar sign should be used only in mechanically generated source code or, rarely, to access pre-existing names on legacy systems. The underscore may be used in identifiers formed of two or more characters, but it cannot be used as a one-character identifier due to being a keyword.

> The "Java digits" include the ASCII digits `0-9` (\u0030-\u0039).

Letters and digits may be drawn from the entire Unicode character set, which supports most writing scripts in use in the world today, including the large sets for Chinese, Japanese, and Korean. This allows programmers to use identifiers in their programs that are written in their native languages.

Two identifiers are the same only if, after ignoring characters that are ignorable, the identifiers have the same Unicode character for each letter

or digit. An ignorable character is a character for which the method `Character.isIdentifierIgnorable(int)` returns true. Identifiers that have the same external appearance may yet be different.

> For example, the identifiers consisting of the single letters LATIN CAPITAL LETTER A (`A`, `\u0041`), LATIN SMALL LETTER A (`a`, `\u0061`), GREEK CAPITAL LETTER ALPHA (`A`, `\u0391`), CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER A (`a`, `\u0430`) and MATHEMATICAL BOLD ITALIC SMALL A (`a`, `\ud835\udc82`) are all different.

> Unicode composite characters are different from their canonical equivalent decomposed characters. For example, a LATIN CAPITAL LETTER A ACUTE (`Á`, `\u00c1`) is different from a LATIN CAPITAL LETTER A (`A`, `\u0041`) immediately followed by a NON-SPACING ACUTE (`´`, `\u0301`) in identifiers. See The Unicode Standard, Section 3.11 "Normalization Forms".

> Examples of identifiers are:

- `String`

- `i3`

- αρετη

- `MAX_VALUE`

- `isLetterOrDigit`

An identifier never has the same spelling (Unicode character sequence) as a reserved keyword (§3.9), a boolean literal (§3.10.3) or the null literal (§3.10.8), due to the rules of tokenization (§3.5). However, an identifier may have the same spelling as a contextual keyword, because the tokenization of a sequence of input characters as an identifier or a contextual keyword depends on where the sequence appears in the program.

To facilitate the recognition of contextual keywords, the syntactic grammar (§2.3) sometimes disallows certain identifiers by defining a production to accept only a subset of identifiers. The subsets are as follows:

> *TypeIdentifier:*
>   *Identifier* but not `permits`, `record`, `sealed`, `var`, or `yield`

> *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier:*
>   *Identifier* but not `yield`

> *TypeIdentifier* is used in the declaration of classes, interfaces, and type parameters (§8.1, §9.1, §4.4), and when referring to types (§6.5). For example, the name of a class must be a *TypeIdentifier*, so it is illegal to declare a class named `permits`, `record`, `sealed`, `var`, or `yield`.

UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier is used when a method invocation expression refers to a method by its simple name (§6.5.7.1). Since the term `yield` is excluded from *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier*, any invocation of a method named `yield` must be qualified, thus distinguishing the invocation from a `yield` statement (§14.21).

3.9 Keywords

51 character sequences, formed from ASCII characters, are reserved for use as keywords and cannot be used as identifiers (§3.8). Another 16 character sequences, also formed from ASCII characters, may be interpreted as keywords or as other tokens, depending on the context in which they appear.

Keyword:
  ReservedKeyword
  ContextualKeyword

ReservedKeyword:
  (one of)

abstract	continue	for	new	switch
assert	default	if	package	synchronized
boolean	do	goto	private	this
break	double	implements	protected	throw
byte	else	import	public	throws
case	enum	instanceof	return	transient
catch	extends	int	short	try
char	final	interface	static	void
class	finally	long	strictfp	volatile
const	float	native	super	while

  `_` *(underscore)*

ContextualKeyword:
  (one of)

exports	opens	requires	uses
module	permits	sealed	var
non-sealed	provides	to	with
open	record	transitive	yield

The keywords `const` and `goto` are reserved, even though they are not currently used. This may allow a Java compiler to produce better error messages if these C++ keywords incorrectly appear in programs.

The keyword `strictfp` is obsolete and should not be used in new code.

The keyword `_` (underscore) is reserved for possible future use in parameter declarations.

`true` and `false` are not keywords, but rather boolean literals (§3.10.3).

`null` is not a keyword, but rather the null literal (§3.10.8).

During the reduction of input characters to input elements (§3.5), a sequence of input characters that notionally matches a contextual keyword is reduced to a contextual keyword if and only if both of the following conditions hold:

1. The sequence is recognized as a terminal specified in a suitable context of the syntactic grammar (§2.3), as follows:

    - For `module` and `open`, when recognized as a terminal in a *ModuleDeclaration* (§7.7).

    - For `exports`, `opens`, `provides`, `requires`, `to`, `uses`, and `with`, when recognized as a terminal in a *ModuleDirective*.

    - For `transitive`, when recognized as a terminal in a *RequiresModifier*.

        For example, recognizing the sequence `requires transitive ;` does not make use of *RequiresModifier*, so the term `transitive` is reduced here to an identifier and not a contextual keyword.

    - For `var`, when recognized as a terminal in a *LocalVariableType* (§14.4) or a *LambdaParameterType* (§15.27.1).

        In other contexts, attempting to use `var` as an identifier will cause an error, because `var` is not a *TypeIdentifier* (§3.8).

    - For `yield`, when recognized as a terminal in a *YieldStatement* (§14.21).

        In other contexts, attempting to use the `yield` as an identifier will cause an error, because `yield` is neither a *TypeIdentifier* nor a *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier*.

    - For `record`, when recognized as a terminal in a *RecordDeclaration* (§8.10).

    - For `non-sealed`, `permits`, and `sealed`, when recognized as a terminal in a *NormalClassDeclaration* (§8.1) or a *NormalInterfaceDeclaration* (§9.1).

2. The sequence is not immediately preceded or immediately followed by an input character that matches *JavaLetterOrDigit*.

    In general, accidentally omitting white space in source code will cause a sequence of input characters to be tokenized as an identifier, due to the "longest possible translation" rule (§3.2). For example, the sequence of twelve input characters `p u b l i c s t a t i c` is always tokenized as the identifier `publicstatic`, rather than as the reserved keywords

`public` and `static`. If two tokens are intended, they must be separated by white space or a comment.

The rule above works in tandem with the "longest possible translation" rule to produce an intuitive result in contexts where contextual keywords may appear. For example, the sequence of eleven input characters `v a r f i l e n a m e` is usually tokenized as the identifier `varfilename`, but in a local variable declaration, the first three input characters are tentatively recognized as the contextual keyword `var` by the first condition of the rule above. However, it would be confusing to overlook the lack of white space in the sequence by recognizing the next eight input characters as the identifier `filename`. (This would mean that the sequence undergoes different tokenization in different contexts: an identifier in most contexts, but a contextual keyword and an identifier in local variable declarations.) Accordingly, the second condition prevents recognition of the contextual keyword `var` on the grounds that the immediately following input character `f` is a *JavaLetterOrDigit*. The sequence `v a r f i l e n a m e` is therefore tokenized as the identifier `varfilename` in a local variable declaration.

As another example of the careful recognition of contextual keywords, consider the sequence of 15 input characters `n o n - s e a l e d c l a s s`. This sequence is usually translated to three tokens - the identifier `non`, the operator `-`, and the identifier `sealedclass` - but in a normal class declaration, where the first condition holds, the first ten input characters are tentatively recognized as the contextual keyword `non-sealed`. To avoid translating the sequence to two keyword tokens (`non-sealed` and `class`) rather than three non-keyword tokens, and to avoid rewarding the programmer for omitting white space before `class`, the second condition prevents recognition of the contextual keyword. The sequence `n o n - s e a l e d c l a s s` is therefore tokenized as three tokens in a class declaration.

In the rule above, the first condition depends on details of the syntactic grammar, but a compiler for the Java programming language can implement the rule without fully parsing the input program. For example, a heuristic could be used to track the contextual state of the tokenizer, as long as the heuristic guarantees that valid uses of contextual keywords are tokenized as keywords, and valid uses of identifiers are tokenized as identifiers. Alternatively, a compiler could always tokenize a contextual keyword as an identifier, leaving it to a later phase to recognize special uses of these identifiers.

3.10 Literals

A *literal* is the source code representation of a value of a primitive type (§4.2), the `String` type (§4.3.3), or the null type (§4.1).

Literal:
  IntegerLiteral
  FloatingPointLiteral
  BooleanLiteral
  CharacterLiteral
  StringLiteral
  TextBlock
  NullLiteral

3.10.1   Integer Literals

An *integer literal* may be expressed in decimal (base 10), hexadecimal (base 16), octal (base 8), or binary (base 2).

IntegerLiteral:
  DecimalIntegerLiteral
  HexIntegerLiteral
  OctalIntegerLiteral
  BinaryIntegerLiteral

DecimalIntegerLiteral:
  DecimalNumeral [IntegerTypeSuffix]

HexIntegerLiteral:
  HexNumeral [IntegerTypeSuffix]

OctalIntegerLiteral:
  OctalNumeral [IntegerTypeSuffix]

BinaryIntegerLiteral:
  BinaryNumeral [IntegerTypeSuffix]

IntegerTypeSuffix:
  (one of)
  `l L`

An integer literal is of type `long` if it is suffixed with an ASCII letter `L` or `l` (ell); otherwise it is of type `int` (§4.2.1).

The suffix `L` is preferred, because the letter `l` (ell) is often hard to distinguish from the digit `1` (one).

Underscores are allowed as separators between digits that denote the integer.

In a hexadecimal or binary literal, the integer is only denoted by the digits after the `0x` or `0b` characters and before any type suffix. Therefore, underscores may not appear immediately after `0x` or `0b`, or after the last digit in the numeral.

In a decimal or octal literal, the integer is denoted by *all* the digits in the literal before any type suffix. Therefore, underscores may not appear before the first digit or after the last digit in the numeral. Underscores may appear after the initial `0` in an octal numeral (since `0` is a digit that denotes part of the integer) and after the initial non-zero digit in a non-zero decimal literal.

A decimal numeral is either the single ASCII digit `0`, representing the integer zero, or consists of an ASCII digit from `1` to `9` optionally followed by one or more ASCII digits from `0` to `9` interspersed with underscores, representing a positive integer.

DecimalNumeral:
  `0`
  NonZeroDigit [Digits]
  NonZeroDigit Underscores Digits

NonZeroDigit:
  (one of)
  `1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9`

Digits:
  Digit
  Digit [DigitsAndUnderscores] Digit

Digit:
  `0`
  NonZeroDigit

DigitsAndUnderscores:
  DigitOrUnderscore {DigitOrUnderscore}

DigitOrUnderscore:
  Digit
  _

Underscores:
  _ {_}

A hexadecimal numeral consists of the leading ASCII characters `0x` or `0X` followed by one or more ASCII hexadecimal digits interspersed with underscores, and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer.

Hexadecimal digits with values 10 through 15 are represented by the ASCII letters `a` through `f` or `A` through `F`, respectively; each letter used as a hexadecimal digit may be uppercase or lowercase.

HexNumeral:
  `0` `x` *HexDigits*
  `0` `X` *HexDigits*

HexDigits:
  HexDigit
  HexDigit [HexDigitsAndUnderscores] HexDigit

HexDigit:
  (one of)
  `0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 a b c d e f A B C D E F`

HexDigitsAndUnderscores:
  HexDigitOrUnderscore {HexDigitOrUnderscore}

HexDigitOrUnderscore:
  HexDigit

  _

The *HexDigit* production above comes from §3.3.

An octal numeral consists of an ASCII digit 0 followed by one or more of the ASCII digits 0 through 7 interspersed with underscores, and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer.

OctalNumeral:
  0 *OctalDigits*
  0 *Underscores OctalDigits*

OctalDigits:
  OctalDigit
  OctalDigit [OctalDigitsAndUnderscores] OctalDigit

OctalDigit:
  (one of)
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

OctalDigitsAndUnderscores:
  OctalDigitOrUnderscore {OctalDigitOrUnderscore}

OctalDigitOrUnderscore:
  OctalDigit

  _

Note that octal numerals always consist of two or more digits, as 0 alone is always considered to be a decimal numeral - not that it matters much in practice, for the numerals 0, 00, and 0x0 all represent exactly the same integer value.

A binary numeral consists of the leading ASCII characters `0b` or `0B` followed by one or more of the ASCII digits `0` or `1` interspersed with underscores, and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer.

BinaryNumeral:
   `0` `b` *BinaryDigits*
   `0` `B` *BinaryDigits*

BinaryDigits:
   BinaryDigit
   BinaryDigit [BinaryDigitsAndUnderscores] BinaryDigit

BinaryDigit:
   (one of)
   `0` `1`

BinaryDigitsAndUnderscores:
   BinaryDigitOrUnderscore {BinaryDigitOrUnderscore}

BinaryDigitOrUnderscore:
   BinaryDigit

   _

The largest decimal literal of type `int` is `2147483648` (2^{31}).

All decimal literals from `0` to `2147483647` may appear anywhere an `int` literal may appear. The decimal literal `2147483648` may appear only as the operand of the unary minus operator `–` (§15.15.4).

It is a compile-time error if the decimal literal `2147483648` appears anywhere other than as the operand of the unary minus operator; or if a decimal literal of type `int` is larger than `2147483648` (2^{31}).

The largest positive hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals of type `int` - each of which represents the decimal value `2147483647` (2^{31}-1) - are respectively:

- `0x7fff_ffff`,

- `0177_7777_7777`, and

- `0b0111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111`

The most negative hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals of type `int` - each of which represents the decimal value `-2147483648` (-2^{31}) - are respectively:

- `0x8000_0000`,

- `0200_0000_0000`, and

- `0b1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000`

The following hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals represent the decimal value `–1`:

- `0xffff_ffff`,

- `0377_7777_7777`, and

- `0b1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111`

It is a compile-time error if a hexadecimal, octal, or binary `int` literal does not fit in 32 bits.

The largest decimal literal of type `long` is `9223372036854775808L` (2^{63}).

All decimal literals from `0L` to `9223372036854775807L` may appear anywhere a `long` literal may appear. The decimal literal `9223372036854775808L` may appear only as the operand of the unary minus operator `–` (§15.15.4).

It is a compile-time error if the decimal literal `9223372036854775808L` appears anywhere other than as the operand of the unary minus operator; or if a decimal literal of type `long` is larger than `9223372036854775808L` (2^{63}).

The largest positive hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals of type `long` - each of which represents the decimal value `9223372036854775807L` (2^{63}-1) - are respectively:

- `0x7fff_ffff_ffff_ffffL`,

- `07_7777_7777_7777_7777_7777L`, and

- `0b0111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111L`

The most negative hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals of type `long` - each of which represents the decimal value `-9223372036854775808L` (-2^{63}) - are respectively:

- `0x8000_0000_0000_0000L`, and

- `010_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000L`, and

- `0b1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000_0000L`

The following hexadecimal, octal, and binary literals represent the decimal value `-1L`:

- `0xffff_ffff_ffff_ffffL`,

- `017_7777_7777_7777_7777_7777L`, and

- `0b1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111_1111L`

It is a compile-time error if a hexadecimal, octal, or binary `long` literal does not fit in 64 bits.

Examples of `int` literals:

```
0    2    0372    0xDada_Cafe    1996    0x00_FF__00_FF
```

Examples of `long` literals:

```
0l    0777L    0x100000000L    2_147_483_648L    0xC0B0L
```

3.10.2   Floating-Point Literals

A *floating-point literal* has the following parts: a whole-number part, a decimal or hexadecimal point (represented by an ASCII period character), a fraction part, an exponent, and a type suffix.

A floating-point literal may be expressed in decimal (base 10) or hexadecimal (base 16).

For decimal floating-point literals, at least one digit (in either the whole number or the fraction part) and either a decimal point, an exponent, or a float type suffix are required. All other parts are optional. The exponent, if present, is indicated by the ASCII letter e or E followed by an optionally signed integer.

For hexadecimal floating-point literals, at least one digit is required (in either the whole number or the fraction part), and the exponent is mandatory, and the float type suffix is optional. The exponent is indicated by the ASCII letter p or P followed by an optionally signed integer.

Underscores are allowed as separators between digits that denote the whole-number part, and between digits that denote the fraction part, and between digits that denote the exponent.

FloatingPointLiteral:
　DecimalFloatingPointLiteral
　HexadecimalFloatingPointLiteral

DecimalFloatingPointLiteral:
　Digits . [Digits] [ExponentPart] [FloatTypeSuffix]
　. Digits [ExponentPart] [FloatTypeSuffix]
　Digits ExponentPart [FloatTypeSuffix]
　Digits [ExponentPart] FloatTypeSuffix

ExponentPart:
　ExponentIndicator SignedInteger

ExponentIndicator:
　(one of)
　e E

SignedInteger:
　[Sign] Digits

Sign:
　(one of)
　+ -

FloatTypeSuffix:
　(one of)
　f F d D

HexadecimalFloatingPointLiteral:
  HexSignificand BinaryExponent [FloatTypeSuffix]

HexSignificand:
  HexNumeral [.]
  `0` `x` *[HexDigits]* . *HexDigits*
  `0` `X` *[HexDigits]* . *HexDigits*

BinaryExponent:
  BinaryExponentIndicator SignedInteger

BinaryExponentIndicator:
  (one of)
  `p` `P`

A floating-point literal is of type `float` if it is suffixed with an ASCII letter `F` or `f`; otherwise its type is `double` and it can optionally be suffixed with an ASCII letter `D` or `d`.

The elements of the types `float` and `double` are those values that can be represented using the IEEE 754 binary32 and IEEE 754 binary64 floating-point formats, respectively (§4.2.3).

> The details of proper input conversion from a Unicode string representation of a floating-point number to the internal IEEE 754 binary floating-point representation are described for the methods `valueOf` of class `Float` and class `Double` of the package `java.lang`.

The largest and smallest positive literals of type `float` are as follows:

- The largest positive finite `float` value is numerically equal to $(2 - 2^{-23}) \cdot 2^{127}$.

  The shortest decimal literal which rounds to this value is `3.4028235e38f`.

  A hexadecimal literal for this value is `0x1.fffffeP+127f`.

- The smallest positive finite non-zero `float` value is numerically equal to 2^{-149}.

  The shortest decimal literal which rounds to this value is `1.4e-45f`.

  Two hexadecimal literals for this value are `0x0.000002P-126f` and `0x1.0P-149f`.

The largest and smallest positive literals of type `double` are as follows:

- The largest positive finite `double` value is numerically equal to $(2 - 2^{-52}) \cdot 2^{1023}$.

  The shortest decimal literal which rounds to this value is `1.7976931348623157e308`.

  A hexadecimal literal for this value is `0x1.f_ffff_ffff_ffffP+1023`.

- The smallest positive finite non-zero `double` value is numerically equal to 2^{-1074}.

  The shortest decimal literal which rounds to this value is `4.9e-324`.

  Two hexadecimal literals for this value are `0x0.0_0000_0000_0001P-1022` and `0x1.0P-1074`.

It is a compile-time error if a non-zero floating-point literal is too large, so that on rounded conversion to its internal representation, it becomes an IEEE 754 infinity.

A program can represent infinities without producing a compile-time error by using constant expressions such as `1f/0f` or `-1d/0d` or by using the predefined constants `POSITIVE_INFINITY` and `NEGATIVE_INFINITY` of the classes `Float` and `Double`.

It is a compile-time error if a non-zero floating-point literal is too small, so that, on rounded conversion to its internal representation, it becomes a zero.

A compile-time error does not occur if a non-zero floating-point literal has a small value that, on rounded conversion to its internal representation, becomes a non-zero subnormal number.

Predefined constants representing Not-a-Number values are defined in the classes `Float` and `Double` as `Float.NaN` and `Double.NaN`.

Examples of `float` literals:

```
1e1f    2.f    .3f    0f    3.14f    6.022137e+23f
```

Examples of `double` literals:

```
1e1    2.    .3    0.0    3.14    1e-9d    1e137
```

3.10.3   Boolean Literals

The `boolean` type has two values, represented by the *boolean literals* `true` and `false`, formed from ASCII letters.

BooleanLiteral:
  (one of)
  `true false`

A boolean literal is always of type `boolean` (§4.2.5).

3.10.4   Character Literals

A *character literal* is expressed as a character or an escape sequence (§3.10.7), enclosed in ASCII single quotes. (The single-quote, or apostrophe, character is `\u0027`.)

> *CharacterLiteral:*
>   ' *SingleCharacter* '
>   ' *EscapeSequence* '
>
> *SingleCharacter:*
>   *InputCharacter* but not ' or \

A character literal is always of type `char` (§4.2.1).

The *content* of a character literal is the *SingleCharacter* or the *EscapeSequence* which follows the opening '.

It is a compile-time error for the character following the content to be other than a '.

It is a compile-time error for a line terminator (§3.4) to appear after the opening ' and before the closing '.

> The characters CR and LF are never an *InputCharacter*; each is recognized as constituting a *LineTerminator*, so may not appear in a character literal, even in the escape sequence \ *LineTerminator*.

The *character represented a character literal* is the content of the character literal with any escape sequence interpreted, as if by execution of `String.translateEscapes` on the content.

Character literals can only represent UTF-16 code units (§3.1), i.e., they are limited to values from `\u0000` to `\uffff`. Supplementary characters must be represented either as a surrogate pair within a `char` sequence, or as an integer, depending on the API they are used with.

> The following are examples of `char` literals:
>
> - `'a'`
> - `'%'`
> - `'\t'`
> - `'\\'`

- `'\''`

- `'\u03a9'`

- `'\uFFFF'`

- `'\177'`

- `'™'`

Because Unicode escapes are processed very early, it is not correct to write `'\u000a'` for a character literal whose value is linefeed (LF); the Unicode escape `\u000a` is transformed into an actual linefeed in translation step 1 (§3.3) and the linefeed becomes a *LineTerminator* in step 2 (§3.4), so the character literal is not valid in step 3. Instead, one should use the escape sequence `'\n'`. Similarly, it is not correct to write `'\u000d'` for a character literal whose value is carriage return (CR). Instead, use `'\r'`. Finally, it is not possible to write `'\u0027'` for a character literal containing an apostrophe (`'`).

In C and C++, a character literal may contain representations of more than one character, but the value of such a character literal is implementation-defined. In the Java programming language, a character literal always represents exactly one character.

3.10.5   String Literals

A *string literal* consists of zero or more characters enclosed in double quotes. Characters such as newlines may be represented by escape sequences (§3.10.7).

> *StringLiteral:*
>   `"` *{StringCharacter}* `"`
>
> *StringCharacter:*
>   *InputCharacter* but not `"` or `\`
>   *EscapeSequence*

A string literal is always of type `String` (§4.3.3).

The *content* of a string literal is the sequence of characters that begins immediately after the opening `"` and ends immediately before the matching closing `"`.

It is a compile-time error for a line terminator (§3.4) to appear after the opening `"` and before the matching closing `"`.

The characters CR and LF are never an *InputCharacter*; each is recognized as constituting a *LineTerminator*, so may not appear in a string literal, even in the escape sequence `\` *LineTerminator*.

The string represented by a string literal is the content of the string literal with every escape sequence interpreted, as if by execution of `String.translateEscapes` on the content.

45

The following are examples of string literals:

```
""                      // the empty string
"\""                    // a string containing " alone
"This is a string"      // a string containing 16 characters
"This is a " +          // actually a string-valued constant expression,
    "two-line string"   // formed from two string literals
```

Because Unicode escapes are processed very early, it is not correct to write `"\u000a"` for a string literal containing a single linefeed (LF); the Unicode escape `\u000a` is transformed into an actual linefeed in translation step 1 (§3.3) and the linefeed becomes a *LineTerminator* in step 2 (§3.4), so the string literal is not valid in step 3. Instead, one should use the escape sequence `"\n"`. Similarly, it is not correct to write `"\u000d"` for a string literal containing a single carriage return (CR). Instead, use `"\r"`. Finally, it is not possible to write `"\u0022"` for a string literal containing a double quotation mark (`"`).

A long string literal can always be broken up into shorter pieces and written as a (possibly parenthesized) expression using the string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1).

At run time, a string literal is a reference to an instance of class `String` (§4.3.3) that denotes the string represented by the string literal.

Moreover, a string literal always refers to the *same* instance of class `String`. This is because string literals - or, more generally, strings that are the values of constant expressions (§15.29) - are "interned" so as to share unique instances, as if by execution of the method `String.intern` (§12.5).

Example 3.10.5-1. String Literals

The program consisting of the compilation unit (§7.3):

```
package testPackage;
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String hello = "Hello", lo = "lo";
        System.out.println(hello == "Hello");
        System.out.println(Other.hello == hello);
        System.out.println(other.Other.hello == hello);
        System.out.println(hello == ("Hel"+"lo"));
        System.out.println(hello == ("Hel"+lo));
        System.out.println(hello == ("Hel"+lo).intern());
    }
}
class Other { static String hello = "Hello"; }
```

and the compilation unit:

```
package other;
public class Other { public static String hello = "Hello"; }
```

produces the output:

```
true
true
true
true
false
true
```

This example illustrates six points:

- String literals in the same class and package represent references to the same `String` object (§4.3.1).

- String literals in different classes in the same package represent references to the same `String` object.

- String literals in different classes in different packages likewise represent references to the same `String` object.

- Strings concatenated from constant expressions (§15.29) are computed at compile time and then treated as if they were literals.

- Strings computed by concatenation at run time are newly created and therefore distinct.

- The result of explicitly interning a computed string is the same `String` object as any pre-existing string literal with the same contents.

3.10.6   Text Blocks

A *text block* consists of zero or more characters enclosed by opening and closing delimiters. Characters may be represented by escape sequences (§3.10.7), but the newline and double quote characters that must be represented with escape sequences in a string literal (§3.10.5) may be represented directly in a text block.

TextBlock:
   " " " *{TextBlockWhiteSpace} LineTerminator {TextBlockCharacter}* " " "

TextBlockWhiteSpace:
   WhiteSpace but not *LineTerminator*

TextBlockCharacter:
   InputCharacter but not \
   EscapeSequence
   LineTerminator

The following productions from §3.3, §3.4, and §3.6 are shown here for convenience:

WhiteSpace:
    the ASCII SP character, also known as "space"
    the ASCII HT character, also known as "horizontal tab"
    the ASCII FF character, also known as "form feed"
    LineTerminator

LineTerminator:
    the ASCII LF character, also known as "newline"
    the ASCII CR character, also known as "return"
    the ASCII CR character followed by the ASCII LF character

InputCharacter:
    UnicodeInputCharacter but not CR or LF

UnicodeInputCharacter:
    UnicodeEscape
    RawInputCharacter

UnicodeEscape:
    \ *UnicodeMarker HexDigit HexDigit HexDigit HexDigit*

RawInputCharacter:
    any Unicode character

A text block is always of type `String` (§4.3.3).

The *opening delimiter* is a sequence that starts with three double quote characters
(`"""`), continues with zero or more space, tab, and form feed characters, and
concludes with a line terminator.

The *closing delimiter* is a sequence of three double quote characters.

The *content* of a text block is the sequence of characters that begins immediately
after the line terminator of the opening delimiter, and ends immediately before the
first double quote of the closing delimiter.

Unlike in a string literal (§3.10.5), it is *not* a compile-time error for a line terminator
to appear in the content of a text block.

Example 3.10.6-1. Text Blocks

When multi-line strings are desired, a text block is usually more readable than a
concatenation of string literals. For example, compare these alternative representations of
a snippet of HTML:

```
String html = "<html>\n" +
              "    <body>\n" +
              "        <p>Hello, world</p>\n" +
              "    </body>\n" +
              "</html>\n";

String html = """
```

```
                        <html>
                            <body>
                                <p>Hello, world</p>
                            </body>
                        </html>
                        """;
```

The following are examples of text blocks:

```
    class Test {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            // The six characters w i n t e r
            String season = """
                            winter""";

            // The seven characters w i n t e r LF
            String period = """
                            winter
                            """;

            // The ten characters H i , SP " B o b " LF
            String greeting = """
                              Hi, "Bob"
                              """;

            // The eleven characters H i , LF SP " B o b " LF
            String salutation = """
                                Hi,
                                 "Bob"
                                """;

            // The empty string (zero length)
            String empty = """
                           """;

            // The two characters " LF
            String quote = """
                           "
                           """;

            // The two characters \ LF
            String backslash = """
                               \\
                               """;
        }
    }
```

Using the escape sequences \n and \" to represent a newline character and a double quote character, respectively, is permitted in a text block, though not usually necessary. The exception is where three consecutive double quote characters appear that are not intended to be the closing delimiter """ - in this case, it is

necessary to escape at least one of the double quote characters in order to avoid mimicking the closing delimiter.

Example 3.10.6-2. Escape sequences in text blocks

In the following program, the value of the `story` variable would be less readable if individual double quote characters were escaped:

```
class Story1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String story = """
            "When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said,
            in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I
            choose it to mean - neither more nor less."
            "The question is," said Alice, "whether you
            can make words mean so many different things."
            "The question is," said Humpty Dumpty,
            "which is to be master - that's all."
        """;
    }
}
```

If the program is modified to place the closing delimiter on the last line of the content, then an error occurs because the first three consecutive double quote characters on the last line are translated (§3.2) into the closing delimiter `"""` and thus a stray double quote character remains:

```
class Story2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String story = """
            "When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said,
            in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I
            choose it to mean - neither more nor less."
            "The question is," said Alice, "whether you
            can make words mean so many different things."
            "The question is," said Humpty Dumpty,
            "which is to be master - that's all.""""; // error
    }
}
```

The error can be avoided by escaping the final double quote character in the content:

```
class Story3 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String story = """
            "When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said,
            in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I
            choose it to mean - neither more nor less."
            "The question is," said Alice, "whether you
            can make words mean so many different things."
            "The question is," said Humpty Dumpty,
```

```
                    "which is to be master - that's all.\"""";  // OK
        }
    }
```

If a text block is intended to denote another text block, then it is recommended to escape
the first double quote character of the embedded opening and closing delimiters:

```
class Code {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String text = """
            The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog
        """;

        String code =
            """
            String text = \"""
                The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog
            \""";
            """;
    }
}
```

The string represented by a text block is *not* the literal sequence of characters in
the content. Instead, the string represented by a text block is the result of applying
the following transformations to the content, in order:

1. Line terminators are *normalized* to the ASCII LF character, as follows:

   - An ASCII CR character followed by an ASCII LF character is translated to
     an ASCII LF character.

   - An ASCII CR character is translated to an ASCII LF character.

2. Incidental white space is removed, as if by execution of `String.stripIndent`
   on the characters resulting from step 1.

3. Escape sequences are interpreted, as if by execution of
   `String.translateEscapes` on the characters resulting from step 2.

When this specification says that a text block *contains* a particular character or
sequence of characters, or that a particular character or sequence of characters is
in a text block, it means that the string represented by the text block (as opposed to
the literal sequence of characters in the content) contains the character or sequence
of characters.

Example 3.10.6-3. Order of transformations on text block content

Interpreting escape sequences last allows programmers to use \n, \f, and \r for vertical
formatting of a string without affecting the normalization of line terminators, and to use
\b and \t for horizontal formatting of a string without affecting the removal of incidental

white space. For example, consider this text block that mentions the escape sequence \r
(CR):

```
String html = """
              <html>\r
                  <body>\r
                      <p>Hello, world</p>\r
                  </body>\r
              </html>\r
              """;
```

The \r escape sequences are not interpreted until after the line terminators have been
normalized to LF. Using Unicode escapes to visualize LF (\u000A) and CR (\u000D), and
using | to visualize the left margin, the string represented by the text block is:

```
|<html>\u000D\u000A
|    <body>\u000D\u000A
|        <p>Hello, world</p>\u000D\u000A
|    </body>\u000D\u000A
|</html>\u000D\u000A
```

At run time, a text block is a reference to an instance of class String that denotes
the string represented by the text block.

Moreover, a text block always refers to the *same* instance of class String. This is
because the strings represented by text blocks - or, more generally, strings that are
the values of constant expressions (§15.29) - are "interned" so as to share unique
instances, as if by execution of the method String.intern (§12.5).

Example 3.10.6-4. Text blocks evaluate to String

Text blocks can be used wherever an expression of type String is allowed, such as in
string concatenation (§15.18.1), in the invocation of methods on instances of String, and
in annotations with String elements:

```
System.out.println("ab" + """
                          cde
                          """);

String cde = """
             abcde""".substring(2);

String math = """
              1+1 equals \
              """ + String.valueOf(2);

@Preconditions("""
    rate > 0 &&
    rate <= MAX_REFRESH_RATE
""")
public void setRefreshRate(int rate) { ... }
```

3.10.7 Escape Sequences

In character literals, string literals, and text blocks (§3.10.4, §3.10.5, §3.10.6), the *escape sequences* allow for the representation of some nongraphic characters without using Unicode escapes (§3.3), as well as the single quote, double quote, and backslash characters.

> *EscapeSequence:*
>   \ b   (backspace BS, Unicode `\u0008`)
>   \ s   (space SP, Unicode `\u0020`)
>   \ t   (horizontal tab HT, Unicode `\u0009`)
>   \ n   (linefeed LF, Unicode `\u000a`)
>   \ f   (form feed FF, Unicode `\u000c`)
>   \ r   (carriage return CR, Unicode `\u000d`)
>   \ *LineTerminator* (line continuation, no Unicode representation)
>   \ "   (double quote ", Unicode `\u0022`)
>   \ '   (single quote ', Unicode `\u0027`)
>   \ \   (backslash \, Unicode `\u005c`)
>   *OctalEscape* (octal value, Unicode `\u0000` to `\u00ff`)
>
> *OctalEscape:*
>   \ *OctalDigit*
>   \ *OctalDigit OctalDigit*
>   \ *ZeroToThree OctalDigit OctalDigit*
>
> *OctalDigit:*
>   *(one of)*
>   0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
>
> *ZeroToThree:*
>   *(one of)*
>   0 1 2 3

The *OctalDigit* production above comes from §3.10.1. Octal escapes are provided for compatibility with C, but can express only Unicode values `\u0000` through `\u00FF`, so Unicode escapes are usually preferred.

It is a compile-time error if the character following a backslash in an escape sequence is not a *LineTerminator* or an ASCII b, s, t, n, f, r, ", ', \, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7.

An escape sequence in the content of a character literal, string literal, or text block is *interpreted* by replacing its \ and trailing character(s) with the single

character denoted by the Unicode escape in the *EscapeSequence* grammar. The line continuation escape sequence has no corresponding Unicode escape, so is interpreted by replacing it with nothing.

The line continuation escape sequence can appear in a text block, but cannot appear in a character literal or a string literal because each disallows a *LineTerminator*.

3.10.8   The Null Literal

The null type has one value, the null reference, represented by the *null literal* `null`, which is formed from ASCII characters.

> *NullLiteral:*
>   `null`

A null literal is always of the null type (§4.1).

3.11   Separators

Twelve tokens, formed from ASCII characters, are the *separators* (punctuators).

> *Separator:*
> *(one of)*
>   `(`   `)`   `{`   `}`   `[`   `]`   `;`   `,`   `.`   `...`   `@`   `::`

3.12   Operators

38 tokens, formed from ASCII characters, are the *operators*.

> *Operator:*
> *(one of)*
>   `=`   `>`   `<`   `!`   `~`   `?`   `:`   `->`
>   `==`   `>=`   `<=`   `!=`   `&&`   `||`   `++`   `--`
>   `+`   `-`   `*`   `/`   `&`   `|`   `^`   `%`   `<<`   `>>`   `>>>`
>   `+=`   `-=`   `*=`   `/=`   `&=`   `|=`   `^=`   `%=`   `<<=`   `>>=`   `>>>=`

Types, Values, and Variables

THE Java programming language is a *statically typed* language, which means that every variable and every expression has a type that is known at compile time.

The Java programming language is also a *strongly typed* language, because types limit the values that a variable (§4.12) can hold or that an expression can produce, limit the operations supported on those values, and determine the meaning of the operations. Strong static typing helps detect errors at compile time.

The types of the Java programming language are divided into two kinds: primitive types and reference types. The primitive types (§4.2) are the `boolean` type and the numeric types. The numeric types are the integral types `byte`, `short`, `int`, `long`, and `char`, and the floating-point types `float` and `double`. The reference types (§4.3) are class types, interface types, and array types. There is also a special null type. An object (§4.3.1) is a dynamically created instance of a class type or a dynamically created array. The values of a reference type are references to objects. All objects, including arrays, support the methods of class `Object` (§4.3.2). String literals are represented by `String` objects (§4.3.3).

4.1 The Kinds of Types and Values

There are two kinds of types in the Java programming language: primitive types (§4.2) and reference types (§4.3). There are, correspondingly, two kinds of data values that can be stored in variables, passed as arguments, returned by methods, and operated on: primitive values (§4.2) and reference values (§4.3).

> *Type:*
>   *PrimitiveType*
>   *ReferenceType*

There is also a special *null type*, the type of the expression `null` (§3.10.8, §15.8.1), which has no name.

Because the null type has no name, it is impossible to declare a variable of the null type or to cast to the null type.

The null reference is the only possible value of an expression of null type.

The null reference can always be assigned or cast to any reference type (§5.2, §5.3, §5.5).

> In practice, the programmer can ignore the null type and just pretend that `null` is merely a special literal that can be of any reference type.

4.2   Primitive Types and Values

A primitive type is predefined by the Java programming language and named by its reserved keyword (§3.9):

PrimitiveType:
  {Annotation} NumericType
  {Annotation} `boolean`

NumericType:
  IntegralType
  FloatingPointType

IntegralType:
  (one of)
  `byte short int long char`

FloatingPointType:
  (one of)
  `float double`

Primitive values do not share state with other primitive values.

The *numeric types* are the integral types and the floating-point types.

The *integral types* are `byte`, `short`, `int`, and `long`, whose values are 8-bit, 16-bit, 32-bit and 64-bit signed two's-complement integers, respectively, and `char`, whose values are 16-bit unsigned integers representing UTF-16 code units (§3.1).

The *floating-point types* are `float`, whose values exactly correspond to the 32-bit IEEE 754 binary32 floating-point numbers, and `double`, whose values exactly correspond to the 64-bit IEEE 754 binary64 floating-point numbers.

The `boolean` type has exactly two values: `true` and `false`.

4.2.1 Integral Types and Values

The values of the integral types are integers in the following ranges:

- For `byte`, from -128 to 127, inclusive
- For `short`, from -32768 to 32767, inclusive
- For `int`, from -2147483648 to 2147483647, inclusive
- For `long`, from -9223372036854775808 to 9223372036854775807, inclusive
- For `char`, from `'\u0000'` to `'\uffff'` inclusive, that is, from 0 to 65535

4.2.2 Integer Operations

The Java programming language provides a number of operators that act on integral values:

- The comparison operators, which result in a value of type `boolean`:
  - The numerical comparison operators `<`, `<=`, `>`, and `>=` (§15.20.1)
  - The numerical equality operators `==` and `!=` (§15.21.1)
- The numerical operators, which result in a value of type `int` or `long`:
  - The unary plus and minus operators `+` and `-` (§15.15.3, §15.15.4)
  - The multiplicative operators `*`, `/`, and `%` (§15.17)
  - The additive operators `+` and `-` (§15.18)
  - The increment operator `++`, both prefix (§15.15.1) and postfix (§15.14.2)
  - The decrement operator `--`, both prefix (§15.15.2) and postfix (§15.14.3)
  - The signed and unsigned shift operators `<<`, `>>`, and `>>>` (§15.19)
  - The bitwise complement operator `~` (§15.15.5)
  - The integer bitwise operators `&`, `^`, and `|` (§15.22.1)
- The conditional operator `? :` (§15.25)

- The cast operator (§15.16), which can convert from an integral value to a value of any specified numeric type

- The string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1), which, when given a String operand and an integral operand, will convert the integral operand to a String (the decimal form of a byte, short, int, or long operand, or the character of a char operand), and then produce a newly created String that is the concatenation of the two strings

Other useful constructors, methods, and constants are predefined in the classes Byte, Short, Integer, Long, and Character.

If an integer operator other than a shift operator has at least one operand of type long, then the operation is carried out using 64-bit precision, and the result of the numerical operator is of type long. If the other operand is not long, it is first widened (§5.1.5) to type long by numeric promotion (§5.6).

Otherwise, the operation is carried out using 32-bit precision, and the result of the numerical operator is of type int. If either operand is not an int, it is first widened to type int by numeric promotion.

The integer operators do not indicate overflow or underflow in any way.

Any value of any integral type may be cast to or from any numeric type. There are no casts between integral types and the type boolean.

> See §4.2.5 for an idiom to convert integer expressions to boolean.

An integer operator can throw an exception (§11 (*Exceptions*)) for the following reasons:

- Any integer operator can throw a NullPointerException if unboxing conversion (§5.1.8) of a null reference is required.

- The integer divide operator / (§15.17.2) and the integer remainder operator % (§15.17.3) can throw an ArithmeticException if the right-hand operand is zero.

- The increment and decrement operators ++ (§15.14.2, §15.15.1) and -- (§15.14.3, §15.15.2) can throw an OutOfMemoryError if boxing conversion (§5.1.7) is required and there is not sufficient memory available to perform the conversion.

Example 4.2.2-1. Integer Operations

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i = 1000000;
        System.out.println(i * i);
```

```
                    long l = i;
                    System.out.println(l * l);
                    System.out.println(20296 / (l - i));
            }
       }
```

This program produces the output:

```
   -727379968
   1000000000000
```

and then encounters an `ArithmeticException` in the division by `l - i`, because `l - i` is zero. The first multiplication is performed in 32-bit precision, whereas the second multiplication is a `long` multiplication. The value `-727379968` is the decimal value of the low 32 bits of the mathematical result, `1000000000000`, which is a value too large for type `int`.

4.2.3 Floating-Point Types and Values

The floating-point types are `float` and `double`, which are conceptually associated with the 32-bit binary32 and 64-bit binary64 floating-point formats for IEEE 754 values and operations, as specified in the IEEE 754 Standard (§1.7).

> In Java SE 15 and later, the Java programming language uses the 2019 version of the IEEE 754 Standard. Prior to Java SE 15, the Java programming language used the 1985 version of the IEEE 754 Standard, where the binary32 format was known as the single format and the binary64 format was known as the double format.

IEEE 754 includes not only positive and negative numbers that consist of a sign and magnitude, but also positive and negative zeros, positive and negative *infinities*, and special *Not-a-Number* values (hereafter abbreviated NaN). A NaN value is used to represent the result of certain invalid operations such as dividing zero by zero. NaN constants of both `float` and `double` type are predefined as `Float.NaN` and `Double.NaN`.

The finite nonzero values of a floating-point type can all be expressed in the form $s \cdot m \cdot 2^{(e - N + 1)}$, where:

- s is +1 or -1,

- m is a positive integer less than 2^N,

- e is an integer between $E_{min} = -(2^{K-1}-2)$ and $E_{max} = 2^{K-1}-1$, inclusive, and

- N and K are parameters that depend on the type.

Some values can be represented in this form in more than one way. For example, supposing that a value v of a floating-point type might be represented in this form

using certain values for *s*, *m*, and *e*, then if it happened that *m* were even and *e* were less than 2^{K-1}, one could halve *m* and increase *e* by 1 to produce a second representation for the same value *v*.

A representation in this form is called *normalized* if $m \geq 2^{N-1}$; otherwise the representation is said to be *subnormal*. If a value of a floating-point type cannot be represented in such a way that $m \geq 2^{N-1}$, then the value is said to be a *subnormal value*, because its magnitude is below the magnitude of the smallest normalized value.

The constraints on the parameters *N* and *K* (and on the derived parameters E_{min} and E_{max}) for `float` and `double` are summarized in Table 4.2.3-A.

Table 4.2.3-A. Floating-point parameters

Parameter	float	double
N	24	53
K	8	11
E_{max}	+127	+1023
E_{min}	-126	-1022

Except for NaN, floating-point values are *ordered*. Arranged from smallest to largest, they are negative infinity, negative finite nonzero values, negative and positive zero, positive finite nonzero values, and positive infinity.

IEEE 754 allows multiple distinct NaN values for each of its binary32 and binary64 floating-point formats. However, the Java SE Platform generally treats NaN values of a given floating-point type as though collapsed into a single canonical value, and hence this specification normally refers to an arbitrary NaN as though to a canonical value.

> Under IEEE 754, a floating-point operation with non-NaN arguments may generate a NaN result. IEEE 754 specifies a set of NaN bit patterns, but does not mandate which particular NaN bit pattern is used to represent a NaN result; this is left to the hardware architecture. A programmer can create NaNs with different bit patterns to encode, for example, retrospective diagnostic information. These NaN values can be created with the `Float.intBitsToFloat` and `Double.longBitsToDouble` methods for `float` and `double`, respectively. Conversely, to inspect the bit patterns of NaN values, the `Float.floatToRawIntBits` and `Double.doubleToRawLongBits` methods can be used for `float` and `double`, respectively.

Positive zero and negative zero compare equal, so the result of the expression `0.0==-0.0` is `true` and the result of `0.0>-0.0` is false. Other operations can distinguish positive and negative zero; for example, `1.0/0.0` has the value positive infinity, while the value of `1.0/-0.0` is negative infinity.

NaN is *unordered*, so:

- The numerical comparison operators `<`, `<=`, `>`, and `>=` return `false` if either or both operands are NaN (§15.20.1).

  In particular, `(x<y) == !(x>=y)` will be `false` if `x` or `y` is NaN.

- The equality operator `==` returns `false` if either operand is NaN.

- The inequality operator `!=` returns `true` if either operand is NaN (§15.21.1).

  In particular, `x!=x` is `true` if and only if `x` is NaN.

4.2.4 Floating-Point Operations

The Java programming language provides a number of operators that act on floating-point values:

- The comparison operators, which result in a value of type `boolean`:
  - The numerical comparison operators `<`, `<=`, `>`, and `>=` (§15.20.1)
  - The numerical equality operators `==` and `!=` (§15.21.1)
- The numerical operators, which result in a value of type `float` or `double`:
  - The unary plus and minus operators `+` and `−` (§15.15.3, §15.15.4)
  - The multiplicative operators `*`, `/`, and `%` (§15.17)
  - The additive operators `+` and `−` (§15.18.2)
  - The increment operator `++`, both prefix (§15.15.1) and postfix (§15.14.2)
  - The decrement operator `−−`, both prefix (§15.15.2) and postfix (§15.14.3)
- The conditional operator `? :` (§15.25)
- The cast operator (§15.16), which can convert from a floating-point value to a value of any specified numeric type
- The string concatenation operator `+` (§15.18.1), which, when given a `String` operand and a floating-point operand, will convert the floating-point operand to a `String` representing its value in decimal form (without information loss), and then produce a newly created `String` by concatenating the two strings

Other useful constructors, methods, and constants are predefined in the classes `Float`, `Double`, and `Math`.

If at least one of the operands to a binary operator is of floating-point type, then the operation is a floating-point operation, even if the other operand is integral.

If at least one of the operands to a numerical operator is of type `double`, then the operation is carried out using 64-bit floating-point arithmetic, and the result of the numerical operator is a value of type `double`. If the other operand is not a `double`, it is first widened (§5.1.5) to type `double` by numeric promotion (§5.6).

Otherwise, at least one of the operands is of type `float`; the operation is carried out using 32-bit floating-point arithmetic, and the result of the numerical operator is a value of type `float`. If the other operand is not a `float`, it is first widened to type `float` by numeric promotion.

Floating-point arithmetic is carried out in accordance with the rules of the IEEE 754 Standard, including for overflow and underflow (§15.4), with the exception of the remainder operator `%` (§15.17.3).

Any value of a floating-point type may be cast to or from any numeric type. There are no casts between floating-point types and the type `boolean`.

See §4.2.5 for an idiom to convert floating-point expressions to `boolean`.

A floating-point operator can throw an exception (§11 (*Exceptions*)) for the following reasons:

- Any floating-point operator can throw a `NullPointerException` if unboxing conversion (§5.1.8) of a null reference is required.

- The increment and decrement operators `++` (§15.14.2, §15.15.1) and `--` (§15.14.3, §15.15.2) can throw an `OutOfMemoryError` if boxing conversion (§5.1.7) is required and there is not sufficient memory available to perform the conversion.

Example 4.2.4-1. Floating-point Operations

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // An example of overflow:
        double d = 1e308;
        System.out.print("overflow produces infinity: ");
        System.out.println(d + "*10==" + d*10);
        // An example of gradual underflow:
        d = 1e-305 * Math.PI;
        System.out.print("gradual underflow: " + d + "\n    ");
        for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
            System.out.print(" " + (d /= 100000));
        System.out.println();
        // An example of NaN:
        System.out.print("0.0/0.0 is Not-a-Number: ");
        d = 0.0/0.0;
        System.out.println(d);
        // An example of inexact results and rounding:
```

```
                System.out.print("inexact results with float:");
                for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
                    float z = 1.0f / i;
                    if (z * i != 1.0f)
                        System.out.print(" " + i);
                }
                System.out.println();
                // Another example of inexact results and rounding:
                System.out.print("inexact results with double:");
                for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
                    double z = 1.0 / i;
                    if (z * i != 1.0)
                        System.out.print(" " + i);
                }
                System.out.println();
                // An example of cast to integer rounding:
                System.out.print("cast to int rounds toward 0: ");
                d = 12345.6;
                System.out.println((int)d + " " + (int)(-d));
            }
        }
```

This program produces the output:

```
overflow produces infinity: 1.0E308*10==Infinity
gradual underflow: 3.141592653589793E-305
    3.1415926535898E-310 3.141592653E-315 3.142E-320 0.0
0.0/0.0 is Not-a-Number: NaN
inexact results with float: 0 41 47 55 61 82 83 94 97
inexact results with double: 0 49 98
cast to int rounds toward 0: 12345 -12345
```

This example demonstrates, among other things, that gradual underflow can result in a gradual loss of precision.

The results when i is 0 involve division by zero, so that z becomes positive infinity, and z * 0 is NaN, which is not equal to 1.0.

4.2.5 The `boolean` Type and boolean Values

The `boolean` type represents a logical quantity with two possible values, indicated by the literals `true` and `false` (§3.10.3).

The boolean operators are:

- The relational operators `==` and `!=` (§15.21.2)

- The logical complement operator `!` (§15.15.6)

- The logical operators `&`, `^`, and `|` (§15.22.2)

- The conditional-and and conditional-or operators `&&` (§15.23) and `||` (§15.24)

- The conditional operator `? :` (§15.25)

- The string concatenation operator `+` (§15.18.1), which, when given a `String` operand and a `boolean` operand, will convert the `boolean` operand to a `String` (either `"true"` or `"false"`), and then produce a newly created `String` that is the concatenation of the two strings

Boolean expressions determine the control flow in several kinds of statements:

- The `if` statement (§14.9)

- The `while` statement (§14.12)

- The `do` statement (§14.13)

- The `for` statement (§14.14)

A `boolean` expression also determines which subexpression is evaluated in the conditional `? :` operator (§15.25).

Only `boolean` and `Boolean` expressions can be used in control flow statements and as the first operand of the conditional operator `? :`.

An integer or floating-point expression `x` can be converted to a `boolean` value, following the C language convention that any nonzero value is `true`, by the expression `x!=0`.

An object reference `obj` can be converted to a `boolean` value, following the C language convention that any reference other than `null` is `true`, by the expression `obj!=null`.

A `boolean` value can be converted to a `String` by string conversion (§5.4).

A `boolean` value may be cast to type `boolean`, `Boolean`, or `Object` (§5.5). No other casts on type `boolean` are allowed.

4.3   Reference Types and Values

There are four kinds of *reference types*: class types (§8.1), interface types (§9.1), type variables (§4.4), and array types (§10.1).

ReferenceType:
  ClassOrInterfaceType
  TypeVariable
  ArrayType

ClassOrInterfaceType:
  ClassType
  InterfaceType

ClassType:
  {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  PackageName . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  ClassOrInterfaceType . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]

InterfaceType:
  ClassType

TypeVariable:
  {Annotation} TypeIdentifier

ArrayType:
  PrimitiveType Dims
  ClassOrInterfaceType Dims
  TypeVariable Dims

Dims:
  {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

The sample code:

```
class Point { int[] metrics; }
interface Move { void move(int deltax, int deltay); }
```

declares a class type `Point`, an interface type `Move`, and uses an array type `int[]` (an array of `int`) to declare the field `metrics` of the class `Point`.

A class or interface type consists of an identifier or a dotted sequence of identifiers, where each identifier is optionally followed by type arguments (§4.5.1). If type arguments appear anywhere in a class or interface type, it is a parameterized type (§4.5).

Each identifier in a class or interface type is classified as a package name or a type name (§6.5.1). Identifiers which are classified as type names may be annotated. If a class or interface type has the form `T.id` (optionally followed by type arguments),

65

then `id` must be the simple name of an accessible member type of T (§6.6, §8.5, §9.5), or a compile-time error occurs. The class or interface type denotes that member type.

4.3.1   Objects

An *object* is a *class instance* or an *array*.

The reference values (often just *references*) are pointers to these objects, and a special null reference, which refers to no object.

A class instance is explicitly created by a class instance creation expression (§15.9).

An array is explicitly created by an array creation expression (§15.10.1).

Other expressions may implicitly create a class instance (§12.5) or an array (§10.6).

> **Example 4.3.1-1. Object Creation**
>
> ```java
> class Point {
>     int x, y;
>     Point() { System.out.println("default"); }
>     Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
>
>     /* A Point instance is explicitly created at
>        class initialization time: */
>     static Point origin = new Point(0,0);
>
>     /* A String can be implicitly created
>        by a + operator: */
>     public String toString() { return "(" + x + "," + y + ")"; }
> }
>
> class Test {
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         /* A Point is explicitly created
>            using newInstance: */
>         Point p = null;
>         try {
>             p = (Point)Class.forName("Point").newInstance();
>         } catch (Exception e) {
>             System.out.println(e);
>         }
>
>         /* An array is implicitly created
>            by an array initializer: */
>         Point[] a = { new Point(0,0), new Point(1,1) };
>
>         /* Strings are implicitly created
>            by + operators: */
>         System.out.println("p: " + p);
> ```

```
                    System.out.println("a: { " + a[0] + ", " + a[1] + " }");

                    /* An array is explicitly created
                       by an array creation expression: */
                    String[] sa = new String[2];
                    sa[0] = "he"; sa[1] = "llo";
                    System.out.println(sa[0] + sa[1]);
            }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    default
    p: (0,0)
    a: { (0,0), (1,1) }
    hello
```

The operators on references to objects are:

- Field access, using either a qualified name (§6.6) or a field access expression (§15.11)

- Method invocation (§15.12)

- The cast operator (§5.5, §15.16)

- The string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1), which, when given a `String` operand and a reference, will convert the reference to a `String` by invoking the `toString` method of the referenced object (using `"null"` if either the reference or the result of `toString` is a null reference), and then will produce a newly created `String` that is the concatenation of the two strings

- The `instanceof` operator (§15.20.2)

- The reference equality operators `==` and `!=` (§15.21.3)

- The conditional operator `? :` (§15.25).

There may be many references to the same object. Most objects have state, stored in the fields of objects that are instances of classes or in the variables that are the components of an array object. If two variables contain references to the same object, the state of the object can be modified using one variable's reference to the object, and then the altered state can be observed through the reference in the other variable.

Example 4.3.1-2. Primitive and Reference Identity

```
    class Value { int val; }

    class Test {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
```

```
            int i1 = 3;
            int i2 = i1;
            i2 = 4;
            System.out.print("i1==" + i1);
            System.out.println(" but i2==" + i2);
            Value v1 = new Value();
            v1.val = 5;
            Value v2 = v1;
            v2.val = 6;
            System.out.print("v1.val==" + v1.val);
            System.out.println(" and v2.val==" + v2.val);
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    i1==3 but i2==4
    v1.val==6 and v2.val==6
```

because `v1.val` and `v2.val` reference the same instance variable (§4.12.3) in the one `Value` object created by the only `new` expression, while `i1` and `i2` are different variables.

Each object is associated with a monitor (§17.1), which is used by `synchronized` methods (§8.4.3) and the `synchronized` statement (§14.19) to provide control over concurrent access to state by multiple threads (§17 (*Threads and Locks*)).

4.3.2   The Class `Object`

The class `Object` is a superclass (§8.1.4) of all other classes.

All class and array types inherit (§8.4.8) the methods of class `Object`, which are summarized as follows:

- The method `clone` is used to make a duplicate of an object.

- The method `equals` defines a notion of object equality, which is based on value, not reference, comparison.

- The method `finalize` is run just before an object is destroyed (§12.6).

- The method `getClass` returns the `Class` object that represents the class of the object.

  A `Class` object exists for each reference type. It can be used, for example, to discover the fully qualified name of a class, its members, its immediate superclass, and any interfaces that it implements.

  The type of a method invocation expression of `getClass` is `Class<? extends |T|>`, where `T` is the class or interface that was searched for `getClass` (§15.12.1) and $|T|$ denotes the erasure of `T` (§4.6).

  A class method that is declared `synchronized` (§8.4.3.6) synchronizes on the monitor associated with the `Class` object of the class.

- The method `hashCode` is very useful, together with the method `equals`, in hashtables such as `java.util.HashMap`.

- The methods `wait`, `notify`, and `notifyAll` are used in concurrent programming using threads (§17.2).

- The method `toString` returns a `String` representation of the object.

4.3.3 The Class `String`

Instances of class `String` represent sequences of Unicode code points.

A `String` object has a constant (unchanging) value.

String literals (§3.10.5) and text blocks (§3.10.6) are references to instances of class `String`.

The string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1) implicitly creates a new `String` object when the result is not a constant expression (§15.29).

4.3.4 When Reference Types Are the Same

Two reference types are the *same compile-time type* if they are declared in compilation units associated with the same module (§7.3), and they have the same binary name (§13.1), and their type arguments, if any, are the same, applying this definition recursively.

When two reference types are the same, they are sometimes said to be the *same class* or the *same interface*.

At run time, several reference types with the same binary name may be loaded simultaneously by different class loaders. These types may or may not represent

the same type declaration. Even if two such types do represent the same type declaration, they are considered distinct.

Two reference types are the *same run-time type* if:

- They are both class or both interface types, are defined by the same class loader, and have the same binary name (§13.1), in which case they are sometimes said to be the *same run-time class* or the *same run-time interface*.

- They are both array types, and their component types are the same run-time type (§10 (*Arrays*)).

4.4 Type Variables

A *type variable* is an unqualified identifier used as a type in class, interface, method, and constructor bodies.

A type variable is introduced by the declaration of a *type parameter* of a generic class, interface, method, or constructor (§8.1.2, §9.1.2, §8.4.4, §8.8.4).

> *TypeParameter:*
>   *{TypeParameterModifier} TypeIdentifier [TypeBound]*

> *TypeParameterModifier:*
>   *Annotation*

> *TypeBound:*
>   extends *TypeVariable*
>   extends *ClassOrInterfaceType {AdditionalBound}*

> *AdditionalBound:*
>   & *InterfaceType*

The scope of a type variable declared as a type parameter is specified in §6.3.

Every type variable declared as a type parameter has a *bound*. If no bound is declared for a type variable, Object is assumed. If a bound is declared, it consists of either:

- a single type variable T, or

- a class or interface type T possibly followed by interface types I_1 & ... & I_n.

It is a compile-time error if any of the types I_1, ..., I_n is a class type or type variable.

The erasures (§4.6) of all constituent types of a bound must be pairwise different, or a compile-time error occurs.

A type variable must not at the same time be a subtype of two interface types which are different parameterizations of the same generic interface, or a compile-time error occurs.

The order of types in a bound is only significant in that the erasure of a type variable is determined by the first type in its bound, and that a class type or type variable may only appear in the first position.

The members of a type variable X with bound T & I_1 & ... & I_n are the members of the intersection type (§4.9) T & I_1 & ... & I_n appearing at the point where the type variable is declared.

Example 4.4-1. Members of a Type Variable

```
package TypeVarMembers;

class C {
    public    void mCPublic()    {}
    protected void mCProtected() {}
              void mCPackage()    {}
    private   void mCPrivate()    {}
}

interface I {
    void mI();
}

class CT extends C implements I {
    public void mI() {}
}

class Test {
    <T extends C & I> void test(T t) {
        t.mI();           // OK
        t.mCPublic();     // OK
        t.mCProtected();  // OK
        t.mCPackage();    // OK
        t.mCPrivate();    // Compile-time error
    }
}
```

The type variable `T` has the same members as the intersection type `C & I`, which in turn has the same members as the empty class `CT`, defined in the same scope with equivalent supertypes. The members of an interface are always `public`, and therefore always inherited (unless overridden). Hence `mI` is a member of `CT` and of `T`. Among the members of `C`, all but `mCPrivate` are inherited by `CT`, and are therefore members of both `CT` and `T`.

If `C` had been declared in a different package than `T`, then the call to `mCPackage` would give rise to a compile-time error, as that member would not be accessible at the point where `T` is declared.

4.5 Parameterized Types

A class or interface that is generic (§8.1.2, §9.1.2) defines a set of *parameterized types*.

A parameterized type is a class or interface type of the form C<T_1,...,T_n>, where C is the name of a generic class or interface, and <T_1,...,T_n> is a list of type arguments that denote a particular *parameterization* of the generic class or interface.

A generic class or interface has type parameters F_1,...,F_n with corresponding bounds B_1,...,B_n. Each type argument T_i of a parameterized type ranges over all types that are subtypes of all types listed in the corresponding bound. That is, for each bound type S in B_i, T_i is a subtype of $S[F_1:=T_1,\ldots,F_n:=T_n]$ (§4.10).

A parameterized type C<T_1,...,T_n> is *well-formed* if all of the following are true:

- C is the name of a generic class or interface.

- The number of type arguments is the same as the number of type parameters in the generic declaration of C.

- When subjected to capture conversion (§5.1.10) resulting in the type C<X_1,...,X_n>, each type argument X_i is a subtype of $S[F_1:=X_1,\ldots,F_n:=X_n]$ for each bound type S in B_i.

It is a compile-time error if a parameterized type is not well-formed.

In this specification, whenever we speak of a class or interface type, we include parameterized types as well, unless explicitly excluded.

Two parameterized types are *provably distinct* if either of the following is true:

- They are parameterizations of distinct generic type declarations.

- Any of their type arguments are provably distinct.

Given the generic classes in the examples of §8.1.2, here are some well-formed parameterized types:

- `Seq<String>`

- `Seq<Seq<String>>`

- `Seq<String>.Zipper<Integer>`

- `Pair<String,Integer>`

Here are some incorrect parameterizations of those generic classes:

- `Seq<int>` is illegal, as primitive types cannot be type arguments.

- `Pair<String>` is illegal, as there are not enough type arguments.

- `Pair<String,String,String>` is illegal, as there are too many type arguments.

A parameterized type may be a parameterization of a generic class or interface which is nested. For example, if a non-generic class `C` has a generic member class `D` with one type parameter, then `C.D<Object>` is a parameterized type. Meanwhile, if a generic class `C` with one type parameter has a non-generic member class `D`, then the member class type `C<String>.D` is a parameterized type, even though the class `D` is not generic.

4.5.1   Type Arguments of Parameterized Types

Type arguments may be either reference types or wildcards. Wildcards are useful in situations where only partial knowledge about the type parameter is required.

> *TypeArguments:*
>   *< TypeArgumentList >*
>
> *TypeArgumentList:*
>   *TypeArgument { , TypeArgument}*
>
> *TypeArgument:*
>   *ReferenceType*
>   *Wildcard*
>
> *Wildcard:*
>   *{Annotation}* ? *[WildcardBounds]*
>
> *WildcardBounds:*
>   `extends` *ReferenceType*
>   `super` *ReferenceType*

Wildcards may be given explicit bounds, just like regular type variable declarations. An upper bound is signified by the following syntax, where *B* is the bound:

```
? extends B
```

Unlike ordinary type variables declared in a method signature, no type inference is required when using a wildcard. Consequently, it is permissible to declare lower bounds on a wildcard, using the following syntax, where `B` is a lower bound:

```
? super B
```

The wildcard `? extends Object` is equivalent to the unbounded wildcard `?`.

Two type arguments are *provably distinct* if one of the following is true:

- Neither argument is a type variable or wildcard, and the two arguments are not the same type.

- One type argument is a type variable or wildcard, with an upper bound (from capture conversion (§5.1.10), if necessary) of `S`; and the other type argument `T` is not a type variable or wildcard; and neither $|S| <: |T|$ nor $|T| <: |S|$ (§4.8, §4.10).

- Each type argument is a type variable or wildcard, with upper bounds (from capture conversion, if necessary) of `S` and `T`; and neither $|S| <: |T|$ nor $|T| <: |S|$.

A type argument T_1 is said to *contain* another type argument T_2, written $T_2 <= T_1$, if the set of types denoted by T_2 is provably a subset of the set of types denoted by T_1 under the reflexive and transitive closure of the following rules (where `<:` denotes subtyping (§4.10)):

- `? extends T <= ? extends S` if `T <: S`

- `? extends T <= ?`

- `? super T <= ? super S` if `S <: T`

- `? super T <= ?`

- `? super T <= ? extends Object`

- `T <= T`

- `T <= ? extends T`

- `T <= ? super T`

> The relationship of wildcards to established type theory is an interesting one, which we briefly allude to here. Wildcards are a restricted form of existential types. Given a generic type declaration `G<T extends B>`, `G<?>` is roughly analogous to `Some X <: B. G<X>`.
>
> Historically, wildcards are a direct descendant of the work by Atsushi Igarashi and Mirko Viroli. Readers interested in a more comprehensive discussion should refer to *On Variance-Based Subtyping for Parametric Types* by Atsushi Igarashi and Mirko Viroli, in the *Proceedings of the 16th European Conference on Object Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2002)*. This work itself builds upon earlier work by Kresten Thorup and Mads Torgersen

(*Unifying Genericity*, ECOOP 99), as well as a long tradition of work on declaration based variance that goes back to Pierre America's work on POOL (OOPSLA 89).

Wildcards differ in certain details from the constructs described in the aforementioned paper, in particular in the use of capture conversion (§5.1.10) rather than the `close` operation described by Igarashi and Viroli. For a formal account of wildcards, see *Wild FJ* by Mads Torgersen, Erik Ernst and Christian Plesner Hansen, in the 12th workshop on Foundations of Object Oriented Programming (FOOL 2005).

Example 4.5.1-1. Unbounded Wildcards

```java
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Collection;

class Test {
    static void printCollection(Collection<?> c) {
                                // a wildcard collection
        for (Object o : c) {
            System.out.println(o);
        }
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Collection<String> cs = new ArrayList<String>();
        cs.add("hello");
        cs.add("world");
        printCollection(cs);
    }
}
```

Note that using `Collection<Object>` as the type of the incoming parameter, `c`, would not be nearly as useful; the method could only be used with an argument expression that had type `Collection<Object>`, which would be quite rare. In contrast, the use of an unbounded wildcard allows any kind of collection to be passed as an argument.

Here is an example where the element type of an array is parameterized by a wildcard:

```java
public Method getMethod(Class<?>[] parameterTypes) { ... }
```

Example 4.5.1-2. Bounded Wildcards

```java
boolean addAll(Collection<? extends E> c)
```

Here, the method is declared within the interface `Collection<E>`, and is designed to add all the elements of its incoming argument to the collection upon which it is invoked. A natural tendency would be to use `Collection<E>` as the type of `c`, but this is unnecessarily restrictive. An alternative would be to declare the method itself to be generic:

```java
<T> boolean addAll(Collection<T> c)
```

This version is sufficiently flexible, but note that the type parameter is used only once in the signature. This reflects the fact that the type parameter is not being used to express any kind

75

of interdependency between the type(s) of the argument(s), the return type and/or throws type. In the absence of such interdependency, generic methods are considered bad style, and wildcards are preferred.

```
Reference(T referent, ReferenceQueue<? super T> queue)
```

Here, the referent can be inserted into any queue whose element type is a supertype of the type T of the referent; T is the lower bound for the wildcard.

4.5.2   Members and Constructors of Parameterized Types

Let C be a generic class or interface with type parameters $A_1,...,A_n$, and let $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ be a parameterization of C where, for $1 \le i \le n$, T_i is a type (rather than a wildcard). Then:

- Let m be a member or constructor declaration in C, whose type as declared is T (§8.2, §8.8.6).

  The type of m in $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ is $T[A_1:=T_1, \ldots, A_n:=T_n]$.

- Let m be a member or constructor declaration in D, where D is a class extended by C or an interface implemented by C. Let $D<U_1,...,U_k>$ be the supertype (§4.10.2) of $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ that corresponds to D.

  The type of m in $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ is the type of m in $D<U_1,...,U_k>$.

If any of the type arguments in the parameterization of C are wildcards, then:

- The types of the fields, methods, and constructors in $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ are the types of the fields, methods, and constructors in the capture conversion of $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ (§5.1.10).

- Let D be a (possibly generic) class or interface declaration in C. Then the type of D in $C<T_1,...,T_n>$ is D where, if D is generic, all type arguments are unbounded wildcards.

  This is of no consequence, as it is impossible to access a member of a parameterized type without performing capture conversion, and it is impossible to use a wildcard after the keyword `new` in a class instance creation expression (§15.9).

  The sole exception to the previous paragraph is when a nested parameterized type is used as the expression in an `instanceof` operator (§15.20.2), where capture conversion is not applied.

A `static` member that is declared in a generic class or interface must be referred to using the name of the generic class or interface (§6.1, §6.5.5.2, §6.5.6.2), or a compile-time error occurs.

In other words, it is illegal to refer to a `static` member declared in a generic type declaration by using a parameterized type.

4.6 Type Erasure

Type erasure is a mapping from types (possibly including parameterized types and type variables) to types (that are never parameterized types or type variables). We write $|T|$ for the erasure of type T. The erasure mapping is defined as follows:

- The erasure of a parameterized type (§4.5) $G<T_1,...,T_n>$ is $|G|$.

- The erasure of a nested type $T.C$ is $|T|.C$.

- The erasure of an array type $T[]$ is $|T|[]$.

- The erasure of a type variable (§4.4) is the erasure of its leftmost bound.

- The erasure of every other type is the type itself.

Type erasure also maps the signature (§8.4.2) of a constructor or method to a signature that has no parameterized types or type variables. The erasure of a constructor or method signature s is a signature consisting of the same name as s and the erasures of all the formal parameter types given in s.

The return type of a method (§8.4.5) and the type parameters of a generic method or constructor (§8.4.4, §8.8.4) also undergo erasure if the method or constructor's signature is erased.

The erasure of the signature of a generic method has no type parameters.

4.7 Reifiable Types

Because some type information is erased during compilation, not all types are available at run time. Types that are completely available at run time are known as *reifiable types*.

A type is *reifiable* if and only if one of the following holds:

- It refers to a non-generic class or interface type declaration.

- It is a parameterized type in which all type arguments are unbounded wildcards (§4.5.1).

- It is a raw type (§4.8).

- It is a primitive type (§4.2).

- It is an array type (§10.1) whose element type is reifiable.

- It is a nested type where, for each type `T` separated by a "`.`", `T` itself is reifiable.

    For example, if a generic class `X<T>` has a generic member class `Y<U>`, then the type `X<?>.Y<?>` is reifiable because `X<?>` is reifiable and `Y<?>` is reifiable. The type `X<?>.Y<Object>` is not reifiable because `Y<Object>` is not reifiable.

An intersection type is not reifiable.

> The decision not to make all generic types reifiable is one of the most crucial, and controversial design decisions involving the type system of the Java programming language.
>
> Ultimately, the most important motivation for this decision is compatibility with existing code. In a naive sense, the addition of new constructs such as generics has no implications for pre-existing code. The Java programming language, per se, is compatible with earlier versions as long as every program written in the previous versions retains its meaning in the new version. However, this notion, which may be termed language compatibility, is of purely theoretical interest. Real programs (even trivial ones, such as "Hello World") are composed of several compilation units, some of which are provided by the Java SE Platform (such as elements of `java.lang` or `java.util`). In practice, then, the minimum requirement is platform compatibility - that any program written for the prior version of the Java SE Platform continues to function unchanged in the new version.
>
> One way to provide platform compatibility is to leave existing platform functionality unchanged, only adding new functionality. For example, rather than modify the existing Collections hierarchy in `java.util`, one might introduce a new library utilizing generics.
>
> The disadvantages of such a scheme is that it is extremely difficult for pre-existing clients of the Collection library to migrate to the new library. Collections are used to exchange data between independently developed modules; if a vendor decides to switch to the new, generic, library, that vendor must also distribute two versions of their code, to be compatible with their clients. Libraries that are dependent on other vendors code cannot be modified to use generics until the supplier's library is updated. If two modules are mutually dependent, the changes must be made simultaneously.
>
> Clearly, platform compatibility, as outlined above, does not provide a realistic path for adoption of a pervasive new feature such as generics. Therefore, the design of the generic type system seeks to support migration compatibility. Migration compatibility allows the evolution of existing code to take advantage of generics without imposing dependencies between independently developed software modules.
>
> The price of migration compatibility is that a full and sound reification of the generic type system is not possible, at least while the migration is taking place.

4.8 Raw Types

To facilitate interfacing with non-generic legacy code, it is possible to use as a type the erasure (§4.6) of a parameterized type (§4.5) or the erasure of an array type (§10.1) whose element type is a parameterized type. Such a type is called a *raw type*.

More precisely, a raw type is defined to be one of:

- The reference type that is formed by taking the name of a generic class or interface declaration without an accompanying type argument list.

- An array type whose element type is a raw type.

- The name of an inner member class of a raw type `R` that is not inherited from a superclass or superinterface of `R`.

The type of a non-generic class or interface is not a raw type.

> To see why the name of an inner member class of a raw type is considered raw, consider the following example:

```
class Outer<T>{
    T t;
    class Inner {
        T setOuterT(T t1) { t = t1; return t; }
    }
}
```

> The type of the member(s) of `Inner` depends on the type parameter of `Outer`. If `Outer` is raw, `Inner` must be treated as raw as well, as there is no valid binding for `T`.

> This rule applies only to inner member classes that are not inherited. Inherited inner member classes that depend on type variables will be inherited as raw types as a consequence of the rule that the supertypes of a raw type are erased, described later in this section.

> Another implication of the rules above is that a generic inner class of a raw type can itself only be used as a raw type:

```
class Outer<T>{
    class Inner<S> {
        S s;
    }
}
```

> It is not possible to access `Inner` as a partially raw type (a "rare" type):

```
Outer.Inner<Double> x = null;  // illegal
Double d = x.s;
```

> because `Outer` itself is raw, hence so are all its inner classes including `Inner`, and so it is not possible to pass any type arguments to Inner.

The superclass types (respectively, superinterface types) of a raw type are the erasures of the superclass types (superinterface types) of the named class or interface.

The type of a constructor (§8.8), instance method (§8.4, §9.4), or non-`static` field (§8.3) of a raw type C that is not inherited from its superclasses or superinterfaces is the erasure of its type in the generic class or interface C.

The type of an inherited instance method or non-`static` field of a raw type C, where the member was declared in a class or interface D, is the type of the member in the supertype of C that names D.

The type of a `static` method or `static` field of a raw type C is the same as its type in the generic class or interface C.

It is a compile-time error to pass type arguments to a non-`static` member class or interface of a raw type that is not inherited from its superclasses or superinterfaces.

It is a compile-time error to attempt to use a member class or interface of a parameterized type as a raw type.

> This means that the ban on "rare" types extends to the case where the qualifying type is parameterized, but we attempt to use the inner class as a raw type:
>
> ```
> Outer<Integer>.Inner x = null; // illegal
> ```
>
> This is the opposite of the case discussed above. There is no practical justification for this half-baked type. In legacy code, no type arguments are used. In non-legacy code, we should use the generic types correctly and pass all the required type arguments.

The use of raw types is allowed only as a concession to compatibility of legacy code. The use of raw types in code written after the introduction of generics into the Java programming language is strongly discouraged. It is possible that future versions of the Java programming language will disallow the use of raw types.

To make sure that potential violations of the typing rules are always flagged, some accesses to members of a raw type will result in compile-time unchecked warnings. The rules for compile-time unchecked warnings when accessing members or constructors of raw types are as follows:

- At an assignment to a field: if the type of the *Primary* in the field access expression (§15.11) is a raw type, then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs if erasure changes the field's type.

- At an invocation of a method or constructor: if the type of the class or interface to search (§15.12.1) is a raw type, then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs if erasure changes any of the formal parameter types of the method or constructor.

- No compile-time unchecked warning occurs for a method call when the formal parameter types do not change under erasure (even if the return type and/or `throws` clause changes), for reading from a field, or for a class instance creation of a raw type.

> Note that the unchecked warnings above are distinct from the unchecked warnings possible from narrowing reference conversion (§5.1.6), unchecked conversion (§5.1.9), method declarations (§8.4.1, §8.4.8.3), and certain expressions (§15.12.4.2, §15.13.2, §15.27.3).

> The warnings here cover the case where a legacy consumer uses a generified library. For example, the library declares a generic class `Foo<T extends String>` that has a field `f` of type `Vector<T>`, but the consumer assigns a vector of integers to `e.f` where `e` has the raw type `Foo`. The legacy consumer receives a warning because it may have caused heap pollution (§4.12.2) for generified consumers of the generified library.

> (Note that the legacy consumer can assign a `Vector<String>` from the library to its own `Vector` variable without receiving a warning. That is, the subtyping rules (§4.10.2) of the Java programming language make it possible for a variable of a raw type to be assigned a value of any of the type's parameterized instances.)

> The warnings from unchecked conversion cover the dual case, where a generified consumer uses a legacy library. For example, a method of the library has the raw return type `Vector`, but the consumer assigns the result of the method invocation to a variable of type `Vector<String>`. This is unsafe, since the raw vector might have had a different element type than `String`, but is still permitted using unchecked conversion in order to enable interfacing with legacy code. The warning from unchecked conversion indicates that the generified consumer may experience problems from heap pollution at other points in the program.

Example 4.8-1. Raw Types

```
class Cell<E> {
    E value;

    Cell(E v)    { value = v; }
    E get()      { return value; }
    void set(E v) { value = v; }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Cell x = new Cell<String>("abc");
        System.out.println(x.value);   // OK, has type Object
        System.out.println(x.get());   // OK, has type Object
        x.set("def");                  // unchecked warning
    }
}
```

Example 4.8-2. Raw Types and Inheritance

```
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Collection;
import java.util.Iterator;

class NonGeneric {
    Collection<Number> myNumbers() { return null; }
}

abstract class RawMembers<T> extends NonGeneric
                            implements Collection<String> {
    static Collection<NonGeneric> cng =
        new ArrayList<NonGeneric>();

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        RawMembers rw = null;

        Collection<Number> cn = rw.myNumbers();
                                // OK

        Iterator<String> is   = rw.iterator();
                                // Unchecked warning

        Collection<NonGeneric> cnn = rw.cng;
                                    // OK, static member
    }
}
```

In this program (which is not meant to be run), `RawMembers<T>` inherits the method:

```
Iterator<String> iterator()
```

from the `Collection<String>` superinterface. The raw type `RawMembers` inherits `iterator()` from `Collection`, the erasure of `Collection<String>`, which means that the return type of `iterator()` in `RawMembers` is `Iterator`. As a result, the attempt to assign `rw.iterator()` to `Iterator<String>` requires an unchecked conversion, so a compile-time unchecked warning is issued.

In contrast, `RawMembers` inherits `myNumbers()` from the `NonGeneric` class whose erasure is also `NonGeneric`. Thus, the return type of `myNumbers()` in `RawMembers` is not erased, and the attempt to assign `rw.myNumbers()` to `Collection<Number>` requires no unchecked conversion, so no compile-time unchecked warning is issued.

Similarly, the `static` member `cng` retains its parameterized type even when accessed through a object of raw type. Note that access to a `static` member through an instance is considered bad style and is discouraged.

This example reveals that certain members of a raw type are not erased, namely `static` members whose types are parameterized, and members inherited from a non-generic supertype.

Raw types are closely related to wildcards. Both are based on existential types. Raw types can be thought of as wildcards whose type rules are deliberately unsound, to accommodate interaction with legacy code. Historically, raw types preceded wildcards; they were first introduced in GJ, and described in the paper *Making the future safe for the past: Adding Genericity to the Java Programming Language* by Gilad Bracha, Martin Odersky, David Stoutamire, and Philip Wadler, in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages and Applications (OOPSLA 98)*, October 1998.

4.9 Intersection Types

An intersection type takes the form T_1 & ... & T_n ($n > 0$), where T_i ($1 \le i \le n$) are types.

Intersection types can be derived from type parameter bounds (§4.4) and cast expressions (§15.16); they also arise in the processes of capture conversion (§5.1.10) and least upper bound computation (§4.10.4).

The values of an intersection type are those objects that are values of all of the types T_i for $1 \le i \le n$.

Every intersection type T_1 & ... & T_n *induces* a notional class or interface for the purpose of identifying the members of the intersection type, as follows:

- For each T_i ($1 \le i \le n$), let C_i be the most specific class or array type such that $T_i <: C_i$. Then there must be some C_k such that $C_k <: C_i$ for any i ($1 \le i \le n$), or a compile-time error occurs.

- For $1 \le j \le n$, if T_j is a type variable, then let T_j' be an interface whose members are the same as the `public` members of T_j; otherwise, if T_j is an interface, then let T_j' be T_j.

- If C_k is `Object`, a notional interface is induced; otherwise, a notional class is induced with direct superclass type C_k. This class or interface has direct superinterface types T_1', ..., T_n' and is declared in the package in which the intersection type appears.

The members of an intersection type are the members of the class or interface it induces.

It is worth dwelling upon the distinction between intersection types and the bounds of type variables. Every type variable bound induces an intersection type. This intersection type is often trivial, consisting of a single type. The form of a bound is restricted (only the first element may be a class or type variable, and only one type variable may appear in the bound) to preclude certain awkward situations coming into existence. However, capture conversion can lead to the creation of type variables whose bounds are more general, such as array types).

4.10   Subtyping

The subtype and supertype relations are binary relations on types.

The *supertypes* of a type are obtained by reflexive and transitive closure over the direct supertype relation, written $S >_1 T$, which is defined by rules given later in this section. We write $S :> T$ to indicate that the supertype relation holds between S and T.

S is a *proper supertype* of T, written $S > T$, if $S :> T$ and $S \neq T$.

The *subtypes* of a type T are all types U such that T is a supertype of U, and the null type. We write $T <: S$ to indicate that that the subtype relation holds between types T and S.

T is a *proper subtype* of S, written $T < S$, if $T <: S$ and $S \neq T$.

T is a *direct subtype* of S, written $T <_1 S$, if $S >_1 T$.

Subtyping does not extend through parameterized types: $T <: S$ does not imply that $C<T> <: C<S>$.

4.10.1   Subtyping among Primitive Types

The following rules define the direct supertype relation among the primitive types:

- double $>_1$ float
- float $>_1$ long
- long $>_1$ int
- int $>_1$ char
- int $>_1$ short
- short $>_1$ byte

4.10.2   Subtyping among Class and Interface Types

Given a non-generic class or interface C, the *direct supertypes* of the type of C are all of the following:

- The direct superclass type of C (§8.1.4), if C is a class.
- The direct superinterface types of C (§8.1.5, §9.1.3).
- The type Object, if C is an interface with no direct superinterface types (§9.1.3).

Given a generic class or interface C with type parameters $F_1,...,F_n$ ($n > 0$), the *direct supertypes* of the raw type C (§4.8) are all of the following:

- The erasure (§4.6) of the direct superclass type of C, if C is a class.

- The erasure of the direct superinterface types of C.

- The type `Object`, if C is an interface with no direct superinterface types.

Given a generic class or interface C with type parameters $F_1,...,F_n$ ($n > 0$), the *direct supertypes* of the parameterized type $C<T_1,...,T_n>$, where each of T_i ($1 \le i \le n$) is a type, are all of the following:

- The substitution $[F_1:=T_1,...,F_n:=T_n]$ applied to the direct superclass type of C, if C is a class.

- The substitution $[F_1:=T_1,...,F_n:=T_n]$ applied to the direct superinterface types of C.

- $C<S_1,...,S_n>$, where S_i contains T_i ($1 \le i \le n$) (§4.5.1).

- The type `Object`, if C is an interface with no direct superinterface types.

- The raw type C.

Given a generic class or interface C with type parameters $F_1,...,F_n$ ($n > 0$), the *direct supertypes* of the parameterized type $C<R_1,...,R_n>$ where at least one of the R_i ($1 \le i \le n$) is a wildcard type argument, are the direct supertypes of the parameterized type $C<X_1,...,X_n>$ which is the result of applying capture conversion to $C<R_1,...,R_n>$ (§5.1.10).

The direct supertypes of an intersection type T_1 & ... & T_n are T_i ($1 \le i \le n$).

The direct supertypes of a type variable are the types listed in its bound.

A type variable is a direct supertype of its lower bound.

The direct supertypes of the null type are all reference types other than the null type itself.

4.10.3   Subtyping among Array Types

The following rules define the direct supertype relation among array types:

- If S and T are both reference types, then $S[] >_1 T[]$ iff $S >_1 T$.

- `Object` $>_1$ `Object[]`

- `Cloneable` $>_1$ `Object[]`

- `java.io.Serializable >`$_1$` Object[]`

- If *P* is a primitive type, then:

  - `Object >`$_1$` P[]`

  - `Cloneable >`$_1$` P[]`

  - `java.io.Serializable >`$_1$` P[]`

4.10.4   Least Upper Bound

The *least upper bound*, or "lub", of a set of reference types is a shared supertype that is more specific than any other shared supertype (that is, no other shared supertype is a subtype of the least upper bound). This type, $\text{lub}(U_1, ..., U_k)$, is determined as follows.

If $k = 1$, then the lub is the type itself: $\text{lub}(U) = U$.

Otherwise:

- For each U_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$:

  Let $\text{ST}(U_i)$ be the set of supertypes of U_i.

  Let $\text{EST}(U_i)$, the set of erased supertypes of U_i, be:

  $\text{EST}(U_i) = \{ |W| \mid W \text{ in } \text{ST}(U_i) \}$ where $|W|$ is the erasure of W.

    The reason for computing the set of erased supertypes is to deal with situations where the set of types includes several distinct parameterizations of a generic type.

    For example, given `List<String>` and `List<Object>`, simply intersecting the sets $\text{ST}($`List<String>`$) = \{$ `List<String>`, `Collection<String>`, `Object` $\}$ and $\text{ST}($`List<Object>`$) = \{$ `List<Object>`, `Collection<Object>`, `Object` $\}$ would yield a set $\{$ `Object` $\}$, and we would have lost track of the fact that the upper bound can safely be assumed to be a `List`.

    In contrast, intersecting $\text{EST}($`List<String>`$) = \{$ `List`, `Collection`, `Object` $\}$ and $\text{EST}($`List<Object>`$) = \{$ `List`, `Collection`, `Object` $\}$ yields $\{$ `List`, `Collection`, `Object` $\}$, which will eventually enable us to produce `List<?>`.

- Let EC, the erased candidate set for $U_1, ..., U_k$, be the intersection of all the sets $\text{EST}(U_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$.

- Let MEC, the minimal erased candidate set for $U_1, ..., U_k$, be:

  $\text{MEC} = \{ V \mid V \text{ in } \text{EC, and for all } W \neq V \text{ in EC, it is not the case that } W <: V \}$

    Because we are seeking to infer more precise types, we wish to filter out any candidates that are supertypes of other candidates. This is what computing MEC accomplishes. In

our running example, we had EC = { `List`, `Collection`, `Object` }, so MEC = { `List` }. The next step is to recover type arguments for the erased types in MEC.

- For any element G of MEC that is a generic type:

Let the "relevant" parameterizations of G, Relevant(G), be:

Relevant(G) = { v | $1 \leq i \leq k$: v in ST(U_i) and v = G<...> }

In our running example, the only generic element of MEC is `List`, and Relevant(`List`) = { `List<String>`, `List<Object>` }. We will now seek to find a type argument for `List` that contains (§4.5.1) both `String` and `Object`.

This is done by means of the least containing parameterization (lcp) operation defined below. The first line defines lcp() on a set, such as Relevant(`List`), as an operation on a list consisting of the elements of the set. The next line defines the operation on such a list as a pairwise reduction on the elements of the list. The third line is the definition of lcp()

on pairs of parameterized types, which in turn relies on the notion of least containing type argument (lcta). lcta() is defined for all possible cases.

Let the "candidate" parameterization of G, Candidate(G), be the most specific parameterization of the generic type G that contains all the relevant parameterizations of G:

Candidate(G) = lcp(Relevant(G))

where lcp(), the least containing parameterization, is:

– lcp(S) = lcp(e_1, ..., e_n) where e_i ($1 \le i \le n$) in S

– lcp(e_1, ..., e_n) = lcp(lcp(e_1, e_2), e_3, ..., e_n)

– lcp(G<X_1, ..., X_n>, G<Y_1, ..., Y_n>) = G<lcta(X_1, Y_1), ..., lcta(X_n, Y_n)>

– lcp(G<X_1, ..., X_n>) = G<lcta(X_1), ..., lcta(X_n)>

and where lcta(), the least containing type argument, is: (assuming U and V are types)

– lcta(U, V) = U if $U = V$, otherwise ? `extends` lub(U, V)

– lcta(U, ? `extends` V) = ? `extends` lub(U, V)

– lcta(U, ? `super` V) = ? `super` glb(U, V)

– lcta(? `extends` U, ? `extends` V) = ? `extends` lub(U, V)

– lcta(? `extends` U, ? `super` V) = ?

– lcta(? `super` U, ? `super` V) = ? `super` glb(U, V)

– lcta(U) = ? if U's upper bound is `Object`, otherwise ? `extends` lub(U,`Object`)

and where glb() is as defined in §5.1.10.

• Let lub(U_1, ..., U_k) be:

Best(W_1) & ... & Best(W_r)

where W_i ($1 \le i \le r$) are the elements of MEC, the minimal erased candidate set of U_1, ..., U_k;

and where, if any of these elements are generic, we use the candidate parameterization (so as to recover type arguments):

Best(X) = Candidate(X) if X is generic; X otherwise.

Strictly speaking, this lub() function only approximates a least upper bound. Formally, there may exist some other type T such that all of U_1, ..., U_k are subtypes

of T and T is a subtype of lub(U_1, ..., U_k). However, a compiler for the Java programming language must implement lub() as specified above.

It is possible that the lub() function yields an infinite type. This is permissible, and a compiler for the Java programming language must recognize such situations and represent them appropriately using cyclic data structures.

> The possibility of an infinite type stems from the recursive calls to lub(). Readers familiar with recursive types should note that an infinite type is not the same as a recursive type.

4.10.5   Type Projections

A *synthetic type variable* is a type variable introduced by the compiler during capture conversion (§5.1.10) or inference variable resolution (§18.4).

It is sometimes necessary to find a close supertype of a type, where that supertype does not mention certain synthetic type variables. This is achieved with an *upward projection* applied to the type.

Similarly, a *downward projection* may be applied to find a close subtype of a type, where that subtype does not mention certain synthetic type variables. Because such a type does not always exist, downward projection is a partial function.

These operations take as input a set of type variables that should no longer be referenced, referred to as the *restricted type variables*. When the operations recur, the set of restricted type variables is implicitly passed on to the recursive application.

The upward projection of a type T with respect to a set of restricted type variables is defined as follows:

- If T does not mention any restricted type variable, then the result is T.

- If T is a restricted type variable, then the result is the upward projection of the upper bound of T.

- If T is a parameterized class type or a parameterized interface type, `G<A₁,...,Aₙ>`, then the result is `G<A₁',...,Aₙ'>`, where, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, A_i' is derived from A_i as follows:

  - If A_i does not mention any restricted type variable, then $A_i' = A_i$.

  - If A_i is a type that mentions a restricted type variable, then let U be the upward projection of A_i. A_i' is a wildcard, defined by three cases:

    › If U is not `Object`, and if either the declared bound of the *i*th parameter of G, B_i, mentions a type parameter of G, or B_i is not a subtype of U, then A_i' is an upper-bounded wildcard, `? extends U`.

    › Otherwise, if the downward projection of A_i is L, then A_i' is a lower-bounded wildcard, `? super L`.

    › Otherwise, the downward projection of A_i is undefined and A_i' is an unbounded wildcard, `?`.

  - If A_i is an upper-bounded wildcard that mentions a restricted type variable, then let U be the upward projection of the wildcard bound. A_i' is an upper-bounded wildcard, `? extends U`.

  - If A_i is a lower-bounded wildcard that mentions a restricted type variable, then if the downward projection of the wildcard bound is L, then A_i' is a lower-bounded wildcard, `? super L`; if the downward projection of the wildcard bound is undefined, then A_i' is an unbounded wildcard, `?`.

- If T is an array type, `S[]`, then the result is an array type whose component type is the upward projection of S.

- If T is an intersection type, then the result is an intersection type. For each element, S, of T, the result has as an element the upward projection of S.

The downward projection of a type T with respect to a set of restricted type variables is a partial function, defined as follows:

- If T does not mention any restricted type variable, then the result is T.

- If T is a restricted type variable, then if T has a lower bound, and if the downward projection of that bound is L, the result is L; if T has no lower bound, or if the downward projection of that bound is undefined, then the result is undefined.

- If `T` is a parameterized class type or a parameterized interface type, `G<A₁,...,Aₙ>`,
  then the result is `G<A₁',...,Aₙ'>`, if, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, a type argument `Aᵢ'` can be derived
  from `Aᵢ` as follows; if not, the result is undefined:

  - If `Aᵢ` is does not mention a restricted type variable, then `Aᵢ' = Aᵢ`.

  - If `Aᵢ` is a type that mentions a restricted type variable, then `Aᵢ'` is undefined.

  - If `Aᵢ` is an upper-bounded wildcard that mentions a restricted type variable,
    then if the downward projection of the wildcard bound is `U`, then `Aᵢ'` is an upper-
    bounded wildcard, `? extends U`; if the downward projection of the wildcard
    bound is undefined, then `Aᵢ'` is undefined.

  - If `Aᵢ` is a lower-bounded wildcard that mentions a restricted type variable, then
    let `L` be the upward projection of the wildcard bound. `Aᵢ'` is a lower-bounded
    wildcard, `? super L`.

- If `T` is an array type, `S[]`, then if the downward projection of `S` is `S'`, the result is
  `S'[]`; if the downward projection of `S` is undefined, then the result is undefined.

- If `T` is an intersection type, then if the downward projection is defined for *each*
  element of `T`, the result is an intersection type whose elements are the downward
  projections of the elements of `T`; if the downward projection is undefined for *any*
  element of `T`, then the result is undefined.

Like lub (§4.10.4), upward projection and downward projection may produce
infinite types, due to the recursion on type variable bounds.

4.11 Where Types Are Used

Types are used in most kinds of declaration and in certain kinds of expression.
Specifically, there are 17 *type contexts* where types are used:

- In declarations:

    1. A type in the `extends` or `implements` clause of a class declaration (§8.1.4, §8.1.5)

    2. A type in the `extends` clause of an interface declaration (§9.1.3)

    3. The return type of a method (§8.4.5, §9.4), including the type of an element of an annotation interface (§9.6.1)

    4. A type in the `throws` clause of a method or constructor (§8.4.6, §8.8.5, §9.4)

    5. A type in the `extends` clause of a type parameter declaration of a generic class, interface, method, or constructor (§8.1.2, §9.1.2, §8.4.4, §8.8.4)

    6. The type in a field declaration of a class or interface (§8.3, §9.3), including an enum constant (§8.9.1)

    7. The type in a formal parameter declaration of a method, constructor, or lambda expression (§8.4.1, §8.8.1, §9.4, §15.27.1)

    8. The type of the receiver parameter of a method (§8.4)

    9. The type in a local variable declaration in either a statement (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) or a pattern (§14.30.1)

    10. A type in an exception parameter declaration (§14.20)

    11. The type in a record component declaration of a record class (§8.10.1)

- In expressions:

    12. A type in the explicit type argument list to an explicit constructor invocation statement, class instance creation expression, method invocation expression, or method reference expression (§8.8.7.1, §15.9, §15.12, §15.13)

    13. In an unqualified class instance creation expression, as the class type to be instantiated (§15.9) or as the direct superclass type or direct superinterface type of an anonymous class to be instantiated (§15.9.5)

    14. The element type in an array creation expression (§15.10.1)

    15. The type in the cast operator of a cast expression (§15.16)

    16. The type that follows the `instanceof` type comparison operator (§15.20.2)

    17. In a method reference expression (§15.13), as the reference type to search for a member method or as the class type or array type to construct.

Also, types are used as:

- The element type of an array type in any of the above contexts; and

- A non-wildcard type argument, or a bound of a wildcard type argument, of a parameterized type in any of the above contexts.

Finally, there are three special terms in the Java programming language which denote the use of a type:

- An unbounded wildcard (§4.5.1)

- The . . . in the type of a variable arity parameter (§8.4.1), to indicate an array type

- The simple name of a type in a constructor declaration (§8.8), to indicate the class of the constructed object

The meaning of types in type contexts is given by:

- §4.2, for primitive types

- §4.4, for type parameters

- §4.5, for class and interface types that are parameterized, or appear either as type arguments in a parameterized type or as bounds of wildcard type arguments in a parameterized type

- §4.8, for class and interface types that are raw

- §4.9, for intersection types in the bounds of type parameters

- §6.5, for types of non-generic classes, interfaces, and type variables

- §10.1, for array types

Some type contexts restrict how a reference type may be parameterized:

- The following type contexts require that if a type is a parameterized reference type, it has no wildcard type arguments:

  - In an `extends` or `implements` clause of a class declaration (§8.1.4, §8.1.5)

  - In an `extends` clause of an interface declaration (§9.1.3)

  - In an unqualified class instance creation expression, as the class type to be instantiated (§15.9) or as the direct superclass type or direct superinterface type of an anonymous class to be instantiated (§15.9.5)

  - In a method reference expression (§15.13), as the reference type to search for a member method or as the class type or array type to construct.

  In addition, no wildcard type arguments are permitted in the explicit type argument list to an explicit constructor invocation statement or class instance

creation expression or method invocation expression or method reference expression (§8.8.7.1, §15.9, §15.12, §15.13).

- The following type contexts require that if a type is a parameterized reference type, it has only unbounded wildcard type arguments (i.e. it is a reifiable type) :

  – As the element type in an array creation expression (§15.10.1)

  – As the type that follows the `instanceof` relational operator (§15.20.2)

- The following type contexts disallow a parameterized reference type altogether, because they involve exceptions and the type of an exception is non-generic (§6.1):

  – As the type of an exception that can be thrown by a method or constructor (§8.4.6, §8.8.5, §9.4)

  – In an exception parameter declaration (§14.20)

  In any type context where a type is used, it is possible to annotate the keyword denoting a primitive type or the *Identifier* denoting the simple name of a reference type. It is also possible to annotate an array type by writing an annotation to the left of the `[` at the desired level of nesting in the array type. Annotations in these locations are called *type annotations*, and are specified in §9.7.4. Here are some examples:

  - `@Foo int[] f;` annotates the primitive type `int`

  - `int @Foo [] f;` annotates the array type `int[]`

  - `int @Foo [][] f;` annotates the array type `int[][]`

  - `int[] @Foo [] f;` annotates the array type `int[]` which is the component type of the array type `int[][]`

  Some of the *type contexts* which appear in declarations occupy the same syntactic real estate as a number of *declaration contexts* (§9.6.4.1):

  - The return type of a method (including the type of an element of an annotation interface)

  - The type in a field declaration of a class or interface (including an enum constant)

  - The type in a formal parameter declaration of a method, constructor, or lambda expression

  - The type in a local variable declaration

  - The type in an exception parameter declaration

  - The type in a record component declaration of a record class

  The fact that the same syntactic location in a program can be both a type context and a declaration context arises because the modifiers for a declaration immediately precede the type of the declared entity. §9.7.4 explains how an annotation in such a location is deemed to appear in a type context or a declaration context or both.

Example 4.11-1. Usage of a Type

```java
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Collection;
import java.util.Random;

class MiscMath<T extends Number> {
    int divisor;
    MiscMath(int divisor) { this.divisor = divisor; }
    float ratio(long l) {
        try {
            l /= divisor;
        } catch (Exception e) {
            if (e instanceof ArithmeticException)
                l = Long.MAX_VALUE;
            else
                l = 0;
        }
        return (float)l;
    }
    double gausser() {
        Random r = new Random();
        double[] val = new double[2];
        val[0] = r.nextGaussian();
        val[1] = r.nextGaussian();
        return (val[0] + val[1]) / 2;
    }
    Collection<Number> fromArray(Number[] na) {
        Collection<Number> cn = new ArrayList<Number>();
        for (Number n : na) cn.add(n);
        return cn;
    }
    <S> void loop(S s) { this.<S>loop(s); }
}
```

In this example, types are used in declarations of the following:

- Fields, which are the class variables and instance variables of classes (§8.3), and constants of interfaces (§9.3); here the field `divisor` in the class `MiscMath` is declared to be of type `int`

- Method parameters (§8.4.1); here the parameter `l` of the method `ratio` is declared to be of type `long`

- Method results (§8.4); here the result of the method `ratio` is declared to be of type `float`, and the result of the method `gausser` is declared to be of type `double`

- Constructor parameters (§8.8.1); here the parameter of the constructor for `MiscMath` is declared to be of type `int`

- Local variables (§14.4, §14.14); the local variables `r` and `val` of the method `gausser` are declared to be of types `Random` and `double[]` (array of `double`)

- Exception parameters (§14.20); here the exception parameter `e` of the `catch` clause is declared to be of type `Exception`

- Type parameters (§4.4); here the type parameter of `MiscMath` is a type variable `T` with the type `Number` as its declared bound

- In any declaration that uses a parameterized type; here the type `Number` is used as a type argument (§4.5.1) in the parameterized type `Collection<Number>`.

and in expressions of the following kinds:

- Class instance creations (§15.9); here a local variable `r` of method `gausser` is initialized by a class instance creation expression that uses the type `Random`

- Generic class (§8.1.2) instance creations (§15.9); here `Number` is used as a type argument in the expression `new ArrayList<Number>()`

- Array creations (§15.10.1); here the local variable `val` of method `gausser` is initialized by an array creation expression that creates an array of `double` with size 2

- Generic method (§8.4.4) or constructor (§8.8.4) invocations (§15.12); here the method `loop` calls itself with an explicit type argument `S`

- Casts (§15.16); here the `return` statement of the method `ratio` uses the `float` type in a cast

- The `instanceof` operator (§15.20.2); here the `instanceof` operator tests whether `e` is assignment-compatible with the type `ArithmeticException`

4.12 Variables

A variable is a storage location and has an associated type, sometimes called its *compile-time type*, that is either a primitive type (§4.2) or a reference type (§4.3).

A variable's value is changed by an assignment (§15.26) or by a prefix or postfix ++ (increment) or -- (decrement) operator (§15.14.2, §15.14.3, §15.15.1, §15.15.2).

Compatibility of the value of a variable with its type is guaranteed by the design of the Java programming language, as long as a program does not give rise to compile-time unchecked warnings (§4.12.2). Default values (§4.12.5) are compatible and all assignments to a variable are checked for assignment compatibility (§5.2), usually at compile time, but, in a single case involving arrays, a run-time check is made (§10.5).

4.12.1   Variables of Primitive Type

A variable of a primitive type always holds a primitive value of that exact primitive type.

4.12.2   Variables of Reference Type

A variable of a class type `T` can hold a null reference or a reference to an instance of class `T` or of any class that is a subclass of `T`.

A variable of an interface type can hold a null reference or a reference to any instance of any class that implements the interface.

> Note that a variable is not guaranteed to always refer to a subtype of its declared type, but only to subclasses or subinterfaces of the declared type. This is due to the possibility of heap pollution discussed below.

If `T` is a primitive type, then a variable of type "array of `T`" can hold a null reference or a reference to any array of type "array of `T`".

If `T` is a reference type, then a variable of type "array of `T`" can hold a null reference or a reference to any array of type "array of `S`" such that type `S` is a subclass or subinterface of type `T`.

A variable of type `Object[]` can hold a reference to an array of any reference type.

A variable of type `Object` can hold a null reference or a reference to any object, whether it is an instance of a class or an array.

It is possible that a variable of a parameterized type will refer to an object that is not of that parameterized type. This situation is known as *heap pollution*.

Heap pollution can only occur if the program performed some operation involving a raw type that would give rise to a compile-time unchecked warning (§4.8, §5.1.6, §5.1.9, §8.4.1, §8.4.8.3, §8.4.8.4, §9.4.1.2, §15.12.4.2), or if the program aliases an array variable of non-reifiable element type through an array variable of a supertype which is either raw or non-generic.

> For example, the code:
>
> ```
> List l = new ArrayList<Number>();
> List<String> ls = l;  // Unchecked warning
> ```
>
> gives rise to a compile-time unchecked warning, because it is not possible to ascertain, either at compile time (within the limits of the compile-time type checking rules) or at run time, whether the variable `l` does indeed refer to a `List<String>`.
>
> If the code above is executed, heap pollution arises, as the variable `ls`, declared to be a `List<String>`, refers to a value that is not in fact a `List<String>`.
>
> The problem cannot be identified at run time because type variables are not reified, and thus instances do not carry any information at run time regarding the type arguments used to create them.

In a simple example as given above, it may appear that it should be straightforward to identify the situation at compile time and give an error. However, in the general (and typical) case, the value of the variable l may be the result of an invocation of a separately compiled method, or its value may depend upon arbitrary control flow. The code above is therefore very atypical, and indeed very bad style.

Furthermore, the fact that `Object[]` is a supertype of all array types means that unsafe aliasing can occur which leads to heap pollution. For example, the following code compiles because it is statically type-correct:

```
static void m(List<String>... stringLists) {
    Object[] array = stringLists;
    List<Integer> tmpList = Arrays.asList(42);
    array[0] = tmpList;                  // (1)
    String s = stringLists[0].get(0);  // (2)
}
```

Heap pollution occurs at (1) because a component in the `stringLists` array that should refer to a `List<String>` now refers to a `List<Integer>`. There is no way to detect this pollution in the presence of both a universal supertype (`Object[]`) and a non-reifiable type (the declared type of the formal parameter, `List<String>[]`). No unchecked warning is justified at (1); nevertheless, at run time, a `ClassCastException` will occur at (2).

A compile-time unchecked warning will be given at any invocation of the method above because an invocation is considered by the Java programming language's static type system to create an array whose element type, `List<String>`, is non-reifiable (§15.12.4.2). *If and only if* the body of the method was type-safe with respect to the variable arity parameter, then the programmer could use the `SafeVarargs` annotation to silence warnings at invocations (§9.6.4.7). Since the body of the method as written above causes heap pollution, it would be completely inappropriate to use the annotation to disable warnings for callers.

Finally, note that the `stringLists` array could be aliased through variables of types other than `Object[]`, and heap pollution could still occur. For example, the type of the `array` variable could be `java.util.Collection[]` - a raw element type - and the body of the method above would compile without warnings or errors and still cause heap pollution. And if the Java SE Platform defined, say, `Sequence` as a non-generic supertype of `List<T>`, then using `Sequence` as the type of `array` would also cause heap pollution.

The variable will always refer to an object that is an instance of a class that represents the parameterized type.

The value of `ls` in the example above is always an instance of a class that provides a representation of a `List`.

Assignment from an expression of a raw type to a variable of a parameterized type should only be used when combining legacy code which does not make use of parameterized types with more modern code that does.

If no operation that requires a compile-time unchecked warning to be issued takes place, and no unsafe aliasing occurs of array variables with non-reifiable element types, then heap pollution cannot occur. Note that this does not imply that heap pollution only occurs

if a compile-time unchecked warning actually occurred. It is possible to run a program where some of the binaries were produced by a compiler for an older version of the Java programming language, or from sources that explicitly suppressed unchecked warnings. This practice is unhealthy at best.

Conversely, it is possible that despite executing code that could (and perhaps did) give rise to a compile-time unchecked warning, no heap pollution takes place. Indeed, good programming practice requires that the programmer satisfy herself that despite any unchecked warning, the code is correct and heap pollution will not occur.

4.12.3   Kinds of Variables

There are eight kinds of variables:

1. A *class variable* is a field declared using the keyword `static` within a class declaration (§8.3.1.1), or with or without the keyword `static` within an interface declaration (§9.3).

    A class variable is created when its class or interface is prepared (§12.3.2) and is initialized to a default value (§4.12.5). The class variable effectively ceases to exist when its class or interface is unloaded (§12.7).

2. An *instance variable* is a field declared within a class declaration without using the keyword `static` (§8.3.1.1).

    If a class `T` has a field `a` that is an instance variable, then a new instance variable `a` is created and initialized to a default value (§4.12.5) as part of each newly created object of class `T` or of any class that is a subclass of `T` (§8.1.4). The instance variable effectively ceases to exist when the object of which it is a field is no longer referenced, after any necessary finalization of the object (§12.6) has been completed.

3. *Array components* are unnamed variables that are created and initialized to default values (§4.12.5) whenever a new object that is an array is created (§10 (*Arrays*), §15.10.2). The array components effectively cease to exist when the array is no longer referenced.

4. *Method parameters* (§8.4.1) name argument values passed to a method.

    For every parameter declared in a method declaration, a new parameter variable is created each time that method is invoked (§15.12). The new variable is initialized with the corresponding argument value from the method invocation. The method parameter effectively ceases to exist when the execution of the body of the method is complete.

5. *Constructor parameters* (§8.8.1) name argument values passed to a constructor.

    For every parameter declared in a constructor declaration, a new parameter variable is created each time a class instance creation expression (§15.9) or explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7) invokes that constructor. The new variable is initialized with the corresponding argument value from the creation expression or constructor invocation. The constructor parameter effectively ceases to exist when the execution of the body of the constructor is complete.

6. *Lambda parameters* (§15.27.1) name argument values passed to a lambda expression body (§15.27.2).

    For every parameter declared in a lambda expression, a new parameter variable is created each time a method implemented by the lambda body is invoked (§15.12). The new variable is initialized with the corresponding argument value from the method invocation. The lambda parameter effectively ceases to exist when the execution of the lambda expression body is complete.

7. An *exception parameter* is created each time an exception is caught by a `catch` clause of a `try` statement (§14.20).

    The new variable is initialized with the actual object associated with the exception (§11.3, §14.18). The exception parameter effectively ceases to exist when execution of the block associated with the `catch` clause is complete.

8. *Local variables* (§14.4) are declared by statements (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) and by patterns (§14.30.1). A local variable declared by a pattern is called a *pattern variable*.

    A local variable declared by a statement is created when the flow of control enters the nearest enclosing block (§14.2), `for` statement, or `try`-with-resources statement.

    A local variable declared by a statement is initialized as part of the execution of the statement, provided the variable's declarator has an initializer. The rules of definite assignment (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)) prevent the value of a local

variable declared by a statement from being used before it has been initialized or otherwise assigned a value.

A local variable declared by a pattern is created and initialized when the pattern matches (§14.30.2). The rules of scoping (§6.3) prevent the value of a local variable declared by a pattern from being used unless the pattern has matched.

A local variable ceases to exist when its declaration is no longer in scope.

> Were it not for one exceptional situation, a local variable declared by a statement could always be regarded as being created when the statement is executed. The exceptional situation involves the switch statement (§14.11), where it is possible for control to enter a block but bypass execution of a local variable declaration statement. Because of the restrictions imposed by the rules of definite assignment (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)), however, the local variable declared by such a bypassed local variable declaration statement cannot be used before it has been definitely assigned a value by an assignment expression (§15.26).

Example 4.12.3-1. Different Kinds of Variables

```
class Point {
    static int numPoints;   // numPoints is a class variable
    int x, y;               // x and y are instance variables
    int[] w = new int[10];  // w[0] is an array component
    int setX(int x) {       // x is a method parameter
        int oldx = this.x;  // oldx is a local variable
        this.x = x;
        return oldx;
    }
    boolean equalAtX(Object o) {
        if (o instanceof Point p)  // p is a pattern variable
            return this.x == p.x;
        else
            return false;
    }
}
```

4.12.4 `final` **Variables**

A variable can be declared `final`. A `final` variable may only be assigned to once. It is a compile-time error if a `final` variable is assigned to unless it is definitely unassigned immediately prior to the assignment (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)).

Once a `final` variable has been assigned, it always contains the same value. If a `final` variable holds a reference to an object, then the state of the object may be changed by operations on the object, but the variable will always refer to the same object. This applies also to arrays, because arrays are objects; if a `final` variable holds a reference to an array, then the components of the array may be changed by operations on the array, but the variable will always refer to the same array.

A *blank* `final` is a `final` variable whose declaration lacks an initializer.

A *constant variable* is a `final` variable of primitive type or type `String` that is initialized with a constant expression (§15.29). Whether a variable is a constant variable or not may have implications with respect to class initialization (§12.4.1), binary compatibility (§13.1), reachability (§14.22), and definite assignment (§16.1.1).

Three kinds of variable are implicitly declared `final`: a field of an interface (§9.3), a local variable declared as a resource of a `try`-with-resources statement (§14.20.3), and an exception parameter of a multi-`catch` clause (§14.20). An exception parameter of a uni-`catch` clause is never implicitly declared `final`, but may be effectively final.

> **Example 4.12.4-1. Final Variables**
>
> Declaring a variable `final` can serve as useful documentation that its value will not change and can help avoid programming errors. In this program:
>
> ```
> class Point {
>     int x, y;
>     int useCount;
>     Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
>     static final Point origin = new Point(0, 0);
> }
> ```
>
> the class `Point` declares a `final` class variable `origin`. The `origin` variable holds a reference to an object that is an instance of class `Point` whose coordinates are (0, 0). The value of the variable `Point.origin` can never change, so it always refers to the same `Point` object, the one created by its initializer. However, an operation on this `Point` object might change its state - for example, modifying its `useCount` or even, misleadingly, its `x` or `y` coordinate.

Certain variables that are not declared `final` are instead considered *effectively final*:

- A local variable declared by a statement and whose declarator has an initializer (§14.4), or a local variable declared by a pattern (§14.30.1), is *effectively final* if all of the following are true:

  – It is not declared `final`.

  – It never occurs as the left hand side in an assignment expression (§15.26). (Note that the local variable declarator containing the initializer is *not* an assignment expression.)

  – It never occurs as the operand of a prefix or postfix increment or decrement operator (§15.14, §15.15).

- A local variable declared by a statement and whose declarator lacks an initializer is *effectively final* if all of the following are true:

  – It is not declared `final`.

  – Whenever it occurs as the left hand side in an assignment expression, it is definitely unassigned and not definitely assigned before the assignment; that is, it is definitely unassigned and not definitely assigned after the right hand side of the assignment expression (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)).

  – It never occurs as the operand of a prefix or postfix increment or decrement operator.

- A method, constructor, lambda, or exception parameter (§8.4.1, §8.8.1, §9.4, §15.27.1, §14.20) is treated, for the purpose of determining whether it is *effectively final*, as a local variable whose declarator has an initializer.

If a variable is effectively final, adding the `final` modifier to its declaration will not introduce any compile-time errors. Conversely, a local variable or parameter that is declared `final` in a valid program becomes effectively final if the `final` modifier is removed.

4.12.5   Initial Values of Variables

Every variable in a program must have a value before its value is used:

- Each class variable, instance variable, or array component is initialized with a *default value* when it is created (§15.9, §15.10.2):

  – For type `byte`, the default value is zero, that is, the value of `(byte)0`.

  – For type `short`, the default value is zero, that is, the value of `(short)0`.

  – For type `int`, the default value is zero, that is, `0`.

  – For type `long`, the default value is zero, that is, `0L`.

  – For type `float`, the default value is positive zero, that is, `0.0f`.

  – For type `double`, the default value is positive zero, that is, `0.0d`.

  – For type `char`, the default value is the null character, that is, `'\u0000'`.

  – For type `boolean`, the default value is `false`.

  – For all reference types (§4.3), the default value is `null`.

- Each method parameter (§8.4.1) is initialized to the corresponding argument value provided by the invoker of the method (§15.12).

- Each constructor parameter (§8.8.1) is initialized to the corresponding argument value provided by a class instance creation expression (§15.9) or explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7).

- An exception parameter (§14.20) is initialized to the thrown object representing the exception (§11.3, §14.18).

- A local variable declared by a statement (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) must be explicitly given a value before it is used, by either initialization (§14.4) or assignment (§15.26), in a way that can be verified using the rules for definite assignment (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)).

A local variable declared by a pattern (§14.30.1) is initialized implicitly, by the process of pattern matching (§14.30.2).

Example 4.12.5-1. Initial Values of Variables

```
class Point {
    static int npoints;
    int x, y;
    Point root;
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println("npoints=" + Point.npoints);
        Point p = new Point();
        System.out.println("p.x=" + p.x + ", p.y=" + p.y);
        System.out.println("p.root=" + p.root);
    }
}
```

This program prints:

```
npoints=0
p.x=0, p.y=0
p.root=null
```

illustrating the default initialization of `npoints`, which occurs when the class `Point` is prepared (§12.3.2), and the default initialization of `x`, `y`, and `root`, which occurs when a new `Point` is instantiated. See §12 (*Execution*) for a full description of all aspects of loading, linking, and initialization of classes and interfaces, plus a description of the instantiation of classes to make new class instances.

4.12.6   Types, Classes, and Interfaces

In the Java programming language, every variable and every expression has a type that can be determined at compile time. The type may be a primitive type or a reference type. Reference types include class types and interface types. Reference

types are introduced by *type declarations*, which include class declarations (§8.1) and interface declarations (§9.1). We often use the term *type* to refer to either a class or an interface.

In the Java Virtual Machine, every object belongs to some particular class: the class that was mentioned in the creation expression that produced the object (§15.9), or the class whose `Class` object was used to invoke a reflective method to produce the object, or the `String` class for objects implicitly created by the string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1). This class is called the *class of the object*. An object is said to be an *instance* of its class and of all superclasses of its class.

Every array also has a class. The method `getClass`, when invoked for an array object, will return a class object (of class `Class`) that represents the *class of the array* (§10.8).

The compile-time type of a variable is always declared, and the compile-time type of an expression can be deduced at compile time. The compile-time type limits the possible values that the variable can hold at run time or the expression can produce at run time. If a run-time value is a reference that is not `null`, it refers to an object or array that has a class, and that class will necessarily be compatible with the compile-time type.

Even though a variable or expression may have a compile-time type that is an interface type, there are no instances of interfaces. A variable or expression whose type is an interface type can reference any object whose class implements (§8.1.5) that interface.

Sometimes a variable or expression is said to have a "run-time type". This refers to the class of the object referred to by the value of the variable or expression at run time, assuming that the value is not `null`.

The correspondence between compile-time types and run-time types is incomplete for two reasons:

1. At run time, classes and interfaces are loaded by the Java Virtual Machine using class loaders. Each class loader defines its own set of classes and interfaces. As a result, it is possible for two loaders to load an identical class or interface definition but produce distinct classes or interfaces at run time. Consequently, code that compiled correctly may fail at link time if the class loaders that load it are inconsistent.

    > See the paper *Dynamic Class Loading in the Java Virtual Machine*, by Sheng Liang and Gilad Bracha, in *Proceedings of OOPSLA '98*, published as *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, Volume 33, Number 10, October 1998, pages 36-44, and *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition* for more details.

2. Type variables (§4.4) and type arguments (§4.5.1) are not reified at run time. As a result, the same class or interface at run time represents multiple parameterized types (§4.5) from compile time. Specifically, all compile-time parameterizations of a given generic type (§8.1.2, §9.1.2) share a single run-time representation.

> Under certain conditions, it is possible that a variable of a parameterized type refers to an object that is not of that parameterized type. This situation is known as *heap pollution* (§4.12.2). The variable will always refer to an object that is an instance of a class that represents the parameterized type.

Example 4.12.6-1. Type of a Variable versus Class of an Object

```
interface Colorable {
    void setColor(byte r, byte g, byte b);
}

class Point { int x, y; }

class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    byte r, g, b;
    public void setColor(byte rv, byte gv, byte bv) {
        r = rv; g = gv; b = bv;
    }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point();
        ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
        p = cp;
        Colorable c = cp;
    }
}
```

In this example:

- The local variable `p` of the method `main` of class `Test` has type `Point` and is initially assigned a reference to a new instance of class `Point`.

- The local variable `cp` similarly has as its type `ColoredPoint`, and is initially assigned a reference to a new instance of class `ColoredPoint`.

- The assignment of the value of `cp` to the variable `p` causes `p` to hold a reference to a `ColoredPoint` object. This is permitted because `ColoredPoint` is a subclass of `Point`, so the class `ColoredPoint` is assignment-compatible (§5.2) with the type `Point`. A `ColoredPoint` object includes support for all the methods of a `Point`. In addition to its particular fields `r`, `g`, and `b`, it has the fields of class `Point`, namely `x` and `y`.

- The local variable `c` has as its type the interface type `Colorable`, so it can hold a reference to any object whose class implements `Colorable`; specifically, it can hold a reference to a `ColoredPoint`.

106

Note that an expression such as `new Colorable()` is not valid because it is not possible to create an instance of an interface, only of a class. However, the expression `new Colorable() { public void setColor... }` is valid because it declares an anonymous class (§15.9.5) that implements the `Colorable` interface.

CHAPTER 5

Conversions and Contexts

EVERY expression written in the Java programming language either produces no result (§15.1) or has a type that can be deduced at compile time (§15.3). When an expression appears in most contexts, it must be *compatible* with a type expected in that context; this type is called the *target type*. For convenience, compatibility of an expression with its surrounding context is facilitated in two ways:

- First, for some expressions, termed *poly expressions* (§15.2), the deduced type can be influenced by the target type. The same expression can have different types in different contexts.

- Second, after the type of the expression has been deduced, an implicit *conversion* from the type of the expression to the target type can sometimes be performed.

If neither strategy is able to produce the appropriate type, a compile-time error occurs.

The rules determining whether an expression is a poly expression, and if so, its type and compatibility in a particular context, vary depending on the kind of context and the form of the expression. In addition to influencing the type of the expression, the target type may in some cases influence the run time behavior of the expression in order to produce a value of the appropriate type.

Similarly, the rules determining whether a target type allows an implicit conversion vary depending on the kind of context, the type of the expression, and, in one special case, the value of a constant expression (§15.29). A conversion from type S to type T allows an expression of type S to be treated at compile time as if it had type T instead. In some cases this will require a corresponding action at run time to check the validity of the conversion or to translate the run-time value of the expression into a form appropriate for the new type T.

Example 5.0-1. Conversions at Compile Time and Run Time

- A conversion from type `Object` to type `Thread` requires a run-time check to make sure that the run-time value is actually an instance of class `Thread` or one of its subclasses; if it is not, an exception is thrown.

- A conversion from type `Thread` to type `Object` requires no run-time action; `Thread` is a subclass of `Object`, so any reference produced by an expression of type `Thread` is a valid reference value of type `Object`.

- A conversion from type `int` to type `long` requires run-time sign-extension of a 32-bit integer value to the 64-bit `long` representation. No information is lost.

- A conversion from type `double` to type `long` requires a non-trivial translation from a 64-bit floating-point value to the 64-bit integer representation. Depending on the actual run-time value, information may be lost.

The conversions possible in the Java programming language are grouped into several broad categories:

- Identity conversions

- Widening primitive conversions

- Narrowing primitive conversions

- Widening reference conversions

- Narrowing reference conversions

- Boxing conversions

- Unboxing conversions

- Unchecked conversions

- Capture conversions

- String conversions

There are six kinds of *conversion contexts* in which poly expressions may be influenced by context or implicit conversions may occur. Each kind of context has different rules for poly expression typing and allows conversions in some of the categories above but not others. The contexts are:

- Assignment contexts (§5.2, §15.26), in which an expression's value is bound to a named variable. Primitive and reference types are subject to widening, values may be boxed or unboxed, and some primitive constant expressions may be subject to narrowing. An unchecked conversion may also occur.

- Strict invocation contexts (§5.3, §15.9, §15.12), in which an argument is bound to a formal parameter of a constructor or method. Widening primitive, widening reference, and unchecked conversions may occur.

- Loose invocation contexts (§5.3, §15.9, §15.12), in which, like strict invocation contexts, an argument is bound to a formal parameter. Method or constructor invocations may provide this context if no applicable declaration can be found using only strict invocation contexts. In addition to widening and unchecked conversions, this context allows boxing and unboxing conversions to occur.

- String contexts (§5.4, §15.18.1), in which a value of any type is converted to an object of type `String`.

- Casting contexts (§5.5), in which an expression's value is converted to a type explicitly specified by a cast operator (§15.16). Casting contexts are more inclusive than assignment or loose invocation contexts, allowing any specific conversion other than a string conversion, but certain casts to a reference type are checked for correctness at run time.

- Numeric contexts (§5.6), in which the operands of a numeric operator or some other expressions that operate on numbers may be widened to a common type.

The term "conversion" is also used to describe, without being specific, any conversions allowed in a particular context. For example, we say that an expression that is the initializer of a local variable is subject to "assignment conversion", meaning that a specific conversion will be implicitly chosen for that expression according to the rules for the assignment context. As another example, we say that an expression undergoes "casting conversion" to mean that the expression's type will be converted as permitted in a casting context.

Example 5.0-2. Conversions In Various Contexts

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // Casting conversion (5.5) of a float literal to
        // type int. Without the cast operator, this would
        // be a compile-time error, because this is a
        // narrowing conversion (5.1.3):
        int i = (int)12.5f;

        // String conversion (5.4) of i's int value:
        System.out.println("(int)12.5f==" + i);

        // Assignment conversion (5.2) of i's value to type
        // float. This is a widening conversion (5.1.2):
        float f = i;

        // String conversion of f's float value:
```

```
                        System.out.println("after float widening: " + f);

                        // Numeric promotion (5.6) of i's value to type
                        // float. This is a binary numeric promotion.
                        // After promotion, the operation is float*float:
                        System.out.print(f);
                        f = f * i;

                        // Two string conversions of i and f:
                        System.out.println("*" + i + "==" + f);

                        // Invocation conversion (5.3) of f's value
                        // to type double, needed because the method Math.sin
                        // accepts only a double argument:
                        double d = Math.sin(f);

                        // Two string conversions of f and d:
                        System.out.println("Math.sin(" + f + ")==" + d);
                }
        }
```

This program produces the output:

```
(int)12.5f==12
after float widening: 12.0
12.0*12==144.0
Math.sin(144.0)==-0.49102159389846934
```

5.1   Kinds of Conversion

Specific type conversions in the Java programming language are divided into 12 kinds.

5.1.1   Identity Conversion

A conversion from a type to that same type is permitted for any type.

This may seem trivial, but it has two practical consequences. First, it is always permitted for an expression to have the desired type to begin with, thus allowing the simply stated rule that every expression is subject to conversion, if only a trivial identity conversion. Second, it implies that it is permitted for a program to include redundant cast operators for the sake of clarity.

5.1.2   Widening Primitive Conversion

19 specific conversions on primitive types are called the *widening primitive conversions*:

- `byte` to `short`, `int`, `long`, `float`, or `double`

- `short` to `int`, `long`, `float`, or `double`

- `char` to `int`, `long`, `float`, or `double`

- `int` to `long`, `float`, or `double`

- `long` to `float` or `double`

- `float` to `double`

A widening primitive conversion does not lose information about the overall magnitude of a numeric value in the following cases, where the numeric value is preserved exactly:

- from an integral type to another integral type

- from `byte`, `short`, or `char` to a floating-point type

- from `int` to `double`

- from `float` to `double`

A widening primitive conversion from `int` to `float`, or from `long` to `float`, or from `long` to `double`, may result in *loss of precision*, that is, the result may lose some of the least significant bits of the value. In this case, the resulting floating-point value will be a correctly rounded version of the integer value, using the round to nearest rounding policy (§15.4).

A widening conversion of a signed integer value to an integral type T simply sign-extends the two's-complement representation of the integer value to fill the wider format.

A widening conversion of a `char` to an integral type T zero-extends the representation of the `char` value to fill the wider format.

A widening conversion from `int` to `float`, or from `long` to `float`, or from `int` to `double`, or from `long` to `double` occurs as determined by the rules of IEEE 754 for converting from an integer format to a binary floating-point format.

A widening conversion from `float` to `double` occurs as determined by the rules of IEEE 754 for converting between binary floating-point formats.

Despite the fact that loss of precision may occur, a widening primitive conversion never results in a run-time exception (§11.1.1).

Example 5.1.2-1. Widening Primitive Conversion

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int big = 1234567890;
        float approx = big;
        System.out.println(big - (int)approx);
    }
}
```

This program prints:

```
-46
```

thus indicating that information was lost during the conversion from type `int` to type `float` because values of type `float` are not precise to nine significant digits.

5.1.3   Narrowing Primitive Conversion

22 specific conversions on primitive types are called the *narrowing primitive conversions*:

- `short` to `byte` or `char`

- `char` to `byte` or `short`

- `int` to `byte`, `short`, or `char`

- `long` to `byte`, `short`, `char`, or `int`

- `float` to `byte`, `short`, `char`, `int`, or `long`

- `double` to `byte`, `short`, `char`, `int`, `long`, or `float`

A narrowing primitive conversion may lose information about the overall magnitude of a numeric value, and may also lose precision and range.

A narrowing conversion of a signed integer to an integral type T simply discards all but the *n* lowest order bits, where *n* is the number of bits used to represent type T. In addition to a possible loss of information about the magnitude of the numeric value, this may cause the sign of the resulting value to differ from the sign of the input value.

A narrowing conversion of a `char` to an integral type T likewise simply discards all but the *n* lowest order bits, where *n* is the number of bits used to represent type T. In addition to a possible loss of information about the magnitude of the numeric

value, this may cause the resulting value to be a negative number, even though chars represent 16-bit unsigned integer values.

A narrowing conversion of a floating-point number to an integral type `T` takes two steps:

1. In the first step, the floating-point number is converted either to a `long`, if `T` is `long`, or to an `int`, if `T` is `byte`, `short`, `char`, or `int`, as follows:

    -   If the floating-point number is NaN (§4.2.3), the result of the first step of the conversion is an `int` or `long` 0.

    -   Otherwise, if the floating-point number is not an infinity, the floating-point value is rounded to an integer value v using the round toward zero rounding policy (§4.2.4). Then there are two cases:

        a. If `T` is `long`, and this integer value can be represented as a `long`, then the result of the first step is the `long` value v.

        b. Otherwise, if this integer value can be represented as an `int`, then the result of the first step is the `int` value v.

    -   Otherwise, one of the following two cases must be true:

        a. The value must be too small (a negative value of large magnitude or negative infinity), and the result of the first step is the smallest representable value of type `int` or `long`.

        b. The value must be too large (a positive value of large magnitude or positive infinity), and the result of the first step is the largest representable value of type `int` or `long`.

2. In the second step:

    -   If `T` is `int` or `long`, the result of the conversion is the result of the first step.

    -   If `T` is `byte`, `char`, or `short`, the result of the conversion is the result of a narrowing conversion to type `T` (§5.1.3) of the result of the first step.

A narrowing conversion from `double` to `float` occurs as determined by the rules of IEEE 754 for converting between binary floating-point formats, using the round to nearest rounding policy (§15.4). This conversion can lose precision, but also lose range, resulting in a `float` zero from a nonzero `double` and a `float` infinity from a finite `double`. A `double` NaN is converted to a `float` NaN and a `double` infinity is converted to the same-signed `float` infinity.

Despite the fact that overflow, underflow, or other loss of information may occur, a narrowing primitive conversion never results in a run-time exception (§11.1.1).

Example 5.1.3-1. Narrowing Primitive Conversion

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        float fmin = Float.NEGATIVE_INFINITY;
        float fmax = Float.POSITIVE_INFINITY;
        System.out.println("long: " + (long)fmin +
                            ".." + (long)fmax);
        System.out.println("int: " + (int)fmin +
                            ".." + (int)fmax);
        System.out.println("short: " + (short)fmin +
                            ".." + (short)fmax);
        System.out.println("char: " + (int)(char)fmin +
                            ".." + (int)(char)fmax);
        System.out.println("byte: " + (byte)fmin +
                            ".." + (byte)fmax);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
long: -9223372036854775808..9223372036854775807
int: -2147483648..2147483647
short: 0..-1
char: 0..65535
byte: 0..-1
```

The results for `char`, `int`, and `long` are unsurprising, producing the minimum and maximum representable values of the type.

The results for `byte` and `short` lose information about the sign and magnitude of the numeric values and also lose precision. The results can be understood by examining the low order bits of the minimum and maximum `int`. The minimum `int` is, in hexadecimal, `0x80000000`, and the maximum int is `0x7fffffff`. This explains the `short` results, which are the low 16 bits of these values, namely, `0x0000` and `0xffff`; it explains the char results, which also are the low 16 bits of these values, namely, `'\u0000'` and `'\uffff'`; and it explains the byte results, which are the low 8 bits of these values, namely, `0x00` and `0xff`.

Example 5.1.3-2. Narrowing Primitive Conversions that lose information

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // A narrowing of int to short loses high bits:
        System.out.println("(short)0x12345678==0x" +
                            Integer.toHexString((short)0x12345678));
        // An int value too big for byte changes sign and magnitude:
        System.out.println("(byte)255==" + (byte)255);
        // A float value too big to fit gives largest int value:
        System.out.println("(int)1e20f==" + (int)1e20f);
        // A NaN converted to int yields zero:
        System.out.println("(int)NaN==" + (int)Float.NaN);
        // A double value too large for float yields infinity:
```

```
            System.out.println("(float)-1e100==" + (float)-1e100);
            // A double value too small for float underflows to zero:
            System.out.println("(float)1e-50==" + (float)1e-50);
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
(short)0x12345678==0x5678
(byte)255==-1
(int)1e20f==2147483647
(int)NaN==0
(float)-1e100==-Infinity
(float)1e-50==0.0
```

5.1.4   Widening and Narrowing Primitive Conversion

The following conversion combines both widening and narrowing primitive conversions:

• `byte` to `char`

First, the `byte` is converted to an `int` via widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2), and then the resulting `int` is converted to a `char` by narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3).

5.1.5   Widening Reference Conversion

A *widening reference conversion* exists from any reference type `S` to any reference type `T`, provided `S` is a subtype of `T` (§4.10).

Widening reference conversions never require a special action at run time and therefore never throw an exception at run time. They consist simply in regarding a reference as having some other type in a manner that can be proved correct at compile time.

> The null type is not a reference type (§4.1), and so a widening reference conversion does not exist from the null type to a reference type. However, many conversion contexts explicitly allow the null type to be converted to a reference type.

5.1.6   Narrowing Reference Conversion

A *narrowing reference conversion* treats expressions of a reference type `S` as expressions of a different reference type `T`, where `S` is not a subtype of `T`. The supported pairs of types are defined in §5.1.6.1. Unlike widening reference conversion, the types need not be directly related. However, there are restrictions

that prohibit conversion between certain pairs of types when it can be statically proven that no value can be of both types.

A narrowing reference conversion may require a test at run time to validate that a value of type S is a legitimate value of type T. However, due to the lack of parameterized type information at run time, some conversions cannot be fully validated by a run time test; they are flagged at compile time (§5.1.6.2). For conversions that can be fully validated by a run time test, and for certain conversions that involve parameterized type information but can still be partially validated at run time, a `ClassCastException` is thrown if the test fails (§5.1.6.3).

5.1.6.1   *Allowed Narrowing Reference Conversion*

A narrowing reference conversion exists from reference type S to reference type T if all of the following are true:

- S is not a subtype of T (§4.10)

- If there exists a parameterized type X that is a supertype of T, and a parameterized type Y that is a supertype of S, such that the erasures of X and Y are the same, then X and Y are not provably distinct (§4.5).

> Using types from the `java.util` package as an example, no narrowing reference conversion exists from `ArrayList<String>` to `ArrayList<Object>`, or vice versa, because the type arguments `String` and `Object` are provably distinct. For the same reason, no narrowing reference conversion exists from `ArrayList<String>` to `List<Object>`, or vice versa. The rejection of provably distinct types is a simple static gate to prevent "stupid" narrowing reference conversions.

- One of the following cases applies:

    1. S is a class or interface type, and T is a class or interface type, and S names a class or interface that is not disjoint from the class or interface named by T. ("disjoint" is defined below.)

    2. S is the class type `Object` or the interface type `java.io.Serializable` or `Cloneable` (the only interfaces implemented by arrays (§10.8)), and T is an array type.

    3. S is an array type `SC[]`, that is, an array of components of type SC; T is an array type `TC[]`, that is, an array of components of type TC; and a narrowing reference conversion exists from SC to TC.

    4. S is a type variable, and a narrowing reference conversion exists from the upper bound of S to T.

    5. T is a type variable, and either a widening reference conversion or a narrowing reference conversion exists from S to the upper bound of T.

    6. S is an intersection type S_1 `&` ... `&` S_n, and for all i $(1 \le i \le n)$, either a widening reference conversion or a narrowing reference conversion exists from S_i to T.

    7. T is an intersection type T_1 `&` ... `&` T_n, and for all i $(1 \le i \le n)$, either a widening reference conversion or a narrowing reference conversion exists from S to T_i.

A class or interface is *disjoint* from another class or interface if it can be determined statically that they have no instances in common (other than the `null` value). The rules for disjointess are as follows:

- A class named C is disjoint from an interface named I if (i) it is not the case that C `<:` I, and (ii) one of the following cases applies:

    - C is `final`.

    - C is `sealed`, and all of the permitted direct subclasses of C are disjoint from I.

    - C is freely extensible (§8.1.1.2), and I is `sealed`, and C is disjoint from all of the permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces of I.

- An interface named I is disjoint from a class named C if C is disjoint from I.

- A class named C is disjoint from another class named D if (i) it is not the case that C `<:` D, and (ii) it is not the case that D `<:` C.

- An interface named `I` is disjoint from another interface named `J` if (i) it is not that case that `I <: J`, and (ii) it is not the case that `J <: I`, and (iii) one of the following cases applies:

  – `I` is `sealed`, and all of the permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces of `I` are disjoint from `J`.

  – `J` is `sealed`, and `I` is disjoint from all the permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces of `J`.

  Whether a class is `final` has the most bearing on whether the class is disjoint from interfaces. Consider the following declarations:

  ```
  interface I  {}
  final class C {}
  ```

  As class `C` is `final` and does not implement `I`, there can be no instances of `C` that are also an instance of `I`, so `C` and `I` are disjoint. Therefore, there is no narrowing reference conversion from `C` to `I`.

  In contrast, consider the following declarations:

  ```
  interface J {}
  class D     {}
  ```

  Even though class `D` does not implement `J`, it is still possible for an instance of `D` to be an instance of `J`, for example, if the following declaration occurs:

  ```
  class E extends D implements J {}
  ```

  For this reason, `D` is not disjoint from `J`, and there is a narrowing reference conversion from `D` to `J`.

  The final clause above implies that two freely extensible interfaces (§9.1.1.4) are not disjoint.

5.1.6.2 *Checked and Unchecked Narrowing Reference Conversions*

A narrowing reference conversion is either *checked* or *unchecked*. These terms refer to the ability of the Java Virtual Machine to validate, or not, the type correctness of the conversion.

If a narrowing reference conversion is unchecked, then the Java Virtual Machine will not be able to fully validate its type correctness, possibly leading to heap pollution (§4.12.2). To flag this to the programmer, an unchecked narrowing reference conversion causes a compile-time *unchecked warning*, unless suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5). Conversely, if a narrowing

reference conversion is not unchecked, then it is checked; the Java Virtual Machine will be able to fully validate its type correctness, so no warning is given at compile time.

The unchecked narrowing reference conversions are as follows:

- A narrowing reference conversion from a type S to a parameterized class or interface type T is unchecked, unless at least one of the following is true:

  - All of the type arguments of T are unbounded wildcards.

  - $T <: S$, and S has no subtype X other than T where the type arguments of X are not contained in the type arguments of T.

- A narrowing reference conversion from a type S to a type variable T is unchecked.

- A narrowing reference conversion from a type S to an intersection type T_1 & ... & T_n is unchecked if there exists a T_i ($1 \le i \le n$) such that S is not a subtype of T_i and a narrowing reference conversion from S to T_i is unchecked.

5.1.6.3 *Narrowing Reference Conversions at Run Time*

All *checked* narrowing reference conversions require a validity check at run time. Primarily, these conversions are to class and interface types that are not parameterized.

Some *unchecked* narrowing reference conversions require a validity check at run time. This depends on whether the unchecked narrowing reference conversion is *completely unchecked* or *partially unchecked*. A partially unchecked narrowing reference conversion requires a validity check at run time, while a completely unchecked narrowing reference conversion does not.

> These terms refer to the compatibility of the types involved in the conversion *when viewed as raw types*. If the conversion is conceptually an "upcast", then the conversion is *completely unchecked*; no run time test is needed because the conversion is legal in the non-generic type system of the Java Virtual Machine. Conversely, if the conversion is conceptually a "downcast", then the conversion is *partially unchecked*; even in the non-generic type system of the Java Virtual Machine, a run time check is needed to test the compatibility of the (raw) types involved in the conversion.

> Using types from the `java.util` package as an example, a conversion from `ArrayList<String>` to `Collection<T>` is completely unchecked, because the (raw) type `ArrayList` is a subtype of the (raw) type `Collection` in the Java Virtual Machine. Conversely, a conversion from `Collection<T>` to `ArrayList<String>` is partially unchecked, because the (raw) type `Collection` is not a subtype of the (raw) type `ArrayList` in the Java Virtual Machine.

The categorization of an unchecked narrowing reference conversion is as follows:

121

- An unchecked narrowing reference conversion from S to a non-intersection type T is completely unchecked if $|S|$ `<:` $|T|$.

  Otherwise, it is partially unchecked.

- An unchecked narrowing reference conversion from S to an intersection type T_1 `&` ... `&` T_n is completely unchecked if, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), either S `<:` T_i or a narrowing reference conversion from S to T_i is completely unchecked.

  Otherwise, it is partially unchecked.

The run time validity check for a checked or partially unchecked narrowing reference conversion is as follows:

- If the value at run time is `null`, then the conversion is allowed.

- Otherwise, let `R` be the class of the object referred to by the value, and let `T` be the erasure (§4.6) of the type being converted to. Then:

  - If `R` is an ordinary class (not an array class):

    › If `T` is a class type, then `R` must be either the same class as `T` (§4.3.4) or a subclass of `T`, or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an interface type, then `R` must implement interface `T` (§8.1.5), or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an array type, then a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

  - If `R` is an interface:

    > Note that `R` cannot be an interface when these rules are first applied for any given conversion, but `R` may be an interface if the rules are applied recursively because the run-time reference value may refer to an array whose element type is an interface type.

    › If `T` is a class type, then `T` must be `Object` (§4.3.2), or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an interface type, then `R` must be either the same interface as `T` or a subinterface of `T`, or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an array type, then a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

  - If `R` is a class representing an array type `RC[]`, that is, an array of components of type `RC`:

    › If `T` is a class type, then `T` must be `Object` (§4.3.2), or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an interface type, then `T` must be the type `java.io.Serializable` or `Cloneable` (the only interfaces implemented by arrays), or a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

    › If `T` is an array type `TC[]`, that is, an array of components of type `TC`, then a `ClassCastException` is thrown unless either `TC` and `RC` are the same primitive type, or `TC` and `RC` are reference types and are allowed by a recursive application of these run-time rules.

If the conversion is to an intersection type T_1 & ... & T_n, then for all i ($1 \le i \le n$), any run-time check required for a conversion from `S` to T_i is also required for the conversion to the intersection type.

5.1.7   Boxing Conversion

Boxing conversion treats expressions of a primitive type as expressions of a corresponding reference type. Specifically, the following nine conversions are called the *boxing conversions*:

- From type `boolean` to type `Boolean`

- From type `byte` to type `Byte`

- From type `short` to type `Short`

- From type `char` to type `Character`

- From type `int` to type `Integer`

- From type `long` to type `Long`

- From type `float` to type `Float`

- From type `double` to type `Double`

- From the null type to the null type

> This rule is necessary because the conditional operator (§15.25) applies boxing conversion to the types of its operands, and uses the result in further calculations.

At run time, boxing conversion proceeds as follows:

- If p is a value of type `boolean`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Boolean`, such that `r.booleanValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `byte`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Byte`, such that `r.byteValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `char`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Character`, such that `r.charValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `short`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Short`, such that `r.shortValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `int`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Integer`, such that `r.intValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `long`, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Long`, such that `r.longValue() == ` p

- If p is a value of type `float` then:

  - If p is not NaN, then boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Float`, such that `r.floatValue()` evaluates to p

  - Otherwise, boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Float` such that `r.isNaN()` evaluates to `true`

- If p is a value of type `double`, then:

  - If p is not NaN, boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Double`, such that `r.doubleValue()` evaluates to p

  - Otherwise, boxing conversion converts p into a reference r of class and type `Double` such that `r.isNaN()` evaluates to `true`

- If p is a value of any other type, boxing conversion is equivalent to an identity conversion (§5.1.1).

If the value p being boxed is the result of evaluating a constant expression (§15.29) of type `boolean`, `byte`, `char`, `short`, `int`, or `long`, and the result is `true`, `false`, a character in the range `'\u0000'` to `'\u007f'` inclusive, or an integer in the range `-128` to `127` inclusive, then let a and b be the results of any two boxing conversions of p. It is always the case that a `==` b.

> Ideally, boxing a primitive value would always yield an identical reference. In practice, this may not be feasible using existing implementation techniques. The rule above is a pragmatic compromise, requiring that certain common values always be boxed into indistinguishable objects. The implementation may cache these, lazily or eagerly. For other values, the rule disallows any assumptions about the identity of the boxed values on the programmer's part. This allows (but does not require) sharing of some or all of these references.

> This ensures that in most common cases, the behavior will be the desired one, without imposing an undue performance penalty, especially on small devices. Less memory-limited implementations might, for example, cache all `char` and `short` values, as well as `int` and `long` values in the range of -32K to +32K.

A boxing conversion may result in an `OutOfMemoryError` if a new instance of one of the wrapper classes (`Boolean`, `Byte`, `Character`, `Short`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, or `Double`) needs to be allocated and insufficient storage is available.

5.1.8 Unboxing Conversion

Unboxing conversion treats expressions of a reference type as expressions of a corresponding primitive type. Specifically, the following eight conversions are called the *unboxing conversions*:

- From type `Boolean` to type `boolean`

- From type `Byte` to type `byte`

- From type `Short` to type `short`

- From type `Character` to type `char`

- From type `Integer` to type `int`

- From type `Long` to type `long`

- From type `Float` to type `float`

- From type `Double` to type `double`

At run time, unboxing conversion proceeds as follows:

- If r is a reference of type `Boolean`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.booleanValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Byte`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.byteValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Character`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.charValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Short`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.shortValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Integer`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.intValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Long`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.longValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Float`, unboxing conversion converts r into `r.floatValue()`

- If r is a reference of type `Double`, then unboxing conversion converts r into `r.doubleValue()`

- If r is `null`, unboxing conversion throws a `NullPointerException`

A type is said to be *convertible to a numeric type* if it is a numeric type (§4.2), or it is a reference type that may be converted to a numeric type by unboxing conversion.

A type is said to be *convertible to an integral type* if it is an integral type, or it is a reference type that may be converted to an integral type by unboxing conversion.

5.1.9   Unchecked Conversion

Let G name a generic type declaration with *n* type parameters.

There is an *unchecked conversion* from the raw class or interface type (§4.8) G to any parameterized type of the form $G<T_1,...,T_n>$.

There is an *unchecked conversion* from the raw array type $G[\,]^k$ to any array type of the form $G<T_1,...,T_n>[\,]^k$. (The notation $[\,]^k$ indicates an array type of *k* dimensions.)

Use of an unchecked conversion causes a compile-time *unchecked warning* unless all type arguments T_i ($1 \le i \le n$) are unbounded wildcards (§4.5.1), or the warning is suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

> Unchecked conversion is used to enable a smooth interoperation of legacy code, written before the introduction of generic types, with libraries that have undergone a conversion to use genericity (a process we call generification). In such circumstances (most notably, clients of the Collections Framework in `java.util`), legacy code uses raw types (e.g. `Collection` instead of `Collection<String>`). Expressions of raw types are passed as arguments to library methods that use parameterized versions of those same types as the types of their corresponding formal parameters.
>
> Such calls cannot be shown to be statically safe under the type system using generics. Rejecting such calls would invalidate large bodies of existing code, and prevent them from using newer versions of the libraries. This in turn, would discourage library vendors from taking advantage of genericity. To prevent such an unwelcome turn of events, a raw type may be converted to an arbitrary invocation of the generic type declaration to which the raw type refers. While the conversion is unsound, it is tolerated as a concession to practicality. An unchecked warning is issued in such cases.

5.1.10   Capture Conversion

Let G name a generic type declaration (§8.1.2, §9.1.2) with *n* type parameters $A_1,...,A_n$ with corresponding bounds $U_1,...,U_n$.

There exists a *capture conversion* from a parameterized type $G<T_1,...,T_n>$ (§4.5) to a parameterized type $G<S_1,...,S_n>$, where, for $1 \le i \le n$:

- If T_i is a wildcard type argument (§4.5.1) of the form `?`, then S_i is a fresh type variable whose upper bound is $U_i[A_1:=S_1, \ldots, A_n:=S_n]$ and whose lower bound is the null type (§4.1).

- If T_i is a wildcard type argument of the form `? extends` B_i, then S_i is a fresh type variable whose upper bound is glb(B_i, U_i`[`A_1`:=`S_1`,...,`A_n`:=`S_n`]`) and whose lower bound is the null type.

  glb(V_1,...,V_m) is defined as V_1 `&` ... `&` V_m.

  It is a compile-time error if, for any two classes (not interfaces) V_i and V_j, V_i is not a subclass of V_j or vice versa.

- If T_i is a wildcard type argument of the form `? super` B_i, then S_i is a fresh type variable whose upper bound is U_i`[`A_1`:=`S_1`,...,`A_n`:=`S_n`]` and whose lower bound is B_i.

- Otherwise, $S_i = T_i$.

Capture conversion on any type other than a parameterized type (§4.5) acts as an identity conversion (§5.1.1).

Capture conversion is not applied recursively.

Capture conversion never requires a special action at run time and therefore never throws an exception at run time.

> Capture conversion is designed to make wildcards more useful. To understand the motivation, let's begin by looking at the method `java.util.Collections.reverse()`:
>
> ```
> public static void reverse(List<?> list);
> ```
>
> The method reverses the list provided as a parameter. It works for any type of list, and so the use of the wildcard type `List<?>` as the type of the formal parameter is entirely appropriate.
>
> Now consider how one would implement `reverse()`:
>
> ```
> public static void reverse(List<?> list) { rev(list); }
> private static <T> void rev(List<T> list) {
>     List<T> tmp = new ArrayList<T>(list);
>     for (int i = 0; i < list.size(); i++) {
>         list.set(i, tmp.get(list.size() - i - 1));
>     }
> }
> ```
>
> The implementation needs to copy the list, extract elements from the copy, and insert them into the original. To do this in a type-safe manner, we need to give a name, `T`, to the element type of the incoming list. We do this in the private service method `rev()`. This requires us to pass the incoming argument list, of type `List<?>`, as an argument to `rev()`. In general, `List<?>` is a list of unknown type. It is not a subtype of `List<T>`, for any type `T`. Allowing such a subtype relation would be unsound. Given the method:
>
> ```
> public static <T> void fill(List<T> l, T obj)
> ```

the following code would undermine the type system:

```
List<String> ls = new ArrayList<String>();
List<?> l = ls;
Collections.fill(l, new Object());  // not legal - but assume it was!
String s = ls.get(0); // ClassCastException - ls contains
                      // Objects, not Strings.
```

So, without some special dispensation, we can see that the call from `reverse()` to `rev()` would be disallowed. If this were the case, the author of `reverse()` would be forced to write its signature as:

```
public static <T> void reverse(List<T> list)
```

This is undesirable, as it exposes implementation information to the caller. Worse, the designer of an API might reason that the signature using a wildcard is what the callers of the API require, and only later realize that a type safe implementation was precluded.

The call from `reverse()` to `rev()` is in fact harmless, but it cannot be justified on the basis of a general subtyping relation between `List<?>` and `List<T>`. The call is harmless, because the incoming argument is doubtless a list of some type (albeit an unknown one). If we can capture this unknown type in a type variable `X`, we can infer `T` to be `X`. That is the essence of capture conversion. The specification of course must cope with complications, like non-trivial (and possibly recursively defined) upper or lower bounds, the presence of multiple arguments etc.

Mathematically sophisticated readers will want to relate capture conversion to established type theory. Readers unfamiliar with type theory can skip this discussion - or else study a suitable text, such as *Types and Programming Languages* by Benjamin Pierce, and then revisit this section.

Here then is a brief summary of the relationship of capture conversion to established type theoretical notions. Wildcard types are a restricted form of existential types. Capture conversion corresponds loosely to an opening of a value of existential type. A capture conversion of an expression *e* can be thought of as an `open` of *e* in a scope that comprises the top level expression that encloses *e*.

The classical `open` operation on existentials requires that the captured type variable must not escape the opened expression. The `open` that corresponds to capture conversion is always on a scope sufficiently large that the captured type variable can never be visible outside that scope. The advantage of this scheme is that there is no need for a `close` operation, as defined in the paper *On Variance-Based Subtyping for Parametric Types* by Atsushi Igarashi and Mirko Viroli, in the proceedings of the 16th European Conference on Object Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2002). For a formal account of wildcards, see *Wild FJ* by Mads Torgersen, Erik Ernst and Christian Plesner Hansen, in the 12th workshop on Foundations of Object Oriented Programming (FOOL 2005).

5.1.11 String Conversion

Any type may be converted to type `String` by *string conversion*.

A value `x` of primitive type `T` is first converted to a reference value as if by giving it as an argument to an appropriate class instance creation expression (§15.9):

- If `T` is `boolean`, then use `new Boolean(x)`.

- If `T` is `char`, then use `new Character(x)`.

- If `T` is `byte`, `short`, or `int`, then use `new Integer(x)`.

- If `T` is `long`, then use `new Long(x)`.

- If `T` is `float`, then use `new Float(x)`.

- If `T` is `double`, then use `new Double(x)`.

This reference value is then converted to type `String` by string conversion.

Now only reference values need to be considered:

- If the reference is `null`, it is converted to the string `"null"` (four ASCII characters n, u, l, l).

- Otherwise, the conversion is performed as if by an invocation of the `toString` method of the referenced object with no arguments; but if the result of invoking the `toString` method is `null`, then the string `"null"` is used instead.

    The `toString` method is defined by the primordial class `Object` (§4.3.2). Many classes override it, notably `Boolean`, `Character`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, `Double`, and `String`.

5.1.12   Forbidden Conversions

Any conversion that is not explicitly allowed is forbidden.

5.2   Assignment Contexts

Assignment contexts allow the value of an expression to be assigned (§15.26) to a variable; the type of the expression must be converted to the type of the variable.

Assignment contexts allow the use of one of the following:

- an identity conversion (§5.1.1)

- a widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2)

- a widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)

- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion

- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion, then followed by a widening primitive conversion

- a boxing conversion (§5.1.7)

- a boxing conversion followed by a widening reference conversion

- an unboxing conversion (§5.1.8)

- an unboxing conversion followed by a widening primitive conversion

If, after the conversions listed above have been applied, the resulting type is a raw type (§4.8), an unchecked conversion (§5.1.9) may then be applied.

In addition, if the expression is a constant expression (§15.29) of type `byte`, `short`, `char`, or `int`:

- A narrowing primitive conversion may be used if the variable is of type `byte`, `short`, or `char`, and the value of the constant expression is representable in the type of the variable.

- A narrowing primitive conversion followed by a boxing conversion may be used if the variable is of type `Byte`, `Short`, or `Character`, and the value of the constant expression is representable in the type `byte`, `short`, or `char` respectively.

  The compile-time narrowing of constant expressions means that code such as:

  ```
  byte theAnswer = 42;
  ```

  is allowed. Without the narrowing, the fact that the integer literal `42` has type `int` would mean that a cast to `byte` would be required:

  ```
  byte theAnswer = (byte)42;  // cast is permitted but not required
  ```

Finally, a value of the null type (the null reference is the only such value) may be assigned to any reference type, resulting in a null reference of that type.

It is a compile-time error if the chain of conversions contains two parameterized types that are not in the subtype relation (§4.10).

  An example of such an illegal chain would be:

  ```
  Integer, Comparable<Integer>, Comparable, Comparable<String>
  ```

  The first three elements of the chain are related by widening reference conversion, while the last entry is derived from its predecessor by unchecked conversion. However, this is not a valid assignment conversion, because the chain contains two parameterized types, `Comparable<Integer>` and `Comparable<String>`, that are not subtypes.

131

If the type of an expression can be converted to the type of a variable by assignment conversion, we say the expression (or its value) is *assignable to* the variable or, equivalently, that the type of the expression is *assignment compatible with* the type of the variable.

The only exceptions that may arise from conversions in an assignment context are:

- A `ClassCastException` if, after the conversions above have been applied, the resulting value is an object which is not an instance of a subclass or subinterface of the erasure (§4.6) of the type of the variable.

  This circumstance can only arise as a result of heap pollution (§4.12.2). In practice, implementations need only perform casts when accessing a field or method of an object of parameterized type when the erased type of the field, or the erased return type of the method, differ from its unerased type.

- An `OutOfMemoryError` as a result of a boxing conversion.

- A `NullPointerException` as a result of an unboxing conversion on a null reference.

- An `ArrayStoreException` in special cases involving array elements or field access (§10.5, §15.26.1).

Example 5.2-1. Assignment for Primitive Types

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        short s = 12;        // narrow 12 to short
        float f = s;         // widen short to float
        System.out.println("f=" + f);
        char c = '\u0123';
        long l = c;          // widen char to long
        System.out.println("l=0x" + Long.toString(l,16));
        f = 1.23f;
        double d = f;        // widen float to double
        System.out.println("d=" + d);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
f=12.0
l=0x123
d=1.2300000190734863
```

The following program, however, produces compile-time errors:

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
```

```
        short s = 123;
        char c = s;    // error: would require cast
        s = c;         // error: would require cast
    }
}
```

because not all short values are char values, and neither are all char values short values.

Example 5.2-2. Assignment for Reference Types

```
class Point { int x, y; }
class Point3D extends Point { int z; }
interface Colorable { void setColor(int color); }

class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // Assignments to variables of class type:
        Point p = new Point();
        p = new Point3D();
          // OK because Point3D is a subclass of Point
        Point3D p3d = p;
          // Error: will require a cast because a Point
          // might not be a Point3D (even though it is,
          // dynamically, in this example.)

        // Assignments to variables of type Object:
        Object o  = p;         // OK: any object to Object
        int[] a   = new int[3];
        Object o2 = a;         // OK: an array to Object

        // Assignments to variables of interface type:
        ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
        Colorable c = cp;
          // OK: ColoredPoint implements Colorable

        // Assignments to variables of array type:
        byte[] b = new byte[4];
        a = b;
          // Error: these are not arrays of the same primitive type
        Point3D[] p3da = new Point3D[3];
        Point[] pa = p3da;
          // OK: since we can assign a Point3D to a Point
        p3da = pa;
          // Error: (cast needed) since a Point
          // can't be assigned to a Point3D
    }
}
```

133

The following test program illustrates assignment conversions on reference values, but fails to compile, as described in its comments. This example should be compared to the preceding one.

```
class Point { int x, y; }
interface Colorable { void setColor(int color); }
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point();
        ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
        // Okay because ColoredPoint is a subclass of Point:
        p = cp;
        // Okay because ColoredPoint implements Colorable:
        Colorable c = cp;
        // The following cause compile-time errors because
        // we cannot be sure they will succeed, depending on
        // the run-time type of p; a run-time check will be
        // necessary for the needed narrowing conversion and
        // must be indicated by including a cast:
        cp = p;    // p might be neither a ColoredPoint
                   // nor a subclass of ColoredPoint
        c = p;     // p might not implement Colorable
    }
}
```

Example 5.2-3. Assignment for Array Types

```
class Point { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        long[] veclong = new long[100];
        Object o = veclong;          // okay
        Long l = veclong;            // compile-time error
        short[] vecshort = veclong;  // compile-time error
        Point[] pvec = new Point[100];
        ColoredPoint[] cpvec = new ColoredPoint[100];
        pvec = cpvec;                // okay
        pvec[0] = new Point();       // okay at compile time,
                                     // but would throw an
                                     // exception at run time
        cpvec = pvec;                // compile-time error
    }
}
```

In this example:

- The value of `veclong` cannot be assigned to a `Long` variable, because `Long` is a class type other than `Object`. An array can be assigned only to a variable of a compatible array type, or to a variable of type `Object`, `Cloneable` or `java.io.Serializable`.

- The value of `veclong` cannot be assigned to `vecshort`, because they are arrays of primitive type, and `short` and `long` are not the same primitive type.

- The value of `cpvec` can be assigned to `pvec`, because any reference that could be the value of an expression of type `ColoredPoint` can be the value of a variable of type `Point`. The subsequent assignment of the new `Point` to a component of `pvec` then would throw an `ArrayStoreException` (if the program were otherwise corrected so that it could be compiled), because a `ColoredPoint` array cannot have an instance of `Point` as the value of a component.

- The value of `pvec` cannot be assigned to `cpvec`, because not every reference that could be the value of an expression of type `Point` can correctly be the value of a variable of type `ColoredPoint`. If the value of `pvec` at run time were a reference to an instance of `Point[]`, and the assignment to `cpvec` were allowed, a simple reference to a component of `cpvec`, say, `cpvec[0]`, could return a `Point`, and a `Point` is not a `ColoredPoint`. Thus to allow such an assignment would allow a violation of the type system. A cast may be used (§5.5, §15.16) to ensure that `pvec` references a `ColoredPoint[]`:

```
cpvec = (ColoredPoint[])pvec;   // OK, but may throw an
                                // exception at run time
```

5.3   Invocation Contexts

Invocation contexts allow an argument value in a method or constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1, §15.9, §15.12) to be assigned to a corresponding formal parameter.

Strict invocation contexts allow the use of one of the following:

- an identity conversion (§5.1.1)
- a widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2)
- a widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)

Loose invocation contexts allow a more permissive set of conversions, because they are only used for a particular invocation if no applicable declaration can be found using strict invocation contexts. Loose invocation contexts allow the use of one of the following:

- an identity conversion (§5.1.1)
- a widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2)
- a widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)
- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion

- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion, then followed by a widening primitive conversion

- a boxing conversion (§5.1.7)

- a boxing conversion followed by widening reference conversion

- an unboxing conversion (§5.1.8)

- an unboxing conversion followed by a widening primitive conversion

If, after the conversions listed for an invocation context have been applied, the resulting type is a raw type (§4.8), an unchecked conversion (§5.1.9) may then be applied.

A value of the null type (the null reference is the only such value) may be assigned to any reference type.

It is a compile-time error if the chain of conversions contains two parameterized types that are not in the subtype relation (§4.10).

The only exceptions that may arise in an invocation context are:

- A `ClassCastException` if, after the type conversions above have been applied, the resulting value is an object which is not an instance of a subclass or subinterface of the erasure (§4.6) of the corresponding formal parameter type.

- An `OutOfMemoryError` as a result of a boxing conversion.

- A `NullPointerException` as a result of an unboxing conversion on a null reference.

Neither strict nor loose invocation contexts include the implicit narrowing of integer constant expressions which is allowed in assignment contexts. The designers of the Java programming language felt that including these implicit narrowing conversions would add additional complexity to the rules of overload resolution (§15.12.2).

Thus, the program:

```
class Test {
    static int m(byte a, int b) { return a+b; }
    static int m(short a, short b) { return a-b; }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(m(12, 2));  // compile-time error
    }
}
```

causes a compile-time error because the integer literals 12 and 2 have type int, so neither method m matches under the rules of overload resolution. A language that included implicit narrowing of integer constant expressions would need additional rules to resolve cases like this example.

5.4 String Contexts

String contexts apply only to an operand of the binary + operator which is not a String when the other operand is a String.

The target type in these contexts is always String, and a string conversion (§5.1.11) of the non-String operand always occurs. Evaluation of the + operator then proceeds as specified in §15.18.1.

5.5 Casting Contexts

Casting contexts allow the operand of a cast expression (§15.16) to be converted to the type explicitly named by the cast operator. Compared to assignment contexts and invocation contexts, casting contexts allow the use of more of the conversions defined in §5.1, and allow more combinations of those conversions.

If the expression is of a primitive type, then a casting context allows the use of one of the following:

- an identity conversion (§5.1.1)
- a widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2)
- a narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3)
- a widening and narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.4)
- a boxing conversion (§5.1.7)
- a boxing conversion followed by a widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)

If the expression is of a reference type, then a casting context allows the use of one of the following:

- an identity conversion (§5.1.1)
- a widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)
- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion
- a widening reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion, then followed by a widening primitive conversion
- a narrowing reference conversion (§5.1.6)
- a narrowing reference conversion followed by an unboxing conversion

137

- an unboxing conversion (§5.1.8)

- an unboxing conversion followed by a widening primitive conversion

If the expression has the null type, then the expression may be cast to any reference type.

If a casting context makes use of a narrowing reference conversion that is checked or partially unchecked (§5.1.6.2, §5.1.6.3), then a run time check will be performed on the class of the expression's value, possibly causing a `ClassCastException`. Otherwise, no run time check is performed.

If an expression can be converted to a reference type by a casting conversion *other than a narrowing reference conversion which is unchecked*, we say the expression (or its value) is *downcast compatible with* the reference type.

The following tables enumerate which conversions are used in certain casting contexts. Each conversion is signified by a symbol:

- `-` signifies no conversion allowed

- ≈ signifies identity conversion (§5.1.1)

- ω signifies widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2)

- η signifies narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3)

- ωη signifies widening and narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.4)

- ⇑ signifies widening reference conversion (§5.1.5)

- ⇓ signifies narrowing reference conversion (§5.1.6)

- ⊕ signifies boxing conversion (§5.1.7)

- ⊗ signifies unboxing conversion (§5.1.8)

In the tables, a comma between symbols indicates that a casting context uses one conversion followed by another. The type `Object` means any reference type other than the eight wrapper classes `Boolean`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Character`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, `Double`.

Table 5.5-A. Casting to primitive types

To → From ↓	byte	short	char	int	long	float	double	boolean
byte	≈	ω	ωη	ω	ω	ω	ω	-
short	η	≈	η	ω	ω	ω	ω	-
char	η	η	≈	ω	ω	ω	ω	-
int	η	η	η	≈	ω	ω	ω	-
long	η	η	η	η	≈	ω	ω	-
float	η	η	η	η	η	≈	ω	-
double	η	η	η	η	η	η	≈	-
boolean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≈
Byte	⊗	⊗,ω	-	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	-
Short	-	⊗	-	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	-
Character	-	-	⊗	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	-
Integer	-	-	-	⊗	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	-
Long	-	-	-	-	⊗	⊗,ω	⊗,ω	-
Float	-	-	-	-	-	⊗	⊗,ω	-
Double	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊗	-
Boolean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊗
Object	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗	⇓,⊗

Table 5.5-B. Casting to reference types

To → From ↓	Byte	Short	Character	Integer	Long	Float	Double	Boolean	Object
byte	⊕	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊕,⇑
short	-	⊕	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊕,⇑
char	-	-	⊕	-	-	-	-	-	⊕,⇑
int	-	-	-	⊕	-	-	-	-	⊕,⇑
long	-	-	-	-	⊕	-	-	-	⊕,⇑
float	-	-	-	-	-	⊕	-	-	⊕,⇑
double	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊕	-	⊕,⇑
boolean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	⊕	⊕,⇑
Byte	≈	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	⇑
Short	-	≈	-	-	-	-	-	-	⇑
Character	-	-	≈	-	-	-	-	-	⇑
Integer	-	-	-	≈	-	-	-	-	⇑
Long	-	-	-	-	≈	-	-	-	⇑
Float	-	-	-	-	-	≈	-	-	⇑
Double	-	-	-	-	-	-	≈	-	⇑
Boolean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	≈	⇑
Object	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	≈

Example 5.5-1. Casting for Reference Types

```
class Point { int x, y; }
interface Colorable { void setColor(int color); }
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
}
final class EndPoint extends Point {}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point();
        ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
        Colorable c;
        // The following may cause errors at run time because
        // we cannot be sure they will succeed; this possibility
        // is suggested by the casts:
        cp = (ColoredPoint)p;  // p might not reference an
                               // object which is a ColoredPoint
                               // or a subclass of ColoredPoint
        c = (Colorable)p;      // p might not be Colorable
        // The following are incorrect at compile time because
        // they can never succeed as explained in the text:
        Long l = (Long)p;            // compile-time error #1
        EndPoint e = new EndPoint();
        c = (Colorable)e;            // compile-time error #2
    }
}
```

Here, the first compile-time error occurs because the class types `Long` and `Point` are unrelated (that is, they are not the same, and neither is a subclass of the other), so a cast between them will always fail.

The second compile-time error occurs because a variable of type `EndPoint` can never reference a value that implements the interface `Colorable`. This is because `EndPoint` is a `final` type, and a variable of a `final` type always holds a value of the same run-time type as its compile-time type. Therefore, the run-time type of variable `e` must be exactly the type `EndPoint`, and type `EndPoint` does not implement `Colorable`.

Example 5.5-2. Casting for Array Types

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
    public String toString() { return "("+x+","+y+")"; }
}
interface Colorable { void setColor(int color); }
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    ColoredPoint(int x, int y, int color) {
        super(x, y); setColor(color);
    }
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
    public String toString() {
        return super.toString() + "@" + color;
    }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point[] pa = new ColoredPoint[4];
        pa[0] = new ColoredPoint(2, 2, 12);
        pa[1] = new ColoredPoint(4, 5, 24);
        ColoredPoint[] cpa = (ColoredPoint[])pa;
        System.out.print("cpa: {");
        for (int i = 0; i < cpa.length; i++)
            System.out.print((i == 0 ? " " : ", ") + cpa[i]);
        System.out.println(" }");
    }
}
```

This program compiles without errors and produces the output:

```
cpa: { (2,2)@12, (4,5)@24, null, null }
```

Example 5.5-3. Casting Incompatible Types at Run Time

```
class Point { int x, y; }
interface Colorable { void setColor(int color); }
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point[] pa = new Point[100];

        // The following line will throw a ClassCastException:
        ColoredPoint[] cpa = (ColoredPoint[])pa;
        System.out.println(cpa[0]);
        int[] shortvec = new int[2];
        Object o = shortvec;

        // The following line will throw a ClassCastException:
        Colorable c = (Colorable)o;
        c.setColor(0);
    }
}
```

This program uses casts to compile, but it throws exceptions at run time, because the types are incompatible.

5.6 Numeric Contexts

Numeric contexts apply to the operands of arithmetic operators, array creation and access expressions, conditional expressions, and the result expressions of switch expressions.

An expression appears in a *numeric arithmetic context* if the expression is one of the following:

- The operand of a unary plus operator +, unary minus operator -, or bitwise complement operator ~ (§15.15.3, §15.15.4, §15.15.5)

- An operand of a multiplicative operator *, /, or % (§15.17)

- An operand of an addition or subtraction operator for numeric types + or - (§15.18.2)

- An operand of a shift operator <<, >>, or >>> (§15.19). Operands of these shift operators are treated separately rather than as a group. A long shift distance (right operand) does not promote the value being shifted (left operand) to long.

- An operand of a numerical comparison operator `<`, `<=`, `>`, or `>=` (§15.20.1)

- An operand of a numerical equality operator `==` or `!=` (§15.21.1)

- An operand of an integer bitwise operator `&`, `^`, or `|` (§15.22.1)

An expression appears in a *numeric array context* if the expression is one of the following:

- A dimension expression in an array creation expression (§15.10.1)

- The index expression in an array access expression (§15.10.3)

An expression appears in a *numeric choice context* if the expression is one of the following:

- The second or third operand of a numeric conditional expression (§15.25.2)

- A result expression of a standalone `switch` expression (§15.28.1) where all the result expressions are convertible to a numeric type

Numeric promotion determines the *promoted type* of all the expressions in a numeric context. The promoted type is chosen such that each expression can be converted to the promoted type, and, in the case of an arithmetic operation, the operation is defined for values of the promoted type. The order of expressions in a numeric context is not significant for numeric promotion. The rules are as follows:

1. If any expression is of a reference type, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

2. Next, widening primitive conversion (§5.1.2) and narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3) are applied to some expressions, according to the following rules:

- If any expression is of type `double`, then the promoted type is `double`, and other expressions that are not of type `double` undergo widening primitive conversion to `double`.

- Otherwise, if any expression is of type `float`, then the promoted type is `float`, and other expressions that are not of type `float` undergo widening primitive conversion to `float`.

- Otherwise, if any expression is of type `long`, then the promoted type is `long`, and other expressions that are not of type `long` undergo widening primitive conversion to `long`.

- Otherwise, none of the expressions are of type `double`, `float`, or `long`. In this case, the kind of context determines how the promoted type is chosen.

  In a numeric arithmetic context or a numeric array context, the promoted type is `int`, and any expressions that are not of type `int` undergo widening primitive conversion to `int`.

  In a numeric choice context, the following rules apply:

  – If any expression is of type `int` and is not a constant expression (§15.29), then the promoted type is `int`, and other expressions that are not of type `int` undergo widening primitive conversion to `int`.

  – Otherwise, if any expression is of type `short`, and every other expression is either of type `short` or of type `byte` or a constant expression of type `int` with a value that is representable in the type `short`, then the promoted type is `short`, and the `byte` expressions undergo widening primitive conversion to `short`, and the `int` expressions undergo narrowing primitive conversion to `short`.

  – Otherwise, if any expression is of type `byte`, and every other expression is either of type `byte` or a constant expression of type `int` with a value that is representable in the type `byte`, then the promoted type is `byte`, and the `int` expressions undergo narrowing primitive conversion to `byte`.

  – Otherwise, if any expression is of type `char`, and every other expression is either of type `char` or a constant expression of type `int` with a value that

145

is representable in the type `char`, then the promoted type is `char`, and the `int` expressions undergo narrowing primitive conversion to `char`.

– Otherwise, the promoted type is `int`, and all the expressions that are not of type `int` undergo widening primitive conversion to `int`.

Unary numeric promotion consists of applying numeric promotion to a single expression that occurs in a numeric arithmetic context or a numeric array context.

Binary numeric promotion consists of applying numeric promotion to a pair of expressions that occur in a numeric arithmetic context.

General numeric promotion consists of applying numeric promotion to all the expressions that occur in a numeric choice context.

Example 5.6-1. Unary Numeric Promotion

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        byte  b = 2;
        int[] a = new int[b];  // dimension expression promotion
        char  c = '\u0001';
        a[c] = 1;               // index expression promotion
        a[0] = -c;              // unary - promotion
        System.out.println("a: " + a[0] + "," + a[1]);
        b = -1;
        int i = ~b;             // bitwise complement promotion
        System.out.println("~0x" + Integer.toHexString(b)
                          + "==0x" + Integer.toHexString(i));
        i = b << 4L;            // shift promotion (left operand)
        System.out.println("0x" + Integer.toHexString(b)
                          + "<<4L==0x" + Integer.toHexString(i));
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
a: -1,1
~0xffffffff==0x0
0xffffffff<<4L==0xfffffff0
```

Example 5.6-2. Binary Numeric Promotion

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i    = 0;
        float f  = 1.0f;
        double d = 2.0;
        // First int*float is promoted to float*float, then
        // float==double is promoted to double==double:
        if (i * f == d) System.out.println("oops");
```

```
            // A char&byte is promoted to int&int:
            byte b = 0x1f;
            char c = 'G';
            int control = c & b;
            System.out.println(Integer.toHexString(control));

            // Here int:float is promoted to float:float:
            f = (b==0) ? i : 4.0f;
            System.out.println(1.0/f);
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
7
0.25
```

The example converts the ASCII character G to the ASCII control-G (BEL), by masking off all but the low 5 bits of the character. The 7 is the numeric value of this control character.

Names

Names are used to refer to entities declared in a program.

A declared entity (§6.1) is a package, a class, an interface, a member (class, interface, field, or method) of a reference type, a type parameter, a formal parameter, an exception parameter, or a local variable.

Names in programs are either *simple*, consisting of a single identifier, or *qualified*, consisting of a sequence of identifiers separated by "." tokens (§6.2).

Every declaration that introduces a name has a *scope* (§6.3), which is the part of the program text within which the declared entity can be referred to by a simple name.

A qualified name `N.x` may be used to refer to a *member* of a package or reference type, where `N` is a simple or qualified name and `x` is an identifier. If `N` names a package, then `x` is a member of that package, which is either a class, an interface, or a subpackage. If `N` names a reference type or a variable of a reference type, then `x` names a member of that type, which is either a class, an interface, a field, or a method.

In determining the meaning of a name (§6.5), the context of the occurrence is used to disambiguate among packages, types, variables, and methods with the same name.

Access control (§6.6) can be specified in a class, interface, method, or field declaration to control when *access* to a member is allowed. Access is a different concept from scope. Access specifies the part of the program text within which the declared entity can be referred to by a qualified name. Access to a declared entity is also relevant in a field access expression (§15.11), a method invocation expression in which the method is not specified by a simple name (§15.12), a method reference expression (§15.13), or a qualified class instance creation expression (§15.9). In the absence of an access modifier, most declarations have package access, allowing access anywhere within the package that contains its declaration; other possibilities are `public`, `protected`, and `private`.

Fully qualified and canonical names (§6.7) are also discussed in this chapter.

6.1   Declarations

A *declaration* introduces an entity into a program and includes an identifier (§3.8) that can be used in a name to refer to this entity. The identifier is constrained to avoid certain contextual keywords when the entity being introduced is a class, interface, or type parameter.

A declared entity is one of the following:

- A module, declared in a `module` declaration (§7.7)

- A package, declared in a `package` declaration (§7.4)

- An imported class or interface, declared in a single-type-import declaration or a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.1, §7.5.2)

- An imported `static` member, declared in a single-static-import declaration or a static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.3, §7.5.4)

- A class, declared by a normal class declaration (§8.1), an enum declaration (§8.9), or a record declaration (§8.10)

- An interface, declared by a normal interface declaration (§9.1) or an annotation interface declaration (§9.6).

- A type parameter, declared as part of the declaration of a generic class, interface, method, or constructor (§8.1.2, §9.1.2, §8.4.4, §8.8.4)

- A member of a reference type (§8.2, §9.2, §8.9.3, §9.6, §10.7), one of the following:

  – A member class (§8.5, §9.5)

  – A member interface (§8.5, §9.5)

  – A field, one of the following:

    › A field declared in a class (§8.3)

    › A field declared in an interface (§9.3)

    › An implicitly declared field of a class corresponding to an enum constant or a record component

    › The field `length`, which is implicitly a member of every array type (§10.7)

  – A method, one of the following:

    › A method (`abstract` or otherwise) declared in a class (§8.4)

    › A method (`abstract` or otherwise) declared in an interface (§9.4)

    › An implicitly declared accessor method corresponding to a record component

- An enum constant (§8.9.1)

- A record component (§8.10.3)

- A formal parameter, one of the following:

  – A formal parameter of a method of a class or interface (§8.4.1)

  – A formal parameter of a constructor of a class (§8.8.1)

  – A formal parameter of a lambda expression (§15.27.1)

- An exception parameter of an exception handler declared in a `catch` clause of a `try` statement (§14.20)

- A local variable, one of the following:

  – A local variable declared by a local variable declaration statement in a block (§14.4.2)

  – A local variable declared by a `for` statement or a `try`-with-resources statement (§14.14, §14.20.3)

  – A local variable declared by a pattern (§14.30.1)

- A local class or interface (§14.3), one of the following:

  – A local class declared by a normal class declaration

  – A local class declared by an enum declaration

  – A local class declared by an record declaration

  – A local interface declared by a normal interface declaration

Constructors (§8.8, §8.10.4) are also introduced by declarations, but use the name of the class in which they are declared rather than introducing a new name.

The declaration of a generic class or interface (`class C<T>` ... or `interface C<T>` ...) introduces both a class named `C` and a family of types: the raw type `C`, the parameterized type `C<Foo>`, the parameterized type `C<Bar>`, etc.

When a reference to `C` occurs where genericity is unimportant, identified below as one of the non-generic contexts, the reference to `C` denotes the class or interface `C`. In other contexts, the reference to `C` denotes a type, or part of a type, introduced by `C`.

The 15 non-generic contexts are as follows:

1.   In a `uses` or `provides` directive in a module declaration (§7.7.1)

2.   In a single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1)

3.   To the left of the `.` in a single-static-import declaration (§7.5.3)

4.   To the left of the `.` in a static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.4)

5.   In a `permits` clause of a `sealed` class or interface declaration (§8.1.6, §9.1.4).

6.   To the left of the `(` in a constructor declaration (§8.8)

7.   After the `@` sign in an annotation (§9.7)

8.   To the left of `.class` in a class literal (§15.8.2)

9.   To the left of `.this` in a qualified `this` expression (§15.8.4)

10.   To the left of `.super` in a qualified superclass field access expression (§15.11.2)

11.   To the left of `.`*Identifier* or `.super.`*Identifier* in a qualified method invocation expression (§15.12)

12.   To the left of `.super::` in a method reference expression (§15.13)

13.   In a qualified expression name in a postfix expression or a `try`-with-resources statement (§15.14.1, §14.20.3)

14.   In a `throws` clause of a method or constructor (§8.4.6, §8.8.5, §9.4)

15.   In an exception parameter declaration (§14.20)

The first twelve non-generic contexts correspond to the first twelve syntactic contexts for a *TypeName* in §6.5.1. The thirteenth non-generic context is where a qualified

ExpressionName such as `C.x` may include a *TypeName* `C` to denote static member access. The common use of *TypeName* in these thirteen contexts is significant: it indicates that these contexts involve a less-than-first-class use of a type. In contrast, the fourteenth and fifteenth non-generic contexts employ *ClassType*, indicating that `throws` and `catch` clauses use types in a first-class way, in line with, for example, field declarations. The characterization of these two contexts as non-generic is due to the fact that an exception type cannot be parameterized (§8.1.2).

Note that the *ClassType* production allows annotations, so it is possible to annotate the use of a type in a `throws` or `catch` clause, whereas the *TypeName* production disallows annotations, so it is not possible to annotate the name of a type in, for example, a single-type-import declaration.

Naming Conventions

The class libraries of the Java SE Platform attempt to use, whenever possible, names chosen according to the conventions presented below. These conventions help to make code more readable and avoid certain kinds of name conflicts.

We recommend these conventions for use in all programs written in the Java programming language. However, these conventions should not be followed slavishly if long-held conventional usage dictates otherwise. So, for example, the `sin` and `cos` methods of the class `java.lang.Math` have mathematically conventional names, even though these method names flout the convention suggested here because they are short and are not verbs.

Package Names and Module Names

Programmers should take steps to avoid the possibility of two published packages having the same name by choosing *unique package names* for packages that are widely distributed. This allows packages to be easily and automatically installed and catalogued. This section specifies a suggested convention for generating such unique package names. Implementations of the Java SE Platform are encouraged to provide automatic support for converting a set of packages from local and casual package names to the unique name format described here.

If unique package names are not used, then package name conflicts may arise far from the point of creation of either of the conflicting packages. This may create a situation that is difficult or impossible for the user or programmer to resolve. The classes `ClassLoader` and `ModuleLayer` can be used to isolate packages with the same name from each other in those cases where the packages will have constrained interactions, but not in a way that is transparent to a naïve program.

You form a unique package name by first having (or belonging to an organization that has) an Internet domain name, such as `oracle.com`. You then reverse this name, component by component, to obtain, in this example, `com.oracle`, and use this as a prefix for your package names, using a convention developed within your organization to further administer package names. Such a convention might specify that certain package name components be division, department, project, machine, or login names.

Example 6.1-1. Unique Package Names

```
com.nighthacks.scrabble.dictionary
org.openjdk.compiler.source.tree
net.jcip.annotations
edu.cmu.cs.bovik.cheese
gov.whitehouse.socks.mousefinder
```

The first component of a unique package name is always written in all-lowercase ASCII letters and should be one of the top level domain names, such as `com`, `edu`, `gov`, `mil`, `net`, or `org`, or one of the English two-letter codes identifying countries as specified in *ISO Standard 3166*.

In some cases, the Internet domain name may not be a valid package name. Here are some suggested conventions for dealing with these situations:

• If the domain name contains a hyphen, or any other special character not allowed in an identifier (§3.8), convert it into an underscore.

• If any of the resulting package name components are keywords (§3.9), append an underscore to them.

• If any of the resulting package name components start with a digit, or any other character that is not allowed as an initial character of an identifier, have an underscore prefixed to the component.

The name of a module should correspond to the name of its principal exported package. If a module does not have such a package, or if for legacy reasons it must have a name that does not correspond to one of its exported packages, then its name should still start with the reversed form of an Internet domain with which its author is associated.

Example 6.1-2. Unique Module Names

```
com.nighthacks.scrabble
org.openjdk.compiler
net.jcip.annotations
```

The first component of a package or module name must not be the identifier `java`. Package and module names that start with the identifier `java` are reserved for packages and modules of the Java SE Platform.

The name of a package or module is not meant to imply where the package or module is stored on the Internet. For example, a package named `edu.cmu.cs.bovik.cheese` is not necessarily obtainable from the host `cmu.edu` or `cs.cmu.edu` or `bovik.cs.cmu.edu`. The suggested convention for generating unique package and module names is merely a way to piggyback a package and module naming convention on top of an existing, widely known unique name registry instead of having to create a separate registry for package and module names.

Class and Interface Names

Names of class should be descriptive nouns or noun phrases, not overly long, in mixed case with the first letter of each word capitalized.

Example 6.1-3. Descriptive Class Names

```
ClassLoader
SecurityManager
Thread
Dictionary
BufferedInputStream
```

Likewise, names of interface should be short and descriptive, not overly long, in mixed case with the first letter of each word capitalized. The name may be a descriptive noun or noun phrase, which is appropriate when an interface is used as if it were an abstract superclass, such as interfaces `java.io.DataInput` and `java.io.DataOutput`; or it may be an adjective describing a behavior, as for the interfaces `Runnable` and `Cloneable`.

Type Variable Names

Type variable names should be pithy (single character if possible) yet evocative, and should not include lower case letters. This makes it easy to distinguish type parameters from ordinary classes and interfaces.

Container classes and interfaces should use the name `E` for their element type. Maps should use `K` for the type of their keys and `V` for the type of their values. The name `X` should be used for arbitrary exception types. We use `T` for type, whenever there is not anything more specific about the type to distinguish it. (This is often the case in generic methods.)

If there are multiple type parameters that denote arbitrary types, one should use letters that neighbor `T` in the alphabet, such as `S`. Alternately, it is acceptable to use numeric subscripts (e.g., `T1`, `T2`) to distinguish among the different type variables. In such cases, all the variables with the same prefix should be subscripted.

If a generic method appears inside a generic class, it is a good idea to avoid using the same names for the type parameters of the method and class, to avoid confusion. The same applies to nested generic classes.

Example 6.1-4. Conventional Type Variable Names

```
public class HashSet<E> extends AbstractSet<E> { ... }
public class HashMap<K,V> extends AbstractMap<K,V> { ... }
public class ThreadLocal<T> { ... }
public interface Functor<T, X extends Throwable> {
    T eval() throws X;
}
```

When type parameters do not fall conveniently into one of the categories mentioned, names should be chosen to be as meaningful as possible within the confines of a single letter. The names mentioned above (`E`, `K`, `V`, `X`, `T`) should not be used for type parameters that do not fall into the designated categories.

Method Names

Method names should be verbs or verb phrases, in mixed case, with the first letter lowercase and the first letter of any subsequent words capitalized. Here are some additional specific conventions for method names:

- Methods to get and set an attribute that might be thought of as a variable *V* should be named get*V* and set*V*. An example is the methods `getPriority` and `setPriority` of class `Thread`.

- A method that returns the length of something should be named `length`, as in class `String`.

- A method that tests a boolean condition *V* about an object should be named is*V*. An example is the method `isInterrupted` of class `Thread`.

- A method that converts its object to a particular format *F* should be named to*F*. Examples are the method `toString` of class `Object` and the methods `toLocaleString` and `toGMTString` of class `java.util.Date`.

Whenever possible and appropriate, basing the names of methods in a new class on names in an existing class that is similar, especially a class from the Java SE Platform API, will make it easier to use.

Field Names

Names of fields that are not `final` should be in mixed case with a lowercase first letter and the first letters of subsequent words capitalized. Note that well-designed classes have very few `public` or `protected` fields, except for fields that are constants (`static final` fields).

Fields should have names that are nouns, noun phrases, or abbreviations for nouns.

Examples of this convention are the fields `buf`, `pos`, and `count` of the class `java.io.ByteArrayInputStream` and the field `bytesTransferred` of the class `java.io.InterruptedIOException`.

Constant Names

The names of constants in interfaces should be, and `final` variables of classes may conventionally be, a sequence of one or more words, acronyms, or abbreviations, all uppercase, with components separated by underscore "_" characters. Constant names should be descriptive and not unnecessarily abbreviated. Conventionally they may be any appropriate part of speech.

Examples of names for constants include `MIN_VALUE`, `MAX_VALUE`, `MIN_RADIX`, and `MAX_RADIX` of the class `Character`.

A group of constants that represent alternative values of a set, or, less frequently, masking bits in an integer value, are sometimes usefully specified with a common acronym as a name prefix.

For example:

```
interface ProcessStates {
    int PS_RUNNING  = 0;
    int PS_SUSPENDED = 1;
}
```

Local Variable and Parameter Names

Local variable and parameter names should be short, yet meaningful. They are often short sequences of lowercase letters that are not words, such as:

- Acronyms, that is the first letter of a series of words, as in `cp` for a variable holding a reference to a `ColoredPoint`

- Abbreviations, as in `buf` holding a pointer to a buffer of some kind

- Mnemonic terms, organized in some way to aid memory and understanding, typically by using a set of local variables with conventional names patterned after the names of parameters to widely used classes. For example:

  - `in` and `out`, whenever some kind of input and output are involved, patterned after the fields of `System`

  - `off` and `len`, whenever an offset and length are involved, patterned after the parameters to the `read` and `write` methods of the interfaces `DataInput` and `DataOutput` of `java.io`

One-character local variable or parameter names should be avoided, except for temporary and looping variables, or where a variable holds an undistinguished value of a type. Conventional one-character names are:

- `b` for a `byte`

- `c` for a `char`

- `d` for a `double`

- `e` for an `Exception`

- `f` for a `float`

- `i`, `j`, and `k` for `int`s

- `l` for a `long`

- `o` for an `Object`

- `s` for a `String`

- `v` for an arbitrary value of some type

Local variable or parameter names that consist of only two or three lowercase letters should not conflict with the initial country codes and domain names that are the first component of unique package names.

157

6.2   Names and Identifiers

A *name* is used to refer to an entity declared in a program.

There are two forms of names: simple names and qualified names.

A *simple name* is a single identifier.

A *qualified name* consists of a name, a "." token, and an identifier.

In determining the meaning of a name (§6.5), the context in which the name appears is taken into account. The rules of §6.5 distinguish among contexts where a name must denote (refer to) a package (§6.5.3); a class, interface, or type parameter (§6.5.5); a variable or value in an expression (§6.5.6); or a method (§6.5.7).

> Packages, classes, interfaces, and type parameters have members which may be accessed by qualified names. As background for the discussion of qualified names and the determination of the meaning of names, see the descriptions of membership in §4.4, §4.5.2, §4.8, §4.9, §7.1, §8.2, §9.2, and §10.7.

Not all identifiers in a program are a part of a name. Identifiers are also used in the following situations:

- In declarations (§6.1), where an identifier may occur to specify the name by which the declared entity will be known.

- As labels in labeled statements (§14.7) and in `break` and `continue` statements (§14.15, §14.16) that refer to statement labels.

  The identifiers used in labeled statements and their associated `break` and `continue` statements are completely separate from those used in declarations.

- In field access expressions (§15.11), where an identifier occurs after a "." token to indicate a member of the object denoted by the expression before the "." token, or the object denoted by the `super` or *TypeName*.`super` before the "." token.

- In some method invocation expressions (§15.12), wherever an identifier occurs after a "." token and before a "(" token to indicate a method to be invoked for the object denoted by the expression before the "." token, or the type denoted by the *TypeName* before the "." token, or the object denoted by the `super` or *TypeName*.`super` before the "." token.

- In some method reference expressions (§15.13), wherever an identifier occurs after a "::" token to indicate a method of the object denoted by the expression before the "::" token, or the type denoted by the *TypeName* before the "::" token, or the object denoted by the `super` or *TypeName*.`super` before the "::" token.

- In qualified class instance creation expressions (§15.9), where an identifier occurs to the right of the `new` token to indicate a type that is a member of the compile-time type of the expression preceding the `new` token.

- In element-value pairs of annotations (§9.7.1), to denote an element of the corresponding annotation interface.

> In this program:
>
> ```
> class Test {
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         Class c = System.out.getClass();
>         System.out.println(c.toString().length() +
>                             args[0].length() + args.length);
>     }
> }
> ```
>
> the identifiers `Test`, `main`, and the first occurrences of `args` and `c` are not names. Rather, they are identifiers used in declarations to specify the names of the declared entities. The names `String`, `Class`, `System.out.getClass`, `System.out.println`, `c.toString`, `args`, and `args.length` appear in the example.
>
> The occurrence of `length` in `args.length` is a name because `args.length` is a qualified name (§6.5.6.2) and not a field access expression (§15.11). A field access expression, as well as a method invocation expression, a method reference expression, and a qualified class instance creation expression, uses an identifier rather than a name to denote the member of interest. Thus, the occurrence of `length` in `args[0].length()` is *not* a name, but rather an identifier appearing in a method invocation expression.
>
> One might wonder why these kinds of expression use an identifier rather than a simple name, which is after all just an identifier. The reason is that a simple expression name is defined in terms of the lexical environment; that is, a simple expression name must be in the scope of a variable declaration (§6.5.6.1). On the other hand, field access, qualified method invocation, method references, and qualified class instance creation all refer to members whose names are not in the lexical environment. By definition, such names are bound only in the context provided by the *Primary* of the field access expression, method invocation expression, method reference expression, or class instance creation expression; or by the `super` of the field access expression, method invocation expression, or method reference expression; and so on. Thus, we denote such members with identifiers rather than simple names.
>
> To complicate things further, a field access expression is not the only way to denote a field of an object. For parsing reasons, a qualified name is used to denote a field of an in-scope variable. (The variable itself is denoted with a simple name, alluded to above.) It is necessary for access control (§6.6) to apply to both denotations of a field.

6.3   Scope of a Declaration

The *scope* of a declaration is the region of the program within which the entity declared by the declaration can be referred to using a simple name, provided it is not shadowed (§6.4.1).

A declaration is said to be *in scope* at a particular point in a program if and only if the declaration's scope includes that point.

The scope of the declaration of an observable top level package (§7.4.3) is all observable compilation units associated with modules to which the package is uniquely visible (§7.4.3).

The declaration of a package that is not observable is never in scope.

The declaration of a subpackage is never in scope.

The package `java` is always in scope.

The scope of a class or interface imported by a single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1) or a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2) is the module declaration (§7.7) and all the class and interface declarations (§8.1, §9.1) of the compilation unit in which the `import` declaration appears, as well as any annotations on the module declaration or package declaration of the compilation unit.

The scope of a member imported by a single-static-import declaration (§7.5.3) or a static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.4) is the module declaration and all the class and interface declarations of the compilation unit in which the `import` declaration appears, as well as any annotations on the module declaration or package declaration of the compilation unit.

The scope of a top level class or interface (§7.6) is all class and interface declarations in the package in which the top level class or interface is declared.

The scope of a declaration of a member *m* declared in or inherited by a class or interface *c* (§8.2, §9.2) is the entire body of *c*, including any nested class or interface declarations. If *c* is a record class, then the scope of *m* additionally includes the header of the record declaration of *c*.

The scope of a formal parameter of a method (§8.4.1), constructor (§8.8.1), or lambda expression (§15.27) is the entire body of the method, constructor, or lambda expression.

The scope of a class's type parameter (§8.1.2) is the type parameter section of the class declaration, and the type parameter section of any superclass type or superinterface type of the class declaration, and the class body. If the class is a

record class (§8.10), then the scope of the type parameter additionally includes the header of the record declaration (§8.10.1).

The scope of an interface's type parameter (§9.1.2) is the type parameter section of the interface declaration, and the type parameter section of any superinterface type of the interface declaration, and the interface body.

The scope of a method's type parameter (§8.4.4) is the entire declaration of the method, including the type parameter section, but excluding the method modifiers.

The scope of a constructor's type parameter (§8.8.4) is the entire declaration of the constructor, including the type parameter section, but excluding the constructor modifiers.

The scope of a local class or interface declaration immediately enclosed by a block (§14.2) is the rest of the immediately enclosing block, including the local class or interface declaration itself.

The scope of a local class or interface declaration immediately enclosed by a switch block statement group (§14.11) is the rest of the immediately enclosing switch block statement group, including the local class or interface declaration itself.

The scope of a local variable declared in a block by a local variable declaration statement (§14.4.2) is the rest of the block, starting with the declaration's own initializer and including any further declarators to the right in the local variable declaration statement.

The scope of a local variable declared in the *ForInit* part of a basic `for` statement (§14.14.1) includes all of the following:

- Its own initializer

- Any further declarators to the right in the *ForInit* part of the `for` statement

- The *Expression* and *ForUpdate* parts of the `for` statement

- The contained *Statement*

The scope of a local variable declared in the header of an enhanced `for` statement (§14.14.2) is the contained *Statement*.

The scope of a local variable declared in the resource specification of a `try`-with-resources statement (§14.20.3) is from the declaration rightward over the remainder of the resource specification and the entire `try` block associated with the `try`-with-resources statement.

The translation of a `try`-with-resources statement implies the rule above.

The scope of a parameter of an exception handler that is declared in a `catch` clause of a `try` statement (§14.20) is the entire block associated with the `catch`.

Example 6.3-1. Scope of Class Declarations

These rules imply that declarations of class and interface types need not appear before uses of the types. In the following program, the use of `PointList` in class `Point` is valid, because the scope of the class declaration `PointList` includes both class `Point` and class `PointList`, as well as any other class or interface declarations in other compilation units of package `points`.

```
package points;
class Point {
    int x, y;
    PointList list;
    Point next;
}

class PointList {
    Point first;
}
```

Example 6.3-2. Scope of Local Variable Declarations

The following program causes a compile-time error because the initialization of local variable x is within the scope of the declaration of local variable x, but the local variable x does not yet have a value and cannot be used. The field x has a value of 0 (assigned when `Test1` was initialized) but is a red herring since it is shadowed (§6.4.1) by the local variable x.

```
class Test1 {
    static int x;
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int x = x;
    }
}
```

The following program does compile:

```
class Test2 {
    static int x;
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int x = (x=2)*2;
        System.out.println(x);
    }
}
```

because the local variable x is definitely assigned (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)) before it is used. It prints:

```
4
```

In the following program, the initializer for `three` can correctly refer to the variable `two` declared in an earlier declarator, and the method invocation in the next line can correctly refer to the variable `three` declared earlier in the block.

```
class Test3 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.print("2+1=");
        int two = 2, three = two + 1;
        System.out.println(three);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
2+1=3
```

The scope of a pattern variable declaration (that is, a local variable declared by a pattern) is the part of the program that might be executed after the matching of a value against the pattern has succeeded (§14.30.2). It is determined by considering the program points where the pattern variable is *definitely matched* in a region beginning with the pattern that declares the pattern variable.

The remainder of this section is devoted to a precise explanation of the words "definitely matched". The analysis takes into account the structure of statements and expressions, with a special treatment for the boolean expression operators and certain statement forms.

It will be seen that the scope of a pattern variable declaration is a flow-dependent concept similar to definite assignment (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)). The rules defined in the rest of this section deliberately have a similar form to the rules of definite assignment.

The analysis relies on the technical term "introduced by", which has the following form:

- a pattern variable is *introduced by* an expression *when true*

- a pattern variable is *introduced by* an expression *when false*

- a pattern variable is *introduced by* a statement

The simplest example is that the pattern variable `s` is introduced by the expression `a instanceof String s` when true. In other words, if the value of the expression is `true` then the pattern matching must have succeeded, and thus the pattern variable must have been assigned a value.

In contrast, the pattern variable `t` is introduced by the expression `!(b instanceof Integer t)` when false. This is because the pattern matching could only have succeeded if the value of the expression is `false`.

6.3.1   **Scope for Pattern Variables in Expressions**

Only certain kinds of boolean expressions are involved in introducing pattern variables and determining where those variables are definitely matched. If an expression is not a conditional-and expression, conditional-or expression, logical complement expression, conditional expression, `instanceof` expression, `switch` expression, or parenthesized expression, then no scope rules apply.

6.3.1.1   *Conditional-And Operator* `&&`

The following rules apply to a conditional-and expression `a && b` (§15.23):

- A pattern variable introduced by `a` when true is definitely matched at `b`.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `a` when true is already in scope at `b`.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `a && b` when true iff either (i) it is introduced by `a` when true or (ii) it is introduced by `b` when true.

  > It should be noted that there is no rule for introducing a pattern variable by `a && b` when false. This is because it cannot be determined at compile time which operand will evaluate to `false`.

It is a compile-time error if any of the following conditions hold:

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when true and (ii) introduced by `b` when true.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when false and (ii) introduced by `b` when false.

  > These two error cases exclude the possibility of both operands of the `&&` operator declaring a pattern variable of the same name. For example, consider the problematic expression `(a instanceof String s) && (b instanceof String s)`. The first error case covers the entire expression evaluating to `true`, where (if the code were legal) two declarations of a pattern variable `s` would need to be initialized, given that both the left-hand operand and the right-hand operand evaluated to `true`. Since there is no way to distinguish the two variables called `s` in the rest of the program, the entire expression is considered erroneous. The second error case covers the opposite scenario where the entire expression evaluates to `false`.

6.3.1.2   *Conditional-Or Operator* `||`

The following rules apply to a conditional-or expression `a || b` (§15.24):

- A pattern variable introduced by `a` when false is definitely matched at `b`.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `a` when false is already in scope at `b`.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `a || b` when false iff either (i) it is introduced by `a` when false or (ii) it is introduced by `b` when false.

  > It should be noted that there is no rule for introducing a pattern variable by `a || b` when true. This is because it cannot be determined at compile time which operand will evaluate to `true`.

It is a compile-time error if any of the following conditions hold:

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when true and (ii) introduced by `b` when true.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when false and (ii) introduced by `b` when false.

  > These two error cases exclude the possibility of both operands of the `||` operator declaring a pattern variable of the same name. For example, consider the problematic expression `(a instanceof String s) || (b instanceof String s)`. The first error case covers the entire expression evaluating to `true`, where (if the code were legal) exactly one declaration of a pattern variable `s` would be initialized depending on whether the left-hand operand or the right-hand operand evaluated to `true`. Since it cannot be determined at compile time which operand will evaluate to `true`, and therefore which declaration of `s` will be initialized, the entire expression is considered erroneous. The second error case covers the opposite scenario where the entire expression evaluates to `false`.

6.3.1.3 *Logical Complement Operator* `!`

The following rules apply to a logical complement expression `!a` (§15.15.6):

- A pattern variable is introduced by `!a` when true iff it is introduced by `a` when false.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `!a` when false iff it is introduced by `a` when true.

6.3.1.4 *Conditional Operator* `? :`

The following rules apply to a conditional expression `a ? b : c` (§15.25):

- A pattern variable introduced by `a` when true is definitely matched at `b`.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `a` when true is already in scope at `b`.

165

- A pattern variable introduced by `a` when false is definitely matched at `c`.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `a` when false is already in scope at `c`.

  > It should be noted that there are no rules for introducing a pattern variable by `a ? b : c` when true or false. This is because it cannot be determined at compile time whether the operand `a` will evaluate to `true`.

It is a compile-time error if any of the following conditions hold:

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when true and (ii) introduced by `c` when true.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when true and (ii) introduced by `c` when false.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when false and (ii) introduced by `b` when true.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `a` when false and (ii) introduced by `b` when false.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `b` when true and (ii) introduced by `c` when true.

- A pattern variable is both (i) introduced by `b` when false and (ii) introduced by `c` when false.

  > These error cases are analogous to similar error cases for the `&&` and `||` operators. They eliminate confusing cases where multiple declarations of the same pattern variable may occur across the operands of the `? :` operator.

6.3.1.5 *Pattern Match Operator* `instanceof`

The following rules apply to an `instanceof` expression with a pattern operand, `a instanceof p` (§15.20.2):

- A pattern variable is introduced by `a instanceof p` when true iff it is declared by the pattern `p`. The rules for determining which pattern variables are declared by a pattern are given in §14.30.1.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `a instanceof p` when true is already in scope at the `instanceof` expression.

  > A pattern variable is not permitted to shadow another local variable (§6.4).

It should be noted that there is no rule for introducing a pattern variable by `a instanceof p` when false.

6.3.1.6 `switch` *Expressions*

The following rule applies to a `switch` expression (§15.28):

- A pattern variable introduced by a statement `s` contained in a switch labeled statement group (§14.11.1) is definitely matched at all the statements following `s`, if any, in the switch labeled statement group.

6.3.1.7 *Parenthesized Expressions*

The following rules apply to a parenthesized expression `(a)` (§15.8.5):

- A pattern variable is introduced by `(a)` when true iff it is introduced by `a` when true.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `(a)` when false iff it is introduced by `a` when false.

6.3.2 Scope for Pattern Variables in Statements

Only a few kinds of statements play a significant role in determining the scope of pattern variables.

Where an `if`, `while`, `do`, or `for` statement contains an expression that introduces pattern variables, the scope of those variables can, in certain circumstances, include substatements of the statement.

For example, in the following `if-then-else` statement, the scope of the pattern variable `s` includes one substatement but not another:

```
Object o = ...
if (o instanceof String s)
    // s in scope for this substatement; no cast of o needed
    System.out.println(s.replace('*', '_'));
else
    // s not in scope for this substatement (hence, error)
    System.out.println(s);
```

Also, in certain circumstances, a pattern variable can be introduced by a statement itself, rather than by an expression within the statement. A pattern variable introduced by a statement is in scope at the following statements in the enclosing block.

For example, in the following method, the scope of the pattern variable s includes the method body following the `if` statement:

```
void test(Object o) {
    if (!(o instanceof String s)) {
        throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    }
    // This point is only reachable if the pattern match succeeded
    // Thus, s is in scope for the rest of the block
    ...
    System.out.println(s.repeat(5));
    ...
}
```

6.3.2.1   *Blocks*

The following rule applies to a block statement S contained in a block (§14.2) that is not a switch block (§14.11.1):

- A pattern variable introduced by S is definitely matched at all the block statements following S, if any, in the block.

6.3.2.2   `if` *Statements*

The following rules apply to a statement `if (e) S` (§14.9.1):

- A pattern variable introduced by e when true is definitely matched at S.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by e when true is already in scope at S.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `if (e) S` iff (i) it is introduced by e when false and (ii) S cannot complete normally.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `if (e) S` is already in scope at the `if` statement.

  The rule about an `if-then` statement introducing a pattern variable relies on the notion of "cannot complete normally" (§14.22), which in turn relies on the concept of a constant expression (§15.29). This means that calculating the scope of a pattern variable may require determining whether a simple name, or a qualified name of the form *TypeName . Identifier*, refers to a constant variable. As pattern variables can never refer to a constant variable, there is no circularity.

The following rules apply to a statement `if (e) S else T` (§14.9.2):

- A pattern variable introduced by e when true is definitely matched at S.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by e when true is already in scope at S.

- A pattern variable introduced by e when false is definitely matched at T.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by e when false is already in scope at T.

- A pattern variable is introduced by `if (e)` S `else` T iff either:

  – It is introduced by e when true, and S can complete normally, and T cannot complete normally; or

  – It is introduced by e when false, and S cannot complete normally, and T can complete normally.

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by `if (e)` S `else` T is already in scope at the `if` statement.

> These rules highlight the flow-like nature of scoping for pattern variables. For example, in the following statement:
>
> ```
> if (e instanceof String s) {
>     counter += s.length();
> } else {
>     System.out.println(e);  // s not in scope
> }
> ```
>
> The pattern variable s is introduced by the `instanceof` expression and is in scope in the first contained statement (the assignment statement in the `then` block), but it is not in scope in the second contained statement (the expression statement in the `else` block).
>
> Moreover, combined with the treatment for boolean expressions, the scope of pattern variables is robust against code refactorings that exploit the familar boolean logical equivalences. For example, the previous code can be rewritten as:
>
> ```
> if (!(e instanceof String s)) {
>     System.out.println(e);  // s not in scope
> } else {
>     counter += s.length();
> }
> ```
>
> The code can even be rewritten as follows, though double use of the `!` operator is not necessarily recommended:

```
if (!!(e instanceof String s)) {
    counter += s.length();
} else {
    System.out.println(e);  // s not in scope
}
```

6.3.2.3   while *Statements*

The following rules apply to a statement while (e) S (§14.12):

• A pattern variable introduced by e when true is definitely matched at S.

   It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by e when true is already in scope at S.

• A pattern variable is introduced by while (e) S iff (i) it is introduced by e when false and (ii) S does not contain a reachable break statement whose break target contains S (§14.15).

   It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by while (e) S is already in scope at the while statement.

6.3.2.4   do *Statements*

The following rules apply to a statement do S while (e) (§14.13):

• A pattern variable is introduced by do S while (e) iff (i) it is introduced by e when false and (ii) S does not contain a reachable break statement whose break target contains S (§14.15).

   It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by do S while (e) is already in scope at the do statement.

6.3.2.5   for *Statements*

The following rules apply to a basic for statement (§14.14.1):

• A pattern variable introduced by the condition expression when true is definitely matched at both the incrementation part and the contained statement.

   It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by the condition expression when true is already in scope at the incrementation part of the contained statement.

- A pattern variable is introduced by a basic `for` statement iff (i) it is introduced by the condition expression when false and (ii) the contained statement, *S*, does not contain a reachable `break` statement whose break target contains *S* (§14.15).

  It is a compile-time error if any pattern variable introduced by a basic `for` statement is already in scope at the `for` statement.

An enhanced `for` statement (§14.14.2) is defined by translation to a basic `for` statement, so no special rules need to be provided for it.

6.3.2.6   `switch` *Statements*

The following rule applies to a `switch` statement (§14.11):

- A pattern variable introduced by a labeled statement *S* contained in a switch block statement group (§14.11.1) is definitely matched at all the statements following *S*, if any, in the switch block statement group.

6.3.2.7   *Labeled Statements*

The following rule applies to a labeled statement (§14.7):

- A pattern variable is introduced by a labeled statement iff it is introduced by the labeled statement's immediately contained *Statement*.

6.4   Shadowing and Obscuring

A local variable (§14.4), formal parameter (§8.4.1, §8.8.1, §15.27.1), exception parameter (§14.20), local class, or local interface (§14.3) can only be referred to using a simple name, not a qualified name (§6.2).

Some declarations are not permitted within the scope of a local variable declaration, formal parameter declaration, exception parameter declaration, local class declaration, or local interface declaration because it would be impossible to distinguish between the declared entities using only simple names.

> For example, if the name of a formal parameter of a method could be redeclared as the name of a local variable in the method body, then the local variable would shadow the formal parameter and there would be no way to refer to the formal parameter - an undesirable outcome.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a formal parameter is used to declare a new variable within the body of the method, constructor, or lambda expression, unless

the new variable is declared within a class or interface declaration contained by the method, constructor, or lambda expression.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a local variable *v* is used to declare a new variable within the scope of *v*, unless the new variable is declared within a class or interface declaration appearing within the scope of *v*.

It is a compile-time error if the name of an exception parameter is used to declare a new variable within the *Block* of the `catch` clause, unless the new variable is declared within a class or interface declaration contained by the *Block* of the `catch` clause.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a local class or interface *C* is used to declare a new local class or interface within the scope of *C*, unless the new local class or interface is declared within a class or interface declaration appearing within the scope of *C*.

These rules allow redeclaration of a variable, local class, or local interface in nested class or interface declarations that occur in the scope of the variable, local class, or local interface; such nested class or interface declarations may be local class or interface declarations (§14.3) or anonymous class declarations (§15.9.5). Thus, the declaration of a formal parameter, local variable, local class, or local interface may be shadowed in a class or interface declaration nested within a method, constructor, or lambda expression; and the declaration of an exception parameter may be shadowed in a class or interface declaration nested within the *Block* of the `catch` clause.

There are two design alternatives for handling name clashes created by lambda parameters and other variables declared in lambda expressions. One is to mimic class declarations: like local classes, lambda expressions introduce a new "level" for names, and all variable names outside the expression can be redeclared. Another is a "local" strategy: like `catch` clauses, `for` loops, and blocks, lambda expressions operate at the same "level" as the enclosing context, and local variables outside the expression cannot be shadowed. The above rules use the local strategy; there is no special dispensation that allows a variable declared in a lambda expression to shadow a variable declared in an enclosing method.

Example 6.4-1. Attempted Shadowing Of A Local Variable

Because a declaration of an identifier as a local variable of a method, constructor, or initializer block must not appear within the scope of a parameter or local variable of the same name, a compile-time error occurs for the following program:

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i;
        for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
            System.out.println(i);
    }
}
```

This restriction helps to detect some otherwise very obscure bugs. A similar restriction on shadowing of members by local variables was judged impractical, because the addition of a member in a superclass could cause subclasses to have to rename local variables. Related considerations make restrictions on shadowing of local variables by members of nested classes, or on shadowing of local variables by local variables declared within nested classes unattractive as well.

Hence, the following program compiles without error:

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i;
        class Local {
            {
                for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
                    System.out.println(i);
            }
        }
        new Local();
    }
}
```

On the other hand, local variables with the same name may be declared in two separate blocks or `for` statements, neither of which contains the other:

```
class Test3 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
            System.out.print(i + " ");
        for (int i = 10; i > 0; i--)
            System.out.print(i + " ");
        System.out.println();
    }
}
```

This program compiles without error and, when executed, produces the output:

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
```

This style is also common with pattern matching, where repeated patterns often employ the same name:

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
}

class Test4 {
    static void test(Object a, Object b, Object c) {
        if (a instanceof Point p) {
            System.out.println("a is a point ("+p.x+","+p.y+")");
```

173

```
            }
            if (b instanceof Point p){
                System.out.println("b is a point ("+p.x+","+p.y+")");
            } else if (c instanceof Point p) {
                System.out.println("c is a point ("+p.x+","+p.y+")");
            }
        }

        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Point p = new Point(2,3);
            Point q = new Point(4,5);
            Point r = new Point(6,7);
            test(p, q, r);
        }
    }
```

However, pattern variables are not allowed to shadow local variables, including other pattern variables, so two compile-time errors occur for the following program:

```
    class Point {
        int x, y;
        Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
    }

    class Test5 {
        static void test(Object a, Object b, Object c) {
            if (a instanceof Point p) {
                System.out.println("a is a point ("+p.x+","+p.y+")");

                if (b instanceof Point p) {  // compile-time error
                    System.out.println("b is a point ("+p.x+","+p.y+")");
                }
            }
        }

        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Point p = new Point(2,3);
            Point q = new Point(4,5);
            Point r = new Point(6,7);
            test(p, q, r);

            if (new Object() instanceof Point q)  // compile-time error
                System.out.println("I get your point");
        }
    }
```

6.4.1   Shadowing

Some declarations may be *shadowed* in part of their scope by another declaration of the same name, in which case a simple name cannot be used to refer to the declared entity.

Shadowing is distinct from hiding (§8.3, §8.4.8.2, §8.5, §9.3, §9.5), which applies only to members which would otherwise be inherited but are not because of a declaration in a subclass. Shadowing is also distinct from obscuring (§6.4.2).

A declaration d of a type named n shadows the declarations of any other types named n that are in scope at the point where d occurs throughout the scope of d.

A declaration d of a field or formal parameter named n shadows, throughout the scope of d, the declarations of any other variables named n that are in scope at the point where d occurs.

A declaration d of a local variable or exception parameter named n shadows, throughout the scope of d, (a) the declarations of any other fields named n that are in scope at the point where d occurs, and (b) the declarations of any other variables named n that are in scope at the point where d occurs but are *not* declared in the innermost class in which d is declared.

A declaration d of a method named n shadows the declarations of any other methods named n that are in an enclosing scope at the point where d occurs throughout the scope of d.

A package declaration never shadows any other declaration.

A type-import-on-demand declaration never causes any other declaration to be shadowed.

A static-import-on-demand declaration never causes any other declaration to be shadowed.

A single-type-import declaration d in a compilation unit c of package p that imports a type named n shadows, throughout c, the declarations of:

- any top level type named n declared in another compilation unit of p

- any type named n imported by a type-import-on-demand declaration in c

- any type named n imported by a static-import-on-demand declaration in c

A single-static-import declaration d in a compilation unit c of package p that imports a field named n shadows the declaration of any static field named n imported by a static-import-on-demand declaration in c, throughout c.

A single-static-import declaration d in a compilation unit c of package p that imports a method named n with signature s shadows the declaration of any static method named n with signature s imported by a static-import-on-demand declaration in c, throughout c.

A single-static-import declaration d in a compilation unit c of package p that imports a type named n shadows, throughout c, the declarations of:

- any static type named n imported by a static-import-on-demand declaration in c;

- any top level type (§7.6) named n declared in another compilation unit (§7.3) of p;

- any type named n imported by a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2) in c.

Example 6.4.1-1. Shadowing of a Field Declaration by a Local Variable Declaration

```
class Test {
    static int x = 1;
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int x = 0;
        System.out.print("x=" + x);
        System.out.println(", Test.x=" + Test.x);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
x=0, Test.x=1
```

This program declares:

- a class `Test`

- a class (`static`) variable `x` that is a member of the class `Test`

- a class method `main` that is a member of the class `Test`

- a parameter `args` of the `main` method

- a local variable `x` of the `main` method

Since the scope of a class variable includes the entire body of the class (§8.2), the class variable `x` would normally be available throughout the entire body of the method `main`. In this example, however, the class variable `x` is shadowed within the body of the method `main` by the declaration of the local variable `x`.

A local variable has as its scope the rest of the block in which it is declared (§6.3); in this case this is the rest of the body of the `main` method, namely its initializer `"0"` and the invocations of `System.out.print` and `System.out.println`.

This means that:

- The expression `x` in the invocation of `print` refers to (denotes) the value of the local variable `x`.

- The invocation of `println` uses a qualified name (§6.6) `Test.x`, which uses the class type name `Test` to access the class variable `x`, because the declaration of `Test.x` is shadowed at this point and cannot be referred to by its simple name.

The keyword `this` can also be used to access a shadowed field `x`, using the form `this.x`. Indeed, this idiom typically appears in constructors (§8.8):

```
class Pair {
    Object first, second;
    public Pair(Object first, Object second) {
        this.first = first;
        this.second = second;
    }
}
```

Here, the constructor takes parameters having the same names as the fields to be initialized. This is simpler than having to invent different names for the parameters and is not too confusing in this stylized context. In general, however, it is considered poor style to have local variables with the same names as fields.

Example 6.4.1-2. Shadowing of a Type Declaration by Another Type Declaration

```
import java.util.*;

class Vector {
    int[] val = { 1 , 2 };
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Vector v = new Vector();
        System.out.println(v.val[0]);
    }
}
```

The program compiles and prints:

```
1
```

using the class `Vector` declared here in preference to the generic class `java.util.Vector` (§8.1.2) that might be imported on demand.

6.4.2   Obscuring

A simple name may occur in contexts where it may potentially be interpreted as the name of a variable, a type, or a package. In these situations, the rules of §6.5.2 specify that a variable will be chosen in preference to a type, and that a type will be chosen in preference to a package. Thus, it is may sometimes be impossible to refer

to a type or package via its simple name, even though its declaration is in scope and not shadowed. We say that such a declaration is *obscured*.

Obscuring is distinct from shadowing (§6.4.1) and hiding (§8.3, §8.4.8.2, §8.5, §9.3, §9.5).

There is no obscuring between the name of a module and the name of a variable, type, or package; thus, modules may share names with variables, types, and packages, though it is not necessarily recommended to name a module after a package it contains.

The naming conventions of §6.1 help reduce obscuring, but if it does occur, here are some notes about what you can do to avoid it.

When package names occur in expressions:

- If a package name is obscured by a field declaration, then `import` declarations (§7.5) can usually be used to make available the type names declared in that package.

- If a package name is obscured by a declaration of a parameter or local variable, then the name of the parameter or local variable can be changed without affecting other code.

The first component of a package name is normally not easily mistaken for a type name, as a type name normally begins with a single uppercase letter. (The Java programming language does not actually rely on case distinctions to determine whether a name is a package name or a type name.)

Obscuring involving class and interface type names is rare. Names of fields, parameters, and local variables normally do not obscure type names because they conventionally begin with a lowercase letter whereas type names conventionally begin with an uppercase letter.

Method names cannot obscure or be obscured by other names (§6.5.7).

Obscuring involving field names is rare; however:

- If a field name obscures a package name, then an `import` declaration (§7.5) can usually be used to make available the type names declared in that package.

- If a field name obscures a type name, then a fully qualified name for the type can be used unless the type name denotes a local class or interface (§14.3).

- Field names cannot obscure method names.

- If a field name is shadowed by a declaration of a parameter or local variable, then the name of the parameter or local variable can be changed without affecting other code.

Obscuring involving constant names is rare:

- Constant names normally have no lowercase letters, so they will not normally obscure names of packages or types, nor will they normally shadow fields, whose names typically contain at least one lowercase letter.

   • Constant names cannot obscure method names, because they are distinguished
     syntactically.

6.5 Determining the Meaning of a Name

The meaning of a name depends on the context in which it is used. The
determination of the meaning of a name requires three steps:

• First, context causes a name syntactically to fall into one of seven categories:
  ModuleName, *PackageName*, *TypeName*, *ExpressionName*, *MethodName*,
  PackageOrTypeName, or *AmbiguousName*.

  TypeName and *MethodName* are less expressive than the other five categories,
  because they are denoted with *TypeIdentifier* and *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier*,
  respectively (§3.8).

• Second, a name that is initially classified by its context as an *AmbiguousName* or
  as a *PackageOrTypeName* is then reclassified to be a *PackageName*, *TypeName*,
  or *ExpressionName*.

• Third, the resulting category then dictates the final determination of the meaning
  of the name (or a compile-time error if the name has no meaning).

   ModuleName:
     Identifier
     ModuleName . Identifier

   PackageName:
     Identifier
     PackageName . Identifier

   TypeName:
     TypeIdentifier
     PackageOrTypeName . TypeIdentifier

   PackageOrTypeName:
     Identifier
     PackageOrTypeName . Identifier

   ExpressionName:
     Identifier
     AmbiguousName . Identifier

MethodName:
   UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier

AmbiguousName:
   Identifier
   AmbiguousName . Identifier

The use of context helps to minimize name conflicts between entities of different kinds. Such conflicts will be rare if the naming conventions described in §6.1 are followed. Nevertheless, conflicts may arise unintentionally as types developed by different programmers or different organizations evolve. For example, types, methods, and fields may have the same name. It is always possible to distinguish between a method and a field with the same name, since the context of a use always tells whether a method is intended.

6.5.1   Syntactic Classification of a Name According to Context

A name is syntactically classified as a *ModuleName* in these contexts:

- In a `requires` directive in a module declaration (§7.7.1)

- To the right of `to` in an `exports` or `opens` directive in a module declaration (§7.7.2)

A name is syntactically classified as a *PackageName* in these contexts:

- To the right of `exports` or `opens` in a module declaration

- To the left of the "`.`" in a qualified *PackageName*

A name is syntactically classified as a *TypeName* in these contexts:

- To name a class or interface:

    1. In a `uses` or `provides` directive in a module declaration (§7.7.1)

    2. In a single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1)

    3. To the left of the `.` in a single-static-import declaration (§7.5.3)

    4. To the left of the `.` in a static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.4)

    5. In a `permits` clause of a `sealed` class or interface declaration (§8.1.6, §9.1.4).

    6. To the left of the `(` in a constructor declaration (§8.8)

    7. After the `@` sign in an annotation (§9.7)

    8. To the left of `.class` in a class literal (§15.8.2)

    9. To the left of `.this` in a qualified `this` expression (§15.8.4)

    10. To the left of `.super` in a qualified superclass field access expression (§15.11.2)

    11. To the left of `.`*Identifier* or `.super`.*Identifier* in a qualified method invocation expression (§15.12)

    12. To the left of `.super::` in a method reference expression (§15.13)

- As the *Identifier* or dotted *Identifier* sequence that constitutes any *ReferenceType* (including a *ReferenceType* to the left of the brackets in an array type, or to the left of the < in a parameterized type, or in a non-wildcard type argument of a parameterized type, or in an `extends` or `super` clause of a wildcard type

181

argument of a parameterized type) in the 17 contexts where types are used (§4.11):

1. In an `extends` or `implements` clause of a class declaration (§8.1.4, §8.1.5)

2. In an `extends` clause of an interface declaration (§9.1.3)

3. The return type of a method (§8.4.5, §9.4), including the type of an element of an annotation interface (§9.6.1)

4. In the `throws` clause of a method or constructor (§8.4.6, §8.8.5, §9.4)

5. In an `extends` clause of a type parameter declaration of a generic class, interface, method, or constructor (§8.1.2, §9.1.2, §8.4.4, §8.8.4)

6. The type in a field declaration of a class or interface (§8.3, §9.3)

7. The type in a formal parameter declaration of a method, constructor, or lambda expression (§8.4.1, §8.8.1, §9.4, §15.27.1)

8. The type of the receiver parameter of a method (§8.4)

9. The type in a local variable declaration in either a statement (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) or a pattern (§14.30.1)

10. A type in an exception parameter declaration (§14.20)

11. The type in a record component declaration of a record class (§8.10.1)

12. In an explicit type argument list to an explicit constructor invocation statement or class instance creation expression or method invocation expression (§8.8.7.1, §15.9, §15.12)

13. In an unqualified class instance creation expression, either as the class type to be instantiated (§15.9) or as the direct superclass or direct superinterface of an anonymous class to be instantiated (§15.9.5)

14. The element type in an array creation expression (§15.10.1)

15. The type in the cast operator of a cast expression (§15.16)

16. The type that follows the `instanceof` relational operator (§15.20.2)

17. In a method reference expression (§15.13), as the reference type to search for a member method or as the class type or array type to construct.

The extraction of a *TypeName* from the identifiers of a *ReferenceType* in the 17 contexts above is intended to apply recursively to all sub-terms of the *ReferenceType*, such as its element type and any type arguments.

For example, suppose a field declaration uses the type `p.q.Foo[]`. The brackets of the array type are ignored, and the term `p.q.Foo` is extracted as a dotted sequence of *Identifiers* to the left of the brackets in an array type, and classified as a *TypeName*. A later step determines which of `p`, `q`, and `Foo` is a type name or a package name.

As another example, suppose a cast operator uses the type `p.q.Foo<? extends String>`. The term `p.q.Foo` is again extracted as a dotted sequence of *Identifier* terms, this time to the left of the `<` in a parameterized type, and classified as a *TypeName*. The term `String` is extracted as an *Identifier* in an `extends` clause of a wildcard type argument of a parameterized type, and classified as a *TypeName*.

A name is syntactically classified as an *ExpressionName* in these contexts:

- As the qualifying expression in a qualified superclass constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1)

- As the qualifying expression in a qualified class instance creation expression (§15.9)

- As the array reference expression in an array access expression (§15.10.3)

- As a *PostfixExpression* (§15.14)

- As the left-hand operand of an assignment operator (§15.26)

- As a *VariableAccess* in a `try`-with-resources statement (§14.20.3)

A name is syntactically classified as a *MethodName* in this context:

- Before the "`(`" in a method invocation expression (§15.12)

A name is syntactically classified as a *PackageOrTypeName* in these contexts:

- To the left of the "`.`" in a qualified *TypeName*

- In a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2)

A name is syntactically classified as an *AmbiguousName* in these contexts:

- To the left of the "`.`" in a qualified *ExpressionName*

- To the left of the rightmost `.` that occurs before the "`(`" in a method invocation expression

- To the left of the "`.`" in a qualified *AmbiguousName*

- In the default value clause of an annotation element declaration (§9.6.2)

- To the right of an "`=`" in an an element-value pair (§9.7.1)

- To the left of `::` in a method reference expression (§15.13)

The effect of syntactic classification is to restrict certain kinds of entities to certain parts of expressions:

- The name of a field, parameter, or local variable may be used as an expression (§15.14.1).

- The name of a method may appear in an expression only as part of a method invocation expression (§15.12).

- The name of a class or interface may appear in an expression only as part of a class literal (§15.8.2), a qualified `this` expression (§15.8.4), a class instance creation expression (§15.9), an array creation expression (§15.10.1), a cast expression (§15.16), an `instanceof` expression (§15.20.2), an enum constant (§8.9), or as part of a qualified name for a field or method.

- The name of a package may appear in an expression only as part of a qualified name for a class or interface.

6.5.2   Reclassification of Contextually Ambiguous Names

An *AmbiguousName* is then reclassified as follows.

If the *AmbiguousName* is a simple name, consisting of a single *Identifier*, then:

- If the *Identifier* appears within the scope of a declaration (§6.3) denoting either a local variable, formal parameter, exception parameter, or field with that name (§14.4, §8.4.1, §8.8.1, §15.27.1, §14.20, §8.3), then the *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as an *ExpressionName*.

- Otherwise, if the *Identifier* is a valid *TypeIdentifier* (§3.8) and appears within the scope of a declaration denoting a class, interface, or type parameter with that name (§8.1, §9.1, §8.4.4, §8.8.4), then the *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

- Otherwise, the *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as a *PackageName*. A later step determines whether or not a package of that name actually exists.

If the *AmbiguousName* is a qualified name, consisting of a name, a ".", and an *Identifier*, then the name to the left of the "." is first reclassified, for it is itself an *AmbiguousName*. There is then a choice:

- If the name to the left of the "." is reclassified as a *PackageName*, then:

  – If the *Identifier* is a valid *TypeIdentifier*, and there is a package whose name is the name to the left of the ".", and that package contains a declaration of a type whose name is the same as the *Identifier*, then this *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

  – Otherwise, this *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as a *PackageName*. A later step determines whether or not a package of that name actually exists.

- If the name to the left of the "." is reclassified as a *TypeName*, then:

  – If the *Identifier* is the name of a method or field of the type denoted by *TypeName*, then this *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as an *ExpressionName*.

  – Otherwise, if the *Identifier* is a valid *TypeIdentifier* and is the name of a member type of the type denoted by *TypeName*, then this *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

  – Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the name to the left of the "." is reclassified as an *ExpressionName*, then this *AmbiguousName* is reclassified as an *ExpressionName*. A later step determines whether or not a member with the name *Identifier* actually exists.

> The requirement that a potential type name be "a valid *TypeIdentifier*" prevents treating var and yield as a type name. It is usually redundant, because the rules for declarations already prevent the introduction of types named var and yield. However, in some cases, a compiler may find a binary class named var or yield, and we want to be clear that such classes can never be named. The simplest solution is to consistently check for a valid *TypeIdentifier*.

> **Example 6.5.2-1. Reclassification of Contextually Ambiguous Names**

> Consider the following contrived "library code":

> ```
> package org.rpgpoet;
> import java.util.Random;
> public interface Music { Random[] wizards = new Random[4]; }
> ```

> and then consider this example code in another package:

> ```
> package bazola;
> class Gabriel {
>     static int n = org.rpgpoet.Music.wizards.length;
> }
> ```

> First of all, the name org.rpgpoet.Music.wizards.length is classified as an *ExpressionName* because it functions as a *PostfixExpression*. Therefore, each of the names:

> ```
> org.rpgpoet.Music.wizards
> org.rpgpoet.Music
> org.rpgpoet
> org
> ```

> is initially classified as an *AmbiguousName*. These are then reclassified:

> - The simple name org is reclassified as a *PackageName* (since there is no variable or type named org in scope).

- Next, assuming that there is no class or interface named `rpgpoet` in any compilation unit of package `org` (and we know that there is no such class or interface because package `org` has a subpackage named `rpgpoet`), the qualified name `org.rpgpoet` is reclassified as a *PackageName*.

- Next, because package `org.rpgpoet` has an accessible (§6.6) interface type named `Music`, the qualified name `org.rpgpoet.Music` is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

- Finally, because the name `org.rpgpoet.Music` is a *TypeName*, the qualified name `org.rpgpoet.Music.wizards` is reclassified as an *ExpressionName*.

6.5.3   Meaning of Module Names and Package Names

The module name M, whether simple or qualified, denotes the module (if any) with that name.

> This section does not mandate a compile-time error if no module with that name is observable. Instead, the `requires` directive in a module declaration (§7.7.1) performs its own validation of the module name, while the `exports` and `opens` directives (§7.7.2) are tolerant of non-existent module names.

The meaning of a name classified as a *PackageName* is determined as follows.

6.5.3.1   *Simple Package Names*

If a package name consists of a single *Identifier*, then the identifier must occur in the scope of exactly one declaration of a top level package with this name (§6.3), and that package must be uniquely visible to the current module (§7.4.3), or a compile-time error occurs. The meaning of the package name is that package.

6.5.3.2   *Qualified Package Names*

If a package name is of the form $Q.Id$, then Q must also be a package name. The package name $Q.Id$ names a package that is the member named Id within the package named by Q.

If $Q.Id$ does not name a package that is uniquely visible to the current module (§7.4.3), then a compile-time error occurs.

6.5.4   Meaning of *PackageOrTypeNames*

6.5.4.1   *Simple PackageOrTypeNames*

If the *PackageOrTypeName*, Q, is a valid *TypeIdentifier* and occurs in the scope of a class, interface, or type parameter named Q, then the *PackageOrTypeName* is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

Otherwise, the *PackageOrTypeName* is reclassified as a *PackageName*. The meaning of the *PackageOrTypeName* is the meaning of the reclassified name.

6.5.4.2   *Qualified PackageOrTypeNames*

Given a qualified *PackageOrTypeName* of the form `Q.Id`, if `Id` is a valid *TypeIdentifier* and the class, interface, type parameter, or package denoted by `Q` has a member class or interface named `Id`, then the qualified *PackageOrTypeName* name is reclassified as a *TypeName*.

Otherwise, it is reclassified as a *PackageName*. The meaning of the qualified *PackageOrTypeName* is the meaning of the reclassified name.

6.5.5   Meaning of Type Names

The meaning of a name classified as a *TypeName* is determined as follows.

6.5.5.1   *Simple Type Names*

If a type name consists of a single *Identifier*, then the identifier must occur in the scope of exactly one declaration of a class, interface, or type parameter with this name (§6.3), or a compile-time error occurs.

If the declaration denotes a type parameter of a generic class or interface `C` (§8.1.2, §9.1.2), then both of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The type name does not occur in a static context (§8.1.3).

- If the type name appears in a nested class or interface declaration of `C`, then the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the type name is an inner class of `C`.

> For example, the type name must not appear in the body of a `static` method declared by `C`, nor in the body of an instance method of a `static` class nested within `C`.

If the declaration denotes a type parameter of a generic method or constructor `m` (§8.4.4, §8.8.4), and the type name appears directly or indirectly in the body of a local class, local interface, or anonymous class `D` declared directly in the body of `m`, then both of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The type name does not occur in a static context.

- `D` is an inner class, and the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the type name is `D` or an inner class of `D`.

For example, the type name must not appear in the body of a static method declared by
D, nor (if *D* is a local interface) in the body of a default method of *D*.

The meaning of the type name is the in-scope class, interface, or type parameter.

Example 6.5.5.1-1. References to Type Parameters

```
class Box<T> {
    T val;
    Box(T t) { val = t; }

    static Box<T> empty() {   // compile-time error
        return new Box<>(null);
    }

    static <U> Box<U> make(U val) {
        interface Checker {
            void check(U val);   // compile-time error
        }

        class NullChecker implements Checker {
            public void check(U val) {
                if (val == null) {
                    throw new IllegalArgumentException();
                }
            }
        }

        new NullChecker().check(val);
        return new Box<U>(val);
    }
}
```

The class type parameter *T* is in scope throughout the declaration of class Box; however,
using the name *T* in the declaration of static method empty is illegal.

Similarly, the method type parameter *U* is in scope throughout the declaration of method
make; however, using the name *U* in the declaration of the (implicitly static) local
interface Checker is illegal.

6.5.5.2   *Qualified Type Names*

If a type name is of the form *Q.Id*, then *Q* must be either the name of a class,
interface, or type parameter in a package uniquely visible to the current module, or
the name of a package uniquely visible to the current module (§7.4.3).

If *Id* names exactly one accessible class or interface (§6.6) that is a member of the
class, interface, type parameter, or package denoted by *Q*, then the qualified type
name denotes that class or interface.

If Id does not name a member class or interface within Q (§8.5, §9.5), or the member class or interface named Id within Q is not accessible, or Id names more than one member class or interface within Q, then a compile-time error occurs.

Example 6.5.5.2-1. Qualified Type Names

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        java.util.Date date =
            new java.util.Date(System.currentTimeMillis());
        System.out.println(date.toLocaleString());
    }
}
```

This program produced the following output the first time it was run:

```
Sun Jan 21 22:56:29 1996
```

In this example, the name java.util.Date must denote a type, so we first use the procedure recursively to determine if java.util is an accessible class or interface or type parameter, or a package, which it is, and then we look to see if the class Date is accessible in this package.

6.5.6   Meaning of Expression Names

The meaning of a name classified as an *ExpressionName* is determined as follows.

6.5.6.1   *Simple Expression Names*

If an expression name consists of a single *Identifier*, then there must be exactly one declaration denoting either a local variable, formal parameter, exception parameter, or field in scope at the point at which the identifier occurs. Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

If the declaration denotes an instance variable of a class C (§8.3.1.1), then both of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The expression name does not occur in a static context (§8.1.3).

- If the expression name appears in a nested class or interface declaration of C, then the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the expression name is an inner class of C.

For example, the expression name must not appear in the body of a static method declared by C, nor in the body of an instance method of a static class nested within C.

189

If the declaration denotes a local variable, formal parameter, or exception parameter, let *x* be the innermost method declaration, constructor declaration, instance initializer, static initializer, field declaration, or explicit constructor invocation statement which encloses the local variable or parameter declaration. If the expression name appears directly or indirectly in the body of a local class, local interface, or anonymous class *D* declared directly in *x*, then both of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The expression name does not occur in a static context.

- *D* is an inner class, and the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the expression name is *D* or an inner class of *D*.

  For example, the expression name must not appear in the body of a `static` method declared by *D*, nor (if *D* is a local interface) in the body of a default method of *D*.

If the declaration denotes a local variable, formal parameter, or exception parameter that is neither `final` nor effectively final (§4.12.4), it is a compile-time error if the expression name appears either in an inner class enclosed directly or indirectly by *x*, or in a lambda expression contained by *x* (§15.27).

  The net effect of these rules is that a local variable, formal parameter, or exception parameter can only be referenced from a nested class or interface declared within its scope if (i) the reference is not within a static context, (ii) there is a chain of inner (non-`static`) classes from the reference to the variable declaration, and (iii) the variable is `final` or effectively final. References from lambda expressions also require the variable to be `final` or effectively final.

If the declaration declares a `final` variable which is definitely assigned before the simple expression, the meaning of the name is the value of that variable. Otherwise, the meaning of the expression name is the variable declared by the declaration.

If the expression name appears in an assignment context, invocation context, or casting context, then the type of the expression name is the declared type of the field, local variable, or parameter after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

Otherwise, the type of the expression name is the declared type of the field, local variable or parameter.

  That is, if the expression name appears "on the right hand side", its type is subject to capture conversion. If the expression name is a variable that appears "on the left hand side", its type is not subject to capture conversion.

  Example 6.5.6.1-1. Simple Expression Names

```
class Test {
    static int v;
```

```
        static final int f = 3;
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            int i;
            i = 1;
            v = 2;
            f = 33;  // compile-time error
            System.out.println(i + " " + v + " " + f);
        }
    }
```

In this program, the names used as the left-hand-sides in the assignments to i, v, and f denote the local variable i, the field v, and the value of f (not the variable f, because f is a final variable). The example therefore produces an error at compile time because the last assignment does not have a variable as its left-hand side. If the erroneous assignment is removed, the modified code can be compiled and it will produce the output:

```
    1 2 3
```

Example 6.5.6.1-2. References to Instance Variables

```
    class Test {
        static String a;
        String b;

        String concat1() {
            return a + b;
        }

        static String concat2() {
            return a + b;  // compile-time error
        }

        int index() {
            interface I {
                class Matcher {
                    void check() {
                        if (a == null ||
                            b == null) {  // compile-time error
                            throw new IllegalArgumentException();
                        }
                    }
                    int match(String s, String t) {
                        return s.indexOf(t);
                    }
                }
            }

        I.Matcher matcher = new I.Matcher();
        matcher.check();
        return matcher.match(a, b);
        }
    }
```

The fields a and b are in scope throughout the body of class Test. However, using the name b in the static context of the concat2 method, or in the declaration of the nested class Matcher that is not an inner class of Test, is illegal.

Example 6.5.6.1-3. References to Local Variables and Formal Parameters

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String first = args[0];

        class Checker {
            void checkWhitespace(int x) {
                String arg = args[x];
                if (!arg.trim().equals(arg)) {
                    throw new IllegalArgumentException();
                }
            }

            static void checkFlag(int x) {
                String arg = args[x];  // compile-time error
                if (!arg.startsWith("-")) {
                    throw new IllegalArgumentException();
                }
            }

            static void checkFirst() {
                Runnable r = new Runnable() {
                    public void run() {
                        if (first == null) {  // compile-time error
                            throw new IllegalArgumentException();
                        }
                    }
                };
                r.run();
            }
        }

        final Checker c = new Checker();
        c.checkFirst();
        for (int i = 1; i < args.length; i++) {
            Runnable r = () -> {
                c.checkWhitespace(i);  // compile-time error
                c.checkFlag(i);  // compile-time error
            };
        }
    }
}
```

The formal parameter args is in scope throughout the body of method main. args is effectively final, so the name args can be used in the instance method checkWhitespace of local class Checker. However, using the name args in the static context of the checkFlag method of local class Checker is illegal.

The local variable `first` is in scope for the remainder of the body of method `main`. `first` is also effectively final. However, the anonymous class declared in `checkFirst` is not an inner class of `Checker`, so using the name `first` in the anonymous class body is illegal. (A lambda expression in the body of `checkFirst` would similarly be unable to refer to `first`, because the lambda expression would occur in a static context.)

The local variable `c` is in scope for the last few lines of the body of method `main`, and is declared `final`, so the name `c` can be used in the body of the lambda expression.

The local variable `i` is in scope throughout the `for` loop. However, `i` is not effectively final, so using the name `i` in the body of the lambda expression is illegal.

6.5.6.2 *Qualified Expression Names*

If an expression name is of the form `Q.Id`, then `Q` has already been classified as a package name, a type name, or an expression name.

If `Q` is a package name, then a compile-time error occurs.

If `Q` is a type name that names a class type, then:

- If there is not exactly one accessible member (§6.6) of the class type that is a field named `Id`, then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, if the single accessible member field is not a class variable (that is, it is not declared `static`), then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, if the class variable is declared `final`, then `Q.Id` denotes the value of the class variable.

  The type of the expression `Q.Id` is the declared type of the class variable after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

  If `Q.Id` appears in a context that requires a variable and not a value, then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, `Q.Id` denotes the class variable.

  The type of the expression `Q.Id` is the declared type of the class variable after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

  Note that this clause covers the use of `enum` constants (§8.9), since these always have a corresponding `final` class variable.

If `Q` is a type name that names an interface type, then:

- If there is not exactly one accessible member of the interface type that is a field named `Id`, then a compile-time error occurs.

193

- Otherwise, `Q.Id` denotes the value of the field.

  The type of the expression `Q.Id` is the declared type of the field after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

  If `Q.Id` appears in a context that requires a variable and not a value, then a compile-time error occurs.

If `Q` is an expression name, let `T` be the type of the expression `Q`:

- If `T` is not a reference type, a compile-time error occurs.

- If there is not exactly one accessible member of the type `T` that is a field named `Id`, then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, if this field is any of the following:

  – A field of an interface type

  – A `final` field of a class type (which may be either a class variable or an instance variable)

  – The `final` field `length` of an array type (§10.7)

  then `Q.Id` denotes the value of the field, unless it appears in a context that requires a variable and the field is a definitely unassigned blank `final` field, in which case it yields a variable.

  The type of the expression `Q.Id` is the declared type of the field after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

  If `Q.Id` appears in a context that requires a variable and not a value, and the field denoted by `Q.Id` is definitely assigned, then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, `Q.Id` denotes a variable, the field `Id` of class `T`, which may be either a class variable or an instance variable.

  The type of the expression `Q.Id` is the type of the field member after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

Example 6.5.6.2-1. Qualified Expression Names

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    static int nPoints;
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i = 0;
        i.x++;          // compile-time error
```

```
                         Point p = new Point();
                         p.nPoints();  // compile-time error
                  }
            }
```

This program encounters two compile-time errors, because the `int` variable `i` has no members, and because `nPoints` is not a method of class `Point`.

Example 6.5.6.2-2. Qualifying an Expression with a Type Name

Note that expression names may be qualified by type names, but not by types in general. A consequence is that it is not possible to access a class variable through a parameterized type. For example, given the code:

```
class Foo<T> {
    public static int classVar = 42;
}
```

the following assignment is illegal:

```
Foo<String>.classVar = 91; // illegal
```

Instead, one writes:

```
Foo.classVar = 91;
```

This does not restrict the Java programming language in any meaningful way. Type parameters may not be used in the types of static variables, and so the type arguments of a parameterized type can never influence the type of a static variable. Therefore, no expressive power is lost. The type name `Foo` appears to be a raw type, but it is not; rather, it is the name of the non-generic type `Foo` whose static member is to be accessed (§6.1). Since there is no use of a raw type, there are no unchecked warnings.

6.5.7 Meaning of Method Names

The meaning of a name classified as a *MethodName* is determined as follows.

6.5.7.1 *Simple Method Names*

A simple method name appears in the context of a method invocation expression (§15.12). The simple method name consists of a single *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier* which specifies the name of the method to be invoked. The rules of method invocation require that the *UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier* denotes a method that is in scope at the point of the method invocation. The rules also prohibit (§15.12.3) a reference to an instance method occurring in a static context (§8.1.3), or in a nested class or interface other than an inner class of the class or interface which declares the instance method.

195

Example 6.5.7.1-1. Simple Method Names

The following program demonstrates the role of scoping when determining which method to invoke.

```
class Super {
    void f2(String s)      {}
    void f3(String s)      {}
    void f3(int i1, int i2) {}
}

class Test {
    void f1(int i) {}
    void f2(int i) {}
    void f3(int i) {}

    void m() {
        new Super() {
            {
                f1(0);  // OK, resolves to Test.f1(int)
                f2(0);  // compile-time error
                f3(0);  // compile-time error
            }
        };
    }
}
```

For the invocation `f1(0)`, only one method named `f1` is in scope. It is the method `Test.f1(int)`, whose declaration is in scope throughout the body of `Test` including the anonymous class declaration. §15.12.1 chooses to search in class `Test` since the anonymous class declaration has no member named `f1`. Eventually, `Test.f1(int)` is resolved.

For the invocation `f2(0)`, two methods named `f2` are in scope. First, the declaration of the method `Super.f2(String)` is in scope throughout the anonymous class declaration. Second, the declaration of the method `Test.f2(int)` is in scope throughout the body of `Test` including the anonymous class declaration. (Note that neither declaration shadows the other, because at the point where each is declared, the other is not in scope.) §15.12.1 chooses to search in class `Super` because it has a member named `f2`. However, `Super.f2(String)` is not applicable to `f2(0)`, so a compile-time error occurs. Note that class `Test` is not searched.

For the invocation `f3(0)`, three methods named `f3` are in scope. First and second, the declarations of the methods `Super.f3(String)` and `Super.f3(int,int)` are in scope throughout the anonymous class declaration. Third, the declaration of the method `Test.f3(int)` is in scope throughout the body of `Test` including the anonymous class declaration. §15.12.1 chooses to search in class `Super` because it has a member named `f3`. However, `Super.f3(String)` and `Super.f3(int,int)` are not applicable to `f3(0)`, so a compile-time error occurs. Note that class `Test` is not searched.

Choosing to search a nested class's superclass hierarchy before the lexically enclosing scope is called the "comb rule" (§15.12.1).

6.6   Access Control

The Java programming language provides mechanisms for *access control*, to prevent the users of a package or class from depending on unnecessary details of the implementation of that package or class. If access is permitted, then the accessed entity is said to be *accessible*.

Note that accessibility is a static property that can be determined at compile time; it depends only on types and declaration modifiers.

Qualified names are a means of access to members of packages, classes, interfaces, type parameters, and reference types. When the name of such a member is classified from its context (§6.5.1) as a qualified type name (denoting a member of a package, class, interface, or type parameter) or a qualified expression name (denoting a member of a reference type), access control is applied.

For example, a single-type-import declaration uses a qualified type name (§7.5.1), so the named class or interface must be accessible from the compilation unit containing the `import` declaration. As another example, a class declaration may use a qualified type name for a superclass type (§8.1.5), so again the named class must be accessible.

Some obvious expressions are "missing" from context classification in §6.5.1: field access on a *Primary* (§15.11.1), method invocation on a *Primary* (§15.12), method reference via a *Primary* (§15.13), and the instantiated class in a qualified class instance creation (§15.9). Each of these expressions uses identifiers, rather than names, for the reason given in §6.2. Consequently, access control to members (whether fields, methods, classes, or interfaces) is applied *explicitly* by field access expressions, method invocation expressions, method reference expressions, and qualified class instance creation expressions. (Note that access to a field may also be denoted by a qualified name occuring as a postfix expression.)

In addition, many statements and expressions allow the use of types that are not expressed exclusively with type names. For example, a class declaration may use a parameterized type (§4.5) to denote the superclass type. Because a parameterized type is not a qualified type name, it is necessary for the class declaration to explicitly perform access control for the denoted superclass. Consequently, of the statements and expressions that provide contexts in §6.5.1 to classify a *TypeName*, most perform their own access control checks.

Beyond access to members of a package, class, interface, or type parameter, there is the matter of access to constructors of a class. Access control must be checked when a constructor is invoked explicitly or implicitly. Consequently, access control is checked by an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1) and by a class instance creation expression (§15.9.3). Such checks are necessary because §6.5.1 has no mention of explicit constructor invocation statements (as they refer to constructors indirectly, rather than via names) and is unaware of the distinction between the class denoted by an unqualified class instance creation expression and a constructor of that class. Also, constructors do not have qualified names, so we cannot rely on access control being checked during classification of qualified type names.

Accessibility affects inheritance of class members (§8.2), including hiding and method overriding (§8.4.8.1).

6.6.1   Determining Accessibility

- If a top level class or interface (§7.6) is declared `public` and is a member of a package that is exported by a module, then the class or interface may be accessed by any code in the same module, and by any code in another module to which the package is exported, provided that the compilation unit in which the class or interface is declared is visible to that other module (§7.3).

- If a top level class or interface is declared `public` and is a member of a package that is not exported by a module, then the class or interface may be accessed by any code in the same module.

- If a top level class or interface is declared with package access, then it may be accessed only from within the package in which it is declared.

  A top level class or interface declared without an access modifier implicitly has package access.

- A member (class, interface, field, or method) of a class, interface, type parameter, or reference type, or a constructor of a class, is accessible only if (i) the class,

interface, type parameter, or reference type is accessible, and (ii) the member or constructor is declared to permit access:

– If the member or constructor is declared `public`, then access is permitted.

All members of interfaces lacking access modifiers are implicitly `public`.

– Otherwise, if the member or constructor is declared `protected`, then access is permitted only when one of the following is true:

› Access to the member or constructor occurs from within the package containing the class in which the `protected` member or constructor is declared.

› Access is correct as described in §6.6.2.

– Otherwise, if the member or constructor is declared with package access, then access is permitted only when the access occurs from within the package in which the class, interface, type parameter, or reference type is declared.

A class member or constructor declared without an access modifier implicitly has package access.

– Otherwise, the member or constructor is declared `private`. Access is permitted only when one of the following is true:

› Access occurs from within the body of the top level class or interface that encloses the declaration of the member or constructor.

› Access occurs in the `permits` clause of the top level class or interface that encloses the declaration of the member.

› Access occurs in the record component list of the top level record class that encloses the declaration of the member.

• An array type is accessible if and only if its element type is accessible.

Example 6.6-1. Access Control

Consider the two compilation units:

```
package points;
class PointVec { Point[] vec; }
```

and:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    protected int x, y;
    public void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
```

199

```
        public int getX() { return x; }
        public int getY() { return y; }
    }
```

which declare two class types in the package `points`:

- The class type `PointVec` is not `public` and not part of the `public` interface of the package `points`, but rather can be used only by other classes in the package.

- The class type `Point` is declared `public` and is available to other packages. It is part of the `public` interface of the package `points`.

- The methods `move`, `getX`, and `getY` of the class `Point` are declared `public` and so are available to any code that uses an object of type `Point`.

- The fields `x` and `y` are declared `protected` and are accessible outside the package `points` only in subclasses of class `Point`, and only when they are fields of objects that are being implemented by the code that is accessing them.

   See §6.6.2 for an example of how the `protected` access modifier limits access.

Example 6.6-2. Access to `public` Fields, Methods, and Constructors

A `public` class member or constructor is accessible throughout the package where it is declared and from any other package, provided the package in which it is declared is observable (§7.4.3). For example, in the compilation unit:

```
    package points;
    public class Point {
        int x, y;
        public void move(int dx, int dy) {
            x += dx; y += dy;
            moves++;
        }
        public static int moves = 0;
    }
```

the `public` class `Point` has as `public` members the `move` method and the `moves` field. These `public` members are accessible to any other package that has access to package `points`. The fields `x` and `y` are not `public` and therefore are accessible only from within the package `points`.

Example 6.6-3. Access to `public` and Non-`public` Classes

If a class lacks the `public` modifier, access to the class declaration is limited to the package in which it is declared (§6.6). In the example:

```
    package points;
    public class Point {
        public int x, y;
        public void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    }
```

```
class PointList {
    Point next, prev;
}
```

two classes are declared in the compilation unit. The class `Point` is available outside
the package `points`, while the class `PointList` is available for access only within the
package. Thus a compilation unit in another package can access `points.Point`, either by
using its fully qualified name:

```
package pointsUser;
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        points.Point p = new points.Point();
        System.out.println(p.x + " " + p.y);
    }
}
```

or by using a single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1) that mentions the fully qualified name,
so that the simple name may be used thereafter:

```
package pointsUser;
import points.Point;
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point();
        System.out.println(p.x + " " + p.y);
    }
}
```

However, this compilation unit cannot use or import `points.PointList`, which is not
declared `public` and is therefore inaccessible outside package `points`.

Example 6.6-4. Access to Fields, Methods, and Constructors with Package Access

If none of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, or `private` are specified, a class
member or constructor has package access: it is accessible throughout the package that
contains the declaration of the class in which the class member is declared, but the class
member or constructor is not accessible in any other package.

If a `public` class has a method or constructor with package access, then this method or
constructor is not accessible to or inherited by a subclass declared outside this package.

For example, if we have:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    public int x, y;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    public void moveAlso(int dx, int dy) { move(dx, dy); }
}
```

201

then a subclass in another package may declare an unrelated `move` method, with the same signature (§8.4.2) and return type. Because the original `move` method is not accessible from package `morepoints`, `super` may not be used:

```
package morepoints;
public class PlusPoint extends points.Point {
    public void move(int dx, int dy) {
        super.move(dx, dy);  // compile-time error
        moveAlso(dx, dy);
    }
}
```

Because `move` of `Point` is not overridden by `move` in `PlusPoint`, the method `moveAlso` in `Point` never calls the method `move` in `PlusPoint`. Thus if you delete the `super.move` call from `PlusPoint` and execute the test program:

```
import points.Point;
import morepoints.PlusPoint;
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        PlusPoint pp = new PlusPoint();
        pp.move(1, 1);
    }
}
```

it terminates normally. If `move` of `Point` were overridden by `move` in `PlusPoint`, then this program would recurse infinitely, until a `StackOverflowError` occurred.

Example 6.6-5. Access to `private` Fields, Methods, and Constructors

A `private` class member or constructor is accessible only within the body of the top level class (§7.6) that encloses the declaration of the member or constructor. It is not inherited by subclasses. In the example:

```
class Point {
    Point() { setMasterID(); }
    int x, y;
    private int ID;
    private static int masterID = 0;
    private void setMasterID() { ID = masterID++; }
}
```

the private members `ID`, `masterID`, and `setMasterID` may be used only within the body of class `Point`. They may not be accessed by qualified names, field access expressions, or method invocation expressions outside the body of the declaration of `Point`.

See §8.8.10 for an example that uses a `private` constructor.

6.6.2   Details on `protected` Access

A `protected` member or constructor of an object may be accessed from outside the package in which it is declared only by code that is responsible for the implementation of that object.

6.6.2.1   *Access to a* `protected` *Member*

Let `C` be the class in which a `protected` member is declared. Access is permitted only within the body of a subclass `S` of `C`.

> A subclass `S` is regarded as being responsible for the implementation of objects of class `C`. Depending on `C`'s accessibility, `S` may be declared in the same package as `C`, or in different package of the same module as `C`, or in a package of a different module entirely.

In addition, access to an instance field or instance method is permitted based on the form of the qualified name, field access expression (§15.11), method invocation expression (§15.12), or method reference expression (§15.13):

- If the access is by (i) a qualified name of the form `ExpressionName.Id` or `TypeName.Id`, or (ii) a field access expression of the form `Primary.Id`, then access to the instance field `Id` is permitted if and only if the qualifying type is `S` or a subclass of `S`.

  The qualifying type is the type of the *ExpressionName* or *Primary*, or the type denoted by *TypeName*.

- If the access is by (i) a method invocation expression of the form `ExpressionName.Id(...)` or `TypeName.Id(...)` or `Primary.Id(...)`, or (ii) a method reference expression of the form `ExpressionName :: Id` or `Primary :: Id` or `ReferenceType :: Id`, then access to the instance method `Id` is permitted if and only if the qualifying type is `S` or a subclass of `S`.

  The qualifying type is the type of the *ExpressionName* or *Primary*, or the type denoted by *TypeName* or *ReferenceType*.

> More information about access to `protected` members can be found in *Checking Access to Protected Members in the Java Virtual Machine* by Alessandro Coglio, in the *Journal of Object Technology*, October 2005.

6.6.2.2   *Access to a* `protected` *Constructor*

Let `C` be the class in which a `protected` constructor is declared and let `S` be the innermost class in whose declaration the use of the `protected` constructor occurs. Then:

- If the access is by a superclass constructor invocation `super(...)`, or a qualified superclass constructor invocation `E.super(...)`, where `E` is a *Primary* expression, then the access is permitted.

- If the access is by an anonymous class instance creation expression `new C(...) {...}`, or a qualified anonymous class instance creation expression `E.new C(...){...}`, where `E` is a *Primary* expression, then the access is permitted.

- If the access is by a simple class instance creation expression `new C(...)`, or a qualified class instance creation expression `E.new C(...)`, where `E` is a *Primary* expression, or a method reference expression `C :: new`, where `C` is a *ClassType*, then the access is not permitted. A `protected` constructor can be accessed by a class instance creation expression (that does not declare an anonymous class) or a method reference expression only from within the package in which it is defined.

Example 6.6.2-1. Access to `protected` Fields, Methods, and Constructors

Consider this example, where the `points` package declares:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    protected int x, y;
    void warp(threePoint.Point3d a) {
        if (a.z > 0)  // compile-time error: cannot access a.z
            a.delta(this);
    }
}
```

and the `threePoint` package declares:

```
package threePoint;
import points.Point;
public class Point3d extends Point {
    protected int z;
    public void delta(Point p) {
        p.x += this.x;  // compile-time error: cannot access p.x
        p.y += this.y;  // compile-time error: cannot access p.y
    }
    public void delta3d(Point3d q) {
        q.x += this.x;
        q.y += this.y;
        q.z += this.z;
    }
}
```

A compile-time error occurs in the method `delta` here: it cannot access the `protected` members `x` and `y` of its parameter `p`, because while `Point3d` (the class in which the references to fields `x` and `y` occur) is a subclass of `Point` (the class in which `x` and `y` are declared), it is not involved in the implementation of a `Point` (the type of the parameter `p`).

The method `delta3d` can access the `protected` members of its parameter `q`, because the class `Point3d` is a subclass of `Point` and is involved in the implementation of a `Point3d`.

The method `delta` could try to cast (§5.5, §15.16) its parameter to be a `Point3d`, but this cast would fail, causing an exception, if the class of `p` at run time were not `Point3d`.

A compile-time error also occurs in the method `warp`: it cannot access the `protected` member `z` of its parameter `a`, because while the class `Point` (the class in which the reference to field `z` occurs) is involved in the implementation of a `Point3d` (the type of the parameter `a`), it is not a subclass of `Point3d` (the class in which `z` is declared).

6.7 Fully Qualified Names and Canonical Names

Every primitive type, named package, top level class, and top level interface has a *fully qualified name*:

- The fully qualified name of a primitive type is the keyword for that primitive type, namely `byte`, `short`, `char`, `int`, `long`, `float`, `double`, or `boolean`.

- The fully qualified name of a named package that is not a subpackage of a named package is its simple name.

- The fully qualified name of a named package that is a subpackage of another named package consists of the fully qualified name of the containing package, followed by ".", followed by the simple (member) name of the subpackage.

- The fully qualified name of a top level class or top level interface that is declared in an unnamed package is the simple name of the class or interface.

- The fully qualified name of a top level class or top level interface that is declared in a named package consists of the fully qualified name of the package, followed by ".", followed by the simple name of the class or interface.

Each member class, member interface, and array type *may* have a fully qualified name:

- A member class or member interface *M* of another class or interface *C* has a fully qualified name if and only if *C* has a fully qualified name.

  In that case, the fully qualified name of *M* consists of the fully qualified name of *C*, followed by ".", followed by the simple name of *M*.

- An array type has a fully qualified name if and only if its element type has a fully qualified name.

  In that case, the fully qualified name of an array type consists of the fully qualified name of the component type of the array type followed by "`[]`".

A local class, local interface, or anonymous class does not have a fully qualified name.

Every primitive type, named package, top level class, and top level interface has a *canonical name*:

- For every primitive type, named package, top level class, and top level interface, the canonical name is the same as the fully qualified name.

Each member class, member interface, and array type *may* have a canonical name:

- A member class or member interface `M` declared in another class or interface `C` has a canonical name if and only if `C` has a canonical name.

  In that case, the canonical name of `M` consists of the canonical name of `C`, followed by "`.`", followed by the simple name of `M`.

- An array type has a canonical name if and only if its component type has a canonical name.

  In that case, the canonical name of the array type consists of the canonical name of the component type of the array type followed by "`[]`".

A local class, local interface, or anonymous class does not have a canonical name.

> **Example 6.7-1. Fully Qualified Names**
>
> - The fully qualified name of the type `long` is "`long`".
> - The fully qualified name of the package `java.lang` is "`java.lang`" because it is subpackage `lang` of package `java`.
> - The fully qualified name of the class `Object`, which is defined in the package `java.lang`, is "`java.lang.Object`".
> - The fully qualified name of the interface `Enumeration`, which is defined in the package `java.util`, is "`java.util.Enumeration`".
> - The fully qualified name of the type "array of `double`" is "`double[]`".
> - The fully qualified name of the type "array of array of array of array of `String`" is "`java.lang.String[][][][]`".
>
> In the code:
>
> ```
> package points;
> ```

```
class Point    { int x, y; }
class PointVec { Point[] vec; }
```

the fully qualified name of the type `Point` is `"points.Point"`; the fully qualified name of the type `PointVec` is `"points.PointVec"`; and the fully qualified name of the type of the field `vec` of class `PointVec` is `"points.Point[]"`.

Example 6.7-2. Fully Qualified Names v. Canonical Name

The difference between a fully qualified name and a canonical name can be seen in code such as:

```
package p;
class O1 { class I {} }
class O2 extends O1 {}
```

Both `p.O1.I` and `p.O2.I` are fully qualified names that denote the member class `I`, but only `p.O1.I` is its canonical name.

CHAPTER 7

Packages and Modules

PROGRAMS are organized as sets of packages. The members of a package (§7.1) are classes and interfaces, which are declared in compilation units of the package, and subpackages, which may contain compilation units and subpackages of their own.

Each package has its own set of names for classes and interfaces, which helps to prevent name conflicts. The naming structure for packages is hierarchical.

If a set of packages is sufficiently cohesive, then the packages may be grouped into a module. A module categorizes some or all of its packages as *exported*, which means their classes and interfaces may be accessed from code outside the module. If a package is not exported by a module, then only code inside the module may access its classes and interfaces. Furthermore, if code in a module wishes to access the packages exported by another module, then the first module must explicitly depend on the second module. Thus, a module controls how its packages use other modules (by specifying dependences) and controls how other modules use its packages (by specifying which of its packages are exported).

Modules and packages may be stored in a file system or in a database (§7.2). Modules and packages that are stored in a file system may have certain constraints on the organization of their compilation units to allow a simple implementation to find module, class, and interface declarations easily.

Code in a compilation unit automatically has access to all classes and interfaces declared in its package and also automatically imports all of the `public` classes and interfaces declared in the predefined package `java.lang`.

A top level class or interface is accessible (§6.6) outside the package that declares it only if the class or interface is declared `public`. A top level class or interface is accessible outside the module that declares it only if the class or interface is declared `public` and is a member of an exported package. A class or interface that

is declared `public` but is not a member of an exported package is accessible only to code inside the module.

For small programs and casual development, a package can be unnamed (§7.4.2) or have a simple name, but if code is to be widely distributed, unique package names should be chosen using qualified names. This can prevent the conflicts that would otherwise occur if two development groups happened to pick the same package name and these packages were later to be used in a single program.

7.1   Package Members

The members of a package are its subpackages and all the top level classes (§8 (*Classes*)) and top level interfaces (§9 (*Interfaces*)) declared in all the compilation units (§7.3) of the package.

> For example, in the Java SE Platform API:

> - The package `java` has subpackages `awt`, `applet`, `io`, `lang`, `net`, and `util`, but no compilation units.
> - The package `java.awt` has a subpackage named `image`, as well as a number of compilation units containing declarations of classes and interfaces.

If the fully qualified name (§6.7) of a package is *P*, and *Q* is a subpackage of *P*, then *P.Q* is the fully qualified name of the subpackage, and furthermore denotes a package.

A package may not contain two members of the same name, or a compile-time error results.

> Here are some examples:

> - Because the package `java.awt` has a subpackage `image`, it cannot (and does not) contain a declaration of a class or interface named `image`.
> - If there is a package named `mouse` and a member class `Button` in that package (which then might be referred to as `mouse.Button`), then there cannot be any package with the fully qualified name `mouse.Button` or `mouse.Button.Click`.
> - If `com.nighthacks.java.jag` is the fully qualified name of a class, then there cannot be any package whose fully qualified name is either `com.nighthacks.java.jag` or `com.nighthacks.java.jag.scrabble`.

> It is however possible for members of different packages to have the same simple name. For example, it is possible to declare a package:

```
package vector;
public class Vector { Object[] vec; }
```

that has as a member a `public` class named `Vector`, even though the package `java.util` also declares a class named `Vector`. These two classes are different, reflected by the fact that they have different fully qualified names (§6.7). The fully qualified name of this example `Vector` is `vector.Vector`, whereas `java.util.Vector` is the fully qualified name of the `Vector` class included in the Java SE Platform. Because the package `vector` contains a class named `Vector`, it cannot also have a subpackage named `Vector`.

The hierarchical naming structure for packages is intended to be convenient for organizing related packages in a conventional manner, but has no significance in itself other than the prohibition against a package having a subpackage with the same simple name as a top level class or interface (§7.6) declared in that package.

For example, there is no special access relationship between a package named `oliver` and another package named `oliver.twist`, or between packages named `evelyn.wood` and `evelyn.waugh`. That is, the code in a package named `oliver.twist` has no better access to the classes and interfaces declared within package `oliver` than code in any other package.

7.2　Host Support for Modules and Packages

Each host system determines how modules, packages, and compilation units are created and stored.

Each host system determines which compilation units are *observable* in a particular compilation (§7.3). Each host system also determines which observable compilation units are *associated with* a module. The observability of compilation units associated with a module determines which modules are observable (§7.7.3) and which packages are visible within those modules (§7.4.3).

The host system is free to determine that a compilation unit which contains a module declaration is not, in fact, observable, and thus is not associated with the module declared therein. This enables a compiler to choose which directory on a `modulesourcepath` is "really" the embodiment of a given module. However, if the host system determines that a compilation unit which contains a module declaration *is* observable, then §7.4.3 mandates that the compilation unit must be associated with the module declared therein, and not with any other module.

The host system is free to determine that a compilation unit which contains a class or interface declaration is (first) observable and (second) associated with an unnamed module or an automatic module - despite no declaration of an unnamed or automatic module existing in any compilation unit, observable or otherwise.

In simple implementations of the Java SE Platform, packages and compilation units may be stored in a local file system. Other implementations may store them using a distributed file system or some form of database.

If a host system stores packages and compilation units in a database, then the database must not impose the optional restrictions (§7.6) on compilation units permissible in file-based implementations.

> For example, a system that uses a database to store packages may not enforce a maximum of one public class or interface per compilation unit.

Systems that use a database must, however, provide an option to convert a program to a form that obeys the restrictions, for purposes of export to file-based implementations.

> As an extremely simple example of storing packages in a file system, all the packages and source and binary code in a project might be stored in a single directory and its subdirectories. Each immediate subdirectory of this directory would represent a top level package, that is, one whose fully qualified name consists of a single simple name. Each further level of subdirectory would represent a subpackage of the package represented by the containing directory, and so on.
>
> The directory might contain the following immediate subdirectories:
>
> ```
> com
> gls
> jag
> java
> wnj
> ```
>
> where directory `java` would contain the Java SE Platform packages; the directories `jag`, `gls`, and `wnj` might contain packages that three of the authors of this specification created for their personal use and to share with each other within this small group; and the directory `com` would contain packages procured from companies that used the conventions described in §6.1 to generate unique names for their packages.
>
> Continuing the example, the directory `java` would contain, among others, the following subdirectories:
>
> ```
> applet
> awt
> io
> lang
> net
> util
> ```
>
> corresponding to the packages `java.applet`, `java.awt`, `java.io`, `java.lang`, `java.net`, and `java.util` that are defined as part of the Java SE Platform API.

Still continuing the example, if we were to look inside the directory `util`, we might see the following files:

```
BitSet.java        Observable.java
BitSet.class       Observable.class
Date.java          Observer.java
Date.class         Observer.class
...
```

where each of the `.java` files contains the source for a compilation unit (§7.3) that contains the definition of a class or interface whose binary compiled form is contained in the corresponding `.class` file.

Under this simple organization of packages, an implementation of the Java SE Platform would transform a package name into a pathname by concatenating the components of the package name, placing a file name separator (directory indicator) between adjacent components.

For example, if this simple organization were used on an operating system where the file name separator is `/`, the package name:

```
jag.scrabble.board
```

would be transformed into the directory name:

```
jag/scrabble/board
```

A package name component or class name might contain a character that cannot correctly appear in a host file system's ordinary directory name, such as a Unicode character on a system that allows only ASCII characters in file names. As a convention, the character can be escaped by using, say, the `@` character followed by four hexadecimal digits giving the numeric value of the character, as in the `\u`*xxxx* escape (§3.3).

Under this convention, the package name:

```
children.activities.crafts.papierM\u00e2ch\u00e9
```

which can also be written using full Unicode as:

```
children.activities.crafts.papierMâché
```

might be mapped to the directory name:

```
children/activities/crafts/papierM@00e2ch@00e9
```

If the `@` character is not a valid character in a file name for some given host file system, then some other character that is not valid in an identifier could be used instead.

7.3   Compilation Units

CompilationUnit is the goal symbol (§2.1) for the syntactic grammar (§2.3) of Java programs. It is defined by the following production:

> *CompilationUnit:*
>   *OrdinaryCompilationUnit*
>   *ModularCompilationUnit*

> *OrdinaryCompilationUnit:*
>   *[PackageDeclaration] {ImportDeclaration}*
>   *{TopLevelClassOrInterfaceDeclaration}*

> *ModularCompilationUnit:*
>   *{ImportDeclaration} ModuleDeclaration*

An *ordinary compilation unit* consists of three parts, each of which is optional:

- A `package` declaration (§7.4), giving the fully qualified name (§6.7) of the package to which the compilation unit belongs.

  A compilation unit that has no `package` declaration is part of an unnamed package (§7.4.2).

- `import` declarations (§7.5) that allow classes and interface from other packages, and `static` members of classes and interfaces, to be referred to using their simple names.

- Top level declarations of classes and interfaces (§7.6).

A *modular compilation unit* consists of a `module` declaration (§7.7), optionally preceded by `import` declarations. The `import` declarations allow classes and interfaces from packages in this module and other modules, as well as `static` members of classes and interfaces, to be referred to using their simple names within the `module` declaration.

Every compilation unit implicitly imports every `public` class or interface declared in the predefined package `java.lang`, as if the declaration `import java.lang.*;` appeared at the beginning of each compilation unit immediately after any `package` declaration. As a result, the names of all those classes and interfaces are available as simple names in every compilation unit.

The host system determines which compilation units are *observable*, except for the compilation units in the predefined package `java` and its subpackages `lang` and `io`, which are all always observable.

Each observable compilation unit may be *associated* with a module, as follows:

- The host system may determine that an observable ordinary compilation unit is associated with a module chosen by the host system, except for (i) the ordinary compilation units in the predefined package `java` and its subpackages `lang` and `io`, which are all associated with the `java.base` module, and (ii) any ordinary compilation unit in an unnamed package, which is associated with a module as specified in §7.4.2.

- The host system must determine that an observable modular compilation unit is associated with the module declared by the modular compilation unit.

> The observability of a compilation unit influences the observability of its package (§7.4.3), while the association of an observable compilation unit with a module influences the observability of that module (§7.7.6).

When compiling the modular and ordinary compilation units associated with a module *M*, the host system must respect the dependences specified in *M*'s declaration. Specifically, the host system must limit the ordinary compilation units that would otherwise be observable, to only those that are *visible to M*. The ordinary compilation units that are visible to *M* are the observable ordinary compilation units associated with the modules that are *read by M*. The modules read by *M* are given by the result of *resolution*, as described in the `java.lang.module` package specification, with *M* as the only root module. The host system must perform resolution to determine the modules read by *M*; it is a compile-time error if resolution fails for any of the reasons described in the `java.lang.module` package specification.

> The readability relation is reflexive, so *M* reads itself, and thus all of the modular and ordinary compilation units associated with *M* are visible to *M*.

> The modules read by *M* drive the packages that are uniquely visible to *M* (§7.4.3), which in turn drives both the top level packages in scope and the meaning of package names for code in the modular and ordinary compilation units associated with *M* (§6.3, §6.5.3, §6.5.5).

> The rules above ensure that package and type names used in annotations in a modular compilation unit (in particular, annotations applied to the module declaration) are interpreted as if they appeared in an ordinary compilation unit associated with the module.

Classes and interfaces declared in different ordinary compilation units can refer to each other, circularly. A Java compiler must arrange to compile all such classes and interfaces at the same time.

7.4   Package Declarations

A `package` declaration appears within an ordinary compilation unit to indicate the package to which the compilation unit belongs.

7.4.1   Named Packages

A *package declaration* in an ordinary compilation unit specifies the name (§6.2) of the package to which the compilation unit belongs.

> *PackageDeclaration:*
>   *{PackageModifier}* `package` *Identifier { . Identifier}* ;
>
> *PackageModifier:*
>   *Annotation*

The package name mentioned in a `package` declaration must be the fully qualified name of the package (§6.7).

The scope and shadowing of a package declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a package declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

At most one annotated `package` declaration is permitted for a given package.

> The manner in which this restriction is enforced must, of necessity, vary from implementation to implementation. The following scheme is strongly recommended for file-system-based implementations: The sole annotated `package` declaration, if it exists, is placed in a source file called `package-info.java` in the directory containing the source files for the package. This file does not contain the source for a class called `package-info`; indeed it would be illegal for it to do so, as `package-info` is not a legal identifier. Typically `package-info.java` contains only a `package` declaration, preceded immediately by the annotations on the package. While the file could technically contain the source code for one or more classes with package access, it would be very bad form.
>
> It is recommended that `package-info.java`, if it is present, take the place of `package.html` for `javadoc` and other similar documentation generation systems. If this file is present, the documentation generation tool should look for the package documentation comment immediately preceding the (possibly annotated) `package` declaration in `package-info.java`. In this way, `package-info.java` becomes the sole repository for package-level annotations and documentation. If, in future, it becomes desirable to add any other package-level information, this file should prove a convenient home for this information.

7.4.2 Unnamed Packages

An ordinary compilation unit that has no `package` declaration, but has at least one other kind of declaration, is part of an *unnamed package*.

Unnamed packages are provided by the Java SE Platform principally for convenience when developing small or temporary applications or when just beginning development.

An unnamed package cannot have subpackages, since the syntax of a `package` declaration always includes a reference to a named top level package.

An implementation of the Java SE Platform must support at least one unnamed package. An implementation may support more than one unnamed package, but is not required to do so. Which ordinary compilation units are in each unnamed package is determined by the host system.

The host system must associate ordinary compilation units in an unnamed package with an unnamed module (§7.7.5), not a named module.

> **Example 7.4.2-1. Unnamed Package**
>
> The compilation unit:
>
> ```
> class FirstCall {
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         System.out.println("Mr. Watson, come here. "
>                             + "I want you.");
>     }
> }
> ```
>
> defines a very simple compilation unit as part of an unnamed package.
>
> In implementations of the Java SE Platform that use a hierarchical file system for storing packages, one typical strategy is to associate an unnamed package with each directory; only one unnamed package is observable at a time, namely the one that is associated with the "current working directory". The precise meaning of "current working directory" depends on the host system.

7.4.3 Package Observability and Visibility

A package is *observable* if and only if at least one of the following is true:

- An ordinary compilation unit containing a declaration of the package is observable (§7.3).

- A subpackage of the package is observable.

The packages `java`, `java.lang`, and `java.io` are always observable.

One can conclude this from the rule above and from the rules of observable compilation units, as follows. The predefined package `java.lang` declares the class `Object`, so the compilation unit for `Object` is always observable (§7.3). Hence, the `java.lang` package is observable, and the `java` package also. Furthermore, since `Object` is observable, the array type `Object[]` implicitly exists. Its superinterface `java.io.Serializable` (§10.1) also exists, hence the `java.io` package is observable.

A package is *visible to a module M* if and only if an ordinary compilation unit containing a declaration of the package is visible to *M*.

Package visibility is meant to imply that a package is observable in a useful way to a given module. It is generally not useful to know that package P is observable merely because a subpackage P.Q is observable. For example, suppose P.Q is observable (in module M1) and P.R is observable (in module M2); then, P is observable, but where? In M1, or M2, or both? The question is redundant; during compilation of module N that requires only M1, it matters that P.Q is observable, but it does not matter that P is observable.

A package is *uniquely visible to a module M* if and only if one of the following holds:

- An ordinary compilation unit associated with *M* contains a declaration of the package, and *M* does not read any other module that exports the package to *M*.

- No ordinary compilation unit associated with *M* contains a declaration of the package, and *M* reads exactly one other module that exports the package to *M*.

7.5   Import Declarations

An *import declaration* allows a named class, interface, or `static` member to be referred to by a simple name (§6.2) that consists of a single identifier.

Without the use of an appropriate import declaration, a reference to a class or interface declared in another package, or a reference to a `static` member of another class or interface, would typically need to use a fully qualified name (§6.7).

> *ImportDeclaration:*
>   *SingleTypeImportDeclaration*
>   *TypeImportOnDemandDeclaration*
>   *SingleStaticImportDeclaration*
>   *StaticImportOnDemandDeclaration*

- A single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1) imports a single named class or interface, by mentioning its canonical name (§6.7).

- A type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2) imports all the accessible classes and interfaces of a named package, class, or interface as needed, by mentioning the canonical name of the package, class, or interface.

- A single-static-import declaration (§7.5.3) imports all accessible `static` members with a given name from a class or interface, by giving its canonical name.

- A static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.4) imports all accessible `static` members of a named class or interface as needed, by mentioning the canonical name of the class or interface.

The scope and shadowing of a class, interface, or member imported by these declarations is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

> An `import` declaration makes classes, interfaces, or members available by their simple names only within the compilation unit that actually contains the `import` declaration. The scope of the class(es), interface(s), or member(s) introduced by an `import` declaration specifically does not include other compilation units in the same package, other `import` declarations in the current compilation unit, or a `package` declaration in the current compilation unit (except for the annotations of a `package` declaration).

7.5.1   Single-Type-Import Declarations

A *single-type-import declaration* imports a single class or interface by giving its canonical name, making it available under a simple name in the module, class, and interface declarations of the compilation unit in which the single-type-import declaration appears.

> *SingleTypeImportDeclaration:*
>   `import` *TypeName* `;`

The *TypeName* must be the canonical name of a class or interface (§6.7).

The class or interface must be either a member of a named package, or a member of a class or interface whose outermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration (§8.1.3) is a member of a named package, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the named class or interface is not accessible (§6.6).

If two single-type-import declarations in the same compilation unit attempt to import classes or interfaces with the same simple name, then a compile-time error occurs, unless the two classes or interface are the same, in which case the duplicate declaration is ignored.

If the class or interface imported by the single-type-import declaration is declared as a top level class or interface (§7.6) in the compilation unit that contains the `import` declaration, then the `import` declaration is ignored.

If a single-type-import declaration imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, and the compilation unit also declares a top level class or interface whose simple name is x, a compile-time error occurs.

If a compilation unit contains both a single-type-import declaration that imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, and a single-static-import declaration (§7.5.3) that imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, a compile-time error occurs, unless the two classes or interfaces are the same, in which case the duplicate declaration is ignored.

Example 7.5.1-1. Single-Type-Import

```
import java.util.Vector;
```

causes the simple name `Vector` to be available within the class and interface declarations in a compilation unit. Thus, the simple name `Vector` refers to the class declaration `Vector` in the package `java.util` in all places where it is not shadowed (§6.4.1) or obscured (§6.4.2) by a declaration of a field, parameter, local variable, or nested class or interface declaration with the same name.

Note that the actual declaration of `java.util.Vector` is generic (§8.1.2). Once imported, the name `Vector` can be used without qualification in a parameterized type such as `Vector<String>`, or as the raw type `Vector`. A related limitation of the `import` declaration is that a member class or interface declared inside a generic class or interface declaration can be imported, but its outer type is always erased.

Example 7.5.1-2. Duplicate Class Declarations

This program:

```
import java.util.Vector;
class Vector { Object[] vec; }
```

causes a compile-time error because of the duplicate declaration of `Vector`, as does:

```
import java.util.Vector;
import myVector.Vector;
```

where `myVector` is a package containing the compilation unit:

```
package myVector;
public class Vector { Object[] vec; }
```

Example 7.5.1-3. No Import of a Subpackage

Note that an `import` declaration cannot import a subpackage, only a class or interface.

For example, it does not work to try to import `java.util` and then use the name `util.Random` to refer to the type `java.util.Random`:

```
import java.util;
class Test { util.Random generator; }
  // incorrect: compile-time error
```

Example 7.5.1-4. Importing a Type Name that is also a Package Name

Package names and type names are usually different under the naming conventions described in §6.1. Nevertheless, in a contrived example where there is an unconventionally named package `Vector`, which declares a public class whose name is `Mosquito`:

```
package Vector;
public class Mosquito { int capacity; }
```

and then the compilation unit:

```
package strange;
import java.util.Vector;
import Vector.Mosquito;
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(new Vector().getClass());
        System.out.println(new Mosquito().getClass());
    }
}
```

the single-type-import declaration importing class `Vector` from package `java.util` does not prevent the package name `Vector` from appearing and being correctly recognized in subsequent `import` declarations. The example compiles and produces the output:

```
class java.util.Vector
class Vector.Mosquito
```

7.5.2   Type-Import-on-Demand Declarations

A *type-import-on-demand declaration* allows all accessible classes and interfaces of a named package, class, or interface to be imported as needed.

TypeImportOnDemandDeclaration:
  `import` *PackageOrTypeName* `. * ;`

221

The *PackageOrTypeName* must be the canonical name (§6.7) of a package, a class, or an interface.

If the *PackageOrTypeName* denotes a class or interface (§6.5.4), then the class or interface must be either a member of a named package, or a member of a class or interface whose outermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration (§8.1.3) is a member of a named package, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the named package is not uniquely visible to the current module (§7.4.3), or if the named class or interface is not accessible (§6.6).

It is not a compile-time error to name either `java.lang` or the named package of the current compilation unit in a type-import-on-demand declaration. The type-import-on-demand declaration is ignored in such cases.

Two or more type-import-on-demand declarations in the same compilation unit may name the same package, class, or interface. All but one of these declarations are considered redundant; the effect is as if that type was imported only once.

If a compilation unit contains both a type-import-on-demand declaration and a static-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.4) that name the same class or interface, the effect is as if the `static` member classes and interfaces of that class or interface (§8.5, §9.5) are imported only once.

> **Example 7.5.2-1. Type-Import-on-Demand**
>
> ```
> import java.util.*;
> ```
>
> causes the simple names of all `public` classes and interfaces declared in the package `java.util` to be available within the class and interface declarations of the compilation unit. Thus, the simple name `Vector` refers to the class `Vector` of the package `java.util` in all places in the compilation unit where that class declaration is not shadowed (§6.4.1) or obscured (§6.4.2).
>
> The declaration might be shadowed by a single-type-import declaration of a class or interface whose simple name is `Vector`; by a class or interface named `Vector` and declared in the package to which the compilation unit belongs; or any nested classes or interfaces.
>
> The declaration might be obscured by a declaration of a field, parameter, or local variable named `Vector`.
>
> (It would be unusual for any of these conditions to occur.)

7.5.3   Single-Static-Import Declarations

A *single-static-import declaration* imports all accessible `static` members with a given simple name from a class or interface. This makes these `static` members

available under their simple name in the module, class, and interface declarations of the compilation unit in which the single-static-import declaration appears.

> *SingleStaticImportDeclaration:*
>   import static *TypeName* . *Identifier* ;

The *TypeName* must be the canonical name (§6.7) of a class or interface.

The class or interface must be either a member of a named package, or a member of a class or interface whose outermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration (§8.1.3) is a member of a named package, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the named class or interface is not accessible (§6.6).

The *Identifier* must name at least one static member of the named class or interface. It is a compile-time error if there is no static member of that name, or if all of the named members are not accessible.

It is permissible for one single-static-import declaration to import several fields, classes, or interfaces with the same name, or several methods with the same name and signature. This occurs when the named class or interface inherits multiple fields, member classes, member interfaces, or methods, all with the same name, from its own supertypes.

If two single-static-import declarations in the same compilation unit attempt to import classes or interface with the same simple name, then a compile-time error occurs, unless the two classes or interfaces are the same, in which case the duplicate declaration is ignored.

If a single-static-import declaration imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, and the compilation unit also declares a top level class or interface (§7.6) whose simple name is x, a compile-time error occurs.

If a compilation unit contains both a single-static-import declaration that imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, and a single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1) that imports a class or interface whose simple name is x, a compile-time error occurs, unless the two classes or interfaces are the same, in which case the duplicate declaration is ignored.

7.5.4 Static-Import-on-Demand Declarations

A *static-import-on-demand declaration* allows all accessible static members of a named class or interface to be imported as needed.

StaticImportOnDemandDeclaration:
   `import static` *TypeName* `. * ;`

The *TypeName* must be the canonical name (§6.7) of a class or interface.

The class or interface must be either a member of a named package, or a member of a class or interface whose outermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration (§8.1.3) is a member of a named package, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the named class or interface is not accessible (§6.6).

Two or more static-import-on-demand declarations in the same compilation unit may name the same class or interface; the effect is as if there was exactly one such declaration.

Two or more static-import-on-demand declarations in the same compilation unit may name the same member; the effect is as if the member was imported exactly once.

It is permissible for one static-import-on-demand declaration to import several fields, classes, or interfaces with the same name, or several methods with the same name and signature. This occurs when the named class or interface inherits multiple fields, member classes, member interfaces, or methods, all with the same name, from its own supertypes.

If a compilation unit contains both a static-import-on-demand declaration and a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2) that name the same class or interface, the effect is as if the `static` member classes and interfaces of that class or interface (§8.5, §9.5) are imported only once.

7.6   Top Level Class and Interface Declarations

A *top level class or interface declaration* declares a top level class (§8.1) or a top level interface (§9.1).

TopLevelClassOrInterfaceDeclaration:
   ClassDeclaration
   InterfaceDeclaration
   `;`

Extra "`;`" tokens appearing at the level of class and interface declarations in a compilation unit have no effect on the meaning of the compilation unit. Stray semicolons are permitted

in the Java programming language solely as a concession to C++ programmers who are used to placing ";" after a class declaration. They should not be used in new Java code.

In the absence of an access modifier, a top level class or interface has package access: it is accessible only within ordinary compilation units of the package in which it is declared (§6.6.1). A class or interface may be declared `public` to grant access to the class or interface from code in other packages of the same module, and potentially from code in packages of other modules.

It is a compile-time error if a top level class or interface declaration contains any one of the following access modifiers: `protected`, `private`, or `static`.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a top level class or interface appears as the name of any other top level class or interface declared in the same package.

The scope and shadowing of a top level class or interface is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

The fully qualified name of a top level class or interface is specified in §6.7.

Example 7.6-1. Conflicting Top Level Class and Interface Declarations

```
package test;
import java.util.Vector;
class Point {
    int x, y;
}
interface Point {  // compile-time error #1
    int getR();
    int getTheta();
}
class Vector { Point[] pts; }  // compile-time error #2
```

Here, the first compile-time error is caused by the duplicate declaration of the name `Point` as both a class and an interface in the same package. A second compile-time error is the attempt to declare the name `Vector` both by a class declaration and by a single-type-import declaration.

Note, however, that it is not an error for the name in a class declaration to overlap with a class or interface that otherwise might be imported by a type-import-on-demand declaration (§7.5.2) in the same compilation unit. Thus, in this program:

```
package test;
import java.util.*;
class Vector {}  // not a compile-time error
```

the declaration of the class `Vector` is permitted even though there is also a class `java.util.Vector`. Within this compilation unit, the simple name `Vector` refers to the class `test.Vector`, not to `java.util.Vector` (which can still be referred to by code within the compilation unit, but only by its fully qualified name).

225

Example 7.6-2. Scope of Top Level Classes and Interfaces

```
package points;
class Point {
    int x, y;            // coordinates
    PointColor color;    // color of this point
    Point next;          // next point with this color
    static int nPoints;
}
class PointColor {
    Point first;         // first point with this color
    PointColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
    private int color;   // color components
}
```

This program defines two classes that use each other in the declarations of their class members. Because the classes `Point` and `PointColor` have all the class declarations in package `points`, including all those in the current compilation unit, as their scope, this program compiles correctly. That is, forward reference is not a problem.

Example 7.6-3. Fully Qualified Names

```
class Point { int x, y; }
```

In this code, the class `Point` is declared in a compilation unit with no `package` declaration, and thus `Point` is its fully qualified name, whereas in the code:

```
package vista;
class Point { int x, y; }
```

the fully qualified name of the class `Point` is `vista.Point`. (The package name `vista` is suitable for local or personal use; if the package were intended to be widely distributed, it would be better to give it a unique package name (§6.1).)

An implementation of the Java SE Platform must keep track of classes and interfaces within packages by the combination of their enclosing module names and their binary names (§13.1). Multiple ways of naming a class or interface must be expanded to binary names to make sure that such names are understood as referring to the same class or interface.

For example, if a compilation unit contains the single-type-import declaration (§7.5.1):

```
import java.util.Vector;
```

then within that compilation unit, the simple name `Vector` and the fully qualified name `java.util.Vector` refer to the same class.

If and only if packages are stored in a file system (§7.2), the host system may choose to enforce the restriction that it is a compile-time error if a class or interface

is not found in a file under a name composed of the class or interface name plus an extension (such as `.java` or `.jav`) if either of the following is true:

- The class or interface is referred to by code in other ordinary compilation units of the package in which the class or interface is declared.

- The class or interface is declared `public` (and therefore is potentially accessible from code in other packages).

> This restriction implies that there must be at most one such class or interface per compilation unit. This restriction makes it easy for a Java compiler to find a named class or interface within a package. In practice, many programmers choose to put each class or interface in its own compilation unit, whether or not it is `public` or is referred to by code in other compilation units.

> For example, the source code for a `public` class `wet.sprocket.Toad` would be found in a file `Toad.java` in the directory `wet/sprocket`, and the corresponding object code would be found in the file `Toad.class` in the same directory.

7.7 Module Declarations

A module declaration specifies a new named module. A named module specifies *dependences* on other modules to define the universe of classes and interfaces available to its own code; and specifies which of its packages are *exported* or *opened* in order to populate the universe of classes and interfaces available to other modules which specify a dependence on it.

> A "dependence" is what is expressed by a `requires` directive, independent of whether a module exists with the name specified by the directive. A "dependency" is the observable module enumerated by resolution (as described in the `java.lang.module` package specification) for a given `requires` directive. Generally, the rules of the Java programming language are more interested in dependences than dependencies.

> *ModuleDeclaration:*
>   *{Annotation}* *[*`open`*]* `module` *Identifier { . Identifier}*
>   { *{ModuleDirective}* }

A module declaration introduces a module name that can be used in other module declarations to express relationships between modules. A module name consists of one or more Java identifiers (§3.8) separated by "`.`" tokens.

There are two kinds of modules: *normal modules* and *open modules*. The kind of a module determines the nature of access to the module's types, and the members of those types, for code outside the module.

A normal module, without the `open` modifier, grants access at compile time and run time to types in only those packages which are explicitly exported.

An open module, with the `open` modifier, grants access at compile time to types in only those packages which are explicitly exported, but grants access at run time to types in all its packages, as if all packages had been exported.

For code outside a module (whether the module is normal or open), the access granted at compile time or run time to types in the module's exported packages is specifically to the `public` and `protected` types in those packages, and the `public` and `protected` members of those types (§6.6). No access is granted at compile time or run time to types, or their members, in packages which are not exported. Code inside the module may access `public` and `protected` types, and the `public` and `protected` members of those types, in all packages in the module at both compile time and run time.

Distinct from access at compile time and access at run time, the Java SE Platform provides *reflective access* via the Core Reflection API (§1.4). A normal module grants reflective access to types in only those packages which are explicitly exported or explicitly opened (or both). An open module grants reflective access to types in all its packages, as if all packages had been opened.

For code outside a normal module, the reflective access granted to types in the module's exported (and not opened) packages is specifically to the `public` and `protected` types in those packages, and the `public` and `protected` members of those types. The reflective access granted to types in the module's opened packages (whether exported or not) is to all types in those packages, and all members of those types. No reflective access is granted to types, or their members, in packages which are not exported or opened. Code inside the module enjoys reflective access to all types, and all their members, in all packages in the module.

For code outside an open module, the reflective access granted to types in the module's opened packages (that is, all packages in the module) is to all types in those packages, and all members of those types. Code inside the module enjoys reflective access to all types, and all their members, in all packages in the module.

The *directives* of a module declaration specify the module's dependences on other modules (via `requires`, §7.7.1), the packages it makes available to other modules (via `exports` and `opens`, §7.7.2), the services it consumes (via `uses`, §7.7.3), and the services it provides (via `provides`, §7.7.4).

ModuleDirective:
  `requires` *{RequiresModifier} ModuleName* `;`
  `exports` *PackageName [*`to` *ModuleName {,* *ModuleName}]* `;`
  `opens` *PackageName [*`to` *ModuleName {,* *ModuleName}]* `;`
  `uses` *TypeName* `;`
  `provides` *TypeName* `with` *TypeName {,* *TypeName}* `;`

RequiresModifier:
  (one of)
  `transitive static`

If and only if packages are stored in a file system (§7.2), the host system may choose to enforce the restriction that it is a compile-time error if a module declaration is not found in a file under a name composed of `module-info` plus an extension (such as `.java` or `.jav`).

> To aid comprehension, it is customary, though not required, for a module declaration to group its directives, so that the `requires` directives which pertain to modules are visually distinct from the `exports` and `opens` directives which pertain to packages, and from the `uses` and `provides` directives which pertain to services. For example:

```
module com.example.foo {
    requires com.example.foo.http;
    requires java.logging;

    requires transitive com.example.foo.network;

    exports com.example.foo.bar;
    exports com.example.foo.internal to com.example.foo.probe;

    opens com.example.foo.quux;
    opens com.example.foo.internal to com.example.foo.network,
                                      com.example.foo.probe;

    uses com.example.foo.spi.Intf;
    provides com.example.foo.spi.Intf with com.example.foo.Impl;
}
```

> The `opens` directives can be avoided if the module is open:

```
open module com.example.foo {
    requires com.example.foo.http;
    requires java.logging;

    requires transitive com.example.foo.network;

    exports com.example.foo.bar;
    exports com.example.foo.internal to com.example.foo.probe;
```

```
        uses com.example.foo.spi.Intf;
        provides com.example.foo.spi.Intf with com.example.foo.Impl;
    }
```

Development tools for the Java programming language are encouraged to highlight `requires transitive` directives and unqualified `exports` directives, as these form the primary API of a module.

7.7.1   Dependences

The `requires` directive specifies the name of a module on which the current module has a dependence.

A `requires` directive must not appear in the declaration of the `java.base` module, or a compile-time error occurs, because it is the primordial module and has no dependences (§8.1.4).

If the declaration of a module does not express a dependence on the `java.base` module, and the module is not itself `java.base`, then the module has an implicitly declared dependence on the `java.base` module.

The `requires` keyword may be followed by the modifier `transitive`. This causes any module which `requires` the current module to have an implicitly declared dependence on the module specified by the `requires transitive` directive.

The `requires` keyword may be followed by the modifier `static`. This specifies that the dependence, while mandatory at compile time, is optional at run time.

If the declaration of a module expresses a dependence on the `java.base` module, and the module is not itself `java.base`, then it is a compile-time error if a modifier appears after the `requires` keyword.

It is a compile-time error if more than one `requires` directive in a module declaration specifies the same module name.

It is a compile-time error if resolution, as described in the `java.lang.module` package specification, with the current module as the only root module, fails for any of the reasons described in the `java.lang.module` package specification.

For example, if a `requires` directive specifies a module that is not observable, or if the current module directly or indirectly expresses a dependence on itself.

If resolution succeeds, then its result specifies the modules that are read by the current module. The modules read by the current module determine which ordinary compilation units are visible to the current module (§7.3). The types declared in

those ordinary compilation units (and *only* those ordinary compilation units) may be accessible to code in the current module (§6.6).

> The Java SE Platform distinguishes between named modules that are explicitly declared (that is, with a module declaration) and named modules that are implicitly declared (that is, automatic modules). However, the Java programming language does not surface the distinction: `requires` directives refer to named modules without regard for whether they are explicitly declared or implicitly declared.
>
> While automatic modules are convenient for migration, they are unreliable in the sense that their names and exported packages may change when their authors convert them to explicitly declared modules. A Java compiler is encouraged to issue a warning if a `requires` directive refers to an automatic module. An especially strong warning is recommended if the `transitive` modifier appears in the directive.
>
> **Example 7.1.1-1. Resolution of `requires transitive` directives**
>
> Suppose there are four module declarations as follows:
>
> ```
> module m.A {
>     requires m.B;
> }
>
> module m.B {
>     requires transitive m.C;
> }
>
> module m.C {
>     requires transitive m.D;
> }
>
> module m.D {
>     exports p;
> }
> ```
>
> where the package `p` exported by `m.D` is declared as follows:
>
> ```
> package p;
> public class Point {}
> ```
>
> and where a package `client` in module `m.A` refers to the `public` type `Point` in the exported package `p`:
>
> ```
> package client;
> import p.Point;
> public class Test {
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         System.out.println(new Point());
>     }
> }
> ```

The modules may be compiled as follows, assuming that the current directory has one subdirectory per module, named after the module it contains:

```
javac --module-source-path . -d . --module m.D
javac --module-source-path . -d . --module m.C
javac --module-source-path . -d . --module m.B
javac --module-source-path . -d . --module m.A
```

The program `client.Test` may be run as follows:

```
java --module-path . --module m.A/client.Test
```

The reference from code in `m.A` to the exported `public` type `Point` in `m.D` is legal because `m.A` reads `m.D`, and `m.D` exports the package containing `Point`. Resolution determines that `m.A` reads `m.D` as follows:

- `m.A requires m.B` and therefore reads `m.B`.

- Since `m.A` reads `m.B`, and since `m.B requires transitive m.C`, resolution determines that `m.A` reads `m.C`.

- Then, since `m.A` reads `m.C`, and since `m.C requires transitive m.D`, resolution determines that `m.A` reads `m.D`.

In effect, a module may read another module through multiple levels of dependence, in order to support arbitrary amounts of refactoring. Once a module is released for someone to reuse (via `requires`), the module's author has committed to its name and API but is free to refactor its content into other modules which the original module reuses (via `requires transitive`) for the benefit of consumers. In the example above, package `p` may have been exported originally by `m.B` (thus, `m.A requires m.B`) but refactoring has caused some of `m.B`'s content to move into `m.C` and `m.D`. By using a chain of `requires transitive` directives, the family of `m.B`, `m.C`, and `m.D` can preserve access to package `p` for code in `m.A` without forcing any changes to the `requires` directives of `m.A`. Note that package `p` in `m.D` is not "re-exported" by `m.C` and `m.B`; rather, `m.A` is made to read `m.D` directly.

7.7.2   Exported and Opened Packages

The `exports` directive specifies the name of a package to be exported by the current module. For code in other modules, this grants access at compile time and run time to the `public` and `protected` types in the package, and the `public` and `protected` members of those types (§6.6). It also grants reflective access to those types and members for code in other modules.

The `opens` directive specifies the name of a package to be opened by the current module. For code in other modules, this grants access at run time, but not compile time, to the `public` and `protected` types in the package, and the `public` and `protected` members of those types. It also grants reflective access to all types in the package, and all their members, for code in other modules.

It is a compile-time error if the package specified by `exports` is not declared by a compilation unit associated with the current module (§7.3).

It is permitted for `opens` to specify a package which is not declared by a compilation unit associated with the current module. (If the package should happen to be declared by an observable compilation unit associated with another module, the `opens` directive has no effect on that other module.)

It is a compile-time error if more than one `exports` directive in a module declaration specifies the same package name.

It is a compile-time error if more than one `opens` directive in a module declaration specifies the same package name.

It is a compile-time error if an `opens` directive appears in the declaration of an open module.

If an `exports` or `opens` directive has a `to` clause, then the directive is *qualified*; otherwise, it is *unqualified*. For a qualified directive, the `public` and `protected` types in the package, and their `public` and `protected` members, are accessible solely to code in the modules specified in the `to` clause. The modules specified in the `to` clause are referred to as *friends* of the current module. For an unqualified directive, these types and their members are accessible to code in any module.

It is permitted for the `to` clause of an `exports` or `opens` directive to specify a module which is not observable (§7.7.6).

It is a compile-time error if the `to` clause of a given `exports` directive specifies the same module name more than once.

It is a compile-time error if the `to` clause of a given `opens` directive specifies the same module name more than once.

7.7.3 Service Consumption

The `uses` directive specifies a *service* for which code in the current module may discover providers via `java.util.ServiceLoader`.

It is a compile-time error if a `uses` directive specifies an enum class (§8.9).

The service may be declared in the current module or in another module. If the service is not declared in the current module, then the service must be accessible to code in the current module (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if more than one `uses` directive in a module declaration specifies the same service.

7.7.4   Service Provision

The `provides` directive specifies a service for which the `with` clause specifies one or more *service providers* to `java.util.ServiceLoader`.

It is a compile-time error if a `provides` directive specifies an enum class (§8.9) as the service.

The service may be declared in the current module or in another module. If the service is not declared in the current module, then the service must be accessible to code in the current module (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

Every service provider must be a `public` class or interface that is either top level or `static`, or a compile-time error occurs.

Every service provider must be declared in the current module, or a compile-time error occurs.

If a service provider explicitly declares a `public` constructor with no formal parameters, or implicitly declares a `public` default constructor (§8.8.9), then that constructor is called the *provider constructor*.

If a service provider explicitly declares a `public static` method called `provider` with no formal parameters, then that method is called the *provider method*.

If a service provider has a provider method, then its return type must (i) either be declared in the current module, or be declared in another module and be accessible to code in the current module; and (ii) be a subtype of the service specified in the `provides` directive; or a compile-time error occurs.

> While a service provider that is specified by a `provides` directive must be declared in the current module, its provider method may have a return type that is declared in *another* module. Also, note that when a service provider declares a provider method, the service provider itself need not be a subtype of the service.

If a service provider does not have a provider method, then that service provider must have a provider constructor and must be a subtype of the service specified in the `provides` directive, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if more than one `provides` directive in a module declaration specifies the same service.

It is a compile-time error if the `with` clause of a given `provides` directive specifies the same service provider more than once.

7.7.5   Unnamed Modules

An observable ordinary compilation unit that the host system does not associate with a named module (§7.3) is associated with an *unnamed module*.

Unnamed modules are provided by the Java SE Platform in recognition of the fact that programs developed prior to Java SE 9 could not declare named modules. In addition, the reasons for the Java SE Platform providing unnamed packages (§7.4.2) are largely applicable to unnamed modules.

An implementation of the Java SE Platform must support at least one unnamed module. An implementation may support more than one unnamed module, but is not required to do so. Which ordinary compilation units are associated with each unnamed module is determined by the host system.

The host system may associate ordinary compilation units in a named package with an unnamed module.

The rules for unnamed modules are designed to maximize their interoperation with named modules, as follows:

• An unnamed module reads every observable module (§7.7.6).

> By virtue of the fact that an ordinary compilation unit associated with an unnamed module is observable, the associated unnamed module is observable. Thus, if the implementation of the Java SE Platform supports more than one unnamed module, every unnamed module is observable; and each unnamed module reads every unnamed module including itself.

> However, it is important to realize that the ordinary compilation units of an unnamed module are never *visible* to a named module (§7.3) because no `requires` directive can arrange for a named module to read an unnamed module. The Core Reflection API of the Java SE Platform may be used to arrange for a named module to read an unnamed module at run time.

• An unnamed module exports every package whose ordinary compilation units are associated with that unnamed module.

• An unnamed module opens every package whose ordinary compilation units are associated with that unnamed module.

7.7.6   Observability of a Module

A module is observable if at least one of the following is true:

• A modular compilation unit containing the declaration of the module is observable (§7.3).

- An ordinary compilation unit associated with the module is observable.

Classes

A class declaration defines a new class and describes how it is implemented (§8.1).

A *top level class* (§7.6) is a class declared directly in a compilation unit.

A *nested class* is any class whose declaration occurs within the body of another class or interface declaration. A nested class may be a member class (§8.5, §9.5), a local class (§14.3), or an anonymous class (§15.9.5).

Some kinds of nested class are an *inner class* (§8.1.3), which is a class that can refer to enclosing class instances, local variables, and type variables.

An *enum class* (§8.9) is a class declared with abbreviated syntax that defines a small set of named class instances.

A *record class* (§8.10) is a class declared with abbreviated syntax that defines a simple aggregate of values.

This chapter discusses the common semantics of all classes. Details that are specific to particular kinds of classes are discussed in the sections dedicated to these constructs.

A class may be declared `public` (§8.1.1) so it can be referred to from code in any package of its module and potentially from code in other modules.

A class may be declared `abstract` (§8.1.1.1), and must be declared `abstract` if it is incompletely implemented; such a class cannot be instantiated, but can be extended by subclasses. The degree to which a class can be extended can be controlled explicitly (§8.1.1.2): it may be declared `sealed` to limit its subclasses, or it may be declared `final` to ensure no subclasses. Each class except `Object` is an extension of (that is, a subclass of) a single existing class (§8.1.4) and may implement interfaces (§8.1.5).

A class may be *generic* (§8.1.2), that is, its declaration may introduce type variables whose bindings differ among different instances of the class.

Class declarations may be decorated with annotations (§9.7) just like any other kind of declaration.

The body of a class declares members (fields, methods, classes, and interfaces), instance and static initializers, and constructors (§8.1.7). The scope (§6.3) of a member (§8.2) is the entire body of the declaration of the class to which the member belongs. Field, method, member class, member interface, and constructor declarations may include the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, or `private` (§6.6). The members of a class include both declared and inherited members (§8.2). Newly declared fields can hide fields declared in a superclass or superinterface. Newly declared member classes and member interfaces can hide member classes and member interfaces declared in a superclass or superinterface. Newly declared methods can hide, implement, or override methods declared in a superclass or superinterface.

Field declarations (§8.3) describe class variables, which are incarnated once, and instance variables, which are freshly incarnated for each instance of the class. A field may be declared `final` (§8.3.1.2), in which case it can be assigned to only once. Any field declaration may include an initializer.

Member class declarations (§8.5) describe nested classes that are members of the surrounding class. Member classes may be `static`, in which case they have no access to the instance variables of the surrounding class; or they may be inner classes.

Member interface declarations (§8.5) describe nested interfaces that are members of the surrounding class.

Method declarations (§8.4) describe code that may be invoked by method invocation expressions (§15.12). A class method is invoked relative to the class; an instance method is invoked with respect to some particular object that is an instance of a class. A method whose declaration does not indicate how it is implemented must be declared `abstract`. A method may be declared `final` (§8.4.3.3), in which case it cannot be hidden or overridden. A method may be implemented by platform-dependent `native` code (§8.4.3.4). A `synchronized` method (§8.4.3.6) automatically locks an object before executing its body and automatically unlocks the object on return, as if by use of a `synchronized` statement (§14.19), thus allowing its activities to be synchronized with those of other threads (§17 (*Threads and Locks*)).

Method names may be overloaded (§8.4.9).

Instance initializers (§8.6) are blocks of executable code that may be used to help initialize an instance when it is created (§15.9).

Static initializers (§8.7) are blocks of executable code that may be used to help initialize a class.

Constructors (§8.8) are similar to methods, but cannot be invoked directly by a method call; they are used to initialize new class instances. Like methods, they may be overloaded (§8.8.8).

8.1 Class Declarations

A *class declaration* specifies a class.

There are three kinds of class declarations: *normal class declarations*, *enum declarations* (§8.9), and *record declarations* (§8.10).

> *ClassDeclaration:*
>   *NormalClassDeclaration*
>   *EnumDeclaration*
>   *RecordDeclaration*
>
> *NormalClassDeclaration:*
>   *{ClassModifier}* `class` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
>   *[ClassExtends] [ClassImplements] [ClassPermits] ClassBody*

A class is also implicitly declared by a class instance creation expression (§15.9.5) and an enum constant that ends with a class body (§8.9.1).

The *TypeIdentifier* in a class declaration specifies the name of the class.

It is a compile-time error if a class has the same simple name as any of its enclosing classes or interfaces.

The scope and shadowing of a class declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

8.1.1 Class Modifiers

A class declaration may include *class modifiers*.

> *ClassModifier:*
>   *(one of)*
>   *Annotation* `public protected private`
>   `abstract static final sealed non-sealed strictfp`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a class declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

The access modifier `public` (§6.6) pertains only to top level classes (§7.6) and member classes (§8.5, §9.5), not to local classes (§14.3) or anonymous classes (§15.9.5).

The access modifiers `protected` and `private` pertain only to member classes.

The modifier `static` pertains only to member classes and local classes.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for a class declaration, or if a class declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private`.

It is a compile-time error if a class declaration has more than one of the modifiers `sealed`, `non-sealed`, and `final`.

> If two or more (distinct) class modifiers appear in a class declaration, then it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *ClassModifier*.

8.1.1.1 `abstract` *Classes*

An `abstract` class is a class that is incomplete, or to be considered incomplete.

It is a compile-time error if an attempt is made to create an instance of an `abstract` class using a class instance creation expression (§15.9.1).

A subclass of an `abstract` class that is not itself `abstract` may be instantiated, resulting in the execution of a constructor for the `abstract` class and, therefore, the execution of the field initializers for instance variables of that class.

A normal class may have `abstract` methods, that is, methods that are declared but not yet implemented (§8.4.3.1), only if it is an `abstract` class. It is a compile-time error if a normal class that is not `abstract` has an `abstract` method.

A class C has `abstract` methods if either of the following is true:

- Any of the member methods (§8.2) of C - either declared or inherited - is `abstract`.

- Any of C's superclasses has an `abstract` method declared with package access, and there exists no method that overrides the `abstract` method from C or from a superclass of C.

It is a compile-time error to declare an `abstract` class type such that it is not possible to create a subclass that implements all of its `abstract` methods. This

situation can occur if the class would have as members two `abstract` methods
that have the same method signature (§8.4.2) but return types for which no type is
return-type-substitutable with both (§8.4.5).

Example 8.1.1.1-1. Abstract Class Declaration

```
abstract class Point {
    int x = 1, y = 1;
    void move(int dx, int dy) {
        x += dx;
        y += dy;
        alert();
    }
    abstract void alert();
}
abstract class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    int color;
}
class SimplePoint extends Point {
    void alert() { }
}
```

Here, a class `Point` is declared that must be declared `abstract`, because it contains
a declaration of an `abstract` method named `alert`. The subclass of `Point` named
`ColoredPoint` inherits the `abstract` method `alert`, so it must also be declared
`abstract`. On the other hand, the subclass of `Point` named `SimplePoint` provides an
implementation of `alert`, so it need not be `abstract`.

The statement:

```
Point p = new Point();
```

would result in a compile-time error; the class `Point` cannot be instantiated because it is
`abstract`. However, a `Point` variable could correctly be initialized with a reference to
any subclass of `Point`, and the class `SimplePoint` is not `abstract`, so the statement:

```
Point p = new SimplePoint();
```

would be correct. Instantiation of a `SimplePoint` causes the default constructor and field
initializers for `x` and `y` of `Point` to be executed.

Example 8.1.1.1-2. Abstract Class Declaration that Prohibits Subclasses

```
interface Colorable {
    void setColor(int color);
}
abstract class Colored implements Colorable {
    public abstract int setColor(int color);
}
```

These declarations result in a compile-time error: it would be impossible for any subclass of class `Colored` to provide an implementation of a method named `setColor`, taking one argument of type `int`, that can satisfy both `abstract` method specifications, because the one in interface `Colorable` requires the same method to return no value, while the one in class `Colored` requires the same method to return a value of type `int` (§8.4).

A class type should be declared `abstract` only if the intent is that subclasses can be created to complete the implementation. If the intent is simply to prevent instantiation of a class, the proper way to express this is to declare a constructor (§8.8.10) of no arguments, make it `private`, never invoke it, and declare no other constructors. A class of this form usually contains class methods and variables.

The class `Math` is an example of a class that cannot be instantiated; its declaration looks like this:

```
public final class Math {
    private Math() { }  // never instantiate this class
    . . . declarations of class variables and methods . . .
}
```

8.1.1.2 `sealed`, `non-sealed`, *and* `final` *Classes*

A class can be declared `sealed` if all its direct subclasses are known when the class is declared (§8.1.6), and no other direct subclasses are desired or required .

Explicit and exhaustive control over a class's direct subclasses is useful when the class hierarchy is used to model the kinds of values in a domain, rather than as a mechanism for code inheritance and reuse. The direct subclasses may themselves be declared `sealed` in order to further control the class hierarchy.

A class can be declared `final` if its definition is complete and no subclasses are desired or required.

It is a compile-time error if a class is declared both `final` and `abstract`, because the implementation of such a class could never be completed (§8.1.1.1).

Because a `final` class never has any subclasses, the methods of a `final` class are never overridden (§8.4.8.1).

A class is *freely extensible* if its direct superclass is not `sealed` (§8.1.4), and none of its direct superinterfaces are `sealed` (§8.1.5), and it is neither `sealed` nor `final` itself.

A class that has a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface is freely extensible if and only if it is declared `non-sealed`.

It is a compile-time error if a class has a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface, and is not declared `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed` either explicitly or implicitly.

Thus, an effect of the `sealed` keyword is to force all direct subclasses to explicitly declare whether they are `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed`. This avoids accidentally exposing a sealed class hierarchy to unwanted subclassing.

An enum class is either implicitly `final` or implicitly `sealed`, so it can implement a `sealed` interface. Similarly, a record class is implicitly `final`, so it can also implement a sealed interface.

It is a compile-time error if a class is declared `non-sealed` but has neither a `sealed` direct superclass nor a `sealed` direct superinterface.

Thus, a subclass of a `non-sealed` class cannot itself be declared `non-sealed`.

8.1.1.3 `strictfp` *Classes*

The `strictfp` modifier on a class declaration is obsolete and should not be used in new code. Its presence or absence has no effect at compile time or run time.

8.1.1.4 `static` *Classes*

The `static` modifier specifies that a nested class is not an inner class (§8.1.3). Just as a `static` method of a class has no current instance of the class in its body, a `static` nested class has no immediately enclosing instance in its body.

References from a `static` nested class to type parameters, instance variables, local variables, formal parameters, exception parameters, or instance methods of lexically enclosing class, interface, or method declarations are disallowed (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, and §15.12.3).

The `static` modifier does not pertain to all nested classes. It pertains only to member classes, whose declarations may use the `static` modifier, and not to local classes or anonymous classes, whose declarations may not use the `static` modifier (§14.3, §15.9.5). However, some local classes are implicitly `static`, namely local enum classes and local record classes, because all nested enum classes and nested record classes are implicitly `static` (§8.9, §8.10).

8.1.2 Generic Classes and Type Parameters

A class is *generic* if the class declaration declares one or more type variables (§4.4).

These type variables are known as the *type parameters* of the class. The type parameter section follows the class name and is delimited by angle brackets.

TypeParameters:
  < TypeParameterList >

TypeParameterList:
  TypeParameter { , TypeParameter}

The following productions from §4.4 are shown here for convenience:

TypeParameter:
  {TypeParameterModifier} TypeIdentifier [TypeBound]

TypeParameterModifier:
  Annotation

TypeBound:
  `extends` *TypeVariable*
  `extends` *ClassOrInterfaceType {AdditionalBound}*

AdditionalBound:
  `&` *InterfaceType*

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a type parameter declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

In a class's type parameter section, a type variable T *directly depends* on a type variable S if S is the bound of T, while T *depends* on S if either T directly depends on S or T directly depends on a type variable U that depends on S (using this definition recursively).

It is a compile-time error if a type variable in a class's type parameter section depends on itself.

The scope and shadowing of a class's type parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

References to a class's type parameter from a static context or a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §6.5.5.1.

A generic class declaration defines a set of parameterized types (§4.5), one for each possible parameterization of the type parameter section by type arguments. All of these parameterized types share the same class at run time.

For instance, executing the code:

```
Vector<String>  x = new Vector<String>();
Vector<Integer> y = new Vector<Integer>();
boolean b = x.getClass() == y.getClass();
```

will result in the variable `b` holding the value `true`.

It is a compile-time error if a generic class is a direct or indirect subclass of `Throwable` (§11.1.1).

This restriction is needed since the catch mechanism of the Java Virtual Machine works only with non-generic classes.

Example 8.1.2-1. Mutually Recursive Type Variable Bounds

```
interface ConvertibleTo<T> {
    T convert();
}
class ReprChange<T extends ConvertibleTo<S>,
                 S extends ConvertibleTo<T>> {
    T t;
    void set(S s) { t = s.convert();    }
    S get()       { return t.convert(); }
}
```

Example 8.1.2-2. Nested Generic Classes

```
class Seq<T> {
    T     head;
    Seq<T> tail;

    Seq() { this(null, null); }
    Seq(T head, Seq<T> tail) {
        this.head = head;
        this.tail = tail;
    }
    boolean isEmpty() { return tail == null; }

    class Zipper<S> {
        Seq<Pair<T,S>> zip(Seq<S> that) {
            if (isEmpty() || that.isEmpty()) {
                return new Seq<Pair<T,S>>();
            } else {
                Seq<T>.Zipper<S> tailZipper =
                    tail.new Zipper<S>();
                return new Seq<Pair<T,S>>(
                    new Pair<T,S>(head, that.head),
                    tailZipper.zip(that.tail));
            }
        }
    }
}
class Pair<T, S> {
    T fst; S snd;
    Pair(T f, S s) { fst = f; snd = s; }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Seq<String> strs =
            new Seq<String>(
                "a",
                new Seq<String>("b",
                                new Seq<String>()));
```

```
            Seq<Number> nums =
                new Seq<Number>(
                    new Integer(1),
                    new Seq<Number>(new Double(1.5),
                                        new Seq<Number>()));

            Seq<String>.Zipper<Number> zipper =
                strs.new Zipper<Number>();

            Seq<Pair<String,Number>> combined =
                zipper.zip(nums);
        }
    }
```

8.1.3   Inner Classes and Enclosing Instances

An *inner class* is a nested class that is not explicitly or implicitly `static`.

An inner class is one of the following:

- a member class that is not explicitly or implicitly `static` (§8.5)

- a local class that is not implicitly `static` (§14.3)

- an anonymous class (§15.9.5)

The following nested classes are implicitly `static`, so are not inner classes:

- a member enum class (§8.9)

- a local enum class (§14.3)

- a member record class (§8.10)

- a local record class (§14.3)

- a member class of an interface (§9.5)

All of the rules that apply to nested classes apply to inner classes. In particular, an inner class may declare and inherit `static` members (§8.2), and declare static initializers (§8.7), even though the inner class itself is not `static`.

> There are no "inner interfaces" because every nested interface is implicitly `static` (§9.1.1.3).

Example 8.1.3-1. Inner Class Declarations and Static Members

```
    class HasStatic {
        static int j = 100;
    }

    class Outer {
```

```
class Inner extends HasStatic {
    static {
        System.out.println("Hello from Outer.Inner");
    }

    static      int x = 3;
    static final int y = 4;

    static void hello() {
        System.out.println("Hello from Outer.Inner.hello");
    }

    static class VeryNestedButNotInner
        extends NestedButNotInner {}
}

static class NestedButNotInner {
    int z = Inner.x;
}

interface NeverInner {}  // Implicitly static, so never inner
}
```

Prior to Java SE 16, an inner class could not declare static initializers, and could only declare `static` members that were constant variables (§4.12.4).

A construct (statement, local variable declaration statement, local class declaration, local interface declaration, or expression) *occurs in a static context* if the innermost:

- method declaration,

- field declaration,

- constructor declaration,

- instance initializer,

- static initializer, or

- explicit constructor invocation statement

which encloses the construct is one of the following:

- a `static` method declaration (§8.4.3.2, §9.4)

- a `static` field declaration (§8.3.1.1, §9.3)

- a static initializer (§8.7)

- an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1)

Note that a construct which appears in a constructor declaration or an instance initializer does not occur in a static context.

The purpose of a static context is to demarcate code that must not refer explicitly or implicitly to the current instance of the class whose declaration lexically encloses the static context. Consequently, code that occurs in a static context is restricted in the following ways:

- `this` expressions (both unqualified and qualified) are disallowed (§15.8.3, §15.8.4).

- Field accesses, method invocations, and method references may not be qualified by `super` (§15.11.2, §15.12.3, §15.13.1).

- Unqualified references to instance variables of any lexically enclosing class or interface declaration are disallowed (§6.5.6.1).

- Unqualified invocations of instance methods of any lexically enclosing class or interface declaration are disallowed (§15.12.3).

- References to type parameters of any lexically enclosing class or interface declarations are disallowed (§6.5.5.1).

- References to type parameters, local variables, formal parameters, and exception parameters declared by methods or constructors of any lexically enclosing class or interface declaration *that is outside the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration* are disallowed (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1).

- Declarations of local normal classes (as opposed to local enum classes) and declarations of anonymous classes both specify classes that are inner, yet when instantiated have no immediately enclosing instances (§15.9.2).

- Class instance creation expressions that instantiate inner member classes must be qualified (§15.9).

An inner class c is a *direct inner class of a class or interface o* if o is the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of c and the declaration of c does not occur in a static context.

> If an inner class is a local class or an anonymous class, it may be declared in a static context, and in that case is not considered an inner class of any enclosing class or interface.

A class c is an *inner class of class or interface o* if it is either a direct inner class of o or an inner class of an inner class of o.

> It is unusual, but possible, for the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of an inner class to be an interface. This only occurs if the class is a local or anonymous class declared in a `default` or `static` method body (§9.4).

A class or interface o is the *zeroth lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of itself*.

A class o is the *n'th lexically enclosing class declaration of a class c* if it is the immediately enclosing class declaration of the *n-1*'th lexically enclosing class declaration of c.

An instance `i` of a direct inner class `C` of a class or interface `O` is associated with an instance of `O`, known as the *immediately enclosing instance of `i`*. The immediately enclosing instance of an object, if any, is determined when the object is created (§15.9.2).

An object `o` is the *zeroth lexically enclosing instance of itself.*

An object `o` is the *n'th lexically enclosing instance of an instance `i`* if it is the immediately enclosing instance of the *n-1*'th lexically enclosing instance of `i`.

An instance of an inner local class or an anonymous class whose declaration occurs in a static context has no immediately enclosing instance. Also, an instance of a `static` nested class (§8.1.1.4) has no immediately enclosing instance.

For every superclass `S` of `C` which is itself a direct inner class of a class or interface `SO`, there is an instance of `SO` associated with `i`, known as the *immediately enclosing instance of `i` with respect to `S`*. The immediately enclosing instance of an object with respect to its class' direct superclass, if any, is determined when the superclass constructor is invoked via an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1).

When an inner class (whose declaration does not occur in a static context) refers to an instance variable that is a member of a lexically enclosing class or interface declaration, the variable of the corresponding lexically enclosing instance is used.

Any local variable, formal parameter, or exception parameter used but not declared in an inner class must either be `final` or effectively final (§4.12.4), as specified in §6.5.6.1.

Any local variable used but not declared in an inner class must be definitely assigned (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)) before the body of the inner class, or a compile-time error occurs.

> Similar rules on variable use apply in the body of a lambda expression (§15.27.2).

A blank `final` field (§4.12.4) of a lexically enclosing class or interface declaration may not be assigned within an inner class, or a compile-time error occurs.

Example 8.1.3-2. Inner Class Declarations

```
class Outer {
    int i = 100;
    static void classMethod() {
        final int l = 200;
        class LocalInStaticContext {
            int k = i;  // Compile-time error
            int m = l;  // OK
        }
    }
```

```
        void foo() {
            class Local {   // A local class
                int j = i;
            }
        }
    }
```

The declaration of class `LocalInStaticContext` occurs in a static context due to being within the static method `classMethod`. Instance variables of class `Outer` are not available within the body of a static method. In particular, instance variables of `Outer` are not available inside the body of `LocalInStaticContext`. However, local variables from the surrounding method may be referred to without error (provided they are declared `final` or are effectively final).

Inner classes whose declarations do not occur in a static context may freely refer to the instance variables of their enclosing class declaration. An instance variable is always defined with respect to an instance. In the case of instance variables of an enclosing class declaration, the instance variable must be defined with respect to an enclosing instance of the inner class. For example, the class `Local` above has an enclosing instance of class `Outer`. As a further example:

```
class WithDeepNesting {
    boolean toBe;
    WithDeepNesting(boolean b) { toBe = b; }

    class Nested {
        boolean theQuestion;
        class DeeplyNested {
            DeeplyNested(){
                theQuestion = toBe || !toBe;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Here, every instance of `WithDeepNesting.Nested.DeeplyNested` has an enclosing instance of class `WithDeepNesting.Nested` (its immediately enclosing instance) and an enclosing instance of class `WithDeepNesting` (its 2nd lexically enclosing instance).

8.1.4   Superclasses and Subclasses

The optional `extends` clause in a normal class declaration specifies the *direct superclass type* of the class being declared.

ClassExtends:
   `extends` *ClassType*

The `extends` clause must not appear in the definition of the class `Object`, or a compile-time error occurs, because it is the primordial class and has no direct superclass type.

The *ClassType* must name an accessible class (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the *ClassType* names a class that is `sealed` (§8.1.1.2) and the class being declared is not a permitted direct subclass of the named class (§8.1.6).

It is a compile-time error if the *ClassType* names a class that is `final`, because `final` classes are not allowed to have subclasses (§8.1.1.2).

It is a compile-time error if the *ClassType* names the class `Enum`, which can only be extended by an enum class (§8.9), or names the class `Record`, which can only be extended by a record class (§8.10).

If the *ClassType* has type arguments, it must denote a well-formed parameterized type (§4.5), and none of the type arguments may be wildcard type arguments, or a compile-time error occurs.

The direct superclass type of a class whose declaration lacks an `extends` clause is as follows:

• The class `Object` has no direct superclass type.

• For a class other than `Object` with a normal class declaration, the direct superclass type is `Object`.

• For an enum class E, the direct superclass type is `Enum<E>`.

• For an anonymous class, the direct superclass type is defined in §15.9.5.

The *direct superclass* of a class is the class named by its direct superclass type. The direct superclass is important because its implementation is used to derive the implementation of the class being declared.

The *superclass* relationship is the transitive closure of the direct superclass relationship. A class A is a superclass of class C if either of the following is true:

• A is the direct superclass of C.

• Where a class B is the direct superclass of C, A is a superclass of B, applying this definition recursively.

A class is said to be a *direct subclass* of its direct superclass, and a *subclass* of each of its superclasses.

Example 8.1.4-1. Direct Superclasses and Subclasses

```
class Point { int x, y; }
final class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
class Colored3DPoint extends ColoredPoint { int z; }  // error
```

Here, the relationships are as follows:

- The class `Point` is a direct subclass of `Object`.

- The class `Object` is the direct superclass of the class `Point`.

- The class `ColoredPoint` is a direct subclass of class `Point`.

- The class `Point` is the direct superclass of class `ColoredPoint`.

The declaration of class `Colored3dPoint` causes a compile-time error because it attempts to extend the final class `ColoredPoint`.

Example 8.1.4-2. Superclasses and Subclasses

```
class Point { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
final class Colored3dPoint extends ColoredPoint { int z; }
```

Here, the relationships are as follows:

- The class `Point` is a superclass of class `ColoredPoint`.

- The class `Point` is a superclass of class `Colored3dPoint`.

- The class `ColoredPoint` is a subclass of class `Point`.

- The class `ColoredPoint` is a superclass of class `Colored3dPoint`.

- The class `Colored3dPoint` is a subclass of class `ColoredPoint`.

- The class `Colored3dPoint` is a subclass of class `Point`.

A class C *directly depends* on a class or interface A if A is mentioned in the `extends` or `implements` clause of C either as a superclass or superinterface, or as a qualifier in the fully qualified form of a superclass or superinterface name.

A class C *depends* on a class or interface A if any of the following is true:

- C directly depends on A.

- C directly depends on an interface I that depends (§9.1.3) on A.

- C directly depends on a class B that depends on A, applying this definition recursively.

It is a compile-time error if a class depends on itself.

If circularly declared classes are detected at run time, as classes are loaded, then a `ClassCircularityError` is thrown (§12.2.1).

Example 8.1.4-3. Class Depends on Itself

```
class Point extends ColoredPoint { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
```

This program causes a compile-time error because class `Point` depends on itself.

8.1.5   Superinterfaces

The optional `implements` clause in a class declaration specifies the *direct superinterface types* of the class being declared.

ClassImplements:
   `implements` *InterfaceTypeList*

InterfaceTypeList:
   InterfaceType { , InterfaceType}

Each *InterfaceType* must name an accessible interface (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if any *InterfaceType* names a interface that is `sealed` (§9.1.1.4) and the class being declared is not a permitted direct subclass of the named interface (§9.1.4).

If an *InterfaceType* has type arguments, it must denote a well-formed parameterized type (§4.5), and none of the type arguments may be wildcard type arguments, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the same interface is named by a direct superinterface type more than once in a single `implements` clause. This is true even if the interface is named in different ways.

Example 8.1.5-1. Illegal Superinterfaces

```
class Redundant implements java.lang.Cloneable, Cloneable {
    int x;
}
```

This program results in a compile-time error because the names `java.lang.Cloneable` and `Cloneable` refer to the same interface.

A class whose declaration lacks an `implements` clause has no direct superinterface types, with one exception: an anonymous class may have a superinterface type (§15.9.5).

An interface is a *direct superinterface* of a class if the interface is named by one of the direct superinterface types of the class.

An interface I is a *superinterface* of class C if any of the following is true:

- I is a direct superinterface of C.

- C has some direct superinterface J for which I is a superinterface, using the definition of "superinterface of an interface" given in §9.1.3.

- I is a superinterface of the direct superclass of C.

A class can have a superinterface in more than one way.

A class is said to *directly implement* its direct superinterfaces, and to *implement* all of its superinterfaces.

A class is said to be a *direct subclass* of its direct superinterfaces, and a *subclass* of all of its superinterfaces.

A class may not declare a direct superclass type and a direct superinterface type, or two direct superinterface types, which are, or which have supertypes (§4.10.2) which are, different parameterizations of the same generic interface (§9.1.2), or a parameterization of a generic interface and a raw type naming that same generic interface. In the case of such a conflict, a compile-time error occurs.

This requirement was introduced in order to support translation by type erasure (§4.6).

Example 8.1.5-2. Superinterfaces

```
interface Colorable {
    void setColor(int color);
    int getColor();
}
enum Finish { MATTE, GLOSSY }
interface Paintable extends Colorable {
    void setFinish(Finish finish);
    Finish getFinish();
}

class Point { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
    int color;
    public void setColor(int color) { this.color = color; }
    public int getColor() { return color; }
}
```

```
class PaintedPoint extends ColoredPoint implements Paintable {
    Finish finish;
    public void setFinish(Finish finish) {
        this.finish = finish;
    }
    public Finish getFinish() { return finish; }
}
```

Here, the relationships are as follows:

- The interface `Paintable` is a superinterface of class `PaintedPoint`.

- The interface `Colorable` is a superinterface of class `ColoredPoint` and of class `PaintedPoint`.

- The interface `Paintable` is a subinterface of the interface `Colorable`, and `Colorable` is a superinterface of `Paintable`, as defined in §9.1.3.

The class `PaintedPoint` has `Colorable` as a superinterface both because it is a superinterface of `ColoredPoint` and because it is a superinterface of `Paintable`.

Example 8.1.5-3. Illegal Multiple Inheritance of an Interface

```
interface I<T> {}
class B implements I<Integer> {}
class C extends B implements I<String> {}
```

Class `C` causes a compile-time error because it attempts to be a subtype of both `I<Integer>` and `I<String>`.

Unless the class being declared is `abstract`, all the `abstract` member methods of each direct superinterface must be implemented (§8.4.8.1) either by a declaration in this class or by an existing method declaration inherited from the direct superclass or a direct superinterface, because a class that is not `abstract` is not permitted to have `abstract` methods (§8.1.1.1).

Each default method (§9.4.3) of a superinterface of the class may optionally be overridden by a method in the class; if not, the default method is typically inherited and its behavior is as specified by its default body.

It is permitted for a single method declaration in a class to implement methods of more than one superinterface.

Example 8.1.5-4. Implementing Methods of a Superinterface

```
interface Colorable {
    void setColor(int color);
    int getColor();
}
class Point { int x, y; };
class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {
```

```
         int color;
     }
```

This program causes a compile-time error, because `ColoredPoint` is not an `abstract` class but fails to provide an implementation of methods `setColor` and `getColor` of the interface `Colorable`.

In the following program:

```
interface Fish  { int getNumberOfScales(); }
interface Piano { int getNumberOfScales(); }
class Tuna implements Fish, Piano {
    // You can tune a piano, but can you tuna fish?
    public int getNumberOfScales() { return 91; }
}
```

the method `getNumberOfScales` in class `Tuna` has a name, signature, and return type that matches the method declared in interface `Fish` and also matches the method declared in interface `Piano`; it is considered to implement both.

On the other hand, in a situation such as this:

```
interface Fish       { int    getNumberOfScales(); }
interface StringBass { double getNumberOfScales(); }
class Bass implements Fish, StringBass {
    // This declaration cannot be correct,
    // no matter what type is used.
    public ?? getNumberOfScales() { return 91; }
}
```

it is impossible to declare a method named `getNumberOfScales` whose signature and return type are compatible with those of both the methods declared in interface `Fish` and in interface `StringBass`, because a class cannot have multiple methods with the same signature and different primitive return types (§8.4). Therefore, it is impossible for a single class to implement both interface `Fish` and interface `StringBass` (§8.4.8).

8.1.6   Permitted Direct Subclasses

The optional `permits` clause in a normal class declaration specifies all the classes intended as direct subclasses of the class being declared (§8.1.1.2).

ClassPermits:
  `permits` *TypeName { , TypeName}*

It is a compile-time error if a class declaration has a `permits` clause but no `sealed` modifier.

Every *TypeName* must name an accessible class (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the same class is specified more than once in a `permits` clause. This is true even if the class is named in different ways.

> The canonical name of a class does not need to be used in a `permits` clause, but a `permits` clause can only specify a class once. For example, the following program fails to compile:
>
> ```
> package p;
>
> sealed class A     permits B, C, p.B {}  // error
>
> non-sealed class B extends A {}
> non-sealed class C extends A {}
> ```

If a `sealed` class `C` is associated with a named module (§7.3), then every class specified in the `permits` clause of `C`'s declaration must be associated with the same module as `C`, or a compile-time error occurs.

If a `sealed` class `C` is associated with an unnamed module (§7.7.5), then every class specified in the `permits` clause of `C`'s declaration must belong to the same package as `C`, or a compile-time error occurs.

> A `sealed` class and its direct subclasses need to refer to each other in a circular fashion, in `permits` and `extends` clauses, respectively. Therefore, in a modular codebase, they must be co-located in the same module, as classes in different modules cannot refer to each other in a circular fashion. Co-location is desirable in any case because a sealed class hierarchy should always be declared within a single maintenance domain, where the same developer or group of developers is responsible for maintaining the hierarchy. A named module typically represents a maintenance domain in a modular codebase.

If the declaration of a `sealed` class `C` has a `permits` clause, then the *permitted direct subclasses* of `C` are the classes specified by the `permits` clause.

Every permitted direct subclass specified by the `permits` clause must be a direct subclass of `C` (§8.1.4), or a compile-time error occurs.

If the declaration of a `sealed` class `C` lacks a `permits` clause, then the permitted direct subclasses of `C` are as follows:

- If c is not an enum class, then its permitted direct subclasses are those classes declared in the same compilation unit as c (§7.3) which have a canonical name (§6.7) and whose direct superclass is c.

  > That is, the permitted direct subclasses are inferred as the classes in the same compilation unit that specify c as their direct superclass. The requirement for a canonical name means that no local classes or anonymous classes will be considered.

  It is a compile-time error if the declaration of a `sealed` class c lacks a `permits` clause and c has no permitted direct subclasses.

- If c is an enum class, then its permitted direct subclasses, if any, are specified in §8.9.

8.1.7   Class Body and Member Declarations

A *class body* may contain declarations of members of the class, that is, fields (§8.3), methods (§8.4), classes, and interfaces (§8.5).

A class body may also contain instance initializers (§8.6), static initializers (§8.7), and declarations of constructors (§8.8) for the class.

> *ClassBody:*
>   *{ {ClassBodyDeclaration} }*
>
> *ClassBodyDeclaration:*
>   *ClassMemberDeclaration*
>   *InstanceInitializer*
>   *StaticInitializer*
>   *ConstructorDeclaration*
>
> *ClassMemberDeclaration:*
>   *FieldDeclaration*
>   *MethodDeclaration*
>   *ClassDeclaration*
>   *InterfaceDeclaration*
>   *;*

The scope and shadowing of a declaration of a member m declared in or inherited by a class c is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

> If c is a nested class, there may be definitions of the same kind (variable, method, or type) and name as m in enclosing scopes. (The scopes may be blocks, classes, or packages.) In

all such cases, the member `m` declared in or inherited by `C` shadows the other definitions of the same kind and name.

8.2   Class Members

The members of a class are all of the following:

- Members inherited from its direct superclass type (§8.1.4), except in the class `Object`, which has no direct superclass type

- Members inherited from any direct superinterface types (§8.1.5)

- Members declared in the body of the class (§8.1.7)

Members of a class that are declared `private` are not inherited by subclasses of that class.

Only members of a class that are declared `protected` or `public` are inherited by subclasses declared in a package other than the one in which the class is declared.

Constructors, static initializers, and instance initializers are not members and therefore are not inherited.

We use the phrase *the type of a member* to denote:

- For a field, its type.

- For a method, an ordered 4-tuple (known as a *method type*) consisting of:

  – type parameters: the declarations of any type parameters of the method member (§8.4.4).

  – parameter types: a list of the types of the formal parameters of the method member (§8.4.1).

  – return type: the return type of the method member (§8.4.5).

  – `throws` clause: exception types declared in the `throws` clause of the method member (§8.4.6).

Fields, methods, member classes, and member interfaces of a class may have the same name, since they are used in different contexts and are disambiguated by different lookup procedures (§6.5). However, this is discouraged as a matter of style.

Example 8.2-1. Use of Class Members

```
class Point {
```

259

```
        int x, y;
        private Point() { reset(); }
        Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
        private void reset() { this.x = 0; this.y = 0; }
    }
    class ColoredPoint extends Point {
        int color;
        void clear() { reset(); }  // error
    }
    class Test {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            ColoredPoint c = new ColoredPoint(0, 0);  // error
            c.reset();  // error
        }
    }
```

This program causes four compile-time errors.

One error occurs because `ColoredPoint` has no constructor declared with two `int` parameters, as requested by the use in `main`. This illustrates the fact that `ColoredPoint` does not inherit the constructors of its superclass `Point`.

Another error occurs because `ColoredPoint` declares no constructors, and therefore a default constructor for it is implicitly declared (§8.8.9), and this default constructor is equivalent to:

```
    ColoredPoint() { super(); }
```

which invokes the constructor, with no arguments, for the direct superclass of the class `ColoredPoint`. The error is that the constructor for `Point` that takes no arguments is `private`, and therefore is not accessible outside the class `Point`, even through a superclass constructor invocation (§8.8.7).

Two more errors occur because the method `reset` of class `Point` is `private`, and therefore is not inherited by class `ColoredPoint`. The method invocations in method `clear` of class `ColoredPoint` and in method `main` of class `Test` are therefore not correct.

Example 8.2-2. Inheritance of Class Members with Package Access

Consider the example where the `points` package declares two compilation units:

```
    package points;
    public class Point {
        int x, y;
        public void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    }
```

and:

```
    package points;
```

```
public class Point3d extends Point {
    int z;
    public void move(int dx, int dy, int dz) {
        x += dx; y += dy; z += dz;
    }
}
```

and a third compilation unit, in another package, is:

```
import points.Point3d;
class Point4d extends Point3d {
    int w;
    public void move(int dx, int dy, int dz, int dw) {
        x += dx; y += dy; z += dz; w += dw; // compile-time errors
    }
}
```

Here both classes in the `points` package compile. The class `Point3d` inherits the fields `x` and `y` of class `Point`, because it is in the same package as `Point`. The class `Point4d`, which is in a different package, does not inherit the fields `x` and `y` of class `Point` or the field `z` of class `Point3d`, and so fails to compile.

A better way to write the third compilation unit would be:

```
import points.Point3d;
class Point4d extends Point3d {
    int w;
    public void move(int dx, int dy, int dz, int dw) {
        super.move(dx, dy, dz); w += dw;
    }
}
```

using the `move` method of the superclass `Point3d` to process `dx`, `dy`, and `dz`. If `Point4d` is written in this way, it will compile without errors.

Example 8.2-3. Inheritance of `public` and `protected` Class Members

Given the class `Point`:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    public int x, y;
    protected int useCount = 0;
    static protected int totalUseCount = 0;
    public void move(int dx, int dy) {
        x += dx; y += dy; useCount++; totalUseCount++;
    }
}
```

the `public` and `protected` fields `x`, `y`, `useCount`, and `totalUseCount` are inherited in all subclasses of `Point`.

261

Therefore, this test program, in another package, can be compiled successfully:

```
class Test extends points.Point {
    public void moveBack(int dx, int dy) {
        x -= dx; y -= dy; useCount++; totalUseCount++;
    }
}
```

Example 8.2-4. Inheritance of `private` **Class Members**

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    void move(int dx, int dy) {
        x += dx; y += dy; totalMoves++;
    }
    private static int totalMoves;
    void printMoves() { System.out.println(totalMoves); }
}
class Point3d extends Point {
    int z;
    void move(int dx, int dy, int dz) {
        super.move(dx, dy); z += dz; totalMoves++; // error
    }
}
```

Here, the class variable `totalMoves` can be used only within the class `Point`; it is not inherited by the subclass `Point3d`. A compile-time error occurs because method move of class `Point3d` tries to increment `totalMoves`.

Example 8.2-5. Accessing Members of Inaccessible Classes

Even though a class might not be declared `public`, instances of the class might be available at run time to code outside the package in which it is declared by means of a `public` superclass or superinterface. An instance of the class can be assigned to a variable of such a `public` type. An invocation of a `public` method of the object referred to by such a variable may invoke a method of the class if it implements or overrides a method of the `public` superclass or superinterface. (In this situation, the method is necessarily declared `public`, even though it is declared in a class that is not `public`.)

Consider the compilation unit:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    public int x, y;
    public void move(int dx, int dy) {
        x += dx; y += dy;
    }
}
```

and another compilation unit of another package:

```
package morePoints;
class Point3d extends points.Point {
    public int z;
    public void move(int dx, int dy, int dz) {
        super.move(dx, dy); z += dz;
    }
    public void move(int dx, int dy) {
        move(dx, dy, 0);
    }
}
public class OnePoint {
    public static points.Point getOne() {
        return new Point3d();
    }
}
```

An invocation `morePoints.OnePoint.getOne()` in yet a third package would return a `Point3d` that can be used as a `Point`, even though the type `Point3d` is not available outside the package `morePoints`. The two-argument version of method `move` could then be invoked for that object, which is permissible because method `move` of `Point3d` is `public` (as it must be, for any method that overrides a `public` method must itself be `public`, precisely so that situations such as this will work out correctly). The fields `x` and `y` of that object could also be accessed from such a third package.

While the field `z` of class `Point3d` is `public`, it is not possible to access this field from code outside the package `morePoints`, given only a reference to an instance of class `Point3d` in a variable `p` of type `Point`. This is because the expression `p.z` is not correct, as `p` has type `Point` and class `Point` has no field named `z`; also, the expression `((Point3d)p).z` is not correct, because the class type `Point3d` cannot be referred to outside package `morePoints`.

The declaration of the field `z` as `public` is not useless, however. If there were to be, in package `morePoints`, a `public` subclass `Point4d` of the class `Point3d`:

```
package morePoints;
public class Point4d extends Point3d {
    public int w;
    public void move(int dx, int dy, int dz, int dw) {
        super.move(dx, dy, dz); w += dw;
    }
}
```

then class `Point4d` would inherit the field `z`, which, being `public`, could then be accessed by code in packages other than `morePoints`, through variables and expressions of the `public` type `Point4d`.

8.3 Field Declarations

The variables of a class are introduced by *field declarations*.

263

FieldDeclaration:
  {FieldModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorList ;

VariableDeclaratorList:
  VariableDeclarator { , VariableDeclarator}

VariableDeclarator:
  VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]

VariableDeclaratorId:
  Identifier [Dims]

VariableInitializer:
  Expression
  ArrayInitializer

UnannType:
  UnannPrimitiveType
  UnannReferenceType

UnannPrimitiveType:
  NumericType
  boolean

UnannReferenceType:
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType
  UnannTypeVariable
  UnannArrayType

UnannClassOrInterfaceType:
  UnannClassType
  UnannInterfaceType

UnannClassType:
  TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  PackageName . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier
    [TypeArguments]

UnannInterfaceType:
  UnannClassType

UnannTypeVariable:
  TypeIdentifier

UnannArrayType:
  UnannPrimitiveType Dims
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType Dims
  UnannTypeVariable Dims

The following production from §4.3 is shown here for convenience:

Dims:
  {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

Each declarator in a *FieldDeclaration* declares one field. The *Identifier* in a declarator may be used in a name to refer to the field.

More than one field may be declared in a single *FieldDeclaration* by using more than one declarator; the *FieldModifier*s and *UnannType* apply to all the declarators in the declaration.

The *FieldModifier* clause is described in §8.3.1.

The declared type of a field is denoted by *UnannType* if no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* and *VariableDeclaratorId*, and is specified by §10.2 otherwise.

The scope and shadowing of a field declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

It is a compile-time error for the body of a class declaration to declare two fields with the same name.

If a class declares a field with a certain name, then the declaration of that field is said to *hide* any and all accessible declarations of fields with the same name in superclasses, and superinterfaces of the class.

> In this respect, hiding of fields differs from hiding of methods (§8.4.8.3), for there is no distinction drawn between static and non-static fields in field hiding whereas a distinction is drawn between static and non-static methods in method hiding.

A hidden field can be accessed by using a qualified name (§6.5.6.2) if it is static, or by using a field access expression that contains the keyword super (§15.11.2) or a cast to a superclass type.

> In this respect, hiding of fields is similar to hiding of methods.

If a field declaration hides the declaration of another field, the two fields need not have the same type.

A class inherits from its direct superclass and direct superinterfaces all the non-`private` fields of the superclass and superinterfaces that are both accessible (§6.6) to code in the class and not hidden by a declaration in the class.

A `private` field of a superclass might be accessible to a subclass - for example, if both classes are members of the same class. Nevertheless, a `private` field is never inherited by a subclass.

It is possible for a class to inherit more than one field with the same name, either from its superclass and superinterfaces or from its superinterfaces alone. Such a situation does not in itself cause a compile-time error. However, any attempt within the body of the class to refer to any such field by its simple name will result in a compile-time error, because the reference is ambiguous.

There might be several paths by which the same field declaration is inherited from an interface. In such a situation, the field is considered to be inherited only once, and it may be referred to by its simple name without ambiguity.

Example 8.3-1. Multiply Inherited Fields

A class may inherit two or more fields with the same name, either from its superclass and a superinterface or from two superinterfaces. A compile-time error occurs on any attempt to refer to any ambiguously inherited field by its simple name. A qualified name or a field access expression that contains the keyword `super` (§15.11.2) may be used to access such fields unambiguously. In the program:

```
interface Frob  { float v = 2.0f; }
class SuperTest { int   v = 3; }
class Test extends SuperTest implements Frob {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        new Test().printV();
    }
    void printV() { System.out.println(v); }
}
```

the class `Test` inherits two fields named `v`, one from its superclass `SuperTest` and one from its superinterface `Frob`. This in itself is permitted, but a compile-time error occurs because of the use of the simple name `v` in method `printV`: it cannot be determined which `v` is intended.

The following variation uses the field access expression `super.v` to refer to the field named `v` declared in class `SuperTest` and uses the qualified name `Frob.v` to refer to the field named `v` declared in interface `Frob`:

```
interface Frob  { float v = 2.0f; }
class SuperTest { int   v = 3; }
class Test extends SuperTest implements Frob {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        new Test().printV();
```

```
        }
        void printV() {
            System.out.println((super.v + Frob.v)/2);
        }
    }
```

It compiles and prints:

```
    2.5
```

Even if two distinct inherited fields have the same type, the same value, and are both `final`, any reference to either field by simple name is considered ambiguous and results in a compile-time error. In the program:

```
    interface Color        { int RED=0, GREEN=1,  BLUE=2;  }
    interface TrafficLight { int RED=0, YELLOW=1, GREEN=2; }
    class Test implements Color, TrafficLight {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            System.out.println(GREEN);  // compile-time error
            System.out.println(RED);    // compile-time error
        }
    }
```

it is not astonishing that the reference to GREEN should be considered ambiguous, because class Test inherits two different declarations for GREEN with different values. The point of this example is that the reference to RED is also considered ambiguous, because two distinct declarations are inherited. The fact that the two fields named RED happen to have the same type and the same unchanging value does not affect this judgment.

Example 8.3-2. Re-inheritance of Fields

If the same field declaration is inherited from an interface by multiple paths, the field is considered to be inherited only once. It may be referred to by its simple name without ambiguity. For example, in the code:

```
    interface Colorable {
        int RED = 0xff0000, GREEN = 0x00ff00, BLUE = 0x0000ff;
    }
    interface Paintable extends Colorable {
        int MATTE = 0, GLOSSY = 1;
    }
    class Point { int x, y; }
    class ColoredPoint extends Point implements Colorable {}
    class PaintedPoint extends ColoredPoint implements Paintable {
        int p = RED;
    }
```

the fields RED, GREEN, and BLUE are inherited by the class PaintedPoint both through its direct superclass ColoredPoint and through its direct superinterface Paintable. The simple names RED, GREEN, and BLUE may nevertheless be used without ambiguity within the class PaintedPoint to refer to the fields declared in interface Colorable.

8.3.1   Field Modifiers

> *FieldModifier:*
>   *(one of)*
>   *Annotation* `public` `protected` `private`
>   `static` `final` `transient` `volatile`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a field declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for a field declaration, or if a field declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private` (§6.6).

> If two or more (distinct) field modifiers appear in a field declaration, it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *FieldModifier*.

8.3.1.1   `static` *Fields*

If a field is declared `static`, there exists exactly one incarnation of the field, no matter how many instances (possibly zero) of the class may eventually be created. A `static` field, sometimes called a *class variable*, is incarnated when the class is initialized (§12.4).

A field that is not declared `static` is called an *instance variable*, and sometimes called a non-`static` field. Whenever a new instance of a class is created (§12.5), a new variable associated with that instance is created for every instance variable declared in that class or any of its superclasses.

The declaration of a class variable introduces a static context (§8.1.3), which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

References to an instance variable from a static context or a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

> **Example 8.3.1.1-1.** `static` **Fields**
>
> ```
> class Point {
>     int x, y, useCount;
>     Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
>     static final Point origin = new Point(0, 0);
> }
> class Test {
> ```

```
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Point p = new Point(1,1);
            Point q = new Point(2,2);
            p.x = 3;
            p.y = 3;
            p.useCount++;
            p.origin.useCount++;
            System.out.println("(" + q.x + "," + q.y + ")");
            System.out.println(q.useCount);
            System.out.println(q.origin == Point.origin);
            System.out.println(q.origin.useCount);
        }
    }
```

This program prints:

```
    (2,2)
    0
    true
    1
```

showing that changing the fields x, y, and useCount of p does not affect the fields of q, because these fields are instance variables in distinct objects. In this example, the class variable origin of the class Point is referenced both using the class name as a qualifier, in Point.origin, and using variables of the class type in field access expressions (§15.11), as in p.origin and q.origin. These two ways of accessing the origin class variable access the same object, evidenced by the fact that the value of the reference equality expression (§15.21.3):

```
    q.origin==Point.origin
```

is true. Further evidence is that the incrementation:

```
    p.origin.useCount++;
```

causes the value of q.origin.useCount to be 1; this is so because p.origin and q.origin refer to the same variable.

Example 8.3.1.1-2. Hiding of Class Variables

```
    class Point {
        static int x = 2;
    }
    class Test extends Point {
        static double x = 4.7;
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            new Test().printX();
        }
        void printX() {
            System.out.println(x + " " + super.x);
        }
```

```
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    4.7 2
```

because the declaration of x in class Test hides the definition of x in class Point, so class Test does not inherit the field x from its superclass Point. Within the declaration of class Test, the simple name x refers to the field declared within class Test. Code in class Test may refer to the field x of class Point as super.x (or, because x is static, as Point.x). If the declaration of Test.x is deleted:

```
    class Point {
        static int x = 2;
    }
    class Test extends Point {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            new Test().printX();
        }
        void printX() {
            System.out.println(x + " " + super.x);
        }
    }
```

then the field x of class Point is no longer hidden within class Test; instead, the simple name x now refers to the field Point.x. Code in class Test may still refer to that same field as super.x. Therefore, the output from this variant program is:

```
    2 2
```

Example 8.3.1.1-3. Hiding of Instance Variables

```
    class Point {
        int x = 2;
    }
    class Test extends Point {
        double x = 4.7;
        void printBoth() {
            System.out.println(x + " " + super.x);
        }
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Test sample = new Test();
            sample.printBoth();
            System.out.println(sample.x + " " + ((Point)sample).x);
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    4.7 2
    4.7 2
```

270

because the declaration of x in class Test hides the definition of x in class Point, so class Test does not inherit the field x from its superclass Point. It must be noted, however, that while the field x of class Point is not inherited by class Test, it is nevertheless *implemented* by instances of class Test. In other words, every instance of class Test contains two fields, one of type int and one of type double. Both fields bear the name x, but within the declaration of class Test, the simple name x always refers to the field declared within class Test. Code in instance methods of class Test may refer to the instance variable x of class Point as super.x.

Code that uses a field access expression to access field x will access the field named x in the class indicated by the type of reference expression. Thus, the expression sample.x accesses a double value, the instance variable declared in class Test, because the type of the variable sample is Test, but the expression ((Point)sample).x accesses an int value, the instance variable declared in class Point, because of the cast to type Point.

If the declaration of x is deleted from class Test, as in the program:

```
class Point {
    static int x = 2;
}
class Test extends Point {
    void printBoth() {
        System.out.println(x + " " + super.x);
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Test sample = new Test();
        sample.printBoth();
        System.out.println(sample.x + " " + ((Point)sample).x);
    }
}
```

then the field x of class Point is no longer hidden within class Test. Within instance methods in the declaration of class Test, the simple name x now refers to the field declared within class Point. Code in class Test may still refer to that same field as super.x. The expression sample.x still refers to the field x within type Test, but that field is now an inherited field, and so refers to the field x declared in class Point. The output from this variant program is:

```
2 2
2 2
```

8.3.1.2   final *Fields*

A field can be declared final (§4.12.4). Both class and instance variables (static and non-static fields) may be declared final.

A blank final class variable must be definitely assigned by a static initializer of the class in which it is declared, or a compile-time error occurs (§8.7, §16.8).

A blank `final` instance variable must be definitely assigned and moreover not definitely unassigned at the end of every constructor of the class in which it is declared, or a compile-time error occurs (§8.8, §16.9).

8.3.1.3   `transient` *Fields*

Variables may be marked `transient` to indicate that they are not part of the persistent state of an object.

> **Example 8.3.1.3-1. Persistence of `transient` Fields**
>
> If an instance of the class `Point`:
>
> ```
> class Point {
>     int x, y;
>     transient float rho, theta;
> }
> ```
>
> were saved to persistent storage by a system service, then only the fields `x` and `y` would be saved. This specification does not specify details of such services; see the specification of `java.io.Serializable` for an example of such a service.

8.3.1.4   `volatile` *Fields*

The Java programming language allows threads to access shared variables (§17.1). As a rule, to ensure that shared variables are consistently and reliably updated, a thread should ensure that it has exclusive use of such variables by obtaining a lock that, conventionally, enforces mutual exclusion for those shared variables.

The Java programming language provides a second mechanism, `volatile` fields, that is more convenient than locking for some purposes.

A field may be declared `volatile`, in which case the Java Memory Model ensures that all threads see a consistent value for the variable (§17.4).

It is a compile-time error if a `final` variable is also declared `volatile`.

> **Example 8.3.1.4-1. `volatile` Fields**
>
> If, in the following example, one thread repeatedly calls the method `one` (but no more than `Integer.MAX_VALUE` times in all), and another thread repeatedly calls the method `two`:
>
> ```
> class Test {
>     static int i = 0, j = 0;
>     static void one() { i++; j++; }
>     static void two() {
>         System.out.println("i=" + i + " j=" + j);
>     }
> ```

```
        }
```

then method `two` could occasionally print a value for `j` that is greater than the value of `i`, because the example includes no synchronization and, under the rules explained in §17.4, the shared values of `i` and `j` might be updated out of order.

One way to prevent this out-or-order behavior would be to declare methods `one` and `two` to be `synchronized` (§8.4.3.6):

```
class Test {
    static int i = 0, j = 0;
    static synchronized void one() { i++; j++; }
    static synchronized void two() {
        System.out.println("i=" + i + " j=" + j);
    }
}
```

This prevents method `one` and method `two` from being executed concurrently, and furthermore guarantees that the shared values of `i` and `j` are both updated before method `one` returns. Therefore method `two` never observes a value for `j` greater than that for `i`; indeed, it always observes the same value for `i` and `j`.

Another approach would be to declare `i` and `j` to be `volatile`:

```
class Test {
    static volatile int i = 0, j = 0;
    static void one() { i++; j++; }
    static void two() {
        System.out.println("i=" + i + " j=" + j);
    }
}
```

This allows method `one` and method `two` to be executed concurrently, but guarantees that accesses to the shared values for `i` and `j` occur exactly as many times, and in exactly the same order, as they appear to occur during execution of the program text by each thread. Therefore, the shared value for `j` is never greater than that for `i`, because each update to `i` must be reflected in the shared value for `i` before the update to `j` occurs. It is possible, however, that any given invocation of method `two` might observe a value for `j` that is much greater than the value observed for `i`, because method `one` might be executed many times between the moment when method `two` fetches the value of `i` and the moment when method `two` fetches the value of `j`.

See §17.4 for more discussion and examples.

8.3.2   Field Initialization

If a declarator in a field declaration has a *variable initializer*, then the declarator has the semantics of an assignment (§15.26) to the declared variable.

If the declarator is for a class variable (that is, a `static` field) (§8.3.1.1), then the following rules apply to its initializer:

- The initializer may not refer to the current object using the keyword `this` or the keyword `super`, as specified in §15.8.3 and §15.11.2, nor refer by simple name to any instance variable or instance method, as specified in §6.5.6.1 and §15.12.3.

- At run time, the initializer is evaluated and the assignment performed exactly once, when the class is initialized (§12.4.2).

    > Note that `static` fields that are constant variables (§4.12.4) are initialized before other `static` fields (§12.4.2, step 6). This also applies in interfaces (§9.3.1). When such fields are referenced by simple name, they will never be observed to have their default initial values (§4.12.5).

If the declarator is for an instance variable (that is, a field that is not `static`), then the following rules apply to its initializer:

- The initializer may refer to the current object using the keyword `this` or the keyword `super`, and may refer by simple name to any class variable declared in or inherited by the class, even one whose declaration occurs to the right of the initializer (§3.5).

- At run time, the initializer is evaluated and the assignment performed each time an instance of the class is created (§12.5).

References from variable initializers to fields that may not yet be initialized are restricted, as specified in §8.3.3 and §16 (*Definite Assignment*).

Exception checking for a variable initializer in a field declaration is specified in §11.2.3.

> Variable initializers are also used in local variable declaration statements (§14.4), where the initializer is evaluated and the assignment performed each time the local variable declaration statement is executed.

> **Example 8.3.2-1. Field Initialization**

```
class Point {
    int x = 1, y = 5;
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point();
        System.out.println(p.x + ", " + p.y);
    }
}
```

> This program produces the output:

```
    1, 5
```

because the assignments to x and y occur whenever a new Point is created.

Example 8.3.2-2. Forward Reference to a Class Variable

```
class Test {
    float f = j;
    static int j = 1;
}
```

This program compiles without error; it initializes j to 1 when class Test is initialized, and initializes f to the current value of j every time an instance of class Test is created.

8.3.3 Restrictions on Field References in Initializers

References to a field are sometimes restricted, even through the field is in scope. The following rules constrain forward references to a field (where the use textually precedes the field declaration) as well as self-reference (where the field is used in its own initializer).

For a reference by simple name to a class variable f declared in class or interface c, it is a compile-time error if:

- The reference appears either in a class variable initializer of c or in a static initializer of c (§8.7); and

- The reference appears either in the initializer of f's own declarator or at a point to the left of f's declarator; and

- The reference is *not* on the left hand side of an assignment expression (§15.26); and

- The innermost class or interface enclosing the reference is c.

For a reference by simple name to an instance variable f declared in class c, it is a compile-time error if:

- The reference appears either in an instance variable initializer of c or in an instance initializer of c (§8.6); and

- The reference appears in the initializer of f's own declarator or at a point to the left of f's declarator; and

- The reference is *not* on the left hand side of an assignment expression (§15.26); and

- The innermost class enclosing the reference is c.

Example 8.3.3-1. Restrictions on Field References

A compile-time error occurs for this program:

```
class Test1 {
    int i = j;  // compile-time error:
                // incorrect forward reference
    int j = 1;
}
```

whereas the following program compiles without error:

```
class Test2 {
    Test2() { k = 2; }
    int j = 1;
    int i = j;
    int k;
}
```

even though the constructor for `Test2` (§8.8) refers to the field k that is declared three lines later.

The restrictions above are designed to catch, at compile time, circular or otherwise malformed initializations. Thus, both:

```
class Z {
    static int i = j + 2;
    static int j = 4;
}
```

and:

```
class Z {
    static { i = j + 2; }
    static int i, j;
    static { j = 4; }
}
```

result in compile-time errors. Accesses by methods are not checked in this way, so:

```
class Z {
    static int peek() { return j; }
    static int i = peek();
    static int j = 1;
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(Z.i);
    }
}
```

produces the output:

```
0
```

because the variable initializer for `i` uses the class method `peek` to access the value of the variable `j` before `j` has been initialized by its variable initializer, at which point it still has its default value (§4.12.5).

A more elaborate example is:

```
class UseBeforeDeclaration {
    static {
        x = 100;
          // ok - assignment
        int y = x + 1;
          // error - read before declaration
        int v = x = 3;
          // ok - x at left hand side of assignment
        int z = UseBeforeDeclaration.x * 2;
          // ok - not accessed via simple name

        Object o = new Object() {
            void foo() { x++; }
              // ok - occurs in a different class
            { x++; }
              // ok - occurs in a different class
        };
    }

    {
        j = 200;
          // ok - assignment
        j = j + 1;
          // error - right hand side reads before declaration
        int k = j = j + 1;
          // error - illegal forward reference to j
        int n = j = 300;
          // ok - j at left hand side of assignment
        int h = j++;
          // error - read before declaration
        int l = this.j * 3;
          // ok - not accessed via simple name

        Object o = new Object() {
            void foo(){ j++; }
              // ok - occurs in a different class
            { j = j + 1; }
              // ok - occurs in a different class
        };
    }

    int w = x = 3;
```

```
              // ok - x at left hand side of assignment
          int p = x;
            // ok - instance initializers may access static fields

          static int u =
              (new Object() { int bar() { return x; } }).bar();
           // ok - occurs in a different class

          static int x;

          int m = j = 4;
            // ok - j at left hand side of assignment
          int o =
              (new Object() { int bar() { return j; } }).bar();
              // ok - occurs in a different class
          int j;
      }
```

8.4   Method Declarations

A *method* declares executable code that can be invoked, passing a fixed number
of values as arguments.

   MethodDeclaration:
     {MethodModifier} MethodHeader MethodBody

   MethodHeader:
     Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]
     TypeParameters {Annotation} Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]

   MethodDeclarator:
     Identifier (*[ReceiverParameter* ,*] [FormalParameterList]*) *[Dims]*

   ReceiverParameter:
     {Annotation} UnannType [Identifier . *]* this

The following production from §4.3 is shown here for convenience:

   Dims:
     {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

The *FormalParameterList* clause is described in §8.4.1, the *MethodModifier* clause
in §8.4.3, the *TypeParameters* clause in §8.4.4, the *Result* clause in §8.4.5, the
Throws clause in §8.4.6, and the *MethodBody* in §8.4.7.

The *Identifier* in a *MethodDeclarator* may be used in a name to refer to the method (§6.5.7.1, §15.12).

The scope and shadowing of a method declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

The *receiver parameter* is an optional syntactic device for an instance method or an inner class's constructor. For an instance method, the receiver parameter represents the object for which the method is invoked. For an inner class's constructor, the receiver parameter represents the immediately enclosing instance of the newly constructed object. In both cases, the receiver parameter exists solely to allow the type of the represented object to be denoted in source code, so that the type may be annotated (§9.7.4). The receiver parameter is not a formal parameter; more precisely, it is not a declaration of any kind of variable (§4.12.3), it is never bound to any value passed as an argument in a method invocation expression or class instance creation expression, and it has no effect whatsoever at run time.

A receiver parameter may appear either in the *MethodDeclarator* of an instance method or in the *ConstructorDeclarator* of a constructor of an inner class where the inner class is not declared in a static context (§8.1.3). If a receiver parameter appears in any other kind of method or constructor, then a compile-time error occurs.

The type and name of a receiver parameter are constrained as follows:

- In an instance method, the type of the receiver parameter must be the class or interface in which the method is declared, and the name of the receiver parameter must be `this`; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- In an inner class's constructor, the type of the receiver parameter must be the class or interface which is the immediately enclosing type declaration of the inner class, and the name of the receiver parameter must be *Identifier* . `this` where *Identifier* is the simple name of the class or interface which is the immediately enclosing type declaration of the inner class; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error for the body of a class declaration to declare as members two methods with override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2).

The declaration of a method that returns an array is allowed to place some or all of the bracket pairs that denote the array type after the formal parameter list. This syntax is supported for compatibility with early versions of the Java programming language. It is very strongly recommended that this syntax is not used in new code.

8.4.1   Formal Parameters

The *formal parameters* of a method or constructor, if any, are specified by a list of comma-separated parameter specifiers. Each parameter specifier consists of a type (optionally preceded by the `final` modifier and/or one or more annotations) and an identifier (optionally followed by brackets) that specifies the name of the parameter.

If a method or constructor has no formal parameters, and no receiver parameter, then an empty pair of parentheses appears in the declaration of the method or constructor.

> *FormalParameterList:*
>   *FormalParameter { , FormalParameter}*

> *FormalParameter:*
>   *{VariableModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorId*
>   *VariableArityParameter*

> *VariableArityParameter:*
>   *{VariableModifier} UnannType {Annotation} . . . Identifier*

> *VariableModifier:*
>   *Annotation*
>   `final`

The following productions from §8.3 and §4.3 are shown here for convenience:

> *VariableDeclaratorId:*
>   *Identifier [Dims]*

> *Dims:*
>   *{Annotation}* `[ ]` *{{Annotation}* `[ ]`*}*

A formal parameter of a method or constructor may be a *variable arity parameter*, indicated by an ellipsis following the type. At most one variable arity parameter is permitted for a method or constructor. It is a compile-time error if a variable arity parameter appears anywhere in the list of parameter specifiers except the last position.

In the grammar for *VariableArityParameter*, note that the ellipsis (. . .) is a token unto itself (§3.11). It is possible to put whitespace between it and the type, but this is discouraged as a matter of style.

If the last formal parameter of a method is a variable arity parameter, the method is a *variable arity method*. Otherwise, it is a *fixed arity method*.

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a formal parameter declaration and for a receiver parameter are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

It is a compile-time error if `final` appears more than once as a modifier for a formal parameter declaration.

The scope and shadowing of a formal parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to a formal parameter from a nested class or interface, or a lambda expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

It is a compile-time error for a method or constructor to declare two formal parameters with the same name. (That is, their declarations mention the same *Identifier*.)

It is a compile-time error if a formal parameter that is declared `final` is assigned to within the body of the method or constructor.

The declared type of a formal parameter depends on whether it is a variable arity parameter:

- If the formal parameter is not a variable arity parameter, then the declared type is denoted by *UnannType* if no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* and *VariableDeclaratorId*, and specified by §10.2 otherwise.

- If the formal parameter is a variable arity parameter, then the declared type is an array type specified by §10.2.

If the declared type of a variable arity parameter has a non-reifiable element type (§4.7), then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs for the declaration of the variable arity method, unless the method is annotated with `@SafeVarargs` (§9.6.4.7) or the warning is suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

When the method or constructor is invoked (§15.12), the values of the actual argument expressions initialize newly created parameter variables, each of the declared type, before execution of the body of the method or constructor. The *Identifier* that appears in the *FormalParameter* may be used as a simple name in the body of the method or constructor to refer to the formal parameter.

Invocations of a variable arity method may contain more actual argument expressions than formal parameters. All the actual argument expressions that do not correspond to the formal parameters preceding the variable arity parameter will be evaluated and the results stored into an array that will be passed to the method invocation (§15.12.4.2).

Here are some examples of receiver parameters in instance methods and inner classes' constructors:

```
class Test {
    Test(/* ?? ?? */) {}
      // No receiver parameter is permitted in the constructor of
      // a top level class, as there is no conceivable type or name.

    void m(Test this) {}
      // OK: receiver parameter in an instance method

    static void n(Test this) {}
      // Illegal: receiver parameter in a static method

    class A {
        A(Test Test.this) {}
          // OK: the receiver parameter represents the instance
          // of Test which immediately encloses the instance
          // of A being constructed.

        void m(A this) {}
          // OK: the receiver parameter represents the instance
          // of A for which A.m() is invoked.

        class B {
            B(Test.A A.this) {}
              // OK: the receiver parameter represents the instance
              // of A which immediately encloses the instance of B
              // being constructed.

            void m(Test.A.B this) {}
              // OK: the receiver parameter represents the instance
              // of B for which B.m() is invoked.
        }
    }
}
```

B's constructor and instance method show that the type of the receiver parameter may be denoted with a qualified *TypeName* like any other type; but that the name of the receiver parameter in an inner class's constructor must use the simple name of the enclosing class.

8.4.2   Method Signature

Two methods or constructors, M and N, have the *same signature* if they have the same name, the same type parameters (if any) (§8.4.4), and, after adapting the formal parameter types of N to the type parameters of M, the same formal parameter types.

The signature of a method m_1 is a *subsignature* of the signature of a method m_2 if either:

- m_2 has the same signature as m_1, or

- the signature of m_1 is the same as the erasure (§4.6) of the signature of m_2.

Two method signatures m_1 and m_2 are *override-equivalent* iff either m_1 is a subsignature of m_2 or m_2 is a subsignature of m_1.

It is a compile-time error to declare two methods with override-equivalent signatures in a class.

Example 8.4.2-1. Override-Equivalent Signatures

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    abstract void move(int dx, int dy);
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
```

This program causes a compile-time error because it declares two `move` methods with the same (and hence, override-equivalent) signature. This is an error even though one of the declarations is `abstract`.

The notion of subsignature is designed to express a relationship between two methods whose signatures are not identical, but in which one may override the other. Specifically, it allows a method whose signature does not use generic types to override any generified version of that method. This is important so that library designers may freely generify methods independently of clients that define subclasses or subinterfaces of the library.

Consider the example:

```
class CollectionConverter {
    List toList(Collection c) {...}
}
class Overrider extends CollectionConverter {
    List toList(Collection c) {...}
}
```

Now, assume this code was written before the introduction of generics, and now the author of class `CollectionConverter` decides to generify the code, thus:

```
class CollectionConverter {
    <T> List<T> toList(Collection<T> c) {...}
}
```

Without special dispensation, `Overrider.toList` would no longer override `CollectionConverter.toList`. Instead, the code would be illegal. This would significantly inhibit the use of generics, since library writers would hesitate to migrate existing code.

8.4.3   Method Modifiers

MethodModifier:
   (one of)
   Annotation `public` `protected` `private`
   `abstract static final synchronized native strictfp`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a method declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for a method declaration, or if a method declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private` (§6.6).

It is a compile-time error if a method declaration that contains the keyword `abstract` also contains any one of the keywords `private`, `static`, `final`, `native`, `strictfp`, or `synchronized`.

It is a compile-time error if a method declaration that contains the keyword `native` also contains `strictfp`.

> If two or more (distinct) method modifiers appear in a method declaration, it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *MethodModifier*.

8.4.3.1   `abstract` *Methods*

An `abstract` method declaration introduces the method as a member, providing its signature (§8.4.2), result (§8.4.5), and `throws` clause if any (§8.4.6), but does not provide an implementation (§8.4.7). A method that is not `abstract` may be referred to as a *concrete* method.

The declaration of an `abstract` method *m* must appear directly within an `abstract` class (call it *A*) unless it occurs within an enum declaration (§8.9); otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

Every subclass of *A* that is not `abstract` (§8.1.1.1) must provide an implementation for *m*, or a compile-time error occurs.

An `abstract` class can override an `abstract` method by providing another `abstract` method declaration.

> This can provide a place to put a documentation comment, to refine the return type, or to declare that the set of checked exceptions that can be thrown by that method, when it is implemented by its subclasses, is to be more limited.

An instance method that is not `abstract` can be overridden by an `abstract` method.

Example 8.4.3.1-1. Abstract/Abstract Method Overriding

```
class BufferEmpty extends Exception {
    BufferEmpty() { super(); }
    BufferEmpty(String s) { super(s); }
}
class BufferError extends Exception {
    BufferError() { super(); }
    BufferError(String s) { super(s); }
}
interface Buffer {
    char get() throws BufferEmpty, BufferError;
}
abstract class InfiniteBuffer implements Buffer {
    public abstract char get() throws BufferError;
}
```

The overriding declaration of method `get` in class `InfiniteBuffer` states that method `get` in any subclass of `InfiniteBuffer` never throws a `BufferEmpty` exception, putatively because it generates the data in the buffer, and thus can never run out of data.

Example 8.4.3.1-2. Abstract/Non-Abstract Overriding

We can declare an `abstract` class `Point` that requires its subclasses to implement `toString` if they are to be complete, instantiable classes:

```
abstract class Point {
    int x, y;
    public abstract String toString();
}
```

This `abstract` declaration of `toString` overrides the non-`abstract` `toString` method of the class `Object`. (`Object` is the implicit direct superclass of class `Point`.) Adding the code:

```
class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    int color;
    public String toString() {
        return super.toString() + ": color " + color;  // error
    }
}
```

results in a compile-time error because the invocation `super.toString()` refers to method `toString` in class `Point`, which is `abstract` and therefore cannot be invoked. Method `toString` of class `Object` can be made available to class `ColoredPoint` only if class `Point` explicitly makes it available through some other method, as in:

```
abstract class Point {
```

```
        int x, y;
        public abstract String toString();
        protected String objString() { return super.toString(); }
    }
    class ColoredPoint extends Point {
        int color;
        public String toString() {
            return objString() + ": color " + color;  // correct
        }
    }
```

8.4.3.2 `static` *Methods*

A method that is declared `static` is called a *class method*.

A class method is always invoked without reference to a particular object. The declaration of a class method introduces a static context (§8.1.3), which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

A method that is not declared `static` is called an *instance method*, and sometimes called a non-`static` method.

An instance method is always invoked with respect to an object, which becomes the current object to which the keywords `this` and `super` refer during execution of the method body.

References to an instance method from a static context or a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §15.12.3.

8.4.3.3 `final` *Methods*

A method can be declared `final` to prevent subclasses from overriding or hiding it.

It is a compile-time error to attempt to override or hide a `final` method.

A `private` method and all methods declared immediately within a `final` class (§8.1.1.2) behave as if they are `final`, since it is impossible to override them.

> At run time, a machine-code generator or optimizer can "inline" the body of a `final` method, replacing an invocation of the method with the code in its body. The inlining process must preserve the semantics of the method invocation. In particular, if the target of an instance method invocation is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` must be thrown even if the method is inlined. A Java compiler must ensure that the exception will be thrown at the correct point, so that the actual arguments to the method will be seen to have been evaluated in the correct order prior to the method invocation.

Consider the example:

```
final class Point {
    int x, y;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point[] p = new Point[100];
        for (int i = 0; i < p.length; i++) {
            p[i] = new Point();
            p[i].move(i, p.length-1-i);
        }
    }
}
```

Inlining the method `move` of class `Point` in method `main` would transform the `for` loop to the form:

```
for (int i = 0; i < p.length; i++) {
        p[i] = new Point();
        Point pi = p[i];
        int j = p.length-1-i;
        pi.x += i;
        pi.y += j;
    }
```

The loop might then be subject to further optimizations.

Such inlining cannot be done at compile time unless it can be guaranteed that `Test` and `Point` will always be recompiled together, so that whenever `Point` - and specifically its `move` method - changes, the code for `Test.main` will also be updated.

8.4.3.4 `native` *Methods*

A method that is `native` is implemented in platform-dependent code, typically written in another programming language such as C. The body of a `native` method is given as a semicolon only, indicating that the implementation is omitted, instead of a block (§8.4.7).

For example, the class `RandomAccessFile` of the package `java.io` might declare the following `native` methods:

```
package java.io;
public class RandomAccessFile
    implements DataOutput, DataInput {
    . . .
    public native void open(String name, boolean writeable)
        throws IOException;
    public native int readBytes(byte[] b, int off, int len)
```

```
            throws IOException;
    public native void writeBytes(byte[] b, int off, int len)
        throws IOException;
    public native long getFilePointer() throws IOException;
    public native void seek(long pos) throws IOException;
    public native long length() throws IOException;
    public native void close() throws IOException;
}
```

8.4.3.5 `strictfp` *Methods*

The `strictfp` modifier on a method declaration is obsolete and should not be used in new code. Its presence or absence has has no effect at run time.

8.4.3.6 `synchronized` *Methods*

A `synchronized` method acquires a monitor (§17.1) before it executes.

For a class (`static`) method, the monitor associated with the `Class` object for the method's class is used.

For an instance method, the monitor associated with `this` (the object for which the method was invoked) is used.

Example 8.4.3.6-1. `synchronized` **Monitors**

These are the same monitors that can be used by the `synchronized` statement (§14.19).

Thus, the code:

```
class Test {
    int count;
    synchronized void bump() {
        count++;
    }
    static int classCount;
    static synchronized void classBump() {
        classCount++;
    }
}
```

has exactly the same effect as:

```
class BumpTest {
    int count;
    void bump() {
        synchronized (this) { count++; }
    }
    static int classCount;
```

```
        static void classBump() {
            try {
                synchronized (Class.forName("BumpTest")) {
                    classCount++;
                }
            } catch (ClassNotFoundException e) {}
        }
    }
```

Example 8.4.3.6-2. `synchronized` **Methods**

```
    public class Box {
        private Object boxContents;
        public synchronized Object get() {
            Object contents = boxContents;
            boxContents = null;
            return contents;
        }
        public synchronized boolean put(Object contents) {
            if (boxContents != null) return false;
            boxContents = contents;
            return true;
        }
    }
```

This program defines a class which is designed for concurrent use. Each instance of the class `Box` has an instance variable `boxContents` that can hold a reference to any object. You can put an object in a `Box` by invoking `put`, which returns `false` if the box is already full. You can get something out of a `Box` by invoking `get`, which returns a null reference if the box is empty.

If `put` and `get` were not `synchronized`, and two threads were executing methods for the same instance of `Box` at the same time, then the code could misbehave. It might, for example, lose track of an object because two invocations to `put` occurred at the same time.

8.4.4   Generic Methods

A method is *generic* if it declares one or more type variables (§4.4).

These type variables are known as the *type parameters* of the method. The form of the type parameter section of a generic method is identical to the type parameter section of a generic class (§8.1.2).

A generic method declaration defines a set of methods, one for each possible invocation of the type parameter section by type arguments. Type arguments may not need to be provided explicitly when a generic method is invoked, as they can often be inferred (§18 (*Type Inference*)).

The scope and shadowing of a method's type parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

References to a method's type parameter from a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §6.5.5.1.

Two methods or constructors `M` and `N` have the *same type parameters* if both of the following are true:

- `M` and `N` have same number of type parameters (possibly zero).

- Where $A_1, ..., A_n$ are the type parameters of `M` and $B_1, ..., B_n$ are the type parameters of `N`, let $\theta=[B_1:=A_1, ..., B_n:=A_n]$. Then, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), the bound of A_i is the same type as θ applied to the bound of B_i.

Where two methods or constructors `M` and `N` have the same type parameters, a type mentioned in `N` can be *adapted to the type parameters* of `M` by applying θ, as defined above, to the type.

8.4.5   Method Result

The *result* of a method declaration either declares the type of value that the method returns (the *return type*), or uses the keyword `void` to indicate that the method does not return a value.

> *Result:*
>   *UnannType*
>   `void`

If the result is not `void`, then the return type of a method is denoted by *UnannType* if no bracket pairs appear after the formal parameter list, and is specified by §10.2 otherwise.

Return types may vary among methods that override each other if the return types are reference types. The notion of return-type-substitutability supports *covariant returns*, that is, the specialization of the return type to a subtype.

A method declaration d_1 with return type R_1 is *return-type-substitutable* for another method d_2 with return type R_2 iff any of the following is true:

- If R_1 is `void` then R_2 is `void`.

- If R_1 is a primitive type then R_2 is identical to R_1.

- If R_1 is a reference type then one of the following is true:

  – R_1, adapted to the type parameters of d_2 (§8.4.4), is a subtype of R_2.

  – R_1 can be converted to a subtype of R_2 by unchecked conversion (§5.1.9).

  – d_1 does not have the same signature as d_2 (§8.4.2), and $R_1 = |R_2|$.

  > An unchecked conversion is allowed in the definition, despite being unsound, as a special allowance to allow smooth migration from non-generic to generic code. If an unchecked conversion is used to determine that R_1 is return-type-substitutable for R_2, then R_1 is necessarily not a subtype of R_2 and the rules for overriding (§8.4.8.3, §9.4.1) will require a compile-time unchecked warning.

8.4.6   Method Throws

A `throws` clause is used to denote any checked exception classes (§11.1.1) that the statements in a method or constructor body can throw (§11.2.2).

Throws:
    `throws` *ExceptionTypeList*

ExceptionTypeList:
    ExceptionType { , ExceptionType}

ExceptionType:
    ClassType
    TypeVariable

It is a compile-time error if an *ExceptionType* mentioned in a `throws` clause is not a subtype (§4.10) of `Throwable`.

Type variables are allowed in a `throws` clause even though they are not allowed in a `catch` clause (§14.20).

It is permitted but not required to mention unchecked exception classes (§11.1.1) in a `throws` clause.

The relationship between a `throws` clause and the exception checking for a method or constructor body is specified in §11.2.3.

> Essentially, for each checked exception that can result from execution of the body of a method or constructor, a compile-time error occurs unless its exception type or a supertype of its exception type is mentioned in a `throws` clause in the declaration of the method or constructor.

The requirement to declare checked exceptions allows a Java compiler to ensure that code for handling such error conditions has been included. Methods or constructors that fail to handle exceptional conditions thrown as checked exceptions in their bodies will normally cause compile-time errors if they lack proper exception types in their throws clauses. The Java programming language thus encourages a programming style where rare and otherwise truly exceptional conditions are documented in this way.

The relationship between the throws clause of a method and the throws clauses of overridden or hidden methods is specified in §8.4.8.3.

Example 8.4.6-1. Type Variables as Thrown Exception Types

```
import java.io.FileNotFoundException;

interface PrivilegedExceptionAction<E extends Exception> {
    void run() throws E;
}
class AccessController {
    public static <E extends Exception>
    Object doPrivileged(PrivilegedExceptionAction<E> action) throws E {
        action.run();
        return "success";
    }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            AccessController.doPrivileged(
              new PrivilegedExceptionAction<FileNotFoundException>() {
                public void run() throws FileNotFoundException {
                    // ... delete a file ...
                }
            });
        } catch (FileNotFoundException f) { /* Do something */ }
    }
}
```

8.4.7   Method Body

A *method body* is either a block of code that implements the method or simply a semicolon, indicating the lack of an implementation.

MethodBody:
  Block
  ;

The body of a method must be a semicolon if the method is abstract or native (§8.4.3.1, §8.4.3.4). More precisely:

- It is a compile-time error if a method declaration is either `abstract` or `native` and has a block for its body.

- It is a compile-time error if a method declaration is neither `abstract` nor `native` and has a semicolon for its body.

  If an implementation is to be provided for a method declared `void`, but the implementation requires no executable code, the method body should be written as a block that contains no statements: "{ }".

The rules for `return` statements in a method body are specified in §14.17.

If a method is declared to have a return type (§8.4.5), then a compile-time error occurs if the body of the method can complete normally (§14.1).

  In other words, a method with a return type must return only by using a `return` statement that provides a value return; the method is not allowed to "drop off the end of its body". See §14.17 for the precise rules about `return` statements in a method body.

  It is possible for a method to have a return type and yet contain no `return` statements. Here is one example:

```
class DizzyDean {
    int pitch() { throw new RuntimeException("90 mph?!"); }
}
```

8.4.8 Inheritance, Overriding, and Hiding

A class *C inherits* from its direct superclass type *D* all concrete methods *m* (both `static` and instance) for which all of the following are true:

- *m* is a member of *D*.

- *m* is `public`, `protected`, or declared with package access in the same package as *C*.

- No method declared in *C* has a signature that is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of *m* as a member of *D*.

A class *C inherits* from its direct superclass type and direct superinterface types all `abstract` and default (§9.4) methods *m* for which all of the following are true:

- *m* is a member of the direct superclass type or a direct superinterface type of *C*, known in either case as *D*.

- *m* is `public`, `protected`, or declared with package access in the same package as *C*.

- No method declared in *c* has a signature that is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of *m* as a member of *D*.

- No concrete method inherited by *c* from its direct superclass type has a signature that is a subsignature of the signature of *m* as a member of *D*.

- There exists no method *m'* that is a member of the direct superclass type or a direct superinterface type of *C*, *D'* (*m* distinct from *m'*, *D* distinct from *D'*), such that *m'* overrides from the class or interface of *D'* the declaration of the method *m* (§8.4.8.1, §9.4.1.1).

A class does not inherit `private` or `static` methods from its superinterface types.

> Note that methods are overridden or hidden on a signature-by-signature basis. If, for example, a class declares two `public` methods with the same name (§8.4.9), and a subclass overrides one of them, the subclass still inherits the other method.

> **Example 8.4.8-1. Inheritance**
>
> ```
> interface I1 {
>     int foo();
> }
>
> interface I2 {
>     int foo();
> }
>
> abstract class Test implements I1, I2 {}
> ```
>
> Here, the `abstract` class `Test` inherits the `abstract` method `foo` from interface `I1` and also the `abstract` method `foo` from interface `I2`. The key question in determining the inheritance of `foo` from `I1` is: does the method `foo` in `I2` override "from `I2`" (§9.4.1.1) the method `foo` in `I1`? No, because `I1` and `I2` are not subinterfaces of each other. Thus, from the viewpoint of class `Test`, the inheritance of `foo` from `I1` is unfettered; similarly for the inheritance of `foo` from `I2`. Per §8.4.8.4, class `Test` can inherit both `foo` methods; obviously it must be declared `abstract`, or else override both `abstract foo` methods with a concrete method.

> Note that it is possible for an inherited concrete method to prevent the inheritance of an `abstract` or default method. (The concrete method will override the `abstract` or default method "from *c*", per §8.4.8.1 and §9.4.1.1.) Also, it is possible for one supertype method to prevent the inheritance of another supertype method if the former "already" overrides the latter - this is the same as the rule for interfaces (§9.4.1), and prevents conflicts in which multiple default methods are inherited and one implementation is clearly meant to supersede the other.

8.4.8.1   *Overriding (by Instance Methods)*

An instance method m_C declared in or inherited by class C, *overrides from C* another method m_A declared in class A, iff all of the following are true:

- C is a subclass of A.

- C does not inherit m_A.

- The signature of m_C is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of m_A as a member of the supertype of C that names A.

- One of the following is true:

  - m_A is public.

  - m_A is protected.

  - m_A is declared with package access in the same package as C, and either C declares m_C or m_A is a member of the direct superclass type of C.

  - m_A is declared with package access and m_C overrides m_A from some superclass of C.

  - m_A is declared with package access and m_C overrides a method m' from C (m' distinct from m_C and m_A), such that m' overrides m_A from some superclass of C.

If m_C is non-abstract and overrides from C an abstract method m_A, then m_C is said to *implement m_A from C*.

It is a compile-time error if the overridden method, m_A, is a static method.

> In this respect, overriding of methods differs from hiding of fields (§8.3), for it is permissible for an instance variable to hide a static variable.

An instance method m_C declared in or inherited by class C, *overrides from C* another method m_I declared in interface I, iff all of the following are true:

- I is a superinterface of C.

- m_I is not static.

- C does not inherit m_I.

- The signature of m_C is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of m_I as a member of the supertype of C that names I.

- m_I is public.

> The signature of an overriding method may differ from the overridden one if a formal parameter in one of the methods has a raw type, while the corresponding parameter in the

other has a parameterized type. This accommodates migration of pre-existing code to take advantage of generics.

The notion of overriding includes methods that override another from some subclass of their declaring class. This can happen in two ways:

- A concrete method in a generic superclass can, under certain parameterizations, have the same signature as an `abstract` method in that class. In this case, the concrete method is inherited and the `abstract` method is not (as described above). The inherited method should then be considered to override its abstract peer *from C*. (This scenario is complicated by package access: if C is in a different package, then m_A would not have been inherited anyway, and should not be considered overridden.)

- A method inherited from a class can override a superinterface method. (Happily, package access is not a concern here.)

An overridden method can be accessed by using a method invocation expression (§15.12) that contains the keyword `super`. A qualified name or a cast to a superclass type is not effective in attempting to access an overridden method.

In this respect, overriding of methods differs from hiding of fields.

The presence or absence of the `strictfp` modifier has absolutely no effect on the rules for overriding methods and implementing `abstract` methods. For example, it is permitted for a method that is not `strictfp` to override a `strictfp` method, and it is permitted for a `strictfp` method to override a method that is not `strictfp`.

Example 8.4.8.1-1. Overriding

```
class Point {
    int x = 0, y = 0;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
class SlowPoint extends Point {
    int xLimit, yLimit;
    void move(int dx, int dy) {
        super.move(limit(dx, xLimit), limit(dy, yLimit));
    }
    static int limit(int d, int limit) {
        return d > limit ? limit : d < -limit ? -limit : d;
    }
}
```

Here, the class `SlowPoint` overrides the declarations of method `move` of class `Point` with its own `move` method, which limits the distance that the point can move on each invocation of the method. When the `move` method is invoked for an instance of class `SlowPoint`, the overriding definition in class `SlowPoint` will always be called, even if the reference to the `SlowPoint` object is taken from a variable whose type is `Point`.

Example 8.4.8.1-2. Overriding

Overriding makes it easy for subclasses to extend the behavior of an existing class, as shown in this example:

```
import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.OutputStream;

class BufferOutput {
    private OutputStream o;
    BufferOutput(OutputStream o) { this.o = o; }
    protected byte[] buf = new byte[512];
    protected int pos = 0;
    public void putchar(char c) throws IOException {
        if (pos == buf.length) flush();
        buf[pos++] = (byte)c;
    }
    public void putstr(String s) throws IOException {
        for (int i = 0; i < s.length(); i++)
            putchar(s.charAt(i));
    }
    public void flush() throws IOException {
        o.write(buf, 0, pos);
        pos = 0;
    }
}
class LineBufferOutput extends BufferOutput {
    LineBufferOutput(OutputStream o) { super(o); }
    public void putchar(char c) throws IOException {
        super.putchar(c);
        if (c == '\n') flush();
    }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) throws IOException {
        LineBufferOutput lbo = new LineBufferOutput(System.out);
        lbo.putstr("lbo\nlbo");
        System.out.print("print\n");
        lbo.putstr("\n");
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
lbo
print
lbo
```

The class `BufferOutput` implements a very simple buffered version of an `OutputStream`, flushing the output when the buffer is full or `flush` is invoked. The subclass `LineBufferOutput` declares only a constructor and a single method `putchar`,

297

which overrides the method `putchar` of `BufferOutput`. It inherits the methods `putstr` and `flush` from class `BufferOutput`.

In the `putchar` method of a `LineBufferOutput` object, if the character argument is a newline, then it invokes the `flush` method. The critical point about overriding in this example is that the method `putstr`, which is declared in class `BufferOutput`, invokes the `putchar` method defined by the current object `this`, which is not necessarily the `putchar` method declared in class `BufferOutput`.

Thus, when `putstr` is invoked in `main` using the `LineBufferOutput` object `lbo`, the invocation of `putchar` in the body of the `putstr` method is an invocation of the `putchar` of the object `lbo`, the overriding declaration of `putchar` that checks for a newline. This allows a subclass of `BufferOutput` to change the behavior of the `putstr` method without redefining it.

Documentation for a class such as `BufferOutput`, which is designed to be extended, should clearly indicate what is the contract between the class and its subclasses, and should clearly indicate that subclasses may override the `putchar` method in this way. The implementor of the `BufferOutput` class would not, therefore, want to change the implementation of `putstr` in a future implementation of `BufferOutput` not to use the method `putchar`, because this would break the pre-existing contract with subclasses. See the discussion of binary compatibility in §13 (*Binary Compatibility*), especially §13.2.

8.4.8.2   *Hiding (by Class Methods)*

If a class *C* declares or inherits a `static` method *m*, then *m* is said to *hide* any method *m'* declared in a class or interface *A* for which all of the following are true:

- *A* is a superclass or superinterface of *C*.

- If *A* is an interface, *m'* is an instance method.

- *m'* is accessible to *C* (§6.6).

- The signature of *m* is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of *m'* as a member of the supertype of *C* that names *A*.

It is a compile-time error if a `static` method hides an instance method.

In this respect, hiding of methods differs from hiding of fields (§8.3), for it is permissible for a `static` variable to hide an instance variable. Hiding is also distinct from shadowing (§6.4.1) and obscuring (§6.4.2).

A hidden method can be accessed by using a qualified name or by using a method invocation expression (§15.12) that contains the keyword `super` or a cast to a superclass type.

In this respect, hiding of methods is similar to hiding of fields.

Example 8.4.8.2-1. Invocation of Hidden Class Methods

A class (`static`) method that is hidden can be invoked by using a reference whose type is the type of the class that actually contains the declaration of the method. In this respect, hiding of `static` methods is different from overriding of instance methods. The example:

```
class Super {
    static String greeting() { return "Goodnight"; }
    String name() { return "Richard"; }
}
class Sub extends Super {
    static String greeting() { return "Hello"; }
    String name() { return "Dick"; }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Super s = new Sub();
        System.out.println(s.greeting() + ", " + s.name());
    }
}
```

produces the output:

```
Goodnight, Dick
```

because the invocation of `greeting` uses the type of `s`, namely `Super`, to figure out, at compile time, which class method to invoke, whereas the invocation of `name` uses the class of `s`, namely `Sub`, to figure out, at run time, which instance method to invoke.

8.4.8.3 *Requirements in Overriding and Hiding*

If a method declaration d_1 with return type R_1 overrides or hides the declaration of another method d_2 with return type R_2, then d_1 must be return-type-substitutable (§8.4.5) for d_2, or a compile-time error occurs.

This rule allows for covariant return types - refining the return type of a method when overriding it.

If R_1 is not a subtype of R_2, then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs, unless suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

A method that overrides or hides another method, including methods that implement `abstract` methods defined in interfaces, may not be declared to throw more checked exceptions than the overridden or hidden method.

In this respect, overriding of methods differs from hiding of fields (§8.3), for it is permissible for a field to hide a field of another type.

299

More precisely, suppose that B is a class or interface, and A is a superclass or superinterface of B, and a method declaration m_2 in B overrides or hides a method declaration m_1 in A. Then:

- If m_2 has a `throws` clause that mentions any checked exception types, then m_1 must have a `throws` clause, or a compile-time error occurs.

- For every checked exception type listed in the `throws` clause of m_2, that same exception class or one of its supertypes must occur in the erasure (§4.6) of the `throws` clause of m_1; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the unerased `throws` clause of m_1 does not contain a supertype of each exception type in the `throws` clause of m_2 (adapted, if necessary, to the type parameters of m_1), then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs, unless suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

It is a compile-time error if a class or interface C has a member method m_1 and there exists a method m_2 declared in C or a superclass or superinterface of C, A, such that all of the following are true:

- m_1 and m_2 have the same name.

- m_2 is accessible (§6.6) from C.

- The signature of m_1 is not a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of m_2 as a member of the supertype of C that names A.

- The declared signature of m_1 or some method m_1 overrides (directly or indirectly) has the same erasure as the declared signature of m_2 or some method m_2 overrides (directly or indirectly).

  These restrictions are necessary because generics are implemented via erasure. The rule above implies that methods declared in the same class with the same name must have different erasures. It also implies that a class or interface cannot implement or extend two distinct parameterizations of the same generic interface.

The access modifier of an overriding or hiding method must provide at least as much access as the overridden or hidden method, as follows:

- If the overridden or hidden method is `public`, then the overriding or hiding method must be `public`; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the overridden or hidden method is `protected`, then the overriding or hiding method must be `protected` or `public`; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the overridden or hidden method has package access, then the overriding or hiding method must *not* be `private`; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

Note that a `private` method cannot be overridden or hidden in the technical sense of those terms. This means that a subclass can declare a method with the same signature as a `private` method in one of its superclasses, and there is no requirement that the return type or `throws` clause of such a method bear any relationship to those of the `private` method in the superclass.

Example 8.4.8.3-1. Covariant Return Types

The following declarations are legal in the Java programming language from Java SE 5.0 onwards:

```
class C implements Cloneable {
    C copy() throws CloneNotSupportedException {
        return (C)clone();
    }
}
class D extends C implements Cloneable {
    D copy() throws CloneNotSupportedException {
        return (D)clone();
    }
}
```

The relaxed rule for overriding also allows one to relax the conditions on abstract classes implementing interfaces.

Example 8.4.8.3-2. Unchecked Warning from Return Type

Consider:

```
class StringSorter {
    // turns a collection of strings into a sorted list
    List toList(Collection c) {...}
}
```

and assume that someone subclasses `StringSorter`:

```
class Overrider extends StringSorter {
    List toList(Collection c) {...}
}
```

Now, at some point the author of `StringSorter` decides to generify the code:

```
class StringSorter {
    // turns a collection of strings into a sorted list
    List<String> toList(Collection<String> c) {...}
}
```

An unchecked warning would be given when compiling `Overrider` against the new definition of `StringSorter` because the return type of `Overrider.toList` is `List`, which is not a subtype of the return type of the overridden method, `List<String>`.

301

Example 8.4.8.3-3. Incorrect Overriding because of `throws`

This program uses the usual and conventional form for declaring a new exception type, in its declaration of the class `BadPointException`:

```
class BadPointException extends Exception {
    BadPointException() { super(); }
    BadPointException(String s) { super(s); }
}
class Point {
    int x, y;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
class CheckedPoint extends Point {
    void move(int dx, int dy) throws BadPointException {
        if ((x + dx) < 0 || (y + dy) < 0)
            throw new BadPointException();
        x += dx; y += dy;
    }
}
```

The program results in a compile-time error, because the override of method `move` in class `CheckedPoint` declares that it will throw a checked exception that the `move` in class `Point` has not declared. If this were not considered an error, an invoker of the method `move` on a reference of type `Point` could find the contract between it and `Point` broken if this exception were thrown.

Removing the `throws` clause does not help:

```
class CheckedPoint extends Point {
    void move(int dx, int dy) {
        if ((x + dx) < 0 || (y + dy) < 0)
            throw new BadPointException();
        x += dx; y += dy;
    }
}
```

A different compile-time error now occurs, because the body of the method `move` cannot throw a checked exception, namely `BadPointException`, that does not appear in the `throws` clause for `move`.

Example 8.4.8.3-4. Erasure Affects Overriding

A class cannot have two member methods with the same name and type erasure:

```
class C<T> {
    T id (T x) {...}
}
class D extends C<String> {
    Object id(Object x) {...}
}
```

This is illegal since `D.id(Object)` is a member of `D`, `C<String>.id(String)` is declared in a supertype of `D`, and:

- The two methods have the same name, `id`

- `C<String>.id(String)` is accessible to `D`

- The signature of `D.id(Object)` is not a subsignature of that of `C<String>.id(String)`

- The two methods have the same erasure

Two different methods of a class may not override methods with the same erasure:

```
class C<T> {
    T id(T x) {...}
}
interface I<T> {
    T id(T x);
}
class D extends C<String> implements I<Integer> {
    public String  id(String x)  {...}
    public Integer id(Integer x) {...}
}
```

This is also illegal, since `D.id(String)` is a member of `D`, `D.id(Integer)` is declared in `D`, and:

- The two methods have the same name, `id`

- `D.id(Integer)` is accessible to `D`

- The two methods have different signatures (and neither is a subsignature of the other)

- `D.id(String)` overrides `C<String>.id(String)` and `D.id(Integer)` overrides `I.id(Integer)` yet the two overridden methods have the same erasure

8.4.8.4 *Inheriting Methods with Override-Equivalent Signatures*

It is possible for a class to inherit multiple methods with override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2).

It is a compile-time error if a class *C* inherits a concrete method whose signature is override-equivalent with another method inherited by *C*.

It is a compile-time error if a class *C* inherits a default method whose signature is override-equivalent with another method inherited by *C*, unless there exists an `abstract` method declared in a superclass of *C* and inherited by *C* that is override-equivalent with the two methods.

This exception to the strict default-`abstract` and default-default conflict rules is made when an `abstract` method is declared in a superclass: the assertion of abstract-ness coming

303

from the superclass hierarchy essentially trumps the default method, making the default method act as if it were `abstract`. However, the `abstract` method from a class does not override the default method(s), because interfaces are still allowed to refine the *signature* of the `abstract` method coming from the class hierarchy.

Note that the exception does not apply if all override-equivalent `abstract` methods inherited by *C* were declared in interfaces.

Otherwise, the set of override-equivalent methods consists of at least one `abstract` method and zero or more default methods; then the class is necessarily an `abstract` class and is considered to inherit all the methods.

One of the inherited methods must be return-type-substitutable for every other inherited method; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs. (The `throws` clauses do not cause errors in this case.)

There might be several paths by which the same method declaration is inherited from an interface. This fact causes no difficulty and never, of itself, results in a compile-time error.

Example 8.4.8.4-1. Inheritance of override-equivalent methods

The first compile-time error above, regarding a class *C* that inherits a concrete method, can happen if a superclass of *C* is generic, and the superclass has two methods that were distinct in the generic declaration but have the same signature in the parameterization (§4.5) used by *C*. For example:

```
class A<T> {
    void m(String s) {} // 1
    void m(T t) {} // 2
}
class C extends A<String> {}
```

C inherits two methods from its direct superclass type `A<String>`: the method `m(String)` marked at 1, and (due to *C*'s parameterization of `A`) the method `m(String)` marked at 2. These methods have the same signature, so are override-equivalent with each other.

8.4.9   Overloading

If two methods of a class (whether both declared in the same class, or both inherited by a class, or one declared and one inherited) have the same name but signatures that are not override-equivalent, then the method name is said to be *overloaded*.

This fact causes no difficulty and never of itself results in a compile-time error. There is no required relationship between the return types or between the `throws` clauses of two methods with the same name, unless their signatures are override-equivalent.

When a method is invoked (§15.12), the number of actual arguments (and any explicit type arguments) and the compile-time types of the arguments are used, at compile time, to determine the signature of the method that will be invoked (§15.12.2). If the method that is to be invoked is an instance method, the actual method to be invoked will be determined at run time, using dynamic method lookup (§15.12.4).

Example 8.4.9-1. Overloading

```
class Point {
    float x, y;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    void move(float dx, float dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    public String toString() { return "("+x+","+y+")"; }
}
```

Here, the class `Point` has two members that are methods with the same name, `move`. The overloaded `move` method of class `Point` chosen for any particular method invocation is determined at compile time by the overloading resolution procedure given in §15.12.

In total, the members of the class `Point` are the `float` instance variables `x` and `y` declared in `Point`, the two declared `move` methods, the declared `toString` method, and the members that `Point` inherits from its implicit direct superclass `Object` (§4.3.2), such as the method `hashCode`. Note that `Point` does not inherit the `toString` method of class `Object` because that method is overridden by the declaration of the `toString` method in class `Point`.

Example 8.4.9-2. Overloading, Overriding, and Hiding

```
class Point {
    int x = 0, y = 0;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    int color;
}
class RealPoint extends Point {
    float x = 0.0f, y = 0.0f;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { move((float)dx, (float)dy); }
    void move(float dx, float dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
}
```

Here, the class `RealPoint` hides the declarations of the `int` instance variables `x` and `y` of class `Point` with its own `float` instance variables `x` and `y`, and overrides the method `move` of class `Point` with its own `move` method. It also overloads the name `move` with another method with a different signature (§8.4.2).

In this example, the members of the class `RealPoint` include the instance variable `color` inherited from the class `Point`, the `float` instance variables `x` and `y` declared in `RealPoint`, and the two `move` methods declared in `RealPoint`.

Which of these overloaded `move` methods of class `RealPoint` will be chosen for any particular method invocation will be determined at compile time by the overloading resolution procedure described in §15.12.

This following program is an extended variation of the preceding program:

```
class Point {
    int x = 0, y = 0, color;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    int getX() { return x; }
    int getY() { return y; }
}
class RealPoint extends Point {
    float x = 0.0f, y = 0.0f;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { move((float)dx, (float)dy); }
    void move(float dx, float dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    float getX() { return x; }
    float getY() { return y; }
}
```

Here, the class `Point` provides methods `getX` and `getY` that return the values of its fields `x` and `y`; the class `RealPoint` then overrides these methods by declaring methods with the same signature. The result is two errors at compile time, one for each method, because the return types do not match; the methods in class `Point` return values of type `int`, but the wanna-be overriding methods in class `RealPoint` return values of type `float`.

This program corrects the errors of the preceding program:

```
class Point {
    int x = 0, y = 0;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    int getX() { return x; }
    int getY() { return y; }
    int color;
}
class RealPoint extends Point {
    float x = 0.0f, y = 0.0f;
    void move(int dx, int dy) { move((float)dx, (float)dy); }
    void move(float dx, float dy) { x += dx; y += dy; }
    int getX() { return (int)Math.floor(x); }
    int getY() { return (int)Math.floor(y); }
}
```

Here, the overriding methods `getX` and `getY` in class `RealPoint` have the same return types as the methods of class `Point` that they override, so this code can be successfully compiled.

Consider, then, this test program:

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
```

```
            RealPoint rp = new RealPoint();
            Point p = rp;
            rp.move(1.71828f, 4.14159f);
            p.move(1, -1);
            show(p.x, p.y);
            show(rp.x, rp.y);
            show(p.getX(), p.getY());
            show(rp.getX(), rp.getY());
        }
        static void show(int x, int y) {
            System.out.println("(" + x + ", " + y + ")");
        }
        static void show(float x, float y) {
            System.out.println("(" + x + ", " + y + ")");
        }
    }
```

The output from this program is:

```
(0, 0)
(2.7182798, 3.14159)
(2, 3)
(2, 3)
```

The first line of output illustrates the fact that an instance of `RealPoint` actually contains the two integer fields declared in class `Point`; it is just that their names are hidden from code that occurs within the declaration of class `RealPoint` (and those of any subclasses it might have). When a reference to an instance of class `RealPoint` in a variable of type `Point` is used to access the field `x`, the integer field `x` declared in class `Point` is accessed. The fact that its value is zero indicates that the method invocation `p.move(1, -1)` did not invoke the method `move` of class `Point`; instead, it invoked the overriding method `move` of class `RealPoint`.

The second line of output shows that the field access `rp.x` refers to the field `x` declared in class `RealPoint`. This field is of type `float`, and this second line of output accordingly displays floating-point values. Incidentally, this also illustrates the fact that the method name `show` is overloaded; the types of the arguments in the method invocation dictate which of the two definitions will be invoked.

The last two lines of output show that the method invocations `p.getX()` and `rp.getX()` each invoke the `getX` method declared in class `RealPoint`. Indeed, there is no way to invoke the `getX` method of class `Point` for an instance of class `RealPoint` from outside the body of `RealPoint`, no matter what the type of the variable we may use to hold the reference to the object. Thus, we see that fields and methods behave differently: hiding is different from overriding.

8.5   Member Class and Interface Declarations

A *member class* is a class whose declaration is directly enclosed in the body of another class or interface declaration (§8.1.7, §9.1.5).

A *member interface* is an interface whose declaration is directly enclosed in the body of another class or interface declaration.

A member class may be a normal class (§8.1), an enum class (§8.9), or a record class (§8.10).

A member interface may be a normal interface (§9.1) or an annotation interface (§9.6).

The accessibility of a member class or interface declaration in the body of a class declaration is specified by its access modifier, or by §6.6 if lacking an access modifier.

The rules for modifiers of a member class declaration in the body of a class declaration are specified in §8.1.1.

The rules for modifiers of a member interface declaration in the body of a class declaration are specified in §9.1.1.

The scope and shadowing of a member class or interface is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

If a class declares a member class or interface with a certain name, then the declaration of the member class or interface is said to *hide* any and all accessible declarations of member classes and interfaces with the same name in superclasses and superinterfaces of the class.

> In this respect, hiding of member class and interfaces is similar to hiding of fields (§8.3).

A class inherits from its direct superclass and direct superinterfaces all the non-`private` member classes and interfaces of the superclass and superinterfaces that are both accessible to code in the class and not hidden by a declaration in the class.

It is possible for a class to inherit more than one member class or interface with the same name, either from its superclass and superinterfaces or from its superinterfaces alone. Such a situation does not in itself cause a compile-time error. However, any attempt within the body of the class to refer to any such member class or interface by its simple name will result in a compile-time error, because the reference is ambiguous.

There might be several paths by which the same member class or interface declaration is inherited from an interface. In such a situation, the member class or interface is considered to be inherited only once, and it may be referred to by its simple name without ambiguity.

8.6 Instance Initializers

An *instance initializer* declared in a class is executed when an instance of the class is created (§12.5, §15.9, §8.8.7.1).

> *InstanceInitializer:*
>   *Block*

It is a compile-time error if an instance initializer cannot complete normally (§14.22).

It is a compile-time error if a `return` statement (§14.17) appears anywhere within an instance initializer.

An instance initializer is permitted to refer to the current object using the keyword `this` (§15.8.3) or the keyword `super` (§15.11.2, §15.12), and to use any type variables in scope.

Restrictions on how an instance initializer may refer to instance variables, even when the instance variables are in scope, are specified in §8.3.3.

Exception checking for an instance initializer is specified in §11.2.3.

8.7 Static Initializers

A *static initializer* declared in a class is executed when the class is initialized (§12.4.2). Together with any field initializers for class variables (§8.3.2), static initializers may be used to initialize the class variables of the class.

> *StaticInitializer:*
>   `static` *Block*

It is a compile-time error if a static initializer cannot complete normally (§14.22).

It is a compile-time error if a `return` statement (§14.17) appears anywhere within a static initializer.

A static initializer introduces a static context (§8.1.3, which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

Restrictions on how a static initializer may refer to class variables, even when the class variables are in scope, are specified in §8.3.3.

Exception checking for a static initializer is specified in §11.2.3.

8.8   Constructor Declarations

A *constructor* is used in the creation of an object that is an instance of a class (§12.5, §15.9).

ConstructorDeclaration:
  {ConstructorModifier} ConstructorDeclarator [Throws] ConstructorBody

ConstructorDeclarator:
  [TypeParameters] SimpleTypeName
    (*[ReceiverParameter ,] [FormalParameterList]*)

SimpleTypeName:
  TypeIdentifier

The rules in this section apply to constructors in all class declarations, including enum declarations and record declarations. However, special rules apply to enum declarations with regard to constructor modifiers, constructor bodies, and default constructors; these rules are stated in §8.9.2. Special rules also apply to record declarations with regard to constructors, as stated in §8.10.4.

The *SimpleTypeName* in the *ConstructorDeclarator* must be the simple name of the class that contains the constructor declaration, or a compile-time error occurs.

In all other respects, a constructor declaration looks just like a method declaration that has no result (§8.4.5).

Constructor declarations are not members. They are never inherited and therefore are not subject to hiding or overriding.

Constructors are invoked by class instance creation expressions (§15.9), by the conversions and concatenations caused by the string concatenation operator

+ (§15.18.1), and by explicit constructor invocations from other constructors (§8.8.7). Access to constructors is governed by access modifiers (§6.6), so it is possible to prevent class instantiation by declaring an inaccessible constructor (§8.8.10).

Constructors are never invoked by method invocation expressions (§15.12).

Example 8.8-1. Constructor Declarations

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
}
```

8.8.1   Formal Parameters

The formal parameters of a constructor are identical in syntax and semantics to those of a method (§8.4.1).

If the last formal parameter of a constructor is a variable arity parameter, the constructor is a *variable arity constructor*. Otherwise, it is a *fixed arity constructor*.

The constructor of a non-`private` inner member class implicitly declares, as the first formal parameter, a variable representing the immediately enclosing instance of the class (§15.9.2, §15.9.3).

The rationale for why only this kind of class has an implicitly declared constructor parameter is subtle. The following explanation may be helpful:

1. In a class instance creation expression for a non-`private` inner member class, §15.9.2 specifies the immediately enclosing instance of the member class. The member class may have been emitted by a compiler which is different than the compiler of the class instance creation expression. Therefore, there must be a standard way for the compiler of the creation expression to pass a reference (representing the immediately enclosing instance) to the member class's constructor. Consequently, the Java programming language deems in this section that a non-`private` inner member class's constructor implicitly declares an initial parameter for the immediately enclosing instance. §15.9.3 specifies that the instance is passed to the constructor.

2. In a class instance creation expression for an inner local class or an anonymous class (not in a static context), §15.9.2 specifies the immediately enclosing instance of the local/anonymous class. The local/anonymous class is necessarily emitted by the same compiler as the class instance creation expression. That compiler can represent the immediately enclosing instance how ever it wishes. There is no need for the Java programming language to implicitly declare a parameter in the local/anonymous class's constructor.

3. In a class instance creation expression for an anonymous class, and where the anonymous class's superclass is an inner class (not in a static context), §15.9.2 specifies the anonymous class's immediately enclosing instance with respect to the superclass.

This instance must be transmitted from the anonymous class to its superclass, where it will serve as the immediately enclosing instance. Since the superclass may have been emitted by a compiler which is different than the compiler of the class instance creation expression, it is necessary to transmit the instance in a standard way, by passing it as the first argument to the superclass's constructor. Note that the anonymous class itself is necessarily emitted by the same compiler as the class instance creation expression, so it would be possible for the compiler to transmit the immediately enclosing instance with respect to the superclass to the anonymous class how ever it wishes, before the anonymous class passes the instance to the superclass's constructor. However, for consistency, the Java programming language deems in §15.9.5.1 that, in some circumstances, an anonymous class's constructor implicitly declares an initial parameter for the immediately enclosing instance with respect to the superclass.

The fact that a non-`private` inner member class may be accessed by a different compiler than compiled it, whereas an inner local class or an anonymous class is always accessed by the same compiler that compiled it, explains why the binary name of a non-`private` inner member class is defined to be predictable but the binary name of an inner local class or an anonymous class is not (§13.1).

8.8.2   Constructor Signature

It is a compile-time error to declare two constructors with override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2) in a class.

It is a compile-time error to declare two constructors whose signatures have the same erasure (§4.6) in a class.

8.8.3   Constructor Modifiers

ConstructorModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public` `protected` `private`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a constructor declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier in a constructor declaration, or if a constructor declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private` (§6.6).

In a normal class declaration, a constructor declaration with no access modifiers has package access.

If two or more (distinct) method modifiers appear in a method declaration, it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *MethodModifier*.

Unlike methods, a constructor cannot be `abstract`, `static`, `final`, `native`, `strictfp`, or `synchronized`:

- A constructor is not inherited, so there is no need to declare it `final`.

- An `abstract` constructor could never be implemented.

- A constructor is always invoked with respect to an object, so it makes no sense for a constructor to be `static`.

- There is no practical need for a constructor to be `synchronized`, because it would lock the object under construction, which is normally not made available to other threads until all constructors for the object have completed their work.

- The lack of `native` constructors is an arbitrary language design choice that makes it easy for an implementation of the Java Virtual Machine to verify that superclass constructors are always properly invoked during object creation.

- The inability to declare a constructor as `strictfp` (in contrast to a method (§8.4.3)) is an intentional language design choice that stemmed from the (now obsolete) ability to declare a class as `strictfp`.

8.8.4   Generic Constructors

A constructor is *generic* if it declares one or more type variables (§4.4).

These type variables are known as the *type parameters* of the constructor. The form of the type parameter section of a generic constructor is identical to the type parameter section of a generic class (§8.1.2).

It is possible for a constructor to be generic independently of whether the class the constructor is declared in is itself generic.

A generic constructor declaration defines a set of constructors, one for each possible invocation of the type parameter section by type arguments. Type arguments may not need to be provided explicitly when a generic constructor is invoked, as they can often by inferred (§18 (*Type Inference*)).

The scope and shadowing of a constructor's type parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

References to a constructor's type parameter from an explicit constructor invocation statement or a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §6.5.5.1.

8.8.5   Constructor Throws

The `throws` clause for a constructor is identical in structure and behavior to the `throws` clause for a method (§8.4.6).

313

8.8.6   The Type of a Constructor

The type of a constructor consists of its signature and the exception types given by its `throws` clause.

8.8.7   Constructor Body

The first statement of a constructor body may be an explicit invocation of another constructor of the same class or of the direct superclass (§8.8.7.1).

> *ConstructorBody:*
>   { *[ExplicitConstructorInvocation] [BlockStatements]* }

It is a compile-time error for a constructor to directly or indirectly invoke itself through a series of one or more explicit constructor invocations involving `this`.

If a constructor body does not begin with an explicit constructor invocation and the constructor being declared is not part of the primordial class `Object`, then the constructor body implicitly begins with a superclass constructor invocation `"super();"`, an invocation of the constructor of its direct superclass that takes no arguments.

Except for the possibility of explicit constructor invocations, and the prohibition on explicitly returning a value (§14.17), the body of a constructor is like the body of a method (§8.4.7).

A `return` statement (§14.17) may be used in the body of a constructor if it does not include an expression.

Example 8.8.7-1. Constructor Bodies

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
}
class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    static final int WHITE = 0, BLACK = 1;
    int color;
    ColoredPoint(int x, int y) {
        this(x, y, WHITE);
    }
    ColoredPoint(int x, int y, int color) {
        super(x, y);
        this.color = color;
    }
}
```

Here, the first constructor of `ColoredPoint` invokes the second, providing an additional argument; the second constructor of `ColoredPoint` invokes the constructor of its superclass `Point`, passing along the coordinates.

8.8.7.1 *Explicit Constructor Invocations*

ExplicitConstructorInvocation:
  [TypeArguments] this (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  [TypeArguments] super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  ExpressionName . *[TypeArguments]* super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  Primary . *[TypeArguments]* super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;

The following productions from §4.5.1 and §15.12 are shown here for convenience:

    TypeArguments:
      < TypeArgumentList >

    ArgumentList:
      Expression { , Expression}

Explicit constructor invocation statements are divided into two kinds:

- *Alternate constructor invocations* begin with the keyword `this` (possibly prefaced with explicit type arguments). They are used to invoke an alternate constructor of the same class.

- *Superclass constructor invocations* begin with either the keyword `super` (possibly prefaced with explicit type arguments) or a *Primary* expression or an *ExpressionName*. They are used to invoke a constructor of the direct superclass. They are further divided:

  - *Unqualified superclass constructor invocations* begin with the keyword `super` (possibly prefaced with explicit type arguments).

  - *Qualified superclass constructor invocations* begin with a *Primary* expression or an *ExpressionName*. They allow a subclass constructor to explicitly specify the newly created object's immediately enclosing instance with respect to the direct superclass (§8.1.3). This may be necessary when the superclass is an inner class.

An explicit constructor invocation statement introduces a static context (§8.1.3), which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

If *TypeArguments* is present to the left of `this` or `super`, then it is a compile-time error if any of the type arguments are wildcards (§4.5.1).

Let `c` be the class being instantiated, and let `s` be the direct superclass of `c`.

If a superclass constructor invocation statement is unqualified, then:

- If `s` is an inner member class, but `s` is not a member of a class enclosing `c`, then a compile-time error occurs.

  Otherwise, let `o` be the innermost enclosing class of `c` of which `s` is a member. `c` must be an inner class of `o` (§8.1.3), or a compile-time error occurs.

- If `s` is an inner local class, and `s` does not occur in a static context, let `o` be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of `s`. `c` must be an inner class of `o`, or a compile-time error occurs.

If a superclass constructor invocation statement is qualified, then:

- If `s` is not an inner class, or if the declaration of `s` occurs in a static context, then a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, let `p` be the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName* immediately preceding "`.super`", and let `o` be the immediately enclosing class of `s`. It is a compile-time error if the type of `p` is not `o` or a subclass of `o`, or if the type of `p` is not accessible (§6.6).

The exception types that an explicit constructor invocation statement can throw are specified in §11.2.2.

Evaluation of an alternate constructor invocation statement proceeds by first evaluating the arguments to the constructor, left-to-right, as in an ordinary method invocation; and then invoking the constructor.

Evaluation of a superclass constructor invocation statement proceeds as follows:

1. Let i be the instance being created. The immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S (if any) must be determined:

    *   If S is not an inner class, or if the declaration of S occurs in a static context, then no immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S exists.

    *   Otherwise, if the superclass constructor invocation is unqualified, then S is necessarily an inner local class or an inner member class.

        If S is an inner local class, let o be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of S.

        If S is an inner member class, let o be the innermost enclosing class of c of which S is a member.

        Let n be an integer ($n \geq 1$) such that o is the n'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of c.

        The immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S is the n'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

        > While it may be the case that S is a member of c due to inheritance, the zeroth lexically enclosing instance of `this` (that is, `this` itself) is never used as the immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S.

    *   Otherwise, if the superclass constructor invocation is qualified, then the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName* immediately preceding "`.super`", p, is evaluated.

        If p evaluates to `null`, a `NullPointerException` is raised, and the superclass constructor invocation completes abruptly.

        Otherwise, the result of this evaluation is the immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S.

2. After determining the immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to S (if any), evaluation of the superclass constructor invocation statement proceeds by evaluating the arguments to the constructor, left-to-right, as in an ordinary method invocation; and then invoking the constructor.

3. Finally, if the superclass constructor invocation statement completes normally, then all instance variable initializers of c and all instance initializers of c are executed. If an instance initializer or instance variable initializer I textually precedes another instance initializer or instance variable initializer J, then I is executed before J.

    Execution of instance variable initializers and instance initializers is performed regardless of whether the superclass constructor invocation actually appears

as an explicit constructor invocation statement or is provided implicitly. (An alternate constructor invocation does not perform this additional implicit execution.)

Example 8.8.7.1-1. Restrictions on Explicit Constructor Invocation Statements

If the first constructor of `ColoredPoint` in the example from §8.8.7 were changed as follows:

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
}
class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    static final int WHITE = 0, BLACK = 1;
    int color;
    ColoredPoint(int x, int y) {
        this(x, y, color);  // Changed to color from WHITE
    }
    ColoredPoint(int x, int y, int color) {
        super(x, y);
        this.color = color;
    }
}
```

then a compile-time error would occur, because the instance variable `color` cannot be used by a explicit constructor invocation statement.

Example 8.8.7.1-2. Qualified Superclass Constructor Invocation

In the code below, `ChildOfInner` has no lexically enclosing class or interface declaration, so an instance of `ChildOfInner` has no enclosing instance. However, the superclass of `ChildOfInner` (`Inner`) has a lexically enclosing class declaration (`Outer`), and an instance of `Inner` must have an enclosing instance of `Outer`. The enclosing instance of `Outer` is set when an instance of `Inner` is created. Therefore, when we create an instance of `ChildOfInner`, which is implicitly an instance of `Inner`, we must provide the enclosing instance of `Outer` via a qualified superclass invocation statement in `ChildOfInner`'s constructor. The instance of `Outer` is called the immediately enclosing instance of `ChildOfInner` with respect to `Inner`.

```
class Outer {
    class Inner {}
}
class ChildOfInner extends Outer.Inner {
    ChildOfInner() { (new Outer()).super(); }
}
```

Perhaps surprisingly, the same instance of `Outer` may serve as the immediately enclosing instance of `ChildOfInner` with respect to `Inner` *for multiple instances of* `ChildOfInner`. These instances of `ChildOfInner` are implicitly linked to the same instance of `Outer`.

The program below achieves this by passing an instance of `Outer` to the constructor of `ChildOfInner`, which uses the instance in a qualified superclass constructor invocation statement. The rules for an explicit constructor invocation statement do not prohibit using formal parameters of the constructor that contains the statement.

```
class Outer {
    int secret = 5;
    class Inner {
        int  getSecret()      { return secret; }
        void setSecret(int s) { secret = s; }
    }
}
class ChildOfInner extends Outer.Inner {
    ChildOfInner(Outer x) { x.super(); }
}

public class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Outer x = new Outer();
        ChildOfInner a = new ChildOfInner(x);
        ChildOfInner b = new ChildOfInner(x);
        System.out.println(b.getSecret());
        a.setSecret(6);
        System.out.println(b.getSecret());
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
5
6
```

The effect is that manipulation of instance variables in the common instance of `Outer` is visible through references to different instances of `ChildOfInner`, even though such references are not aliases in the conventional sense.

8.8.8   Constructor Overloading

Overloading of constructors is identical in behavior to overloading of methods (§8.4.9). The overloading is resolved at compile time by each class instance creation expression (§15.9).

8.8.9   Default Constructor

If a class contains no constructor declarations, then a default constructor is implicitly declared. The form of the default constructor for a top level class, member class, or local class is as follows:

319

- The default constructor has the same access modifier as the class, unless the class lacks an access modifier, in which case the default constructor has package access (§6.6).

- The default constructor has no formal parameters, except in a non-`private` inner member class, where the default constructor implicitly declares one formal parameter representing the immediately enclosing instance of the class (§8.8.1, §15.9.2, §15.9.3).

- The default constructor has no `throws` clause.

- If the class being declared is the primordial class `Object`, then the default constructor has an empty body. Otherwise, the default constructor simply invokes the superclass constructor with no arguments.

The form of the default constructor for an anonymous class is specified in §15.9.5.1.

It is a compile-time error if a default constructor is implicitly declared but the superclass does not have an accessible constructor that takes no arguments and has no `throws` clause.

Example 8.8.9-1. Default Constructors

The declaration:

```
public class Point {
    int x, y;
}
```

is equivalent to the declaration:

```
public class Point {
    int x, y;
    public Point() { super(); }
}
```

where the default constructor is `public` because the class `Point` is `public`.

Example 8.8.9-2. Accessibility of Constructors v. Classes

The rule that the default constructor of a class has the same accessibility as the class itself is simple and intuitive. Note, however, that this does not imply that the constructor is accessible whenever the class is accessible. Consider:

```
package p1;
public class Outer {
    protected class Inner {}
}
```

320

```
    package p2;
    class SonOfOuter extends p1.Outer {
        void foo() {
            new Inner();  // compile-time access error
        }
    }
```

The default constructor for `Inner` is `protected`. However, the constructor is `protected` relative to `Inner`, while `Inner` is `protected` relative to `Outer`. So, `Inner` is accessible in `SonOfOuter`, since it is a subclass of `Outer`. `Inner`'s constructor is not accessible in `SonOfOuter`, because the class `SonOfOuter` is not a subclass of `Inner`! Hence, even though `Inner` is accessible, its default constructor is not.

8.8.10   Preventing Instantiation of a Class

A class can be designed to prevent code outside the class declaration from creating instances of the class by declaring at least one constructor, to prevent the creation of a default constructor, and by declaring all constructors to be `private` (§6.6.1).

A `public` class can likewise prevent the creation of instances outside its package by declaring at least one constructor, to prevent creation of a default constructor with `public` access, and by declaring no constructor that is `public` or `protected` (§6.6.2).

Example 8.8.10-1. Preventing Instantiation via Constructor Accessibility

```
    class ClassOnly {
        private ClassOnly() { }
        static String just = "only the lonely";
    }
```

Here, the class `ClassOnly` cannot be instantiated, while in the following code:

```
    package just;
    public class PackageOnly {
        PackageOnly() { }
        String[] justDesserts = { "cheesecake", "ice cream" };
    }
```

the `public` class `PackageOnly` can be instantiated only within the package `just`, in which it is declared. This restriction would also apply if the constructor of `PackageOnly` was `protected`, although in that case, it would be possible for code in other packages to instantiate subclasses of `PackageOnly`.

321

8.9   Enum Classes

An *enum declaration* specifies a new *enum class*, a restricted kind of class that defines a small set of named class instances.

> *EnumDeclaration:*
>   *{ClassModifier}* `enum` *TypeIdentifier [ClassImplements] EnumBody*

An enum declaration may specify a top level enum class (§7.6), a member enum class (§8.5, §9.5), or a local enum class (§14.3).

The *TypeIdentifier* in an enum declaration specifies the name of the enum class.

It is a compile-time error if an enum declaration has the modifier `abstract`, `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed`.

An enum class is either implicitly `final` or implicitly `sealed`, as follows:

- An enum class is implicitly `final` if its declaration contains no enum constants that have a class body (§8.9.1).

- An enum class E is implicitly `sealed` if its declaration contains at least one enum constant that has a class body. The permitted direct subclasses (§8.1.6) of E are the anonymous classes implicitly declared by the enum constants that have a class body.

A nested enum class is implicitly `static`. That is, every member enum class and local enum class is `static`. It is permitted for the declaration of a member enum class to redundantly specify the `static` modifier, but it is not permitted for the declaration of a local enum class (§14.3).

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for an enum declaration, or if an enum declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private` (§6.6).

The direct superclass type of an enum class E is `Enum<E>` (§8.1.4).

> An enum declaration does not have an `extends` clause, so it is not possible to explicitly declare a direct superclass type, even `Enum<E>`.

An enum class has no instances other than those defined by its enum constants. It is a compile-time error to attempt to explicitly instantiate an enum class (§15.9.1).

> In addition to the compile-time error, three further mechanisms ensure that no instances of an enum class exist beyond those defined by its enum constants:

- The `final clone` method in `Enum` ensures that enum constants can never be cloned.

- Reflective instantiation of enum classes is prohibited.

- Special treatment by the serialization mechanism ensures that duplicate instances are never created as a result of deserialization.

8.9.1   Enum Constants

The body of an enum declaration may contain *enum constants*. An enum constant defines an instance of the enum class.

> *EnumBody:*
>   { *[EnumConstantList] [,] [EnumBodyDeclarations]* }

> *EnumConstantList:*
>   *EnumConstant { , EnumConstant}*

> *EnumConstant:*
>   *{EnumConstantModifier} Identifier [* (*[ArgumentList]*) *] [ClassBody]*

> *EnumConstantModifier:*
>   *Annotation*

> The following production from §15.12 is shown here for convenience:

>> *ArgumentList:*
>>   *Expression { , Expression}*

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for an enum constant declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

The *Identifier* in a *EnumConstant* may be used in a name to refer to the enum constant.

The scope and shadowing of an enum constant is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

An enum constant may be followed by arguments, which are passed to the constructor of the enum when the constant is created during class initialization as described later in this section. The constructor to be invoked is chosen using the normal rules of overload resolution (§15.12.2). If the arguments are omitted, an empty argument list is assumed.

The optional class body of an enum constant implicitly declares an anonymous class (§15.9.5) that (i) is a direct subclass of the immediately enclosing enum class (§8.1.4), and (ii) is `final` (§8.1.1.2). The class body is governed by the usual rules

of anonymous classes; in particular it cannot contain any constructors. Instance methods declared in these class bodies may be invoked outside the enclosing enum class only if they override accessible methods in the enclosing enum class (§8.4.8).

It is a compile-time error for the class body of an enum constant to declare an `abstract` method.

Because there is only one instance of each enum constant, it is permitted to use the `==` operator in place of the `equals` method when comparing two object references if it is known that at least one of them refers to an enum constant.

> The `equals` method in `Enum` is a `final` method that merely invokes `super.equals` on its argument and returns the result, thus performing an identity comparison.

8.9.2   Enum Body Declarations

In addition to enum constants, the body of an enum declaration may contain constructor and member declarations as well as instance and static initializers.

> *EnumBodyDeclarations:*
>   *; {ClassBodyDeclaration}*
>
> The following productions from §8.1.7 are shown here for convenience:
>
> > *ClassBodyDeclaration:*
> >   *ClassMemberDeclaration*
> >   *InstanceInitializer*
> >   *StaticInitializer*
> >   *ConstructorDeclaration*
> >
> > *ClassMemberDeclaration:*
> >   *FieldDeclaration*
> >   *MethodDeclaration*
> >   *ClassDeclaration*
> >   *InterfaceDeclaration*
> >   *;*

Any constructor or member declarations in the body of an enum declaration apply to the enum class exactly as if they had been present in the body of a normal class declaration, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

It is a compile-time error if a constructor declaration in an enum declaration is `public` or `protected` (§6.6).

It is a compile-time error if a constructor declaration in an enum declaration contains a superclass constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1).

324

It is a compile-time error to refer to a `static` field of an enum class from a constructor, instance initializer, or instance variable initializer in the enum declaration of the class, unless the field is a constant variable (§4.12.4).

In an enum declaration, a constructor declaration with no access modifiers is `private`.

In an enum declaration with no constructor declarations, a default constructor is implicitly declared. The default constructor is `private`, has no formal parameters, and has no `throws` clause.

> In practice, a compiler is likely to mirror the `Enum` class by declaring `String` and `int` parameters in the default constructor of an enum class. However, these parameters are not specified as "implicitly declared" because different compilers do not need to agree on the form of the default constructor. Only the compiler of an enum declaration knows how to instantiate the enum constants; other compilers can simply rely on the implicitly declared `public static` fields of the enum class (§8.9.3) without regard for how those fields were initialized.

It is a compile-time error if an enum declaration *E* has an `abstract` method *m* as a member, unless *E* has at least one enum constant and all of *E*'s enum constants have class bodies that provide concrete implementations of *m*.

It is a compile-time error for an enum declaration to declare a finalizer (§12.6). An instance of an enum class may never be finalized.

Example 8.9.2-1. Enum Body Declarations

```
enum Coin {
    PENNY(1), NICKEL(5), DIME(10), QUARTER(25);
    Coin(int value) { this.value = value; }

    private final int value;
    public int value() { return value; }
}
```

Each enum constant arranges for a different value in the field `value`, passed in via a constructor. The field represents the value, in cents, of an American coin. Note that there are no restrictions on the parameters that may be declared by an enum class's constructor.

Example 8.9.2-2. Restriction On Enum Constant Self-Reference

Without the rule on `static` field access, apparently reasonable code would fail at run time due to the initialization circularity inherent in enum classes. (A circularity exists in any class with a "self-typed" `static` field.) Here is an example of the sort of code that would fail:

```
import java.util.HashMap;
import java.util.Map;
```

```
enum Color {
    RED, GREEN, BLUE;
    Color() { colorMap.put(toString(), this); }

    static final Map<String,Color> colorMap =
        new HashMap<String,Color>();
}
```

Static initialization of this enum would throw a `NullPointerException` because the
`static` variable `colorMap` is uninitialized when the constructors for the enum constants
run. The restriction above ensures that such code cannot be compiled. However, the code
can easily be refactored to work properly:

```
import java.util.HashMap;
import java.util.Map;

enum Color {
    RED, GREEN, BLUE;

    static final Map<String,Color> colorMap =
        new HashMap<String,Color>();
    static {
        for (Color c : Color.values())
            colorMap.put(c.toString(), c);
    }
}
```

The refactored version is clearly correct, as static initialization occurs top to bottom.

8.9.3   Enum Members

The members of an enum class E are all of the following:

- Members declared in the body of the declaration of E.

- Members inherited from `Enum<E>`.

- For each enum constant c declared in the body of the declaration of E, E has
  an implicitly declared `public static final` field of type E that has the same
  name as c. The field has a variable initializer which instantiates E and passes any
  arguments of c to the constructor chosen for E. The field has the same annotations
  as c (if any).

These fields are implicitly declared in the same order as the corresponding
enum constants, before any `static` fields explicitly declared in the body of the
declaration of E.

An enum constant is said to be *created* when the corresponding implicitly
declared field is initialized.

- An implicitly declared method `public static E[] values()`, which returns an array containing the enum constants of `E`, in the same order as they appear in the body of the declaration of `E`.

- An implicitly declared method `public static E valueOf(String name)`, which returns the enum constant of `E` with the specified name.

It follows that the declaration of enum class `E` cannot contain fields that conflict with the implicitly declared fields corresponding to `E`'s enum constants, nor contain methods that conflict with implicitly declared methods or override `final` methods of class `Enum<E>`.

Example 8.9.3-1. Iterating Over Enum Constants With An Enhanced `for` Loop

```
public class Test {
    enum Season { WINTER, SPRING, SUMMER, FALL }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for (Season s : Season.values())
            System.out.println(s);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
WINTER
SPRING
SUMMER
FALL
```

Example 8.9.3-2. Switching Over Enum Constants

A `switch` statement (§14.11) is useful for simulating the addition of a method to an enum class from outside the class. This example "adds" a `color` method to the `Coin` class from §8.9.2, and prints a table of coins, their values, and their colors.

```
class Test {
    enum CoinColor { COPPER, NICKEL, SILVER }

    static CoinColor color(Coin c) {
        switch (c) {
            case PENNY:
                return CoinColor.COPPER;
            case NICKEL:
                return CoinColor.NICKEL;
            case DIME: case QUARTER:
                return CoinColor.SILVER;
            default:
                throw new AssertionError("Unknown coin: " + c);
        }
    }
```

```
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            for (Coin c : Coin.values())
                System.out.println(c + "\t\t" +
                                          c.value() + "\t" + color(c));
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    PENNY            1        COPPER
    NICKEL           5        NICKEL
    DIME             10       SILVER
    QUARTER          25       SILVER
```

Example 8.9.3-3. Enum Constants with Class Bodies

Rather than using a `switch` statement to "add" behavior to an enum class from the outside,
it is possible to use class bodies to attach behaviors to enum constants directly.

```
    enum Operation {
        PLUS {
            double eval(double x, double y) { return x + y; }
        },
        MINUS {
            double eval(double x, double y) { return x - y; }
        },
        TIMES {
            double eval(double x, double y) { return x * y; }
        },
        DIVIDED_BY {
            double eval(double x, double y) { return x / y; }
        };

        // Each constant supports an arithmetic operation
        abstract double eval(double x, double y);

        public static void main(String[] args) {
            double x = Double.parseDouble(args[0]);
            double y = Double.parseDouble(args[1]);
            for (Operation op : Operation.values())
                System.out.println(x + " " + op + " " + y +
                                          " = " + op.eval(x, y));
        }
    }
```

The program produces the output:

```
    java Operation 2.0 4.0
    2.0 PLUS 4.0 = 6.0
    2.0 MINUS 4.0 = -2.0
    2.0 TIMES 4.0 = 8.0
    2.0 DIVIDED_BY 4.0 = 0.5
```

This pattern is much safer than using a `switch` statement because the pattern precludes the possibility of forgetting to add a behavior for a new constant (since the enum declaration would cause a compile-time error).

Example 8.9.3-4. Multiple Enum Classes

In the following program, a playing card class is built atop two simple enums.

```java
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

class Card implements Comparable<Card>,
                      java.io.Serializable {
    public enum Rank { DEUCE, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, SEVEN,
                       EIGHT, NINE, TEN,JACK, QUEEN, KING, ACE }

    public enum Suit { CLUBS, DIAMONDS, HEARTS, SPADES }

    private final Rank rank;
    private final Suit suit;
    public Rank rank() { return rank; }
    public Suit suit() { return suit; }

    private Card(Rank rank, Suit suit) {
        if (rank == null || suit == null)
            throw new NullPointerException(rank + ", " + suit);
        this.rank = rank;
        this.suit = suit;
    }

    public String toString() { return rank + " of " + suit; }

    // Primary sort on suit, secondary sort on rank
    public int compareTo(Card c) {
        int suitCompare = suit.compareTo(c.suit);
        return (suitCompare != 0 ?
                    suitCompare :
                    rank.compareTo(c.rank));
    }

    private static final List<Card> prototypeDeck =
        new ArrayList<Card>(52);

    static {
        for (Suit suit : Suit.values())
            for (Rank rank : Rank.values())
                prototypeDeck.add(new Card(rank, suit));
    }

    // Returns a new deck
    public static List<Card> newDeck() {
        return new ArrayList<Card>(prototypeDeck);
```

```
        }
    }
```

The following program exercises the `Card` class. It takes two integer parameters on the command line, representing the number of hands to deal and the number of cards in each hand:

```
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Collections;
import java.util.List;

class Deal {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int numHands     = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);
        int cardsPerHand = Integer.parseInt(args[1]);
        List<Card> deck  = Card.newDeck();
        Collections.shuffle(deck);
        for (int i=0; i < numHands; i++)
            System.out.println(dealHand(deck, cardsPerHand));
    }

    /**
     * Returns a new ArrayList consisting of the last n
     * elements of deck, which are removed from deck.
     * The returned list is sorted using the elements'
     * natural ordering.
     */
    public static <E extends Comparable<E>>
    ArrayList<E> dealHand(List<E> deck, int n) {
        int           deckSize = deck.size();
        List<E>       handView = deck.subList(deckSize - n, deckSize);
        ArrayList<E> hand = new ArrayList<E>(handView);
        handView.clear();
        Collections.sort(hand);
        return hand;
    }
}
```

The program produces the output:

```
java Deal 4 3
[DEUCE of CLUBS, SEVEN of CLUBS, QUEEN of DIAMONDS]
[NINE of HEARTS, FIVE of SPADES, ACE of SPADES]
[THREE of HEARTS, SIX of HEARTS, TEN of SPADES]
[TEN of CLUBS, NINE of DIAMONDS, THREE of SPADES]
```

8.10   Record Classes

A *record declaration* specifies a new record class, a restricted kind of class that defines a simple aggregate of values.

> *RecordDeclaration:*
>   *{ClassModifier}* `record` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters] RecordHeader*
>   *[ClassImplements] RecordBody*

A record declaration may specify a top level record class (§7.6), a member record class (§8.5, §9.5), or a local record class (§14.3).

The *TypeIdentifier* in a record declaration specifies the name of the record class.

It is a compile-time error if a record declaration has the modifier `abstract`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed`.

A record class is implicitly `final`. It is permitted for the declaration of a record class to redundantly specify the `final` modifier.

A nested record class is implicitly `static`. That is, every member record class and local record class is `static`. It is permitted for the declaration of a member record class to redundantly specify the `static` modifier, but it is not permitted for the declaration of a local record class (§14.3).

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for a record declaration, or if a record declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private` (§6.6).

The direct superclass type of a record class is `Record` (§8.1.4).

> A record declaration does not have an `extends` clause, so it is not possible to explicitly declare a direct superclass type, even `Record`.
>
> The serialization mechanism treats instances of a record class differently than ordinary serializable or externalizable objects. In particular, a record object is deserialized using the canonical constructor (§8.10.4).

8.10.1   Record Components

The *record components* of a record class, if any, are specified in the header of a record declaration. Each record component consists of a type (optionally preceded by one or more annotations) and an identifier that specifies the name of the record component. A record component corresponds to two members of the record

class: a `private` field declared implicitly, and a `public` accessor method declared explicitly or implicitly (§8.10.3).

If a record class has no record components, then an empty pair of parentheses appears in the header of the record declaration.

> *RecordHeader:*
>   (*[RecordComponentList]*)
>
> *RecordComponentList:*
>   *RecordComponent { , RecordComponent}*
>
> *RecordComponent:*
>   *{RecordComponentModifier} UnannType Identifier*
>   *VariableArityRecordComponent*
>
> *VariableArityRecordComponent:*
>   *{RecordComponentModifier} UnannType {Annotation} . . . Identifier*
>
> *RecordComponentModifier:*
>   *Annotation*

A record component may be a *variable arity record component*, indicated by an ellipsis following the type. At most one variable arity record component is permitted for a record class. It is a compile-time error if a variable arity record component appears anywhere in the list of record components except the last position.

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a record component are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

> Annotations on a record component are available via reflection if their annotation interfaces are applicable in the record component context (§9.6.4.1). Independently, annotations on a record component are propagated to the declarations of members and constructors of the record class if their annotation interfaces are applicable in other contexts (§8.10.3, §8.10.4).

It is a compile-time error for a record declaration to have a record component with the name `clone`, `finalize`, `getClass`, `hashCode`, `notify`, `notifyAll`, `toString`, or `wait`.

> These are the names of the no-args `public` and `protected` methods in `Object`. Disallowing them as the names of record components avoids confusion in a number of ways. First, every record class provides implementations of `hashCode` and `toString` that return representations of a record object as a whole; they cannot serve as accessor methods (§8.10.3) for record components called `hashCode` or `toString`, and there would be no

way to access such record components from outside the record class. Similarly, some record classes may provide implementations of `clone` and (regrettably) `finalize`, so a record component called `clone` or `finalize` could not be accessed via an accessor method. Finally, the `getClass`, `notify`, `notifyAll`, and `wait` methods in `Object` are `final`, so record components with the same names could not have accessor methods. (The accessor methods would have the same signatures as the `final` methods, and would thus attempt, unsuccessfully, to override them.)

It is a compile-time error for a record declaration to have two record components with the same name.

The declared type of a record component depends on whether it is a variable arity record component:

- If the record component is not a variable arity record component, then the declared type is denoted by *UnannType*.

- If the record component is a variable arity record component, then the declared type is an array type specified by §10.2.

If the declared type of a variable arity record component has a non-reifiable element type (§4.7), then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs for the declaration of the variable arity record component, unless the canonical constructor (§8.10.4) is annotated with `@SafeVarargs` (§9.6.4.7) or the warning is suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

8.10.2   Record Body Declarations

The body of a record declaration may contain constructor and member declarations as well as static initializers.

> *RecordBody:*
>   { *{RecordBodyDeclaration}* }

> *RecordBodyDeclaration:*
>   *ClassBodyDeclaration*
>   *CompactConstructorDeclaration*

The following productions from §8.1.7 are shown here for convenience:

> *ClassBodyDeclaration:*
>   *ClassMemberDeclaration*
>   *InstanceInitializer*
>   *StaticInitializer*
>   *ConstructorDeclaration*

ClassMemberDeclaration:
  FieldDeclaration
  MethodDeclaration
  ClassDeclaration
  InterfaceDeclaration
  ;

The *CompactConstructorDeclaration* clause is described in §8.10.4.2.

It is a compile-time error for the body of a record declaration to contain a non-`static` field declaration (§8.3.1.1).

It is a compile-time error for the body of a record declaration to contain a method declaration that is `abstract` or `native` (§8.4.3.1, §8.4.3.4).

It is a compile-time error for the body of a record declaration to contain an instance initializer (§8.6).

8.10.3 Record Members

For each record component, a record class has a field with the same name as the record component and the same type as the declared type of the record component. This field, which is declared implicitly, is known as a *component field*.

A component field is `private`, `final`, and non-`static`.

A component field is annotated with the annotations, if any, that appear on the corresponding record component and whose annotation interfaces are applicable in the field declaration context, or in type contexts, or both (§9.7.4).

Furthermore, for each record component, a record class has a method with the same name as the record component and an empty formal parameter list. This method, which is declared explicitly or implicitly, is known as an *accessor method*.

If an accessor method for a record component is declared explicitly, then all of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The return type of the accessor method (§8.4.5) must be the same as the declared type of the record component.

- The accessor method must not be generic (§8.4.4).

- The accessor method must be a `public` instance method with no formal parameters and no `throws` clause.

If a record class has a record component for which an accessor method is not declared explicitly, then an accessor method for that record component is declared implicitly, with the following properties:

- Its name is the same as the name of the record component.

- Its return type is the same as the declared type of the record component.

- It is not generic.

- It is a `public` instance method with no formal parameters and no `throws` clause.

- It is annotated with the annotations, if any, that appear on the corresponding record component and whose annotation interfaces are applicable in the method declaration context, or in type contexts, or both (§9.7.4).

- Its body returns the value of the corresponding component field.

  > The restrictions on record component names (§8.10.1) mean that no implicitly declared accessor method has a signature that is override-equivalent with a non-`private` method of class `Object`. An explicit method declaration that takes one of the restricted names, such as `public void wait() {...}`, is not an accessor method, since `wait` is never a record component name.

  > Annotations that appear on a record component are not propagated to an explicitly declared accessor method for that record component. In some situations, the programmer may need to duplicate a record component's annotations on an explicitly declared accessor method, but this is not generally necessary.

  > Annotations that are propagated to an implicitly declared accessor method must result in a legally annotated method. For example, in the following record declaration, the implicitly declared accessor method `x()` would be annotated with `@SafeVarargs`, but such an annotation is illegal on a fixed arity method (§9.6.4.7):

  ```
  record BadRecord(@SafeVarargs int x) {}  // Error
  ```

The scope and shadowing of the component field and the accessor method are specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1. (The record component to which they correspond is not a declaration, so has no scope of its own.)

Record classes may explicitly declare instance methods other than accessor methods, but may not explicitly declare instance variables (§8.10.2). Explicit declarations of class methods and class variables are permitted.

All members of record classes, including implicitly declared members, are subject to the usual rules for member declarations in a class (§8.3, §8.4, §8.5).

All of the rules concerning inheritance that apply to normal classes apply to record classes. In particular, record classes may inherit members from superinterfaces, although a superinterface method will never be inherited as an accessor method because the record class will always declare, explicitly or implicitly, an accessor method that overrides the superinterface method.

For example, a record class can inherit default methods from its direct superinterfaces, although the default method bodies have no knowledge of the component fields of the record class. The following program prints `Logged`:

```
public class Test {
    interface Logging {
        default void logAction() {
            System.out.println("Logged");
        }
    }

    record Point(int i, int j) implements Logging {}

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point p = new Point(10, 20);
        p.logAction();
    }
}
```

A record class provides implementations of all the `abstract` methods declared in class `Record`. For each of the following methods, if a record class `R` does not explicitly declare a method with the same modifiers, name, and signature (§8.4.2), then the method is implicitly declared as follows:

- A method `public final boolean equals(Object)` that returns `true` if and only if the argument is an instance of `R`, and the current instance is equal to the argument instance at every record component of `R`; otherwise `false` is returned.

  Equality of an instance `a` of a record class `R` with another instance `b` of the same record class at a record component `c` is determined as follows:

  - If the type of the record component `c` is a reference type, equality is determined as follows: if the value of the component field `c` of both `a` and `b` is the null reference then `true` is returned; if the value of the component field `c` of either `a` or `b`, but not both, is the null reference then `false` is returned; otherwise equality is determined by invoking the `equals` method on the value of the component field `c` of `a`, with an argument that is the value of the component field `c` of `b`.

  - If the type of the record component `c` is a primitive type `T`, equality is determined as if by invoking the `static` method `compare` of the wrapper class corresponding to `T` (§5.1.7), with the first argument given by the value of the component field `c` of `a`, and the second argument given by the value of the

component field c of b; if the method would return 0 then `true` is returned, otherwise `false` is returned.

> The use of `compare` in wrapper classes ensures that the implicitly declared `equals` method is reflexive and behaves consistently with the implicitly declared `hashCode` method for record classes that have floating-point components.

- A method `public final int hashCode()` that returns a hash code value derived from the hash code values at every record component of R.

  The hash code value of an instance a of a record class at a record component c is as follows:

  – If the type of the record component c is a reference type, then the hash code value is determined as if by invoking the `hashCode` method on the value of the component field c of a.

  – If the type of the record component c is a primitive type T, then the hash code value is determined as if by subjecting the value of the component field c of a to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) and then invoking the method `hashCode` of the wrapper class corresponding to T on the resulting object.

- A method `public final String toString()` that returns a string derived from the name of the record class and the names and string representations of every record component of R.

  The string representation of a record component c of an instance a of a record class is as follows:

  – If the type of the record component c is a reference type, then the string representation is determined as if by invoking the `toString` method on the value of the component field c of a.

  – If the type of the record component c is a primitive type T, then the string representation is determined as if by subjecting the value of the component field c of a to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) and then invoking the method `toString` method of the wrapper class corresponding to T on the resulting object.

> Note that equality, hash code values, and string representations are determined by looking at the values of component fields directly, rather than by invoking accessor methods.

> Consider a record class R that has components $c_1, ..., c_n$, and an implicitly declared accessor method for every component, and an implicitly declared `equals` method. If an instance r1 of R is copied in the following way:

```
R r2 = new R(r1.c1(), r1.c2(), ..., r1.cn());
```

then, assuming r1 is not the null reference, it is always the case that the expression
r1.equals(r2) will evaluate to true. Explicitly declared accessor methods and equals
methods should respect this invariant. It is not generally possible for a compiler to check
whether explicitly declared methods respect the invariant. The following record declaration
is bad style because its accessor methods clip the x and y components and therefore prevent
p3 from being equals to p1:

```
record SmallPoint(int x, int y) {
    public int x() { return this.x < 100 ? this.x : 100; }
    public int y() { return this.y < 100 ? this.y : 100; }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        SmallPoint p1 = new SmallPoint(200,300);
        SmallPoint p2 = new SmallPoint(200,300);
        System.out.println(p1.equals(p2));  // prints true

        SmallPoint p3 = new SmallPoint(p1.x(), p1.y());
        System.out.println(p1.equals(p3));  // prints false
    }
}
```

8.10.4   Record Constructor Declarations

To ensure proper initialization of its record components, a record class does
not implicitly declare a default constructor (§8.8.9). Instead, a record class has
a *canonical constructor*, declared explicitly or implicitly, that initializes all the
component fields of the record class.

There are two ways to explicitly declare a canonical constructor in a record
declaration: by declaring a normal constructor with a suitable signature (§8.10.4.1)
or by declaring a compact constructor (§8.10.4.2).

> Given the signature of a normal constructor that qualifies as canonical, and the signature
> derived for a compact constructor, the rules of constructor signatures (§8.8.2) mean it is a
> compile-time error if a record declaration has both a normal constructor that qualifies as
> canonical *and* a compact constructor.

Either way, an explicitly declared canonical constructor must provide at least as
much access as the record class, as follows:

- If the record class is public, then the canonical constructor must be public;
  otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the record class is protected, then the canonical constructor must be
  protected or public; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the record class has package access, then the canonical constructor must *not*
  be private; otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the record class is `private`, then the canonical constructor may be declared with any accessibility.

An explicitly declared canonical constructor may be a fixed arity constructor or a variable arity constructor (§8.8.1).

If a canonical constructor is not explicitly declared in the declaration of a record class `R`, then a canonical constructor `r` is implicitly declared in `R` with the following properties:

- The signature of `r` has no type parameters, and has formal parameters given by the derived formal parameter list of `R`, defined below.

- `r` has the same access modifier as `R`, unless `R` lacks an access modifier, in which case `r` has package access.

- `r` has no `throws` clause.

- The body of `r` initializes each component field of the record class with the corresponding formal parameter of `r`, in the order that record components (corresponding to the component fields) appear in the record header.

The *derived formal parameter list* of a record class is formed by deriving a formal parameter from each record component in the record header, in order, as follows:

- If the record component is not a variable arity record component, then the derived formal parameter has the same name and declared type as the record component.

  If the record component is a variable arity record component, then the derived formal parameter is a variable arity parameter (§8.4.1) with the same name and declared type as the record component.

- The derived formal parameter is annotated with the annotations, if any, that appear on the record component and whose annotation interfaces are applicable in the formal parameter context, or in type contexts, or both (§9.7.4).

A record declaration may contain declarations of constructors that are not canonical constructors. The body of every non-canonical constructor in a record declaration must start with an alternate constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1), or a compile-time error occurs.

8.10.4.1 *Normal Canonical Constructors*

A (non-compact) constructor in the declaration of record class `R` is the *canonical constructor* of `R` if its signature is override-equivalent (§8.4.2) to the derived constructor signature of `R`.

The *derived constructor signature* of a record class R is a signature that consists of the name R, no type parameters, and the formal parameter types derived from the record header of R by taking the declared type of each record component in order.

> As a canonical constructor has a signature that is override-equivalent to the derived constructor signature of the record class, there can be only one canonical constructor declared explicitly in the record class.

The declaration of a (non-compact) canonical constructor must satisfy all of the following conditions, or a compile-time error occurs:

- Each formal parameter in the formal parameter list must have the same name and declared type as the corresponding record component.

  A formal parameter must be a variable arity parameter if and only if the corresponding record component is a variable arity record component.

- The constructor must not be generic (§8.8.4).

- The constructor must not have a `throws` clause.

- The constructor body must not contain an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1).

- All the other rules for constructor declarations in a normal class declaration must be satisfied (§8.8).

  > A consequence of these rules is that the annotations on a record component can differ from the annotations on the corresponding formal parameter of an explicitly declared canonical constructor. For example, the following record declaration is valid:

  ```
  import java.lang.annotation.Target;
  import java.lang.annotation.ElementType;

  @interface Foo {}
  @interface Bar {}

  record Person(@Foo String name) {
      Person(@Bar String name) {
          this.name = name;
      }
  }
  ```

8.10.4.2   *Compact Canonical Constructors*

A *compact constructor declaration* is a succinct form of constructor declaration, only available in a record declaration. It declares the canonical constructor of a

record class without requiring the record components of the class to be manually repeated as formal parameters of the constructor.

> *CompactConstructorDeclaration:*
>   *{ConstructorModifier} SimpleTypeName ConstructorBody*

The following productions from §8.8, §8.8.3, and §8.8.7 are shown here for convenience:

> *ConstructorModifier:*
>   *(one of)*
>   *Annotation* `public` `protected` `private`
>
> *SimpleTypeName:*
>   *TypeIdentifier*
>
> *ConstructorBody:*
>   { *[ExplicitConstructorInvocation] [BlockStatements]* }

It is a compile-time error for a record declaration to have more than one compact constructor declaration.

The formal parameters of a compact constructor of a record class are implicitly declared. They are given by the derived formal parameter list of the record class (§8.10.4).

The compact constructor of a record class is a variable arity constructor (§8.8.1) if the record class has a variable arity record component.

The signature of a compact constructor declaration is equal to the derived constructor signature of the record class (§8.10.4.1).

The body of a compact constructor declaration must satisfy all of the following conditions, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The body must not contain a `return` statement (§14.17).

- The body must not contain an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1).

- The body must not contain an assignment to a component field of the record class.

- All the other rules for a constructor in a normal class declaration must be satisfied (§8.8), *except* for the requirement that the component fields of the record class must be definitely assigned and moreover not definitely unassigned at the end of the compact constructor (§8.3.1.2).

341

> If a record declaration has a record component named c, then the simple name c in the body of a compact constructor denotes the implicit formal parameter named c, and not the component field named c.

After the last statement, if any, in the body of the compact constructor has completed normally (§14.1), all component fields of the record class are implicitly initialized to the values of the corresponding formal parameters. The component fields are initialized in the order that the corresponding record components are declared in the record header.

> The intent of a compact constructor declaration is that only code to validate or normalize parameters needs to be given in the constructor body; the remaining initialization code is supplied by the compiler. For example, the following record class has a compact constructor that simplifies a rational number:

```
record Rational(int num, int denom) {
    private static int gcd(int a, int b) {
        if (b == 0) return Math.abs(a);
        else return gcd(b, a % b);
    }

    Rational {
        int gcd = gcd(num, denom);
        num    /= gcd;
        denom  /= gcd;
    }
}
```

> The compact constructor `Rational {...}` behaves the same as this normal constructor:

```
Rational(int num, int denom) {
    int gcd = gcd(num, denom);
    num    /= gcd;
    denom  /= gcd;
    this.num   = num;
    this.denom = denom;
}
```

Interfaces

AN interface declaration defines a new interface that can be implemented by one or more classes. Programs can use interfaces to provide a common supertype for otherwise unrelated classes, and to make it unnecessary for related classes to share a common `abstract` superclass.

Interfaces have no instance variables, and typically declare one or more `abstract` methods; otherwise unrelated classes can implement an interface by providing implementations for its `abstract` methods. Interfaces may not be directly instantiated.

A *top level interface* (§7.6) is an interface declared directly in a compilation unit.

A *nested interface* is any interface whose declaration occurs within the body of another class or interface declaration. A nested interface may be a member interface (§8.5, §9.5) or a local interface (§14.3).

An *annotation interface* (§9.6) is an interface declared with distinct syntax, intended to be implemented by reflective representations of *annotations* (§9.7).

This chapter discusses the common semantics of all interfaces. Details that are specific to particular kinds of interfaces are discussed in the sections dedicated to these constructs.

An interface may be declared to be a *direct extension* of one or more other interfaces, meaning that it inherits all the member classes and interfaces, instance methods, and `static` fields of the interfaces it extends, except for any members that it may override or hide.

A class may be declared to *directly implement* one or more interfaces (§8.1.5), meaning that any instance of the class implements all the `abstract` methods specified by the interface or interfaces. A class necessarily implements all the interfaces that its direct superclasses and direct superinterfaces do. This (multiple)

interface inheritance allows objects to support (multiple) common behaviors without sharing a superclass.

Unlike a class, an interface cannot be declared `final`. However, an interface may be declared `sealed` (§9.1.1.4) to limit its subclasses and subinterfaces.

A variable whose declared type is an interface type may have as its value a reference to any instance of a class which implements the specified interface. It is not sufficient that the class happen to implement all the `abstract` methods of the interface; the class or one of its superclasses must actually be declared to implement the interface, or else the class is not considered to implement the interface.

9.1   Interface Declarations

An *interface declaration* specifies an interface.

There are two kinds of interface declarations: *normal interface declarations* and *annotation interface declarations* (§9.6).

> *InterfaceDeclaration:*
>   *NormalInterfaceDeclaration*
>   *AnnotationInterfaceDeclaration*

> *NormalInterfaceDeclaration:*
>   *{InterfaceModifier}* `interface` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
>   *[InterfaceExtends] [InterfacePermits] InterfaceBody*

The *TypeIdentifier* in an interface declaration specifies the name of the interface.

It is a compile-time error if an interface has the same simple name as any of its enclosing classes or interfaces.

The scope and shadowing of an interface declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

9.1.1   Interface Modifiers

An interface declaration may include *interface modifiers*.

> *InterfaceModifier:*
>   *(one of)*
>   *Annotation* `public protected private`
>   `abstract static sealed non-sealed strictfp`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for an interface declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

The access modifier `public` (§6.6) pertains only to top level interfaces (§7.6) and member interfaces (§8.5, §9.5), not to local interfaces (§14.3).

The access modifiers `protected` and `private` pertain only to member interfaces.

The modifier `static` pertains only to member interfaces and local interfaces.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for an interface declaration, or if a interface declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, and `private`.

It is a compile-time error if an interface declaration has more than one of the modifiers `sealed` and `non-sealed`.

> If two or more (distinct) interface modifiers appear in an interface declaration, then it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *InterfaceModifier*.

9.1.1.1 `abstract` *Interfaces*

Every interface is implicitly `abstract`.

> This modifier is obsolete and should not be used in new code.

9.1.1.2 `strictfp` *Interfaces*

The `strictfp` modifier on an interface declaration is obsolete and should not be used in new code. Its presence or absence has no effect at compile time or run time.

9.1.1.3 `static` *Interfaces*

A nested interface is implicitly `static`. That is, every member interface and local interface is `static`. It is permitted for the declaration of a member interface to redundantly specify the `static` modifier (§9.5), but it is not permitted for the declaration of a local interface (§14.3).

Because a nested interface is `static`, it has no immediately enclosing instance (§8.1.3). References from a nested interface to type parameters, instance variables, local variables, formal parameters, exception parameters, or instance methods in lexically enclosing class, interface, or method declarations are disallowed (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

9.1.1.4 `sealed` *and* `non-sealed` *Interfaces*

An interface can be declared `sealed` if all its direct subclasses and direct subinterfaces are known when the interface is declared (§9.1.4), and no other direct subclasses or direct subinterfaces are desired or required.

> It is useful to recall that a class is said to be a *direct subclass* of its direct superinterfaces (§8.1.5).

An interface is *freely extensible* if none of its direct superinterfaces are `sealed` (§9.1.3), and it is not `sealed` itself.

An interface that has a `sealed` direct superinterface is freely extensible if and only if it is declared `non-sealed`.

It is a compile-time error if an interface has a `sealed` direct superinterface and is not declared `sealed` or `non-sealed`.

It is a compile-time error if an interface is declared `non-sealed` but has no `sealed` direct superinterface.

9.1.2   Generic Interfaces and Type Parameters

An interface is *generic* if the interface declaration declares one or more type variables (§4.4).

These type variables are known as the *type parameters* of the interface. The type parameter section follows the interface name and is delimited by angle brackets.

> The following productions from §8.1.2 and §4.4 are shown here for convenience:
>
> *TypeParameters:*
>   *< TypeParameterList >*
>
> *TypeParameterList:*
>   *TypeParameter { , TypeParameter}*
>
> *TypeParameter:*
>   *{TypeParameterModifier} TypeIdentifier [TypeBound]*
>
> *TypeParameterModifier:*
>   *Annotation*
>
> *TypeBound:*
>   extends *TypeVariable*
>   extends *ClassOrInterfaceType {AdditionalBound}*
>
> *AdditionalBound:*
>   & *InterfaceType*

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a type parameter declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

In an interface's type parameter section, a type variable T *directly depends* on a type variable S if S is the bound of T, while T *depends* on S if either T directly depends on S or T directly depends on a type variable U that depends on S (using this definition recursively). It is a compile-time error if a type variable in a interface's type parameter section depends on itself.

The scope and shadowing of an interface's type parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

References to an interface's type parameter from a static context or a nested class or interface are restricted, as specified in §6.5.5.1.

A generic interface declaration defines a set of parameterized types (§4.5), one for each possible parameterization of the type parameter section by type arguments. All of these parameterized types share the same interface at run time.

9.1.3   Superinterfaces and Subinterfaces

If an `extends` clause is provided, then the interface being declared extends each of the specified interface types and therefore inherits the member classes, member interfaces, instance methods, and `static` fields of each of those interface types.

The specified interface types are the *direct superinterface types* of the interface being declared.

Any class that `implements` the declared interface is also considered to implement all the interfaces that this interface `extends`.

> *InterfaceExtends:*
>   `extends` *InterfaceTypeList*

> The following production from §8.1.5 is shown here for convenience:

>> *InterfaceTypeList:*
>>   *InterfaceType { , InterfaceType}*

Each *InterfaceType* in the `extends` clause of an interface declaration must name an accessible interface (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if any *InterfaceType* names a interface that is `sealed` (§9.1.1.4) and the interface being declared is not a permitted direct subinterface of the named interface (§9.1.4).

If an *InterfaceType* has type arguments, it must denote a well-formed parameterized type (§4.5), and none of the type arguments may be wildcard type arguments, or a compile-time error occurs.

One interface is a *direct superinterface* of another interface if the first interface is named by one of the direct superinterface types of the second interface.

The *superinterface* relationship is the transitive closure of the direct superinterface relationship. An interface I is a superinterface of interface K if either of the following is true:

- I is a direct superinterface of K.

- Where J is a direct superinterface of K, I is a superinterface of J, applying this definition recursively.

An interface is said to be a *direct subinterface* of its direct superinterface, and a *subinterface* of each of its superinterfaces.

While every class is an extension of class `Object`, there is no single interface of which all interfaces are extensions.

An interface I *directly depends* on a class or interface A if A is mentioned in the `extends` clause of I either as a superinterface or as a qualifier in the fully qualified form of a superinterface name.

An interface I *depends* on a class or interface A if any of the following is true:

- I directly depends on A.

- I directly depends on a class C that depends on A (§8.1.5).

- I directly depends on an interface J that depends on A, applying this definition recursively.

It is a compile-time error if an interface depends on itself.

If circularly declared interfaces are detected at run time, as interfaces are loaded, then a `ClassCircularityError` is thrown (§12.2.1).

9.1.4   Permitted Direct Subclasses and Subinterfaces

The optional `permits` clause in a normal interface declaration specifies all the classes and interfaces intended as direct subclasses and direct subinterfaces of the interface being declared (§9.1.1.4).

> *InterfacePermits:*
>   `permits` *TypeName {* `,` *TypeName}*

It is a compile-time error if an interface declaration has a `permits` clause but no `sealed` modifier.

Every *TypeName* must name an accessible class or interface (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the same class or interface is specified more than once in a `permits` clause. This is true even if the class or interface is named in different ways.

> The canonical name of a class or interface does not need to be used in a `permits` clause, but a `permits` clause can only specify a class or interface once. For example, the following program fails to compile:
>
> ```
> package p;
>
> sealed interface I permits C, D, p.C {}  // error
>
> non-sealed class C implements I {}
> non-sealed class D implements I {}
> ```

If a `sealed` interface *I* is associated with a named module (§7.3), then every class or interface specified in the `permits` clause of *I*'s declaration must be associated with the same module as *I*, or a compile-time error occurs.

If a `sealed` interface *I* is associated with an unnamed module (§7.7.5), then every class or interface specified in the `permits` clause of *I*'s declaration must belong to the same package as *I*, or a compile-time error occurs.

> A `sealed` interface and its direct subclasses and direct subinterfaces need to refer to each other in a circular fashion, in `permits`, `implements`, and `extends` clauses, respectively. Therefore, in a modular codebase, they must be co-located in the same module, as classes and interfaces in different modules cannot refer to each other in a circular fashion. Co-location is desirable in any case because a sealed interface hierarchy should always be declared within a single maintenance domain, where the same developer or group of developers is responsible for maintaining the hierarchy. A named module typically represents a maintenance domain in a modular codebase.

If the declaration of a `sealed` interface *I* has a `permits` clause, then the *permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces* of *I* are the classes and interfaces specified by the `permits` clause.

Every permitted direct subclass and subinterface specified by the `permits` clause must be a direct subclass of *I* (§8.1.5) or a direct subinterface of *I* (§9.1.3), or a compile-time error occurs.

If the declaration of a `sealed` interface *I* lacks a `permits` clause, then the permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces of *I* are those classes and interfaces declared

in the same compilation unit as I (§7.3) which have a canonical name (§6.7) and whose direct superinterfaces include I.

> That is, the permitted direct subclasses and subinterfaces are inferred as the classes and interfaces in the same compilation unit that specify I as a direct superinterface. The requirement for a canonical name means that no local classes, local interfaces, or anonymous classes will be considered.

It is a compile-time error if the declaration of a `sealed` interface I lacks a `permits` clause and I has no permitted direct subclasses or subinterfaces.

9.1.5   Interface Body and Member Declarations

An *interface body* may contain declarations of members of the interface, that is, fields (§9.3), methods (§9.4), classes, and interfaces (§9.5).

> *InterfaceBody:*
>   { *{InterfaceMemberDeclaration}* }
>
> *InterfaceMemberDeclaration:*
>   *ConstantDeclaration*
>   *InterfaceMethodDeclaration*
>   *ClassDeclaration*
>   *InterfaceDeclaration*
>   *;*

The scope of a declaration of a member m declared in or inherited by an interface I is specified in §6.3.

9.2   Interface Members

The members of an interface are:

- Members declared in the body of the interface declaration (§9.1.5).

- Members inherited from any direct superinterface types (§9.1.3).

- If an interface has no direct superinterface types, then the interface implicitly declares a `public abstract` member method m with signature s, return type r, and `throws` clause t corresponding to each `public` instance method m with signature s, return type r, and `throws` clause t declared in `Object` (§4.3.2),

unless an `abstract` method with the same signature, same return type, and a compatible `throws` clause is explicitly declared by the interface.

It is a compile-time error if the interface explicitly declares such a method *m* in the case where *m* is declared to be `final` in `Object`.

It is a compile-time error if the interface explicitly declares a method with a signature that is override-equivalent (§8.4.2) to a `public` method of `Object`, but which has a different return type, or an incompatible `throws` clause, or is not `abstract`.

The interface inherits, from the interfaces it extends, all members of those interfaces, except for (i) fields, classes, and interfaces that it hides, (ii) `abstract` methods and default methods that it overrides (§9.4.1), (iii) `private` methods, and (iv) `static` methods.

Fields, methods, member classes, and member interfaces of an interface may have the same name, since they are used in different contexts and are disambiguated by different lookup procedures (§6.5). However, this is discouraged as a matter of style.

9.3   Field (Constant) Declarations

ConstantDeclaration:
  {ConstantModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorList ;

ConstantModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public`
  `static final`

See §8.3 for *UnannType*. The following productions from §4.3 and §8.3 are shown here for convenience:

  VariableDeclaratorList:
    VariableDeclarator { , *VariableDeclarator}*

  VariableDeclarator:
    VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]

  VariableDeclaratorId:
    Identifier [Dims]

  Dims:
    {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

351

> *VariableInitializer:*
>   *Expression*
>   *ArrayInitializer*

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for an interface field declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

Every field declaration in the body of an interface declaration is implicitly `public`, `static`, and `final`. It is permitted to redundantly specify any or all of these modifiers for such fields.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for a field declaration.

> If two or more (distinct) field modifiers appear in a field declaration, it is customary, though not required, that they appear in the order consistent with that shown above in the production for *ConstantModifier*.

The declared type of a field is denoted by *UnannType* if no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* and *VariableDeclaratorId*, and is specified by §10.2 otherwise.

The scope and shadowing of an interface field declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.1.

Because an interface field is `static`, its declaration introduces a static context (§8.1.3), which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

It is a compile-time error for the body of an interface declaration to declare two fields with the same name.

If the interface declares a field with a certain name, then the declaration of that field is said to *hide* any and all accessible declarations of fields with the same name in superinterfaces of the interface.

It is possible for an interface to inherit more than one field with the same name. Such a situation does not in itself cause a compile-time error. However, any attempt within the body of the interface declaration to refer to any such field by its simple name will result in a compile-time error, because the reference is ambiguous.

There might be several paths by which the same field declaration is inherited from an interface. In such a situation, the field is considered to be inherited only once, and it may be referred to by its simple name without ambiguity.

Example 9.3-1. Ambiguous Inherited Fields

If two fields with the same name are inherited by an interface because, for example, two of its direct superinterfaces declare fields with that name, then a single ambiguous member results. Any use of this ambiguous member will result in a compile-time error. In the program:

```
interface BaseColors {
    int RED = 1, GREEN = 2, BLUE = 4;
}
interface RainbowColors extends BaseColors {
    int YELLOW = 3, ORANGE = 5, INDIGO = 6, VIOLET = 7;
}
interface PrintColors extends BaseColors {
    int YELLOW = 8, CYAN = 16, MAGENTA = 32;
}
interface LotsOfColors extends RainbowColors, PrintColors {
    int FUCHSIA = 17, VERMILION = 43, CHARTREUSE = RED+90;
}
```

the interface `LotsOfColors` inherits two fields named `YELLOW`. This is all right as long as the interface does not contain any reference by simple name to the field `YELLOW`. (Such a reference could occur within a variable initializer for a field.)

Even if interface `PrintColors` were to give the value 3 to `YELLOW` rather than the value 8, a reference to field `YELLOW` within interface `LotsOfColors` would still be considered ambiguous.

Example 9.3-2. Multiply Inherited Fields

If a single field is inherited multiple times from the same interface because, for example, both this interface and one of this interface's direct superinterfaces extend the interface that declares the field, then only a single member results. This situation does not in itself cause a compile-time error.

In the previous example, the fields `RED`, `GREEN`, and `BLUE` are inherited by interface `LotsOfColors` in more than one way, through interface `RainbowColors` and also through interface `PrintColors`, but the reference to field `RED` in interface `LotsOfColors` is not considered ambiguous because only one actual declaration of the field `RED` is involved.

9.3.1   Initialization of Fields in Interfaces

Every declarator in a field declaration of an interface must have a variable initializer, or a compile-time error occurs.

The initializer need not be a constant expression (§15.29).

353

It is a compile-time error if the initializer of an interface field uses the simple name of the same field or another field whose declaration occurs to the right of the initializer (§3.5) in the same interface.

The initializer of an interface field may not refer to the current object using the keyword `this` or the keyword `super`, as specified in §15.8.3, §15.11.2, and §15.12.3.

At run time, the initializer is evaluated and the field assignment performed exactly once, when the interface is initialized (§12.4.2).

Note that interface fields that are constant variables (§4.12.4) are initialized before other interface fields. This also applies to `static` fields that are constant variables in classes (§8.3.2). Such fields will never be observed to have their default initial values (§4.12.5), even by devious programs.

Example 9.3.1-1. Forward Reference to a Field

```
interface Test {
    float f = j;
    int   j = 1;
    int   k = k + 1;
}
```

This program causes two compile-time errors, because `j` is referred to in the initialization of `f` before `j` is declared, and because the initialization of `k` refers to `k` itself.

9.4   Method Declarations

InterfaceMethodDeclaration:
  {InterfaceMethodModifier} MethodHeader MethodBody

InterfaceMethodModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public` `private`
  `abstract` `default` `static` `strictfp`

The following productions from §8.4, §8.4.5, and §8.4.7 are shown here for convenience:

  MethodHeader:
    Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]
    TypeParameters {Annotation} Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]

Result:
  UnannType
  `void`

MethodDeclarator:
  Identifier (*[ReceiverParameter ,] [FormalParameterList]*) *[Dims]*

MethodBody:
  Block
  `;`

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for an interface method declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

A method in the body of an interface declaration may be declared `public` or `private` (§6.6). If no access modifier is given, the method is implicitly `public`. It is permitted, but discouraged as a matter of style, to redundantly specify the `public` modifier for a method declaration in an interface declaration.

A *default method* is an instance method declared in an interface with the `default` modifier. Its body is always represented by a block, which provides a default implementation for any class that implements the interface without overriding the method. Default methods are distinct from concrete methods (§8.4.3.1), which are declared in classes, and from `private` interface methods, which are neither inherited nor overridden.

An interface can declare `static` methods, which are invoked without reference to a particular object. `static` interface methods are distinct from default methods, `abstract` interface methods, and non-`static` `private` interface methods, all of which are instance methods.

The declaration of a `static` interface method introduces a static context (§8.1.3), which limits the use of constructs that refer to the current object. Notably, the keywords `this` and `super` are prohibited in a static context (§15.8.3, §15.11.2), as are unqualified references to instance variables, instance methods, and type parameters of lexically enclosing declarations (§6.5.5.1, §6.5.6.1, §15.12.3).

References to an instance method from a static context or a nested class or interface are restricted (§15.12.3).

The `strictfp` modifier on an interface method declaration is obsolete and should not be used in new code. Its presence or absence has no effect at run time.

An interface method lacking a `private`, `default`, or `static` modifier is implicitly `abstract`. Its body is represented by a semicolon, not a block. It is permitted, but discouraged as a matter of style, to redundantly specify the `abstract` modifier for such a method declaration.

> Note that an interface method may not be declared with `protected` or package access, or with the modifiers `final`, `synchronized`, or `native`.

It is a compile-time error if the same keyword appears more than once as a modifier for an interface method declaration, or if an interface method declaration has more than one of the access modifiers `public` and `private` (§6.6).

It is a compile-time error if an interface method declaration has more than one of the keywords `abstract`, `default`, or `static`.

It is a compile-time error if an interface method declaration that contains the keyword `private` also contains the keyword `abstract` or `default`. It is permitted for an interface method declaration to contain both `private` and `static`.

It is a compile-time error if an interface method declaration that contains the keyword `abstract` also contains the keyword `strictfp`.

It is a compile-time error for the body of an interface declaration to declare, explicitly or implicitly, two methods with override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2). However, an interface may inherit several `abstract` methods with such signatures (§9.4.1).

A method declared in an interface may be generic. The rules for type parameters of a generic method in an interface are the same as for a generic method in a class (§8.4.4).

9.4.1   Inheritance and Overriding

An interface *I inherits* from its direct superinterface types all `abstract` and default methods *m* for which all of the following are true:

- *m* is a member of a direct superinterface type of *I*, *J*.

- No method declared in *I* has a signature that is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of *m* as a member of *J*.

- There exists no method *m'* that is a member of a direct superinterface of *I*, *J'* (*m* distinct from *m'*, *J* distinct from *J'*), such that *m'* overrides from the interface of *J'* the declaration of the method *m* (§9.4.1.1).

> Note that methods are overridden on a signature-by-signature basis. If, for example, an interface declares two `public` methods with the same name (§9.4.2), and a subinterface overrides one of them, the subinterface still inherits the other method.

> The third clause above prevents a subinterface from re-inheriting a method that has already been overridden by another of its superinterfaces. For example, in this program:

```
interface Top {
    default String name() { return "unnamed"; }
}
interface Left extends Top {
    default String name() { return getClass().getName(); }
}
interface Right extends Top {}

interface Bottom extends Left, Right {}
```

Right inherits name() from Top, but Bottom inherits name() from Left, not Right. This is because name() from Left overrides the declaration of name() in Top.

An interface does not inherit private or static methods from its superinterfaces.

If an interface I declares a private or static method m, and the signature of m is a subsignature of a public instance method m' in a superinterface type of I, and m' would otherwise be accessible to code in I, then a compile-time error occurs.

In essence, a static method in an interface cannot hide an instance method in a superinterface type. This is similar to the rule in §8.4.8.2 whereby a static method in a class cannot hide an instance method in a superclass type or superinterface type. Note that the rule in §8.4.8.2 speaks of a class that "declares or inherits a static method", whereas the rule above speaks only of an interface that "declares a static method", since an interface cannot inherit a static method. Also note that the rule in §8.4.8.2 allows hiding of both instance and static methods in superclasses/superinterfaces, whereas the rule above considers only public instance methods in superinterface types.

Along the same lines, a private method in an interface cannot override an instance method - whether public or private - in a superinterface type. This is similar to the rules in §8.4.8.1 and §8.4.8.3 whereby a private method in a class cannot override any instance method in a superclass type or superinterface type, because §8.4.8.1 requires the overridden method to be non-private and §8.4.8.3 requires the overriding method to provide at least as much access as the overridden method. In summary, only public methods in interfaces can be overridden, and only by public methods in subinterfaces or in implementing classes.

9.4.1.1 *Overriding (by Instance Methods)*

An instance method m_I declared in or inherited by interface I, *overrides from I* another instance method m_J declared in interface J, iff all of the following are true:

- I is a subinterface of J.

- I does not inherit m_J.

- The signature of m_I is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of the signature of m_J as a member of the supertype of I that names J.

- m_J is public.

357

The presence or absence of the `strictfp` modifier has absolutely no effect on the rules for overriding methods. For example, it is permitted for a method that is not `strictfp` to override a `strictfp` method, and it is permitted for a `strictfp` method to override a method that is not `strictfp`.

> An overridden default method can be accessed by using a method invocation expression (§15.12) that contains the keyword `super` qualified by a superinterface name.

9.4.1.2   *Requirements in Overriding*

The relationship between the return type of an interface method and the return types of any overridden interface methods is specified in §8.4.8.3.

The relationship between the `throws` clause of an interface method and the `throws` clauses of any overridden interface methods is specified in §8.4.8.3.

The relationship between the signature of an interface method and the signatures of any overridden interface methods is specified in §8.4.8.3.

The relationship between the accessibility of an interface method and the accessibility of any overridden interface methods is specified in §8.4.8.3.

It is a compile-time error if a default method is override-equivalent (§8.4.2) with a non-`private` method of the class `Object`, because any class implementing the interface will inherit its own implementation of the method.

> The prohibition against declaring one of the `Object` methods as a default method may be surprising. There are, after all, cases like `java.util.List` in which the behavior of `toString` and `equals` are precisely defined. The motivation becomes clearer, however, when some broader design decisions are understood:
>
> • First, methods inherited from a superclass are allowed to override methods inherited from superinterfaces (§8.4.8.1). So, every implementing class would automatically override an interface's `toString` default. This is longstanding behavior in the Java programming language. It is not something we wish to change with the design of default methods, because that would conflict with the goal of allowing interfaces to unobtrusively evolve, only providing default behavior when a class doesn't already have it through the class hierarchy.
>
> • Second, interfaces do *not* inherit from `Object`, but rather implicitly declare many of the same methods as `Object` (§9.2). So, there is no common ancestor for the `toString` declared in `Object` and the `toString` declared in an interface. At best, if both were candidates for inheritance by a class, they would conflict. Working around this problem would require awkward commingling of the class and interface inheritance trees.
>
> • Third, use cases for declaring `Object` methods in interfaces typically assume a linear interface hierarchy; the feature does not generalize very well to multiple inheritance scenarios.

- Fourth, the `Object` methods are so fundamental that it seems dangerous to allow an arbitrary superinterface to silently add a default method that changes their behavior.

An interface is free, however, to define another method that provides behavior useful for classes that override the `Object` methods. For example, the `java.util.List` interface could declare an `elementString` method that produces the string described by the contract of `toString`; implementors of `toString` in classes could then delegate to this method.

9.4.1.3 *Inheriting Methods with Override-Equivalent Signatures*

It is possible for an interface to inherit several methods with override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2).

If an interface `I` inherits a default method whose signature is override-equivalent with another method inherited by `I`, then a compile-time error occurs. (This is the case whether the other method is `abstract` or `default`.)

Otherwise, all the inherited methods are `abstract`, and the interface is considered to inherit all the methods.

One of the inherited methods must be return-type-substitutable for every other inherited method, or else a compile-time error occurs. (The `throws` clauses do not cause errors in this case.)

There might be several paths by which the same method declaration is inherited from an interface. This fact causes no difficulty and never, of itself, results in a compile-time error.

> Naturally, when two different default methods with matching signatures are inherited by a subinterface, there is a behavioral conflict. We actively detect this conflict and notify the programmer with an error, rather than waiting for the problem to arise when a concrete class is compiled. The error can be avoided by declaring a new method that overrides, and thus prevents the inheritance of, all conflicting methods.

> Similarly, when an `abstract` method and a `default` method with matching signatures are inherited by a subinterface, we produce an error. In this case, it would be possible to give priority to one or the other - perhaps we would assume that the default method provides a reasonable implementation for the `abstract` method. But this is risky, since other than the coincidental name and signature, we have no reason to believe that the default method behaves consistently with the `abstract` method's contract - the default method may not have even existed when the subinterface was originally developed. It is safer in this situation to ask the user to actively assert that the default implementation is appropriate (via an overriding declaration).

> In contrast, the longstanding behavior for inherited concrete methods in classes is that they override `abstract` methods declared in interfaces (see §8.4.8). The same argument about potential contract violation applies here, but in this case there is an inherent imbalance between classes and interfaces. We prefer, in order to preserve the independent nature of

class hierarchies, to minimize class-interface clashes by simply giving priority to concrete methods.

9.4.2   Overloading

If two methods of an interface (whether both declared in the same interface, or both inherited by an interface, or one declared and one inherited) have the same name but different signatures that are not override-equivalent (§8.4.2), then the method name is said to be *overloaded*.

This fact causes no difficulty and never of itself results in a compile-time error. There is no required relationship between the return types or between the `throws` clauses of two methods with the same name but different signatures that are not override-equivalent.

> **Example 9.4.2-1. Overloading an `abstract` Method Declaration**
>
> ```
> interface PointInterface {
>     void move(int dx, int dy);
> }
> interface RealPointInterface extends PointInterface {
>     void move(float dx, float dy);
>     void move(double dx, double dy);
> }
> ```
>
> Here, the method named `move` is overloaded in interface `RealPointInterface` with three different signatures, two of them declared and one inherited. Any non-`abstract` class that implements interface `RealPointInterface` must provide implementations of all three method signatures.

9.4.3   Interface Method Body

A default method has a block body. This block of code provides an implementation of the method in the event that a class implements the interface but does not provide its own implementation of the method.

A `private` or `static` interface method also has a block body, which provides the implementation of the method.

It is a compile-time error if an interface method declaration is `abstract` (explicitly or implicitly) and has a block for its body.

It is a compile-time error if an interface method declaration is `default`, `private`, or `static`, and has a semicolon for its body.

The rules for `return` statements in a method body are specified in §14.17.

If a method is declared to have a return type (§8.4.5), then a compile-time error occurs if the body of the method can complete normally (§14.1).

9.5   Member Class and Interface Declarations

An interface body (§9.1.5) may contain declarations of member classes and member interfaces (§8.5).

Every member class or interface declaration in the body of an interface declaration is implicitly `public` and `static` (§9.1.1.3). It is permitted to redundantly specify either or both of these modifiers.

It is a compile-time error if a member class or interface declaration in an interface has the modifier `protected` or `private`.

The rules for modifiers of a member class declaration in the body of an interface declaration are specified in §8.1.1.

The rules for modifiers of a member interface declaration in the body of an interface declaration are specified in §9.1.1.

If an interface declares a member class or interface with a certain name, then the declaration of the member class or interface is said to *hide* any and all accessible declarations of member classes and interface with the same name in superinterfaces of the interface.

An interface inherits from its direct superinterfaces all the member classes and interfaces of the direct superinterfaces that are not hidden by a declaration in the interface.

It is possible for an interface to inherit more than one member class or interface with the same name. Such a situation does not in itself cause a compile-time error. However, any attempt within the body of the interface to refer to any such member class or interface by its simple name will result in a compile-time error, because the reference is ambiguous.

There might be several paths by which the same member class or interface declaration is inherited from an interface. In such a situation, the member class or interface is considered to be inherited only once, and it may be referred to by its simple name without ambiguity.

9.6   Annotation Interfaces

An *annotation interface declaration* specifies an *annotation interface*, a specialized kind of interface. To distinguish an annotation interface declaration from a normal interface declaration, the keyword `interface` is preceded by an at sign (`@`).

> *AnnotationInterfaceDeclaration:*
>   *{InterfaceModifier}* `@ interface` *TypeIdentifier AnnotationInterfaceBody*

> Note that the at sign (`@`) and the keyword `interface` are distinct tokens. It is possible to separate them with whitespace, but this is discouraged as a matter of style.

Unless explicitly modified in this section and its subsections, all of the rules that apply to normal interface declarations (§9.1) apply to annotation interface declarations.

> For example, annotation interface declarations have the same rules for scope as normal interface declarations.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation interface declaration has the modifier `sealed` or `non-sealed` (§9.1.1.4).

An annotation interface declaration may specify a top level interface or a member interface, but not a local interface (§14.3).

> An annotation interface declaration is not permitted syntactically to appear within a block, by virtue of the *LocalClassOrInterfaceDeclaration* production in §14.3.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation interface declaration appears directly or indirectly in the body of a local class, local interface, or anonymous class declaration (§14.3, §15.9.5).

> This rule, together with the syntactic restriction on annotation interface declarations noted above, ensures that an annotation interface always has a canonical name (§6.7). Having such a name is important because the purpose of an annotation interface is to be used by annotations in other compilation units. Since a local class or interface does not have a canonical name, an annotation interface declared anywhere within its syntactic body (if that were allowed) would not have a canonical name either.

> The following code shows the effect of this rule and the related syntactic restriction:

```
class C {
    @interface A1 {}  /* Legal: an annotation interface can be a
                         member interface */

    void m() {
```

```
            @interface A2 {}  /* Illegal: an annotation interface cannot
                                 be a local interface */

        class D {
            @interface A3 {}  /* Illegal: an annotation interface
                                   cannot be specified anywhere within
                                   the body of local class D */

            class E {
                @interface A4 {}
                  /* Illegal: an annotation interface cannot be
                     specified anywhere within the body of local class
                     D, even as a member of a class E nested in D */
            }
        }
    }
}
```

An annotation interface is never generic (§9.1.2).

> Unlike a normal interface declaration, an annotation interface declaration cannot declare
> any type variables, by virtue of the *AnnotationTypeDeclaration* production.

The direct superinterface type of an annotation interface is always `java.lang.annotation.Annotation` (§9.1.3).

> Unlike a normal interface declaration, an annotation interface declaration cannot
> choose the direct superinterface type via an `extends` clause, by virtue of the
> *AnnotationTypeDeclaration* production.

> A consequence of the fact that an annotation interface declaration does not explicitly
> specify a superinterface type via `extends` is that a subinterface of an annotation interface
> is never itself an annotation interface, since the subinterface's declaration necessarily uses
> an `extends` clause. Similarly, `java.lang.annotation.Annotation` is not itself an
> annotation interface.

An annotation interface inherits several methods from `java.lang.annotation.Annotation`, including the implicitly declared methods corresponding to the instance methods of `Object` (§9.2), yet these methods do not define elements of the annotation interface (§9.6.1).

> Because these methods do not define elements of the annotation interface, it is illegal to
> use them in annotations conforming to the annotation interface (§9.7). Without this rule,
> we could not ensure that elements were of the types representable in annotations, or that
> accessor methods for them would be available.

9.6.1   Annotation Interface Elements

The body of an annotation interface declaration may contain method declarations, each of which defines an *element* of the annotation interface. An annotation interface has no elements other than those defined by the methods declared explicitly in the annotation interface declaration.

> *AnnotationInterfaceBody:*
>   { *{AnnotationInterfaceMemberDeclaration}* }

> *AnnotationInterfaceMemberDeclaration:*
>   *AnnotationInterfaceElementDeclaration*
>   *ConstantDeclaration*
>   *ClassDeclaration*
>   *InterfaceDeclaration*
>   ;

> *AnnotationInterfaceElementDeclaration:*
>   *{AnnotationInterfaceElementModifier} UnannType Identifier* ( ) *[Dims]*
>   *[DefaultValue]* ;

> *AnnotationInterfaceElementModifier:*
>   *(one of)*
>   *Annotation* `public`
>   `abstract`

The following production from §4.3 is shown here for convenience:

> *Dims:*
>   *{Annotation}* [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

By virtue of the grammar above, a method declaration in an annotation interface declaration cannot have formal parameters, type parameters, or a `throws` clause; and cannot be `private`, `default`, or `static`. Thus, an annotation interface cannot have the same variety of methods as a normal interface. Note that it is still possible for an annotation interface to inherit a default method from its implicit superinterface, `java.lang.annotation.Annotation`, though no such default method exists as of Java SE 20.

By convention, the only modifiers that should be present on the declaration of an annotation interface element are annotations.

The return type of a method declared in the body of annotation interface must be one of the following, or a compile-time error occurs:

- A primitive type

- `String`

- `Class` or an invocation of `Class` (§4.5)

- An enum class type

- An annotation interface type

- An array type whose component type is one of the preceding types (§10.1).

  This rule precludes elements with nested array types, such as:

  ```
  @interface Verboten {
      String[][] value();
  }
  ```

The declaration of a method that returns an array is allowed to place the bracket pair that denotes the array type after the empty formal parameter list. This syntax is supported for compatibility with early versions of the Java programming language. It is very strongly recommended that this syntax is not used in new code.

It is a compile-time error if any method declared in an annotation interface has a signature that is override-equivalent (§8.4.2) to that of any `public` or `protected` method declared in class `Object` or in interface `java.lang.annotation.Annotation`.

It is a compile-time error if the declaration of an annotation interface `T` contains an element of type `T`, either directly or indirectly.

  For example, this is illegal:

  ```
  @interface SelfRef { SelfRef value(); }
  ```

  and so is this:

  ```
  @interface Ping { Pong value(); }
  @interface Pong { Ping value(); }
  ```

An annotation interface with no elements is called a *marker annotation interface*.

An annotation interface with one element is called a *single-element annotation interface*.

By convention, the name of the sole element in a single-element annotation interface is `value`. Linguistic support for this convention is provided by single-element annotations (§9.7.3).

Example 9.6.1-1. Annotation Interface Declaration

The following annotation interface declaration defines an annotation interface with several elements:

```
/**
 * Describes the "request-for-enhancement" (RFE)
 * that led to the presence of the annotated API element.
 */
@interface RequestForEnhancement {
    int    id();        // Unique ID number associated with RFE
    String synopsis();  // Synopsis of RFE
    String engineer();  // Name of engineer who implemented RFE
    String date();      // Date RFE was implemented
}
```

Example 9.6.1-2. Marker Annotation Interface Declaration

The following annotation interface declaration defines a marker annotation interface:

```
/**
 * An annotation with this type indicates that the
 * specification of the annotated API element is
 * preliminary and subject to change.
 */
@interface Preliminary {}
```

Example 9.6.1-3. Single-Element Annotation Interface Declarations

The convention that a single-element annotation interface defines an element called `value` is illustrated in the following annotation interface declaration:

```
/**
 * Associates a copyright notice with the annotated API element.
 */
@interface Copyright {
    String value();
}
```

The following annotation interface declaration defines a single-element annotation interface whose sole element has an array type:

```
/**
 * Associates a list of endorsers with the annotated class.
 */
@interface Endorsers {
    String[] value();
}
```

The following annotation interface declaration shows a `Class`-typed element whose value is constrained by a bounded wildcard:

```
interface Formatter {}

// Designates a formatter to pretty-print the annotated class
@interface PrettyPrinter {
    Class<? extends Formatter> value();
}
```

The following annotation interface declaration contains an element whose type is an annotation interface type:

```
/**
 * Indicates the author of the annotated program element.
 */
@interface Author {
    Name value();
}
/**
 * A person's name.  This annotation interface is not
 * designed to be used directly to annotate program elements,
 * but to define elements of other annotation interfaces.
 */
@interface Name {
    String first();
    String last();
}
```

The grammar for annotation interface declarations permits other member declarations besides method declarations. For example, one might choose to declare a nested enum class for use by an element of the annotation interface:

```
@interface Quality {
    enum Level { BAD, INDIFFERENT, GOOD }
    Level value();
}
```

9.6.2   Defaults for Annotation Interface Elements

An annotation interface element may have a *default value*, specified by attaching the keyword `default` and a value to the method declaration which defines the element.

DefaultValue:
  `default` *ElementValue*

The following productions from §9.7.1 are shown here for convenience:

ElementValue:
   ConditionalExpression
   ElementValueArrayInitializer
   Annotation

ElementValueArrayInitializer:
   { *[ElementValueList]* [,] }

ElementValueList:
   ElementValue { , *ElementValue*}

Note that an annotation interface element which is specified to have a default value is not a default method (§9.4). The declaration of an annotation interface cannot declare default methods (§9.6.1).

It is a compile-time error if the type of the element is not commensurate (§9.7) with the default value specified.

Default values are not compiled into annotations, but rather applied dynamically at the time annotations are read. Thus, changing a default value affects annotations even in classes that were compiled before the change was made (presuming these annotations lack an explicit value for the defaulted element).

Example 9.6.2-1. Annotation Interface Declaration With Default Values

Here is a refinement of the `RequestForEnhancement` annotation interface from §9.6.1:

```
@interface RequestForEnhancement {
    int    id();        // No default - must be specified in
                        // each annotation
    String synopsis(); // No default - must be specified in
                        // each annotation
    String engineer()  default "[unassigned]";
    String date()      default "[unimplemented]";
}
```

9.6.3   Repeatable Annotation Interfaces

An annotation interface `A` is *repeatable* if its declaration is (meta-)annotated with an `@Repeatable` annotation (§9.6.4.8) whose `value` element indicates a *containing annotation interface of* `A`.

An annotation interface `AC` is a *containing annotation interface of* `A` if all of the following are true:

1. `AC` declares a `value()` method whose return type is `A[]`.

2. Any methods declared by `AC` other than `value()` have a default value.

3. AC is retained for at least as long as A, where retention is expressed explicitly or implicitly with the `@Retention` annotation (§9.6.4.2). Specifically:

    *   If   the   retention   of   AC   is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.SOURCE`, then the retention of A is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.SOURCE`.

    *   If the retention of AC is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS`, then   the   retention   of   A   is   either `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS`   or `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.SOURCE`.

    *   If   the   retention   of   AC   is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME`, then the retention of   A   is   `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.SOURCE`, `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS`,   or `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME`.

4. A is applicable to at least the same kinds of program element as AC (§9.6.4.1). Specifically, if the kinds of program element where A is applicable are denoted by the set m_1, and the kinds of program element where AC is applicable are denoted by the set m_2, then each kind in m_2 must occur in m_1, except that:

    *   If   the   kind   in m_2 is `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE`, then at least one   of   `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE`   or `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE`   or `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_USE` must occur in m_1.

    *   If   the   kind   in m_2 is   `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE`, then   at   least   one   of   `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE`   or `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_USE` must occur in m_1.

    *   If   the   kind   in m_2 is `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_PARAMETER`, then   at   least one   of   `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_PARAMETER`   or `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_USE` must occur in m_1.

        This clause implements the policy that an annotation interface may be *repeatable* on only some of the kinds of program element where it is *applicable*.

5. If the declaration of A has a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Documented`, then the declaration of AC must have a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Documented`.

    Note that it is permissible for AC to be `@Documented` while A is not `@Documented`.

6. If the declaration of `A` has a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Inherited`, then the declaration of `AC` must have a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Inherited`.

> Note that it is permissible for `AC` to be `@Inherited` while `A` is not `@Inherited`.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation interface `A` is (meta-)annotated with an `@Repeatable` annotation whose `value` element indicates a type which is not a containing annotation interface of `A`.

> **Example 9.6.3-1. Ill-formed Containing Annotation Interface**
>
> Consider the following declarations:
>
> ```
> import java.lang.annotation.Repeatable;
>
> @Repeatable(FooContainer.class)
> @interface Foo {}
>
> @interface FooContainer { Object[] value(); }
> ```
>
> Compiling the `Foo` declaration produces a compile-time error because `Foo` uses `@Repeatable` to attempt to specify `FooContainer` as its containing annotation interface, but `FooContainer` is not in fact a containing annotation interface of `Foo`. (The return type of `FooContainer.value()` is not `Foo[]`.)

The `@Repeatable` annotation cannot be repeated, so only one containing annotation interface can be specified by a repeatable annotation interface.

> Allowing more than one containing annotation interface to be specified would cause an undesirable choice at compile time, when multiple annotations of the repeatable annotation interface are logically replaced with a container annotation (§9.7.5).

An annotation interface can be the containing annotation interface of at most one annotation interface.

> This is implied by the requirement that if the declaration of an annotation interface `A` specifies a containing annotation interface of `AC`, then the `value()` method of `AC` has a return type involving `A`, specifically `A[]`.

An annotation interface cannot specify itself as its containing annotation interface.

> This is implied by the requirement on the `value()` method of the containing annotation interface. Specifically, if an annotation interface `A` specified itself (via `@Repeatable`) as its containing annotation interface, then the return type of `A`'s `value()` method would have to be `A[]`; but this would cause a compile-time error since an annotation interface cannot refer to itself in its elements (§9.6.1). More generally, two annotation interfaces

cannot specify each other as their containing annotation interface, because cyclic annotation interface declarations are illegal.

An annotation interface *AC* may be the containing annotation interface of some annotation interface *A* while also having its own containing annotation interface *SC*. That is, a containing annotation interface may itself be a repeatable annotation interface.

Example 9.6.3-2. Restricting Where Annotations May Repeat

An annotation whose interface declaration indicates a target of `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE` can appear in at least as many locations as an annotation whose interface declaration indicates a target of `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE`. For example, given the following declarations of repeatable and containing annotation interfaces:

```
import java.lang.annotation.ElementType;
import java.lang.annotation.Repeatable;
import java.lang.annotation.Target;

@Target(ElementType.TYPE)
@Repeatable(FooContainer.class)
@interface Foo {}

@Target(ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE)
@interface FooContainer {
    Foo[] value();
}
```

`@Foo` can appear on any class or interface declaration while `@FooContainer` can appear on only annotation interface declarations. Therefore, the following annotation interface declaration is legal:

```
@Foo @Foo
@interface Anno {}
```

while the following interface declaration is illegal:

```
@Foo @Foo
interface Intf {}
```

More broadly, if `Foo` is a repeatable annotation interface and `FooContainer` is its containing annotation interface, then:

- If `Foo` has no `@Target` meta-annotation and `FooContainer` has no `@Target` meta-annotation, then `@Foo` may be repeated on any program element which supports annotations.

- If `Foo` has no `@Target` meta-annotation but `FooContainer` has an `@Target` meta-annotation, then `@Foo` may only be repeated on program elements where `@FooContainer` may appear.

- If `Foo` has an `@Target` meta-annotation, then in the judgment of the designers of the Java programming language, `FooContainer` must be declared with knowledge of the `Foo`'s applicability. Specifically, the kinds of program element where `FooContainer` may appear must logically be the same as, or a subset of, `Foo`'s kinds.

  For example, if `Foo` is applicable to field and method declarations, then `FooContainer` may legitimately serve as `Foo`'s containing annotation interface if `FooContainer` is applicable to just field declarations (preventing `@Foo` from being repeated on method declarations). But if `FooContainer` is applicable only to formal parameter declarations, then `FooContainer` was a poor choice of containing annotation interface by `Foo` because `@FooContainer` cannot be implicitly declared on some program elements where `@Foo` is repeated.

  Similarly, if `Foo` is applicable to field and method declarations, then `FooContainer` cannot legitimately serve as `Foo`'s containing annotation interface if `FooContainer` is applicable to field and parameter declarations. While it would be possible to take the intersection of the program elements and make `Foo` repeatable on field declarations only, the presence of additional program elements for `FooContainer` indicates that `FooContainer` was not designed as a containing annotation interface for `Foo`. It would therefore be dangerous for `Foo` to rely on it.

Example 9.6.3-3. A Repeatable Containing Annotation Interface

The following declarations are legal:

```
import java.lang.annotation.Repeatable;

// Foo: Repeatable annotation interface
@Repeatable(FooContainer.class)
@interface Foo { int value(); }

// FooContainer: Containing annotation interface of Foo
// Also a repeatable annotation interface itself
@Repeatable(FooContainerContainer.class)
@interface FooContainer { Foo[] value(); }

// FooContainerContainer: Containing annotation interface
// of FooContainer
@interface FooContainerContainer { FooContainer[] value(); }
```

Thus, an annotation whose interface is a containing annotation interface may itself be repeated:

```
@FooContainer({@Foo(1)}) @FooContainer({@Foo(2)})
class Test {}
```

An annotation interface which is both repeatable and containing is subject to the rules on mixing annotations of repeatable annotation interface with annotations of containing annotation interface (§9.7.5). For example, it is not possible to write multiple `@Foo` annotations alongside multiple `@FooContainer` annotations, nor is it possible to write multiple `@FooContainer` annotations alongside multiple `@FooContainerContainer` annotations. However, if the annotation interface `FooContainerContainer` was itself repeatable, then it would be possible to write multiple `@Foo` annotations alongside multiple `@FooContainerContainer` annotations.

9.6.4   Predefined Annotation Interfaces

Several annotation interfaces are predefined in the Java SE Platform API. Some of the predefined annotation interfaces have special semantics in the Java programming language and require special behavior on the part of a Java compiler, as specified in this section. This section does not provide a complete specification for the predefined annotation interfaces, for which the reader is referred to the Java SE Platform API documentation (§1.4).

9.6.4.1   `@Target`

An annotation of type `java.lang.annotation.Target` is used on the declaration of an annotation interface `A` to specify the contexts in which `A` is *applicable*. `java.lang.annotation.Target` has a single element, `value`, of type `java.lang.annotation.ElementType[]`, to specify contexts.

Annotation interfaces may be applicable in *declaration contexts*, where annotations apply to declarations, or in *type contexts*, where annotations apply to types used in declarations and expressions.

There are ten declaration contexts, each corresponding to an enum constant of `java.lang.annotation.ElementType`:

1. Module declarations (§7.7)

    Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.MODULE`

2. Package declarations (§7.4.1)

    Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.PACKAGE`

3. Class declarations (including enum declarations and record declarations) and interface declarations (including annotation interface declarations) (§8.1.1, §8.5, §8.9, §8.10, §9.1.1, §9.5, §9.6)

    Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE`

    Additionally, annotation interface declarations correspond to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE`

373

4. Method declarations (including elements of annotation interfaces) (§8.4.3, §9.4, §9.6.1)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.METHOD`

5. Constructor declarations (§8.8.3)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.CONSTRUCTOR`

6. Type parameter declarations of generic classes, interfaces, methods, and constructors (§8.1.2, §9.1.2, §8.4.4, §8.8.4)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.TYPE_PARAMETER`

7. Field declarations (including enum constants) (§8.3.1, §9.3, §8.9.1)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.FIELD`

8. Formal and exception parameter declarations (§8.4.1, §9.4, §14.20)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.PARAMETER`

9. Local variable declarations in statements (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) and in patterns (§14.30.1)

   Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.LOCAL_VARIABLE`

10. Record component declarations (§8.10.1)

    Corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.ElementType.RECORD_COMPONENT`

There are 17 type contexts (§4.11), all represented by the enum constant `TYPE_USE` of `java.lang.annotation.ElementType`.

It is a compile-time error if the same enum constant appears more than once in the `value` element of an annotation of type `java.lang.annotation.Target`.

If an annotation of type `java.lang.annotation.Target` is not present on the declaration of an annotation interface `A`, then `A` is applicable in all declaration contexts and in no type contexts.

9.6.4.2 `@Retention`

Annotations may be present only in source code, or they may be present in the binary form of a class or interface. An annotation that is present in the binary form may or may not be available at run time via the reflection libraries of the Java SE Platform. The annotation interface `java.lang.annotation.Retention` is used to choose among these possibilities.

If an annotation `a` corresponds to an annotation interface `A`, and `A` has a (meta-)annotation `m` that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Retention`, then:

- If `m` has an element whose value is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.SOURCE`, then a Java compiler must ensure that `a` is not present in the binary representation of the class or interface in which `a` appears.

- If `m` has an element whose value is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS` or `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME`, then a Java compiler must ensure that `a` is represented in the binary representation of the class or interface in which `a` appears, unless `a` annotates a local variable declaration or `a` annotates a formal parameter declaration of a lambda expression.

  An annotation on the declaration of a local variable, or on the declaration of a formal parameter of a lambda expression, is never retained in the binary representation. In contrast, an annotation on the type of a local variable, or on the type of a formal parameter of a lambda expression, is retained in the binary representation if the annotation interface specifies a suitable retention policy.

  > Note that it is not illegal for an annotation interface to be meta-annotated with `@Target(java.lang.annotation.ElementType.LOCAL_VARIABLE)` *and* `@Retention(java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS)` or `@Retention(java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME)`.

  If `m` has an element whose value is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME`, the reflection libraries of the Java SE Platform must make `a` available at run time.

If `A` does not have a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Retention`, then a Java compiler must treat `A` as if it has a (meta-)annotation that corresponds to `java.lang.annotation.Retention` with an element whose value is `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy.CLASS`.

9.6.4.3 `@Inherited`

The annotation interface `java.lang.annotation.Inherited` is used to indicate that annotations on a class `C` corresponding to a given annotation interface are inherited by subclasses of `C`.

9.6.4.4   `@Override`

Programmers occasionally overload a method declaration when they mean to override it, leading to subtle problems. The annotation interface `Override` supports early detection of such problems.

> The classic example concerns the `equals` method. Programmers write the following in class `Foo`:
>
> ```
> public boolean equals(Foo that) { ... }
> ```
>
> when they mean to write:
>
> ```
> public boolean equals(Object that) { ... }
> ```
>
> This is perfectly legal, but class `Foo` inherits the `equals` implementation from `Object`, which can cause some subtle bugs.

If a method declaration in class or interface Q is annotated with `@Override`, then one of the following three conditions must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- the method overrides from Q a method declared in a supertype of Q (§8.4.8.1, §9.4.1.1)

- the method is override-equivalent to a `public` method of `Object` (§4.3.2, §8.4.2)

- Q is a record class (§8.10), and the method is an accessor method for a record component of Q (§8.10.3)

> This behavior differs from Java SE 5.0, where `@Override` only caused a compile-time error if applied to a method that implemented a method from a superinterface that was not also present in a superclass.
>
> The clause about overriding a `public` method of `Object` is motivated by use of `@Override` in an interface. Consider the following declarations:
>
> ```
> class Foo     { @Override public int hashCode() {..} }
> interface Bar { @Override int hashCode(); }
> ```
>
> The use of `@Override` in the class declaration is legal by the first clause, because `Foo.hashCode` overrides from `Foo` the method `Object.hashCode`.
>
> For the interface declaration, consider that an interface has `public abstract` members which correspond to the `public` members of `Object` (§9.2). If an interface chooses to declare them explicitly (that is, to declare members that are override-equivalent to `public` methods of `Object`), then the interface is deemed to override them, and use of `@Override` is allowed.

However, consider an interface that attempts to use @Override on a clone method:
(finalize could also be used in this example)

```
interface Quux { @Override Object clone(); }
```

Because Object.clone is not public, there is no member called clone implicitly declared in Quux. Therefore, the explicit declaration of clone in Quux is not deemed to "implement" any other method, and it is erroneous to use @Override. (The fact that Quux.clone is public is not relevant.)

In contrast, a class declaration that declares clone is simply overriding Object.clone, so is able to use @Override:

```
class Beep { @Override protected Object clone() {..} }
```

The clause about a record class is due to the special meaning of @Override in a record declaration. Namely, it can be used to specify that a method declaration is an accessor method for a record component. Consider the following record declaration:

```
record Roo(int x) {
    @Override
    public int x() {
        return Math.abs(x);
    }
}
```

The use of @Override on the accessor method int x() ensures that if the record component x is modified or removed, then the corresponding accessor method must be modified or removed too.

9.6.4.5 @SuppressWarnings

Java compilers are increasingly capable of issuing helpful "lint-like" warnings. To encourage the use of such warnings, there should be some way to disable a warning in a part of the program when the programmer knows that the warning is inappropriate.

The annotation interface SuppressWarnings supports programmer control over warnings otherwise issued by a Java compiler. It defines a single element that is an array of String.

If a declaration is annotated with @SuppressWarnings(value = $\{S_1,\ ...,\ S_k\}$), then a Java compiler must suppress (that is, not report) any warning specified by one of $S_1 ... S_k$ if that warning would have been generated as a result of the annotated declaration or any of its parts.

The Java programming language defines four kinds of warnings that can be specified by @SuppressWarnings:

- Unchecked warnings (§4.8, §5.1.6, §5.1.9, §8.4.1, §8.4.8.3, §15.12.4.2, §15.13.2, §15.27.3) are specified by the string "`unchecked`".

- Deprecation warnings (§9.6.4.6) are specified by the string "`deprecation`".

- Removal warnings (§9.6.4.6) are specified by the string "`removal`".

- Preview warnings (§1.5) are specified by the string "`preview`".

Any other string specifies a non-standard warning. A Java compiler must ignore any such string that it does not recognize.

> Compiler vendors are encouraged to document the strings they support for `@SuppressWarnings`, and to cooperate to ensure that the same strings are recognized across multiple compilers.

9.6.4.6   `@Deprecated`

Programmers are sometimes discouraged from using certain program elements (modules, classes, interfaces, fields, methods, and constructors) because they are considered dangerous or because a better alternative exists. The annotation interface `Deprecated` allows a compiler to warn about uses of these program elements.

A *deprecated* program element is a module, class, interface, field, method, or constructor whose declaration is annotated with `@Deprecated`. The manner in which a program element is deprecated depends on the value of the `forRemoval` element of the annotation:

- If `forRemoval=false` (the default), then the program element is *ordinarily deprecated*.

  An ordinarily deprecated program element is not intended to be removed in a future release, but programmers should nevertheless migrate away from using it.

- If `forRemoval=true`, then the program element is *terminally deprecated*.

  A terminally deprecated program element is intended to be removed in a future release. Programmers should stop using it or risk source and binary incompatibilities (§13.2) when upgrading to a newer release.

A Java compiler must produce a *deprecation warning* when an ordinarily deprecated program element is used (overridden, invoked, or referenced by name) in the declaration of a program element (whether explicitly or implicitly declared), unless:

- The use is within a declaration that is itself deprecated, either ordinarily or terminally; or

- The use is within a declaration that is annotated to suppress deprecation warnings (§9.6.4.5); or

- The declaration where the use appears and the declaration of the ordinarily deprecated program element are both within the same outermost class; or

- The use is within an `import` declaration that imports the ordinarily deprecated class, interface, or member; or

- The use is within an `exports` or `opens` directive (§7.7.2).

A Java compiler must produce a *removal warning* when a terminally deprecated program element is used (overridden, invoked, or referenced by name) in the declaration of a program element (whether explicitly or implicitly declared), unless:

- The use is within a declaration that is annotated to suppress removal warnings (§9.6.4.5); or

- The declaration where the use appears and the declaration of the terminally deprecated program element are both within the same outermost class; or

- The use is within an `import` declaration that imports the terminally deprecated class, interface, or member; or

- The use is within an `exports` or `opens` directive.

> Terminal deprecation is sufficiently urgent that the use of a terminally deprecated element will cause a removal warning *even if the using element is itself deprecated*, since there is no guarantee that both elements will be removed at the same time. To dismiss the warning but continue using the element, the programmer must manually acknowledge the risk via an `@SuppressWarnings` annotation.

No deprecation warning or removal warning is produced when:

- a local variable or formal parameter is used (referenced by name), even if the declaration of the local variable or formal parameter is annotated with `@Deprecated`.

- the name of a package is used (referenced by a qualified type name, or an `import` declaration, or an `exports` or `opens` directive), even if the declaration of the package is annotated with `@Deprecated`.

- the name of a module is used by a qualified `exports` or `opens` directive, even if the declaration of the friend module is annotated with `@Deprecated`.

> A module declaration that exports or opens a package is usually controlled by the same programmer or team that controls the package's declaration. As such, there is little benefit in warning that the package declaration is annotated with `@Deprecated` when the package is

exported or opened by the module declaration. In contrast, a module declaration that exports or opens a package *to a friend module* is usually not controlled by the same programmer or team that controls the friend module. Simply exporting or opening the package does not make the module declaration rely on the friend module, so there is little value in warning if the friend module is deprecated; the programmer of the module declaration would almost always wish to suppress such a warning.

The only implicit declaration that can cause a deprecation warning or removal warning is a container annotation (§9.7.5). Namely, if `T` is a repeatable annotation interface and `TC` is its containing annotation interface, and `TC` is deprecated, then repeating the `@T` annotation will cause a warning. The warning is due to the implicit `@TC` container annotation. It is strongly discouraged to deprecate a containing annotation interface without deprecating the corresponding repeatable annotation interface.

9.6.4.7   `@SafeVarargs`

A variable arity parameter with a non-reifiable element type (§4.7) can cause heap pollution (§4.12.2) and give rise to compile-time unchecked warnings (§5.1.9). However, such warnings are uninformative if the body of the variable arity method is well-behaved with respect to the variable arity parameter.

The annotation interface `SafeVarargs`, when used to annotate a method or constructor declaration, makes a programmer assertion that prevents a Java compiler from reporting unchecked warnings for the declaration or invocation of a variable arity method or constructor where the compiler would otherwise do so due to the variable arity parameter having a non-reifiable element type.

The annotation `@SafeVarargs` has non-local effects because it suppresses unchecked warnings at method invocation expressions, in addition to an unchecked warning pertaining to the declaration of the variable arity method itself (§8.4.1). In contrast, the annotation `@SuppressWarnings("unchecked")` has local effects because it only suppresses unchecked warnings pertaining to the declaration of a method.

The canonical target for `@SafeVarargs` is a method like `java.util.Collections.addAll`, whose declaration starts with:

```
public static <T> boolean
  addAll(Collection<? super T> c, T... elements)
```

The variable arity parameter has declared type `T[]`, which is non-reifiable. However, the method fundamentally just reads from the input array and adds the elements to a collection, both of which are safe operations with respect to the array. Therefore, any compile-time unchecked warnings at method invocation expressions for `java.util.Collections.addAll` are arguably spurious and uninformative. Applying `@SafeVarargs` to the method declaration prevents generation of these unchecked warnings at the method invocation expressions.

It is a compile-time error if a fixed arity method or constructor declaration is annotated with the annotation `@SafeVarargs`.

It is a compile-time error if a variable arity method declaration that is neither `static` nor `final` nor `private` is annotated with the annotation `@SafeVarargs`.

> Since `@SafeVarargs` is only applicable to `static` methods, `final` and/or `private` instance methods, and constructors, the annotation is not usable where method overriding occurs. Annotation inheritance only works for annotations on classes (not on methods, interfaces, or constructors), so an `@SafeVarargs`-style annotation cannot be passed through instance methods in classes or through interfaces.

9.6.4.8 `@Repeatable`

The annotation interface `java.lang.annotation.Repeatable` is used on the declaration of a *repeatable annotation interface* to indicate its containing annotation interface (§9.6.3).

> Note that an `@Repeatable` meta-annotation on the declaration of `A`, indicating `AC`, is *not* sufficient to make `AC` the containing annotation interface of `A`. There are numerous well-formedness rules for `AC` to be considered the containing annotation interface of `A`.

9.6.4.9 `@FunctionalInterface`

The annotation interface `FunctionalInterface` is used to indicate that an interface is meant to be a functional interface (§9.8). It facilitates early detection of inappropriate method declarations appearing in or inherited by an interface that is meant to be functional.

It is a compile-time error if an interface declaration is annotated with `@FunctionalInterface` but is not, in fact, a functional interface.

Because some interfaces are functional incidentally, it is not necessary or desirable that all declarations of functional interfaces be annotated with `@FunctionalInterface`.

9.7 Annotations

An *annotation* is a marker which associates information with a program element, but has no effect at run time. An annotation denotes a specific instance of an annotation interface (§9.6) and usually provides values for the elements of that interface.

There are three kinds of annotations. The first kind is the most general, while the other kinds are merely shorthands for the first kind.

Annotation:
   NormalAnnotation
   MarkerAnnotation
   SingleElementAnnotation

Normal annotations are described in §9.7.1, marker annotations in §9.7.2, and single element annotations in §9.7.3. Annotations may appear at various syntactic locations in a program, as described in §9.7.4. The number of annotations of the same interface that may appear at a location is determined by the interface declaration, as described in §9.7.5.

9.7.1   Normal Annotations

A *normal annotation* specifies the name of an annotation interface and optionally a list of comma-separated *element-value pairs*. Each pair contains an *element value* that is associated with an element of the annotation interface (§9.6.1).

NormalAnnotation:
   @ *TypeName* ⟨ *[ElementValuePairList]* ⟩

ElementValuePairList:
   ElementValuePair { , ElementValuePair}

ElementValuePair:
   Identifier = ElementValue

ElementValue:
   ConditionalExpression
   ElementValueArrayInitializer
   Annotation

ElementValueArrayInitializer:
   { *[ElementValueList] [,]* }

ElementValueList:
   ElementValue { , ElementValue}

Note that the at sign (@) is a token unto itself (§3.11). It is possible to put whitespace between it and the *TypeName*, but this is discouraged as a matter of style.

382

The *TypeName* specifies the annotation interface corresponding to the annotation. The annotation is said to be "of" that interface.

The *TypeName* must name an accessible annotation interface (§6.6), or a compile-time error occurs.

The *Identifier* in an element-value pair must be the simple name of one of the elements (that is, methods) of the annotation interface, or a compile-time error occurs.

The return type of this method defines the *element type* of the element-value pair.

If the element type is an array type, then it is not required to use curly braces to specify the element value of the element-value pair. If the element value is not an *ElementValueArrayInitializer*, then an array value whose sole element is the element value is associated with the element. If the element value is an *ElementValueArrayInitializer*, then the array value represented by the *ElementValueArrayInitializer* is associated with the element.

It is a compile-time error if the element type is not *commensurate* with the element value. An element type `T` is commensurate with an element value `v` if and only if one of the following is true:

- `T` is an array type `E[]`, and either:

  - If `v` is a *ConditionalExpression* or an *Annotation*, then `v` is commensurate with `E`; or

  - If `v` is an *ElementValueArrayInitializer*, then each element value that `v` contains is commensurate with `E`.

    > An *ElementValueArrayInitializer* is similar to a normal array initializer (§10.6), except that an *ElementValueArrayInitializer* may syntactically contain annotations as well as expressions and nested initializers. However, nested initializers are not semantically legal in an *ElementValueArrayInitializer* because they are never commensurate with array-typed elements in annotation interface declarations (nested array types not permitted).

- `T` is not an array type, and the type of `v` is assignment compatible (§5.2) with `T`, and:

  - If `T` is a primitive type or `String`, then `v` is a constant expression (§15.29).

  - If `T` is `Class` or an invocation of `Class` (§4.5), then `v` is a class literal (§15.8.2).

  - If `T` is an enum class type (§8.9), then `v` is an enum constant (§8.9.1).

  - `v` is not `null`.

> Note that if `T` is not an array type or an annotation interface, the element value must be a *ConditionalExpression* (§15.25). The use of *ConditionalExpression* rather than a more general production like *Expression* is a syntactic trick to prevent assignment expressions as element values. Since an assignment expression is not a constant expression, it cannot be a commensurate element value for a primitive or `String`-typed element.

A normal annotation must contain an element-value pair for every element of the corresponding annotation interface, except for those elements with default values, or a compile-time error occurs.

A normal annotation may, but is not required to, contain element-value pairs for elements with default values.

> It is customary, though not required, that element-value pairs in an annotation are presented in the same order as the corresponding elements in the annotation interface declaration.

An annotation on an annotation interface declaration is known as a *meta-annotation*.

An annotation of interface `A` may appear as a meta-annotation on the declaration of the interface `A` itself. More generally, circularities in the transitive closure of the "annotates" relation are permitted.

> For example, it is legal to annotate the declaration of an annotation interface `S` with a meta-annotation of interface `T`, and to annotate `T`'s own declaration with a meta-annotation of interface `S`. The predefined annotation interfaces (§9.6.4) contain several such circularities.

Example 9.7.1-1. Normal Annotations

Here is an example of a normal annotation using the annotation interface from §9.6.1:

```
@RequestForEnhancement(
    id       = 2868724,
    synopsis = "Provide time-travel functionality",
    engineer = "Mr. Peabody",
    date     = "4/1/2004"
)
public static void travelThroughTime(Date destination) { ... }
```

Here is an example of a normal annotation that takes advantage of default values, using the annotation interface from §9.6.2:

```
@RequestForEnhancement(
    id       = 4561414,
    synopsis = "Balance the federal budget"
)
public static void balanceFederalBudget() {
    throw new UnsupportedOperationException("Not implemented");
}
```

9.7.2   Marker Annotations

A *marker annotation* is a shorthand designed for use with marker annotation interfaces (§9.6.1).

> *MarkerAnnotation:*
>   @ *TypeName*

It is shorthand for the normal annotation:

```
@TypeName()
```

It is legal to use marker annotations for annotation interfaces with elements, so long as all the elements have default values (§9.6.2).

Example 9.7.2-1. Marker Annotations

Here is an example using the `Preliminary` marker annotation interface from §9.6.1:

```
@Preliminary public class TimeTravel { ... }
```

9.7.3   Single-Element Annotations

A *single-element annotation* is a shorthand designed for use with single-element annotation interfaces (§9.6.1).

> *SingleElementAnnotation:*
>   @ *TypeName* ⟨ *ElementValue* ⟩

It is shorthand for the normal annotation:

```
@TypeName(value = ElementValue)
```

It is legal to use single-element annotations for annotation interfaces with multiple elements, so long as one element is named `value` and all other elements have default values (§9.6.2).

Example 9.7.3-1. Single-Element Annotations

The following annotations all use the single-element annotation interfaces from §9.6.1.

Here is an example of a single-element annotation:

```
@Copyright("2002 Yoyodyne Propulsion Systems, Inc.")
public class OscillationOverthruster { ... }
```

385

Here is an example of an array-valued single-element annotation:

```
@Endorsers({"Children", "Unscrupulous dentists"})
public class Lollipop { ... }
```

Here is an example of a single-element array-valued single-element annotation: (note that the curly braces are omitted)

```
@Endorsers("Epicurus")
public class Pleasure { ... }
```

Here is an example of a single-element annotation with a `Class`-typed element whose value is constrained by a bounded wildcard.

```
class GorgeousFormatter implements Formatter { ... }

@PrettyPrinter(GorgeousFormatter.class)
public class Petunia { ... }

// Illegal; String is not a subtype of Formatter
@PrettyPrinter(String.class)
public class Begonia { ... }
```

Here is an example of a single-element annotation that contains a normal annotation:

```
@Author(@Name(first = "Joe", last = "Hacker"))
public class BitTwiddle { ... }
```

Here is an example of a single-element annotation that uses an enum class defined inside the annotation interface declaration:

```
@Quality(Quality.Level.GOOD)
public class Karma { ... }
```

9.7.4   Where Annotations May Appear

A *declaration annotation* is an annotation that applies to a declaration, and whose annotation interface is applicable in the declaration context (§9.6.4.1) represented by that declaration; or an annotation that applies to a class, interface, or type parameter declaration, and whose annotation interface is applicable in type contexts (§4.11).

A *type annotation* is an annotation that applies to a type (or any part of a type), and whose annotation interface is applicable in type contexts.

For example, given the field declaration:

```
@Foo int f;
```

@Foo is a declaration annotation on `f` if `Foo` is meta-annotated by `@Target(ElementType.FIELD)`, and a type annotation on `int` if `Foo` is meta-annotated by `@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)`. It is possible for `@Foo` to be both a declaration annotation and a type annotation simultaneously.

Type annotations can apply to an array type or any component type thereof (§10.1). For example, assuming that `A`, `B`, and `C` are annotation interfaces meta-annotated with `@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)`, then given the field declaration:

```
@C int @A [] @B [] f;
```

@A applies to the array type `int[][]`, `@B` applies to its component type `int[]`, and `@C` applies to the element type `int`. For more examples, see §10.2.

An important property of this syntax is that, in two declarations that differ only in the number of array levels, the annotations to the left of the type refer to the same type. For example, `@C` applies to the type `int` in all of the following declarations:

```
@C int f;
@C int[] f;
@C int[][] f;
```

It is customary, though not required, to write declaration annotations before all other modifiers, and type annotations immediately before the type to which they apply.

It is possible for an annotation to appear at a syntactic location in a program where it could plausibly apply to a declaration, or a type, or both. This can happen in any of the six declaration contexts where modifiers immediately precede the type of the declared entity:

- Method declarations (including elements of annotation interfaces)

- Constructor declarations

- Field declarations (including enum constants)

- Formal and exception parameter declarations

- Local variable declarations

- Record component declarations

The grammar of the Java programming language unambiguously treats annotations at these locations as modifiers for a declaration (§8.3), but that is purely a syntactic matter. Whether an annotation applies to the declaration or to the type of the declared entity - and thus, whether the annotation is a *declaration annotation* or a *type annotation* - depends on the applicability of the annotation's interface:

- If the annotation's interface is applicable in the declaration context corresponding to the declaration, and not in type contexts, then the annotation is deemed to apply only to the declaration.

- If the annotation's interface is applicable in type contexts, and not in the declaration context corresponding to the declaration, then the annotation is deemed to apply only to the type which is closest to the annotation.

- If the annotation's interface is applicable in the declaration context corresponding to the declaration *and* in type contexts, then the annotation is deemed to apply to both the declaration *and* the type which is closest to the annotation.

In the second and third cases above, the type which is *closest* to the annotation is determined as follows:

- If the annotation appears before a `void` method declaration or a variable declaration that uses `var` (§14.4, §15.27.1), then there is no closest type. If the annotation's interface is deemed to apply only to the type which is closest to the annotation, a compile-time error occurs.

- If the annotation appears before a constructor declaration, then the closest type is the type of the newly constructed object. The type of the newly constructed object is the fully qualified name of the type immediately enclosing the constructor declaration. Within that fully qualified name, the annotation applies to the simple type name indicated by the constructor declaration.

- In all other cases, the closest type is the type written in source code for the declared entity; if that type is an array type, then the element type is deemed to be closest to the annotation.

  > For example, in the field declaration `@Foo public static String f;`, the type which is closest to `@Foo` is `String`. (If the type of the field declaration had been written as `java.lang.String`, then `java.lang.String` would be the type closest to `@Foo`, and later rules would prohibit a type annotation from applying to the package name `java`.) In the generic method declaration `@Foo <T> int[] m() {...}`, the type written for the declared entity is `int[]`, so `@Foo` applies to the element type `int`.

  > Local variable declarations which do not use `var` are similar to formal parameter declarations of lambda expressions, in that both allow declaration annotations and type annotations in source code, but only the type annotations can be stored in the `class` file.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation of interface `A` is syntactically a modifier for:

- a module declaration, but `A` is not applicable to module declarations.

- a package declaration, but `A` is not applicable to package declarations.

- a class or interface declaration, but `A` is not applicable to type declarations or in type contexts; or

  an annotation interface declaration, but `A` is not applicable to annotation interface declarations or type declarations or in type contexts.

- a method declaration (including an element of an annotation interface), but `A` is not applicable to method declarations or in type contexts.

- a constructor declaration, but `A` is not applicable to constructor declarations or in type contexts.

- a type parameter declaration of a generic class, interface, method, or constructor, but `A` is not applicable to type parameter declarations or in type contexts.

- a field declaration (or an enum constant), but `A` is not applicable to field declarations or in type contexts.

- a formal or exception parameter declaration, but `A` is not applicable to formal and exception parameter declarations or in type contexts.

- a receiver parameter, but `A` is not applicable in type contexts.

- a local variable declaration in either a statement or a pattern, but `A` is not applicable to local variable declarations or in type contexts.

- a record component, but `A` is not applicable to record component declarations, field declarations, method declarations, or formal and exception parameter declarations, or in type contexts.

  > Six of these eleven clauses mention "... or in type contexts" because they characterize the six syntactic locations, mentioned earlier in this section, where an annotation could plausibly apply to a declaration or a type. Furthermore, two of the eleven clauses - for class and interface declarations, and for type parameter declarations - mention "... or in type contexts" because it is sometimes convenient to be able to apply an annotation whose interface is meta-annotated with `@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)` (thus, applicable in type contexts) to the declaration of a class, interface, or type parameter.

A type annotation is *admissible* if both of the following are true:

- The simple name to which the annotation is closest is classified as a *TypeName*, not a *PackageName*.

- If the simple name to which the annotation is closest is followed by "`.`" and another *TypeName* - that is, the annotation appears as `@Foo T.U` - then `U` denotes an inner class of `T`.

  > The intuition behind the second clause is that if `Outer.this` is legal in a nested class enclosed by `Outer`, then `Outer` may be annotated because it represents the type of some

object at run time. On the other hand, if `Outer.this` is not legal - because the class where it appears has no enclosing instance of `Outer` at run time - then `Outer` may not be annotated because it is logically just a name, akin to components of a package name in a fully qualified type name.

For example, in the following program, it is not possible to write `A.this` in the body of `B`, as `B` has no lexically enclosing instances. Therefore, it is not possible to apply `@Foo` to `A` in the type `A.B`, because `A` is logically just a name, not a type.

```
@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)
@interface Foo {}

class A {
    static class B {}
}

@Foo A.B x;  // Illegal
```

On the other hand, in the following program, it is possible to write `C.this` in the body of `D`. Therefore, it is possible to apply `@Foo` to `C` in the type `C.D`, because `C` represents the type of some object at run time.

```
@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)
@interface Foo {}

class Test {
    static class C {
        class D {}
    }

    @Foo C.D x;  // Legal
}
```

It is a compile-time error if an annotation of interface *A* applies to the outermost level of a type in a type context, and *A* is not applicable in type contexts or the declaration context (if any) which occupies the same syntactic location.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation of interface *A* applies to a part of a type (that is, not the outermost level) in a type context, and *A* is not applicable in type contexts.

It is a compile-time error if an annotation of interface *A* applies to a type (or any part of a type) in a type context, and *A* is applicable in type contexts, but the annotation is not admissible.

For example, assume an annotation interface `TA` which is meta-annotated with just `@Target(ElementType.TYPE_USE)`. The terms `@TA java.lang.Object` and `java.@TA lang.Object` are illegal because the simple name to which `@TA` is closest is classified as a package name. On the other hand, `java.lang.@TA Object` is legal.

Note that the illegal terms are illegal "everywhere". The ban on annotating package names applies broadly: to locations which are solely type contexts, such as `class ... extends @TA java.lang.Object {...}`, and to locations which are both declaration and type contexts, such as `@TA java.lang.Object f;`. (There are no locations which are solely declaration contexts where a package name could be annotated, as package, class, interface, and type parameter declarations introduce only simple names.)

If `TA` is additionally meta-annotated with `@Target(ElementType.FIELD)`, then the term `@TA java.lang.Object` is legal in locations which are both declaration and type contexts, such as a field declaration `@TA java.lang.Object f;`. Here, `@TA` is deemed to apply to the declaration of `f` (and not to the type `java.lang.Object`) because `TA` is applicable in the field declaration context.

9.7.5 Multiple Annotations of the Same Interface

It is a compile-time error if multiple annotations of the same interface `A` appear in a declaration context or type context, unless `A` is repeatable (§9.6.3) and both `A` and the containing annotation interface of `A` are applicable in the declaration context or type context (§9.6.4.1).

> It is customary, though not required, for multiple annotations of the same interface to appear consecutively.

If a declaration context or type context has multiple annotations of a repeatable annotation interface `A`, then it is as if the context has no explicitly declared annotations of interface `A` and one implicitly declared annotation of the containing annotation interface of `A`.

The implicitly declared annotation is called the *container annotation*, and the multiple annotations of interface `A` which appeared in the context are called the *base annotations*. The elements of the (array-typed) `value` element of the container annotation are all the base annotations in the left-to-right order in which they appeared in the context.

It is a compile-time error if, in a declaration context or type context, there are multiple annotations of a repeatable annotation interface `A` and any annotations of the containing annotation interface of `A`.

> In other words, it is not possible to repeat annotations where an annotation of the same interface as their container also appears. This prohibits obtuse code like:
>
> ```
> @Foo(0) @Foo(1) @FooContainer({@Foo(2)})
> class A {}
> ```
>
> If this code was legal, then multiple levels of containment would be needed: first the base annotations of interface `Foo` would be contained by an implicitly declared container annotation of interface `FooContainer`, then that annotation and the explicitly declared

annotation of interface `FooContainer` would be contained in yet another implicitly declared annotation. This complexity is undesirable in the judgment of the designers of the Java programming language. Another approach, treating the base annotations of interface `Foo` as if they had occurred alongside `@Foo(2)` in the explicit `@FooContainer` annotation, is undesirable because it could change how reflective programs interpret the `@FooContainer` annotation.

It is a compile-time error if, in a declaration context or type context, there is one annotation of a repeatable annotation interface `A` and multiple annotations of the containing annotation interface of `A`.

This rule is designed to allow the following code:

```
@Foo(1) @FooContainer({@Foo(2)})
class A {}
```

With only one base annotation of the repeatable annotation interface `Foo`, no container annotation is implicitly declared, even if `FooContainer` is the containing annotation interface of `Foo`. However, repeating the annotation of interface `FooContainer`, as in:

```
@Foo(1) @FooContainer({@Foo(2)}) @FooContainer({@Foo(3)})
class A {}
```

is prohibited, even if `FooContainer` is repeatable with a containing annotation interface of its own. It is obtuse to repeat annotations which are themselves containers when an annotation of the underlying repeatable interface is present.

9.8   Functional Interfaces

A *functional interface* is an interface that is not declared `sealed` and has just one `abstract` method (aside from the methods of `Object`), and thus represents a single function contract. This "single" method may take the form of multiple `abstract` methods with override-equivalent signatures inherited from superinterfaces; in this case, the inherited methods logically represent a single method.

For an interface `I` that is not declared `sealed`, let `M` be the set of `abstract` methods that are members of `I` that do not have the same signature as any `public` instance method of the class `Object` (§4.3.2). Then, `I` is a *functional interface* if there exists a method `m` in `M` for which both of the following are true:

- The signature of `m` is a subsignature (§8.4.2) of every method's signature in `M`.

- `m` is return-type-substitutable (§8.4.5) for every method in `M`.

In addition to the usual process of creating an interface instance by declaring and instantiating a class (§15.9), instances of functional interfaces can be created with method reference expressions and lambda expressions (§15.13, §15.27).

The definition of *functional interface* excludes methods in an interface that are also `public` methods in `Object`. This is to allow functional treatment of an interface like `java.util.Comparator<T>` that declares multiple `abstract` methods of which only one is really "new" - `int compare(T,T)`. The other - `boolean equals(Object)` - is an explicit declaration of an `abstract` method that would otherwise be implicitly declared in the interface (§9.2) and automatically implemented by every class that `implements` the interface.

Note that if non-`public` methods of `Object`, such as `clone()`, are explicitly declared in an interface as `public`, they are *not* automatically implemented by every class that `implements` the interface. The implementation inherited from `Object` is `protected` while the interface method is `public`, so the only way to implement the interface would be for a class to override the non-`public` `Object` method with a `public` method.

Example 9.8-1. Functional Interfaces

A simple example of a functional interface is:

```
interface Runnable {
    void run();
}
```

The following interface is not functional because it declares nothing which is not already a member of `Object`:

```
interface NonFunc {
    boolean equals(Object obj);
}
```

However, its subinterface can be functional by declaring an `abstract` method which is not a member of `Object`:

```
interface Func extends NonFunc {
    int compare(String o1, String o2);
}
```

Similarly, the well known interface `java.util.Comparator<T>` is functional because it has one `abstract` non-`Object` method:

```
interface Comparator<T> {
    boolean equals(Object obj);
    int compare(T o1, T o2);
}
```

393

The following interface is not functional because while it only declares one `abstract` method which is not a member of `Object`, it declares *two* `abstract` methods which are not `public` members of `Object`:

```
interface Foo {
    int m();
    Object clone();
}
```

Example 9.8-2. Functional Interfaces and Erasure

In the following interface hierarchy, `z` is a functional interface because while it inherits two `abstract` methods which are not members of `Object`, they have the same signature, so the inherited methods logically represent a single method:

```
interface X { int m(Iterable<String> arg); }
interface Y { int m(Iterable<String> arg); }
interface Z extends X, Y {}
```

Similarly, `z` is a functional interface in the following interface hierarchy because `Y.m` is a subsignature of `X.m` and is return-type-substitutable for `X.m`:

```
interface X { Iterable m(Iterable<String> arg); }
interface Y { Iterable<String> m(Iterable arg); }
interface Z extends X, Y {}
```

The definition of *functional interface* respects the fact that an interface cannot have two members which are not subsignatures of each other, yet have the same erasure (§9.4.1.2). Thus, in the following three interface hierarchies where `z` causes a compile-time error, `z` is not a functional interface: (because none of its `abstract` members are subsignatures of all other `abstract` members)

```
interface X { int m(Iterable<String> arg); }
interface Y { int m(Iterable<Integer> arg); }
interface Z extends X, Y {}

interface X { int m(Iterable<String> arg, Class c); }
interface Y { int m(Iterable arg, Class<?> c); }
interface Z extends X, Y {}

interface X<T> { void m(T arg); }
interface Y<T> { void m(T arg); }
interface Z<A, B> extends X<A>, Y<B> {}
```

Similarly, the definition of "functional interface" respects the fact that an interface may only have methods with override-equivalent signatures if one is return-type-substitutable for all the others. Thus, in the following interface hierarchy where `z` causes a compile-time error, `z` is not a functional interface: (because none of its `abstract` members are return-type-substitutable for all other `abstract` members)

```
interface X { long m(); }
interface Y { int  m(); }
interface Z extends X, Y {}
```

In the following example, the declarations of `Foo<T,N>` and `Bar` are legal: in each, the methods called `m` are not subsignatures of each other, but do have different erasures. Still, the fact that the methods in each are not subsignatures means `Foo<T,N>` and `Bar` are not functional interfaces. However, `Baz` is a functional interface because the methods it inherits from `Foo<Integer,Integer>` have the same signature and so logically represent a single method.

```
interface Foo<T, N extends Number> {
    void m(T arg);
    void m(N arg);
}
interface Bar extends Foo<String, Integer> {}
interface Baz extends Foo<Integer, Integer> {}
```

Finally, the following examples demonstrate the same rules as above, but with generic methods:

```
interface Exec { <T> T execute(Action<T> a); }
  // Functional

interface X { <T> T execute(Action<T> a); }
interface Y { <S> S execute(Action<S> a); }
interface Exec extends X, Y {}
  // Functional: signatures are logically "the same"

interface X { <T>   T execute(Action<T> a); }
interface Y { <S,T> S execute(Action<S> a); }
interface Exec extends X, Y {}
  // Error: different signatures, same erasure
```

Example 9.8-3. Generic Functional Interfaces

Functional interfaces can be generic, such as `java.util.function.Predicate<T>`. Such a functional interface may be parameterized in a way that produces distinct `abstract` methods - that is, multiple methods that cannot be legally overridden with a single declaration. For example:

```
interface I    { Object m(Class c); }
interface J<S> { S m(Class<?> c); }
interface K<T> { T m(Class<?> c); }
interface Functional<S,T> extends I, J<S>, K<T> {}
```

`Functional<S,T>` is a functional interface - `I.m` is return-type-substitutable for `J.m` and `K.m` - but the functional interface type `Functional<String,Integer>` clearly cannot be implemented with a single method. However, other parameterizations of `Functional<S,T>` which are functional interface types are possible.

The declaration of a functional interface allows a *functional interface type* to be used in a program. There are four kinds of functional interface type:

• The type of a non-generic (§6.1) functional interface

• A parameterized type that is a parameterization (§4.5) of a generic functional interface

• The raw type (§4.8) of a generic functional interface

• An intersection type (§4.9) that induces a notional functional interface

> In special circumstances, it is useful to treat an intersection type as a functional interface type. Typically, this will look like an intersection of a functional interface type with one or more marker interface types, such as `Runnable & java.io.Serializable`. Such an intersection can be used in casts (§15.16) that force a lambda expression to conform to a certain type. If one of the interface types in the intersection is `java.io.Serializable`, special run-time support for serialization is triggered (§15.27.4).

9.9 Function Types

The *function type* of a functional interface I is a method type (§8.2) that can be used to override (§8.4.8) the `abstract` method(s) of I.

Let M be the set of `abstract` methods defined for I. The function type of I consists of the following:

• Type parameters, formal parameter types, and return type:

  Let m be a method in M with:

  1. a signature that is a subsignature of every method's signature in M; and

  2. a return type R (possibly `void`), where either R is the same as every method's return type in M, or R is a reference type and is a subtype of every method's return type in M (after adapting for any type parameters (§8.4.4) if the two methods have the same signature).

  If no such method exists, then let m be a method in M with:

  1. a signature that is a subsignature of every method's signature in M; and

  2. a return type such that m is return-type-substitutable (§8.4.5) for every method in M.

  The function type's type parameters, formal parameter types, and return type are as given by m.

- `throws` clause:

  The function type's `throws` clause is derived from the `throws` clauses of the methods in *M*, as follows:

  1. If the function type is generic, the `throws` clauses are first adapted to the type parameters of the function type (§8.4.4).

     If the function type is not generic but at least one method in *M* is generic, the `throws` clauses are first erased.

  2. Then, the function type's `throws` clause includes every type *E* which satisfies the following constraints:

     − *E* is mentioned in one of the `throws` clauses.

     − For each `throws` clause, *E* is a subtype of some type named in that clause.

  > When some return types in *M* are raw and others are not, the definition of a function type tries to choose the most specific type, if possible. For example, if the return types are `LinkedList` and `LinkedList<String>`, then the latter is immediately chosen as the function type's return type. When there is no most specific type, the definition compensates by finding the most substitutable return type. For example, if there is a third return type, `List<?>`, then it is not the case that one of the return types is a subtype of every other (as raw `LinkedList` is not a subtype of `List<?>`); instead, `LinkedList<String>` is chosen as the function type's return type because it is return-type-substitutable for both `LinkedList` and `List<?>`.

  > The goal driving the definition of a function type's thrown exception types is to support the invariant that a method with the resulting `throws` clause could override each `abstract` method of the functional interface. Per §8.4.6, this means the function type cannot throw "more" exceptions than any single method in the set *M*, so we look for as many exception types as possible that are "covered" by every method's `throws` clause.

The function type of a functional interface type is specified as follows:

- The function type of the type of a non-generic functional interface *I* is simply the function type of the functional interface *I*, as defined above.

- The function type of a parameterized functional interface type $I<A_1...A_n>$, where $A_1...A_n$ are types and the corresponding type parameters of *I* are $P_1...P_n$, is derived by applying the substitution $[P_1:=A_1, ..., P_n:=A_n]$ to the function type of the generic functional interface $I<P_1...P_n>$.

- The function type of a parameterized functional interface type $I<A_1...A_n>$, where one or more of $A_1...A_n$ is a wildcard, is the function type of the *non-*

wildcard parameterization of I, $I<T_1...T_n>$. The non-wildcard parameterization is determined as follows.

Let $P_1...P_n$ be the type parameters of I with corresponding bounds $B_1...B_n$. For all i $(1 \le i \le n)$, T_i is derived according to the form of A_i:

– If A_i is a type, then $T_i = A_i$.

– If A_i is a wildcard, and the corresponding type parameter's bound, B_i, mentions one of $P_1...P_n$, then T_i is undefined and there is no function type.

– Otherwise:

 › If A_i is an unbound wildcard ?, then $T_i = B_i$.

 › If A_i is a upper-bounded wildcard ? extends U_i, then $T_i = \text{glb}(U_i, B_i)$ (§5.1.10).

 › If A_i is a lower-bounded wildcard ? super L_i, then $T_i = L_i$.

- The function type of the raw type of a generic functional interface $I<...>$ is the erasure of the function type of the generic functional interface $I<...>$.

- The function type of an intersection type that induces a notional functional interface is the function type of the notional functional interface.

Example 9.9-1. Function Types

Given the following interfaces:

```
interface X { void m() throws IOException; }
interface Y { void m() throws EOFException; }
interface Z { void m() throws ClassNotFoundException; }
```

the function type of:

```
interface XY extends X, Y {}
```

is:

```
()->void throws EOFException
```

while the function type of:

```
interface XYZ extends X, Y, Z {}
```

is:

```
()->void (throws nothing)
```

Given the following interfaces:

```
interface A {
    List<String> foo(List<String> arg)
      throws IOException, SQLTransientException;
}
interface B {
    List foo(List<String> arg)
      throws EOFException, SQLException, TimeoutException;
}
interface C {
    List foo(List arg) throws Exception;
}
```

the function type of:

```
interface D extends A, B {}
```

is:

```
(List<String>)->List<String>
  throws EOFException, SQLTransientException
```

while the function type of:

```
interface E extends A, B, C {}
```

is:

```
(List)->List throws EOFException, SQLTransientException
```

The function type of a functional interface is defined nondeterministically: while the signatures in *M* are "the same", they may be syntactically different (`HashMap.Entry` and `Map.Entry`, for example); the return type may be a subtype of every other return type, but there may be other return types that are *also* subtypes (`List<?>` and `List<? extends Object>`, for example); and the order of thrown types is unspecified. These distinctions are subtle, but they can sometimes be important. However, function types are not used in the Java programming language in such a way that the nondeterminism matters. Note that the return type and `throws` clause of a "most specific method" are also defined nondeterministically when there are multiple `abstract` methods (§15.12.2.5).

When a generic functional interface is parameterized by wildcards, there are many different instantiations that could satisfy the wildcard and produce different function types. For example, each of `Predicate<Integer>` (function type `Integer -> boolean`), `Predicate<Number>` (function type `Number -> boolean`), and `Predicate<Object>` (function type `Object -> boolean`) is a `Predicate<? super Integer>`. Sometimes, it is possible to known from the context, such as the parameter types of a lambda expression, which function type is intended (§15.27.3). Other times, it is necessary to pick one; in these circumstances, the bounds are used. (This simple strategy cannot guarantee that the resulting type will satisfy certain complex bounds, so not all complex cases are supported.)

Example 9.9-2. Generic Function Types

A function type may be generic, as a functional interface's `abstract` method may be generic. For example, in the following interface hierarchy:

```
interface G1 {
    <E extends Exception> Object m() throws E;
}
interface G2 {
    <F extends Exception> String m() throws Exception;
}
interface G extends G1, G2 {}
```

the function type of `G` is:

```
<F extends Exception> ()->String throws F
```

A generic function type for a functional interface may be implemented by a method reference expression (§15.13), but not by a lambda expression (§15.27) as there is no syntax for generic lambda expressions.

Arrays

$\mathbf{I}$N the Java programming language, *arrays* are objects (§4.3.1), are dynamically created, and may be assigned to variables of type `Object` (§4.3.2). All methods of class `Object` may be invoked on an array.

An array object contains a number of variables. The number of variables may be zero, in which case the array is said to be *empty*. The variables contained in an array have no names; instead they are referenced by array access expressions that use non-negative integer index values. These variables are called the *components* of the array. If an array has *n* components, we say *n* is the length of the array; the components of the array are referenced using integer indices from 0 to *n* - 1, inclusive.

All the components of an array have the same type, called the *component type* of the array. If the component type of an array is *T*, then the type of the array itself is written *T*[].

The component type of an array may itself be an array type. The components of such an array may contain references to subarrays. If, starting from any array type, one considers its component type, and then (if that is also an array type) the component type of that type, and so on, eventually one must reach a component type that is not an array type; this is called the *element type* of the original array, and the components at this level of the data structure are called the *elements* of the original array.

There are some situations in which an element of an array can be an array: if the element type is `Object` or `Cloneable` or `java.io.Serializable`, then some or all of the elements may be arrays, because any array object can be assigned to any variable of these types.

10.1   Array Types

Array types are used in declarations and in cast expressions (§15.16).

An array type is written as the name of an element type followed by some number of empty pairs of square brackets `[]`. The number of bracket pairs indicates the depth of array nesting.

Each bracket pair in an array type may be annotated by type annotations (§9.7.4). An annotation applies to the bracket pair (or ellipsis, in a variable arity parameter declaration) that follows it.

The element type of an array may be any type, whether primitive or reference. In particular:

- Arrays with an interface type as the element type are allowed.

   An element of such an array may have as its value a null reference or an instance of any type that implements the interface.

- Arrays with an `abstract` class type as the element type are allowed.

   An element of such an array may have as its value a null reference or an instance of any subclass of the `abstract` class that is not itself `abstract`.

An array's length is not part of its type.

The supertypes of an array type are specified in §4.10.3.

> The supertype relation for array types is not the same as the superclass relation. The direct supertype of `Integer[]` is `Number[]` according to §4.10.3, but the direct superclass of `Integer[]` is `Object` according to the `Class` object for `Integer[]` (§10.8). This does not matter in practice, because `Object` is also a supertype of all array types.

10.2   Array Variables

A variable of array type holds a reference to an object. Declaring a variable of array type does not create an array object or allocate any space for array components. It creates only the variable itself, which can contain a reference to an array. However, the initializer part of a declarator (§8.3, §9.3, §14.4.1) may create an array, a reference to which then becomes the initial value of the variable.

Example 10.2-1. Declarations of Array Variables

```
int[]    ai;        // array of int
short[][] as;       // array of array of short
```

```
short     s,          // scalar short
          aas[][];    // array of array of short
Object[]  ao,         // array of Object
          otherAo;    // array of Object
Collection<?>[] ca;   // array of Collection of unknown type
```

The declarations above do not create array objects. The following are examples of declarations of array variables that do create array objects:

```
Exception ae[]  = new Exception[3];
Object aao[][]  = new Exception[2][3];
int[] factorial = { 1, 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, 720, 5040 };
char ac[]       = { 'n', 'o', 't', ' ', 'a', ' ',
                    'S', 't', 'r', 'i', 'n', 'g' };
String[] aas    = { "array", "of", "String", };
```

The array type of a variable depends on the bracket pairs that may appear as part of the type at the beginning of a variable declaration, or as part of the declarator for the variable, or both. Specifically, in the declaration of a field, formal parameter, local variable, or record component (§8.3, §8.4.1, §9.3, §9.4, §14.4.1, §14.14.2, §15.27.1, §8.10.1), the array type of the variable is denoted by:

- the element type that appears at the beginning of the declaration; then,

- any bracket pairs that follow the variable's *Identifier* in the declarator (not applicable for a variable arity parameter or a record component); then,

- any bracket pairs that appear in the type at the beginning of the declaration (where the ellipsis of a variable arity parameter or variable arity record component is treated as a bracket pair).

The return type of a method (§8.4.5) may be an array type. The precise array type depends on the bracket pairs that may appear as part of the type at the beginning of the method declaration, or after the method's formal parameter list, or both. The array type is denoted by:

- the element type that appears in the *Result*; then,

- any bracket pairs that follow the formal parameter list; then,

- any bracket pairs that appear in the *Result*.

We do not recommend "mixed notation" in array variable declarations, where bracket pairs appear on both the type and in declarators; nor in method declarations, where bracket pairs appear both before and after the formal parameter list.

Example 10.2-2. Array Variables and Array Types

The local variable declaration statement:

403

```
byte[] rowvector, colvector, matrix[];
```

is equivalent to:

```
byte rowvector[], colvector[], matrix[][];
```

because the array type of each local variable is unchanged. Similarly, the local variable declaration statement:

```
int a, b[], c[][];
```

is equivalent to the series of declaration statements:

```
int a;
int[] b;
int[][] c;
```

Brackets are allowed in declarators as a nod to the tradition of C and C++. The general rules for variable declaration, however, permit brackets to appear on both the type and in declarators, so that the local variable declaration statement:

```
float[][] f[][], g[][][], h[];  // Yechh!
```

is equivalent to the series of declarations:

```
float[][][][] f;
float[][][][][] g;
float[][][] h;
```

Because of how array types are formed, the following parameter declarations have the same array type:

```
void m(int @A [] @B []  x) {}
void n(int @A [] @B ... y) {}
```

And perhaps surprisingly, the following field declarations have the same array type:

```
int @A [] f @B [];
int @B [] @A [] g;
```

Once an array object is created, its length never changes. To make an array variable refer to an array of different length, a reference to a different array must be assigned to the variable.

A single variable of array type may contain references to arrays of different lengths, because an array's length is not part of its type.

If an array variable v has type $A[]$, where A is a reference type, then v can hold a reference to an instance of any array type $B[]$, provided B can be assigned to A

(§5.2). This may result in a run-time exception on a *later* assignment; see §10.5 for a discussion.

10.3 Array Creation

An array is created by an array creation expression (§15.10.1) or an array initializer (§10.6).

An array creation expression specifies the element type, the number of levels of nested arrays, and the length of the array for at least one of the levels of nesting. The array's length is available as a `final` instance variable `length`.

An array initializer creates an array and provides initial values for all its components.

10.4 Array Access

A component of an array is accessed by an array access expression (§15.10.3) that consists of an expression whose value is an array reference followed by an indexing expression enclosed by `[` and `]`, as in `A[i]`.

All arrays are `0`-origin. An array with length *n* can be indexed by the integers `0` to *n*-1.

Example 10.4-1. Array Access

```
class Gauss {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] ia = new int[101];
        for (int i = 0; i < ia.length; i++) ia[i] = i;
        int sum = 0;
        for (int e : ia) sum += e;
        System.out.println(sum);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
5050
```

The program declares a variable `ia` that has type array of `int`, that is, `int[]`. The variable `ia` is initialized to reference a newly created array object, created by an array creation expression (§15.10.1). The array creation expression specifies that the array should have

101 components. The length of the array is available using the field `length`, as shown. The program fills the array with the integers from `0` to `100`, sums these integers, and prints the result.

Arrays must be indexed by `int` values; `short`, `byte`, or `char` values may also be used as index values because they are subjected to unary numeric promotion (§5.6) and become `int` values.

An attempt to access an array component with a `long` index value results in a compile-time error.

All array accesses are checked at run time; an attempt to use an index that is less than zero or greater than or equal to the length of the array causes an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` to be thrown (§15.10.4).

10.5   Array Store Exception

For an array whose type is `A[]`, where `A` is a reference type, an assignment to a component of the array is checked at run time to ensure that the value being assigned is assignable to the component.

If the type of the value being assigned is not assignment-compatible (§5.2) with the component type, an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown.

If the component type of an array were not reifiable (§4.7), the Java Virtual Machine could not perform the store check described in the preceding paragraph. This is why an array creation expression with a non-reifiable element type is forbidden (§15.10.1). One may declare a variable of an array type whose element type is non-reifiable, but assignment of the result of an array creation expression to the variable will necessarily cause an unchecked warning (§5.1.9).

Example 10.5-1. `ArrayStoreException`

```
class Point { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ColoredPoint[] cpa = new ColoredPoint[10];
        Point[] pa = cpa;
        System.out.println(pa[1] == null);
        try {
            pa[0] = new Point();
        } catch (ArrayStoreException e) {
            System.out.println(e);
        }
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
true
java.lang.ArrayStoreException: Point
```

The variable `pa` has type `Point[]` and the variable `cpa` has as its value a reference to an object of type `ColoredPoint[]`. A `ColoredPoint` can be assigned to a `Point`; therefore, the value of `cpa` can be assigned to `pa`.

A reference to this array `pa`, for example, testing whether `pa[1]` is `null`, will not result in a run-time type error. This is because the element of the array of type `ColoredPoint[]` is a `ColoredPoint`, and every `ColoredPoint` can stand in for a `Point`, since `Point` is the superclass of `ColoredPoint`.

On the other hand, an assignment to the array `pa` can result in a run-time error. At compile time, an assignment to an element of `pa` is checked to make sure that the value assigned is a `Point`. But since `pa` holds a reference to an array of `ColoredPoint`, the assignment is valid only if the type of the value assigned at run time is, more specifically, a `ColoredPoint`.

The Java Virtual Machine checks for such a situation at run time to ensure that the assignment is valid; if not, an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown.

10.6 Array Initializers

An *array initializer* may be specified in a field declaration (§8.3, §9.3) or local variable declaration (§14.4), or as part of an array creation expression (§15.10.1), to create an array and provide some initial values.

ArrayInitializer:
   { *[VariableInitializerList] [,]* }

VariableInitializerList:
   VariableInitializer { , VariableInitializer}

The following production from §8.3 is shown here for convenience:

VariableInitializer:
   Expression
   ArrayInitializer

An array initializer is written as a comma-separated list of expressions, enclosed by braces { and }.

A trailing comma may appear after the last expression in an array initializer and is ignored.

407

Each variable initializer must be assignment-compatible (§5.2) with the array's component type, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if the component type of the array being initialized is not reifiable (§4.7).

The length of the array to be constructed is equal to the number of variable initializers immediately enclosed by the braces of the array initializer. Space is allocated for a new array of that length. If there is insufficient space to allocate the array, evaluation of the array initializer completes abruptly by throwing an `OutOfMemoryError`. Otherwise, a one-dimensional array is created of the specified length, and each component of the array is initialized to its default value (§4.12.5).

The variable initializers immediately enclosed by the braces of the array initializer are then executed from left to right in the textual order they occur in the source code. The *n*'th variable initializer specifies the value of the *n*-1'th array component. If execution of a variable initializer completes abruptly, then execution of the array initializer completes abruptly for the same reason. If all the variable initializer expressions complete normally, the array initializer completes normally, with the value of the newly initialized array.

If the component type is an array type, then the variable initializer specifying a component may itself be an array initializer; that is, array initializers may be nested. In this case, execution of the nested array initializer constructs and initializes an array object by recursive application of the algorithm above, and assigns it to the component.

Example 10.6-1. Array Initializers

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[][] ia = { { 1, 2 }, null };
        for (int[] ea : ia) {
            for (int e: ea) {
                System.out.println(e);
            }
        }
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
1
2
```

before causing a `NullPointerException` in trying to index the second component of the array `ia`, which is a null reference.

10.7   Array Members

The members of an array type are all of the following:

- The `public final` field `length`, which contains the number of components of the array. `length` may be positive or zero.

- The `public` method `clone`, which overrides the method of the same name in class `Object` and throws no checked exceptions. The return type of the `clone` method of an array type `T[]` is `T[]`.

  A clone of a multidimensional array is shallow, which is to say that it creates only a single new array. Subarrays are shared.

- All the members inherited from class `Object`; the only method of `Object` that is not inherited is its `clone` method.

  > See §9.6.4.4 for another situation where the difference between `public` and non-`public` methods of `Object` requires special care.

An array thus has the same `public` fields and methods as the following class:

```
class A<T> implements Cloneable, java.io.Serializable {
    public final int length = X;
    public T[] clone() {
        try {
            return (T[])super.clone();
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {
            throw new InternalError(e.getMessage());
        }
    }
}
```

Note that the cast to `T[]` in the code above would generate an unchecked warning (§5.1.9) if arrays were really implemented this way.

Example 10.7-1. Arrays Are Cloneable

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] ia1 = { 1, 2 };
        int[] ia2 = ia1.clone();
        System.out.print((ia1 == ia2) + " ");
        ia1[1]++;
        System.out.println(ia2[1]);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
false 2
```

showing that the components of the arrays referenced by `ia1` and `ia2` are different variables.

Example 10.7-2. Shared Subarrays After A Clone

The fact that subarrays are shared when a multidimensional array is cloned is shown by this program:

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) throws Throwable {
        int[][] ia = { { 1, 2 }, null };
        int[][] ja = ia.clone();
        System.out.print((ia == ja) + " ");
        System.out.println(ia[0] == ja[0] && ia[1] == ja[1]);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
false true
```

showing that the `int[]` array that is `ia[0]` and the `int[]` array that is `ja[0]` are the same array.

10.8 `Class` **Objects for Arrays**

Every array has an associated `Class` object, shared with all other arrays with the same component type.

Although an array type is not a class, the `Class` object of every array acts as if:

- The direct superclass of every array type is `Object`.

- Every array type implements the interfaces `Cloneable` and `java.io.Serializable`.

Example 10.8-1. `Class` **Object Of Array**

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] ia = new int[3];
        System.out.println(ia.getClass());
        System.out.println(ia.getClass().getSuperclass());
        for (Class<?> c : ia.getClass().getInterfaces())
            System.out.println("Superinterface: " + c);
    }
```

```
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
class [I
class java.lang.Object
Superinterface: interface java.lang.Cloneable
Superinterface: interface java.io.Serializable
```

where the string "[I" is the run-time type signature for the Class object "array with component type int".

Example 10.8-2. Array Class **Objects Are Shared**

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] ia = new int[3];
        int[] ib = new int[6];
        System.out.println(ia == ib);
        System.out.println(ia.getClass() == ib.getClass());
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
false
true
```

While ia and ib refer to different arrays, the result of the comparison of the Class objects demonstrates that all arrays whose components are of type int are instances of the same array type (namely int[]).

10.9   An Array of Characters Is Not a String

In the Java programming language, unlike C, an array of char is not a String, and neither a String nor an array of char is terminated by '\u0000' (the NUL character).

A String object is immutable, that is, its contents never change, while an array of char has mutable elements.

The method toCharArray in class String returns an array of characters containing the same character sequence as a String. The class StringBuffer implements useful methods on mutable arrays of characters.

Exceptions

WHEN a program violates the semantic constraints of the Java programming language, the Java Virtual Machine signals this error to the program as an *exception*.

An example of such a violation is an attempt to index outside the bounds of an array. Some programming languages and their implementations react to such errors by peremptorily terminating the program; other programming languages allow an implementation to react in an arbitrary or unpredictable way. Neither of these approaches is compatible with the design goals of the Java SE Platform: to provide portability and robustness.

Instead, the Java programming language specifies that an exception will be thrown when semantic constraints are violated and will cause a non-local transfer of control from the point where the exception occurred to a point that can be specified by the programmer.

An exception is said to be *thrown* from the point where it occurred and is said to be *caught* at the point to which control is transferred.

Programs can also throw exceptions explicitly, using `throw` statements (§14.18).

Explicit use of `throw` statements provides an alternative to the old-fashioned style of handling error conditions by returning funny values, such as the integer value `-1` where a negative value would not normally be expected. Experience shows that too often such funny values are ignored or not checked for by callers, leading to programs that are not robust, exhibit undesirable behavior, or both.

Every exception is represented by an instance of the class `Throwable` or one of its subclasses (§11.1). Such an object can be used to carry information from the point at which an exception occurs to the handler that catches it. Handlers are established by `catch` clauses of `try` statements (§14.20).

During the process of throwing an exception, the Java Virtual Machine abruptly completes, one by one, any expressions, statements, method and constructor invocations, initializers, and field initialization expressions that have begun but not completed execution in the current thread. This process continues until a handler is found that indicates that it handles that particular exception by naming the class of the exception or a superclass of the class of the exception (§11.2). If no such handler is found, then the exception may be handled by one of a hierarchy of uncaught exception handlers (§11.3) - thus every effort is made to avoid letting an exception go unhandled.

The exception mechanism of the Java SE Platform is integrated with its synchronization model (§17.1), so that monitors are unlocked as `synchronized` statements (§14.19) and invocations of `synchronized` methods (§8.4.3.6, §15.12) complete abruptly.

11.1   The Kinds and Causes of Exceptions

11.1.1   The Kinds of Exceptions

An exception is represented by an instance of the class `Throwable` (a direct subclass of `Object`) or one of its subclasses.

`Throwable` and all its subclasses are, collectively, the *exception classes*.

The classes `Exception` and `Error` are direct subclasses of `Throwable`:

- `Exception` is the superclass of all the exceptions from which ordinary programs may wish to recover.

  The class `RuntimeException` is a direct subclass of `Exception`. `RuntimeException` is the superclass of all the exceptions which may be thrown for many reasons during expression evaluation, but from which recovery may still be possible.

  `RuntimeException` and all its subclasses are, collectively, the *run-time exception classes*.

- `Error` is the superclass of all the exceptions from which ordinary programs are not ordinarily expected to recover.

  `Error` and all its subclasses are, collectively, the *error classes*.

The *unchecked exception classes* are the run-time exception classes and the error classes.

The *checked exception classes* are all exception classes other than the unchecked exception classes. That is, the checked exception classes are `Throwable` and all its subclasses other than `RuntimeException` and its subclasses and `Error` and its subclasses.

> Programs can use the pre-existing exception classes of the Java SE Platform API in `throw` statements, or define additional exception classes as subclasses of `Throwable` or of any of its subclasses, as appropriate. To take advantage of compile-time checking for exception handlers (§11.2), it is typical to define most new exception classes as checked exception classes, that is, as subclasses of `Exception` that are not subclasses of `RuntimeException`.

> The class `Error` is a separate subclass of `Throwable`, distinct from `Exception` in the class hierarchy, to allow programs to use the idiom "} catch (Exception e) {" (§11.2.3) to catch all exceptions from which recovery may be possible without catching errors from which recovery is typically not possible.

> Note that a subclass of `Throwable` cannot be generic (§8.1.2).

11.1.2   The Causes of Exceptions

An exception is thrown for one of four reasons:

- A `throw` statement (§14.18) was executed.

- An enabled `assert` statement was executed, and evaluation of its boolean expression evaluated to `false` (§14.10).

- An abnormal execution condition was synchronously detected by the Java Virtual Machine, namely:

  – evaluation of an expression violates the normal semantics of the Java programming language (§15.6), such as an integer divide by zero.

  – an error occurs while loading, linking, or initializing part of the program (§12.2, §12.3, §12.4); in this case, an instance of a subclass of `LinkageError` is thrown.

  – an internal error or resource limitation prevents the Java Virtual Machine from implementing the semantics of the Java programming language; in this case, an instance of a subclass of `VirtualMachineError` is thrown.

  These exceptions are not thrown at an arbitrary point in the program, but rather at a point where they are specified as a possible result of an expression evaluation or statement execution.

- An asynchronous exception occurred (§11.1.3).

415

11.1.3   Asynchronous Exceptions

Most exceptions occur synchronously as a result of an action by the thread in which they occur, and at a point in the program that is specified to possibly result in such an exception. An *asynchronous exception* is, by contrast, an exception that can potentially occur at any point in the execution of a program.

Asynchronous exceptions occur only as a result of an internal error or resource limitation in the Java Virtual Machine that prevents it from implementing the semantics of the Java programming language. The asynchronous exception that is thrown is an instance of a subclass of `VirtualMachineError`.

> Note that `StackOverflowError`, a subclass of `VirtualMachineError`, may be thrown synchronously by method invocation (§15.12.4.5) as well as asynchronously due to `native` method execution or Java Virtual Machine resource limitations. Similarly, `OutOfMemoryError`, another subclass of `VirtualMachineError`, may be thrown synchronously during class instance creation (§15.9.4, §12.5), array creation (§15.10.2, §10.6), class initialization (§12.4.2), and boxing conversion (§5.1.7), as well as asynchronously.

The Java SE Platform permits a small but bounded amount of execution to occur before an asynchronous exception is thrown.

> Asynchronous exceptions are rare, but proper understanding of their semantics is necessary if high-quality machine code is to be generated.

> The delay noted above is permitted to allow optimized code to detect and throw these exceptions at points where it is practical to handle them while obeying the semantics of the Java programming language. A simple implementation might poll for asynchronous exceptions at the point of each control transfer instruction. Since a program has a finite size, this provides a bound on the total delay in detecting an asynchronous exception. Since no asynchronous exception will occur between control transfers, the code generator has some flexibility to reorder computation between control transfers for greater performance. The paper *Polling Efficiently on Stock Hardware* by Marc Feeley, *Proc. 1993 Conference on Functional Programming and Computer Architecture*, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp. 179-187, is recommended as further reading.

11.2   Compile-Time Checking of Exceptions

The Java programming language requires that a program contains handlers for *checked exceptions* which can result from execution of a method or constructor (§8.4.6, §8.8.5). This compile-time checking for the presence of exception handlers is designed to reduce the number of exceptions which are not properly handled. For each checked exception which is a possible result, the `throws` clause for the method

or constructor must mention the class of that exception or one of the superclasses of the class of that exception (§11.2.3).

The checked exception classes (§11.1.1) named in the `throws` clause are part of the contract between the implementor and user of the method or constructor. The `throws` clause of an overriding method may not specify that this method will result in throwing any checked exception which the overridden method is not permitted, by its `throws` clause, to throw (§8.4.8.3). When interfaces are involved, more than one method declaration may be overridden by a single overriding declaration. In this case, the overriding declaration must have a `throws` clause that is compatible with all the overridden declarations (§9.4.1).

The unchecked exception classes (§11.1.1) are exempted from compile-time checking.

> Error classes are exempted because they can occur at many points in the program and recovery from them is difficult or impossible. A program declaring such exceptions would be cluttered, pointlessly. Sophisticated programs may yet wish to catch and attempt to recover from some of these conditions.

> Run-time exception classes are exempted because, in the judgment of the designers of the Java programming language, having to declare such exceptions would not aid significantly in establishing the correctness of programs. Many of the operations and constructs of the Java programming language can result in exceptions at run time. The information available to a Java compiler, and the level of analysis a compiler performs, are usually not sufficient to establish that such run-time exceptions cannot occur, even though this may be obvious to the programmer. Requiring such exception classes to be declared would simply be an irritation to programmers.

> For example, certain code might implement a circular data structure that, by construction, can never involve null references; the programmer can then be certain that a `NullPointerException` cannot occur, but it would be difficult for a Java compiler to prove it. The theorem-proving technology that is needed to establish such global properties of data structures is beyond the scope of this specification.

We say that a statement or expression *can throw* an exception class E if, according to the rules in §11.2.1 and §11.2.2, the execution of the statement or expression can result in an exception of class E being thrown.

We say that a `catch` clause *can catch* its catchable exception class(es):

- The catchable exception class of a uni-`catch` clause is the declared type of its exception parameter (§14.20).

- The catchable exception classes of a multi-`catch` clause are the alternatives in the union that denotes the type of its exception parameter.

11.2.1   Exception Analysis of Expressions

A class instance creation expression (§15.9) can throw an exception class `E` iff either:

- The expression is a qualified class instance creation expression and the qualifying expression can throw `E`; or

- Some expression of the argument list can throw `E`; or

- `E` is one of the exception types of the invocation type of the chosen constructor (§15.12.2.6); or

- The class instance creation expression includes a *ClassBody*, and some instance initializer or instance variable initializer in the *ClassBody* can throw `E`.

A method invocation expression (§15.12) can throw an exception class `E` iff either:

- The method invocation expression is of the form *Primary . [TypeArguments] Identifier* and the *Primary* expression can throw `E`; or

- Some expression of the argument list can throw `E`; or

- `E` is one of the exception types of the invocation type of the chosen method (§15.12.2.6).

A lambda expression (§15.27) can throw no exception classes.

A `switch` expression (§15.28) can throw an exception class `E` iff either:

- The selector expression can throw `E`; or

- Some switch rule expression, switch rule block, switch rule `throw` statement, or switch labeled statement group in the switch block can throw `E`.

For every other kind of expression, the expression can throw an exception class `E` iff one of its immediate subexpressions can throw `E`.

> Note that a method reference expression (§15.13) of the form *Primary :: [TypeArguments] Identifier* can throw an exception class if the *Primary* subexpression can throw an exception class. In contrast, a lambda expression can throw nothing, and has no immediate subexpressions on which to perform exception analysis. It is the *body* of a lambda expression, containing expressions and statements, that can throw exception classes.

11.2.2   Exception Analysis of Statements

A `throw` statement (§14.18) whose thrown expression has static type `E` and is not a final or effectively final exception parameter can throw `E` or any exception class that the thrown expression can throw.

> For example, the statement `throw new java.io.FileNotFoundException();` can throw `java.io.FileNotFoundException` only. Formally, it is not the case that it "can throw" a subclass or superclass of `java.io.FileNotFoundException`.

A `throw` statement whose thrown expression is a final or effectively final exception parameter of a `catch` clause *C* can throw an exception class *E* iff:

- *E* is an exception class that the `try` block of the `try` statement which declares *C* can throw; and

- *E* is assignment compatible with any of *C*'s catchable exception classes; and

- *E* is not assignment compatible with any of the catchable exception classes of the `catch` clauses declared to the left of *C* in the same `try` statement.

A `try` statement (§14.20) can throw an exception class *E* iff either:

- The `try` block can throw *E*, or an expression used to initialize a resource (in a try-with-resources statement) can throw *E*, or the automatic invocation of the `close()` method of a resource (in a try-with-resources statement) can throw *E*, and *E* is not assignment compatible with any catchable exception class of any `catch` clause of the `try` statement, and either no `finally` block is present or the `finally` block can complete normally; or

- Some `catch` block of the `try` statement can throw *E* and either no `finally` block is present or the `finally` block can complete normally; or

- A `finally` block is present and can throw *E*.

An explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1) can throw an exception class *E* iff either:

- Some expression of the constructor invocation's parameter list can throw *E*; or

- *E* is determined to be an exception class of the `throws` clause of the constructor that is invoked (§15.12.2.6).

A `switch` statement (§14.11) can throw an exception class *E* iff either:

- The selector expression can throw *E*; or

- Some switch rule expression, switch rule block, switch rule `throw` statement, or switch labeled statement group in the switch block can throw *E*.

Any other statement *S* can throw an exception class *E* iff an expression or statement immediately contained in *S* can throw *E*.

11.2.3   Exception Checking

It is a compile-time error if a method or constructor body *can throw* some exception class E when E is a checked exception class and E is not a subclass of some class declared in the `throws` clause of the method or constructor.

It is a compile-time error if a lambda body *can throw* some exception class E when E is a checked exception class and E is not a subclass of some class declared in the `throws` clause of the function type targeted by the lambda expression.

It is a compile-time error if a class variable initializer (§8.3.2) or static initializer (§8.7) of a named class or interface *can throw* a checked exception class.

It is a compile-time error if an instance variable initializer (§8.3.2) or instance initializer (§8.6) of a named class *can throw* a checked exception class, unless the named class has at least one explicitly declared constructor and the exception class or one of its superclasses is explicitly declared in the `throws` clause of each constructor.

> Note that no compile-time error is due if an instance variable initializer or instance initializer of an anonymous class (§15.9.5) can throw an exception class. In a named class, it is the responsibility of the programmer to propagate information about which exception classes can be thrown by initializers, by declaring a suitable `throws` clause on any explicit constructor declaration. This relationship between the checked exception classes thrown by a class's initializers and the checked exception classes declared by a class's constructors is assured for an anonymous class declaration, because no explicit constructor declarations are possible and a Java compiler always generates a constructor with a suitable `throws` clause for the anonymous class declaration based on the checked exception classes that its initializers can throw.

It is a compile-time error if a `catch` clause *can catch* checked exception class E_1 and it is not the case that the `try` block corresponding to the `catch` clause *can throw* a checked exception class that is a subclass or superclass of E_1, unless E_1 is `Exception` or a superclass of `Exception`.

It is a compile-time error if a `catch` clause *can catch* an exception class E_1 and a preceding `catch` clause of the immediately enclosing `try` statement *can catch* E_1 or a superclass of E_1.

> A Java compiler is encouraged to issue a warning if a `catch` clause can catch checked exception class E_1 and the `try` block corresponding to the `catch` clause can throw checked exception class E_2, where E_2 <: E_1, and a preceding `catch` clause of the immediately enclosing `try` statement can catch checked exception class E_3, where E_2 <: E_3 <: E_1.

Example 11.2.3-1. Catching Checked Exceptions

```
import java.io.FileNotFoundException;
import java.io.IOException;
```

```
class StaticallyThrownExceptionsIncludeSubtypes {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            throw new FileNotFoundException();
        } catch (IOException ioe) {
            // "catch IOException" catches IOException
            // and any subtype.
        }

        try {
            throw new FileNotFoundException();
              // Statement "can throw" FileNotFoundException.
              // It is not the case that statement "can throw"
              // a subtype or supertype of FileNotFoundException.
        } catch (FileNotFoundException fnfe) {
            // ... Handle exception ...
        } catch (IOException ioe) {
            // Legal, but compilers are encouraged to give
            // warnings as of Java SE 7, because all subtypes of
            // IOException that the try block "can throw" have
            // already been caught by the prior catch clause.
        }

        try {
            m();
              // m's declaration says "throws IOException", so
              // m "can throw" IOException. It is not the case
              // that m "can throw" a subtype or supertype of
              // IOException (e.g. Exception).
        } catch (FileNotFoundException fnfe) {
            // Legal, because the dynamic type of the exception
            // might be FileNotFoundException.
        } catch (IOException ioe) {
            // Legal, because the dynamic type of the exception
            // might be a different subtype of IOException.
        } catch (Throwable t) {
            // Can always catch Throwable.
        }
    }

    static void m() throws IOException {
        throw new FileNotFoundException();
    }
}
```

By the rules above, each alternative in a multi-catch clause (§14.20) must be able to catch some exception class thrown by the try block and uncaught by previous catch clauses. For example, the second catch clause below would cause a compile-time error because exception analysis determines that SubclassOfFoo is already caught by the first catch clause:

```
try { ... }
catch (Foo f) { ... }
catch (Bar | SubclassOfFoo e) { ... }
```

11.3   Run-Time Handling of an Exception

When an exception is thrown (§14.18), control is transferred from the code that caused the exception to the nearest dynamically enclosing `catch` clause, if any, of a `try` statement (§14.20) that can handle the exception.

A statement or expression is *dynamically enclosed* by a `catch` clause if it appears within the `try` block of the `try` statement of which the `catch` clause is a part, or if the caller of the statement or expression is dynamically enclosed by the `catch` clause.

The caller of a statement or expression depends on where it occurs:

- If within a method, then the caller is the method invocation expression (§15.12) that was executed to cause the method to be invoked.

- If within a constructor or an instance initializer or the initializer for an instance variable, then the caller is the class instance creation expression (§15.9) or the method invocation of `newInstance` that was executed to cause an object to be created.

- If within a static initializer or an initializer for a `static` variable, then the caller is the expression that used the class or interface so as to cause it to be initialized (§12.4).

Whether a particular `catch` clause *can handle* an exception is determined by comparing the class of the object that was thrown to the catchable exception classes of the `catch` clause. The `catch` clause can handle the exception if one of its catchable exception classes is the class of the exception or a superclass of the class of the exception.

> Equivalently, a `catch` clause will catch any exception object that is an `instanceof` (§15.20.2) one of its catchable exception classes.

The control transfer that occurs when an exception is thrown causes abrupt completion of expressions (§15.6) and statements (§14.1) until a `catch` clause is encountered that can handle the exception; execution then continues by executing the block of that `catch` clause. The code that caused the exception is never resumed.

All exceptions (synchronous and asynchronous) are *precise*: when the transfer of control takes place, all effects of the statements executed and expressions evaluated before the point from which the exception is thrown must appear to have taken place. No expressions, statements, or parts thereof that occur after the point from which the exception is thrown may appear to have been evaluated.

> If optimized code has speculatively executed some of the expressions or statements which follow the point at which the exception occurs, such code must be prepared to hide this speculative execution from the user-visible state of the program.

If no `catch` clause that can handle an exception can be found, then the current thread (the thread that encountered the exception) is terminated. Before termination, all `finally` clauses are executed and the uncaught exception is handled according to the following rules:

- If the current thread has an uncaught exception handler set, then that handler is executed.

- Otherwise, the method `uncaughtException` is invoked for the `ThreadGroup` that is the parent of the current thread. If the `ThreadGroup` and its parent `ThreadGroup`s do not override `uncaughtException`, then the default handler's `uncaughtException` method is invoked.

> In situations where it is desirable to ensure that one block of code is always executed after another, even if that other block of code completes abruptly, a `try` statement with a `finally` clause (§14.20.2) may be used.

> If a `try` or `catch` block in a try-finally or try-catch-finally statement completes abruptly, then the `finally` clause is executed during propagation of the exception, even if no matching `catch` clause is ultimately found.

> If a `finally` clause is executed because of abrupt completion of a `try` block and the `finally` clause itself completes abruptly, then the reason for the abrupt completion of the `try` block is discarded and the new reason for abrupt completion is propagated from there.

> The exact rules for abrupt completion and for the catching of exceptions are specified in detail with the specification of each statement in §14 (*Blocks, Statements, and Patterns*) and for expressions in §15 (*Expressions*) (especially §15.6).

> **Example 11.3-1. Throwing and Catching Exceptions**

> The following program declares an exception class `TestException`. The `main` method of class `Test` invokes the `thrower` method four times, causing exceptions to be thrown three of the four times. The `try` statement in method `main` catches each exception that the thrower throws. Whether the invocation of `thrower` completes normally or abruptly, a message is printed describing what happened.

```
class TestException extends Exception {
    TestException()         { super(); }
    TestException(String s) { super(s); }
}

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for (String arg : args) {
            try {
                thrower(arg);
                System.out.println("Test \"" + arg +
                                   "\" didn't throw an exception");
            } catch (Exception e) {
                System.out.println("Test \"" + arg +
                                   "\" threw a " + e.getClass() +
                                   "\n     with message: " +
                                   e.getMessage());
            }
        }
    }
    static int thrower(String s) throws TestException {
        try {
            if (s.equals("divide")) {
                int i = 0;
                return i/i;
            }
            if (s.equals("null")) {
                s = null;
                return s.length();
            }
            if (s.equals("test")) {
                throw new TestException("Test message");
            }
            return 0;
        } finally {
            System.out.println("[thrower(\"" + s + "\") done]");
        }
    }
}
```

If we execute the program, passing it the arguments:

```
divide null not test
```

it produces the output:

```
[thrower("divide") done]
Test "divide" threw a class java.lang.ArithmeticException
    with message: / by zero
[thrower("null") done]
Test "null" threw a class java.lang.NullPointerException
    with message: null
[thrower("not") done]
Test "not" didn't throw an exception
[thrower("test") done]
Test "test" threw a class TestException
    with message: Test message
```

The declaration of the method `thrower` must have a `throws` clause because it can throw instances of `TestException`, which is a checked exception class (§11.1.1). A compile-time error would occur if the `throws` clause were omitted.

Notice that the `finally` clause is executed on every invocation of `thrower`, whether or not an exception occurs, as shown by the "`[thrower(...) done]`" output that occurs for each invocation.

Execution

THIS chapter specifies activities that occur during execution of a program. It is organized around the life cycle of the Java Virtual Machine and of the classes, interfaces, and objects that form a program.

The Java Virtual Machine starts up by loading a specified class or interface, then invoking the method `main` in this specified class or interface. Section §12.1 outlines the loading, linking, and initialization steps involved in executing `main`, as an introduction to the concepts in this chapter. Further sections specify the details of loading (§12.2), linking (§12.3), and initialization (§12.4).

The chapter continues with a specification of the procedures for creation of new class instances (§12.5); and finalization of class instances (§12.6). It concludes by describing the unloading of classes (§12.7) and the procedure followed when a program exits (§12.8).

12.1 Java Virtual Machine Startup

The Java Virtual Machine starts execution by invoking the method `main` of some specified class or interface, passing it a single argument which is an array of strings. In the examples in this specification, this first class is typically called `Test`.

The precise semantics of Java Virtual Machine startup are given in Chapter 5 of *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*. Here we present an overview of the process from the viewpoint of the Java programming language.

The manner in which the initial class or interface is specified to the Java Virtual Machine is beyond the scope of this specification, but it is typical, in host environments that use command lines, for the fully qualified name of the class or interface to be specified as a command line argument and for following command

line arguments to be used as strings to be provided as the argument to the method `main`.

> For example, in a UNIX implementation, the command line:
>
> `java Test reboot Bob Dot Enzo`
>
> will typically start a Java Virtual Machine by invoking method `main` of class `Test` (a class in an unnamed package), passing it an array containing the four strings `"reboot"`, `"Bob"`, `"Dot"`, and `"Enzo"`.

We now outline the steps the Java Virtual Machine may take to execute `Test`, as an example of the loading, linking, and initialization processes that are described further in later sections.

12.1.1   Load the Class `Test`

The initial attempt to execute the method `main` of class `Test` discovers that the class `Test` is not loaded - that is, that the Java Virtual Machine does not currently contain a binary representation for this class. The Java Virtual Machine then uses a class loader to attempt to find such a binary representation. If this process fails, then an error is thrown. This loading process is described further in §12.2.

12.1.2   Link `Test`: Verify, Prepare, (Optionally) Resolve

After `Test` is loaded, it must be initialized before `main` can be invoked. And `Test`, like all classes and interfaces, must be linked before it is initialized. Linking involves verification, preparation, and (optionally) resolution. Linking is described further in §12.3.

Verification checks that the loaded representation of `Test` is well-formed, with a proper symbol table. Verification also checks that the code that implements `Test` obeys the semantic requirements of the Java programming language and the Java Virtual Machine. If a problem is detected during verification, then an error is thrown. Verification is described further in §12.3.1.

Preparation involves allocation of static storage and any data structures that are used internally by the implementation of the Java Virtual Machine, such as method tables. Preparation is described further in §12.3.2.

Resolution is the process of checking symbolic references from `Test` to other classes and interfaces, by loading the other classes and interfaces that are mentioned and checking that the references are correct.

The resolution step is optional at the time of initial linkage. An implementation may resolve symbolic references from a class or interface that is being linked very early, even to the point of resolving all symbolic references from the classes and interfaces that are further referenced, recursively. (This resolution may result in errors from these further loading and linking steps.) This implementation choice represents one extreme and is similar to the kind of "static" linkage that has been done for many years in simple implementations of the C language. (In these implementations, a compiled program is typically represented as an "`a.out`" file that contains a fully-linked version of the program, including completely resolved links to library routines used by the program. Copies of these library routines are included in the "`a.out`" file.)

An implementation may instead choose to resolve a symbolic reference only when it is actively used; consistent use of this strategy for all symbolic references would represent the "laziest" form of resolution. In this case, if `Test` had several symbolic references to another class, then the references might be resolved one at a time, as they are used, or perhaps not at all, if these references were never used during execution of the program.

The only requirement on when resolution is performed is that any errors detected during resolution must be thrown at a point in the program where some action is taken by the program that might, directly or indirectly, require linkage to the class or interface involved in the error. Using the "static" example implementation choice described above, loading and linkage errors could occur before the program is executed if they involved a class or interface mentioned in the class `Test` or any of the further, recursively referenced, classes and interfaces. In a system that implemented the "laziest" resolution, these errors would be thrown only when an incorrect symbolic reference is actively used.

The resolution process is described further in §12.3.3.

12.1.3   Initialize Test: Execute Initializers

In our continuing example, the Java Virtual Machine is still trying to execute the method `main` of class `Test`. This is permitted only if the class has been initialized (§12.4.1).

Initialization consists of execution of any class variable initializers and static initializers of the class `Test`, in textual order. But before `Test` can be initialized, its direct superclass must be initialized, as well as the direct superclass of its direct superclass, and so on, recursively. In the simplest case, `Test` has `Object` as its implicit direct superclass; if class `Object` has not yet been initialized, then it must

be initialized before `Test` is initialized. Class `Object` has no superclass, so the recursion terminates here.

If class `Test` has another class `Super` as its superclass, then `Super` must be initialized before `Test`. This requires loading, verifying, and preparing `Super` if this has not already been done and, depending on the implementation, may also involve resolving the symbolic references from `Super` and so on, recursively.

Initialization may thus cause loading, linking, and initialization errors, including such errors involving other classes and interfaces.

The initialization process is described further in §12.4.

12.1.4   Invoke `Test.main`

Finally, after completion of the initialization for class `Test` (during which other consequential loading, linking, and initializing may have occurred), the method `main` of `Test` is invoked.

The method `main` must be declared `public`, `static`, and `void`. It must specify a formal parameter (§8.4.1) whose declared type is array of `String`. Therefore, either of the following declarations is acceptable:

```
public static void main(String[] args)

public static void main(String... args)
```

12.2   Loading of Classes and Interfaces

Loading refers to the process of finding the binary form of a class or interface with a particular name, perhaps by computing it on the fly, but more typically by retrieving a binary representation previously computed from source code by a Java compiler, and constructing, from that binary form, a `Class` object to represent the class or interface (§1.4).

The precise semantics of loading are given in Chapter 5 of *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*. Here we present an overview of the process from the viewpoint of the Java programming language.

The binary representation of a class or interface is normally the `class` file format described in Chapter 4 of *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*, but other representations are possible, provided they meet the requirements specified in §13.1.

12.2.1   The Loading Process

The loading process is implemented by the class `ClassLoader` and its subclasses. The method `defineClass` of class `ClassLoader` may be used to construct `Class` objects from binary representations in the `class` file format (§1.4).

Different subclasses of `ClassLoader` may implement different loading policies. In particular, a class loader may cache binary representations of classes and interfaces, prefetch them based on expected usage, or load a group of related classes together. These activities may not be completely transparent to a running application if, for example, a newly compiled version of a class is not found because an older version is cached by a class loader. It is the responsibility of a class loader, however, to reflect loading errors only at points in the program where they could have arisen without prefetching or group loading.

If an error occurs during class loading, then an instance of one of the following subclasses of class `LinkageError` will be thrown at any point in the program that (directly or indirectly) uses the requested class or interface:

- `ClassCircularityError`: A requested class or interface could not be loaded because it would be its own superclass or superinterface (§8.1.4, §9.1.3, §13.4.4).

- `ClassFormatError`: The binary data that purports to specify a requested compiled class or interface is malformed.

- `NoClassDefFoundError`: No definition for a requested class or interface could be found by the relevant class loader.

Because loading involves the allocation of new data structures, it may fail with an `OutOfMemoryError`.

12.2.2   Class Loader Consistency

Well-behaved class loaders maintain these properties:

- Given the same name, a class loader should always return the same `Class` object.

- If a class loader L_1 delegates loading of a class or interface C to another loader L_2, then for any class or interface D that is named by the direct superclass type of C, or by a direct superinterface type of C, or by the type of a field in C, or by the type of a formal parameter of a method or constructor in C, or by the return type of a method in C, L_1 and L_2 should return the same `Class` object for D.

A malicious class loader could violate these properties. However, it could not undermine the security of the type system, because the Java Virtual Machine guards against this.

For further discussion of these issues, see *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition* and the paper *Dynamic Class Loading in the Java Virtual Machine*, by Sheng Liang and Gilad Bracha, in *Proceedings of OOPSLA '98*, published as *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, Volume 33, Number 10, October 1998, pages 36-44. A basic principle of the design of the Java programming language is that the run-time type system cannot be subverted by code written in the Java programming language, not even by implementations of such otherwise sensitive system classes as `ClassLoader` and `SecurityManager`.

12.3   Linking of Classes and Interfaces

Linking is the process of taking a binary form of a class or interface and combining it into the run-time state of the Java Virtual Machine, so that it can be executed. A class or interface is always loaded before it is linked.

The precise semantics of linking are given in Chapter 5 of *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*. Here we present an overview of the process from the viewpoint of the Java programming language.

Three different activities are involved in linking: verification, preparation, and resolution of symbolic references.

This specification allows an implementation flexibility as to when linking activities (and, because of recursion, loading) take place, provided that the semantics of the Java programming language are respected, that a class or interface is completely verified and prepared before it is initialized, and that errors detected during linkage are thrown at a point in the program where some action is taken by the program that might require linkage to the class or interface involved in the error.

For example, an implementation may choose to resolve each symbolic reference in a class or interface individually, only when it is used (lazy or late resolution), or to resolve them all at once while the class is being verified (static resolution). This means that the resolution process may continue, in some implementations, after a class or interface has been initialized.

Because linking involves the allocation of new data structures, it may fail with an `OutOfMemoryError`.

12.3.1   Verification of the Binary Representation

Verification ensures that the binary representation of a class or interface is structurally correct. For example, it checks that every instruction has a valid operation code; that every branch instruction branches to the start of some other instruction, rather than into the middle of an instruction; that every method is

provided with a structurally correct signature; and that every instruction obeys the type discipline of the Java Virtual Machine.

If an error occurs during verification, then an instance of the following subclass of class `LinkageError` will be thrown at the point in the program that caused the class to be verified:

- `VerifyError`: The binary definition for a class or interface failed to pass a set of required checks to verify that it obeys the semantics of the Java Virtual Machine language and that it cannot violate the integrity of the Java Virtual Machine. (See §13.4.2, §13.4.4, §13.4.9, and §13.4.17 for some examples.)

12.3.2   Preparation of a Class or Interface

Preparation involves creating the `static` fields (class variables and constants) for a class or interface and initializing such fields to the default values (§4.12.5). This does not require the execution of any source code; explicit initializers for static fields are executed as part of initialization (§12.4), not preparation.

> Implementations of the Java Virtual Machine may precompute additional data structures at preparation time in order to make later operations on a class or interface more efficient. One particularly useful data structure is a "method table" or other data structure that allows any method to be invoked on instances of a class without requiring a search of superclasses at invocation time.

12.3.3   Resolution of Symbolic References

The binary representation of a class or interface references other classes and interfaces and their fields, methods, and constructors symbolically, using the binary names (§13.1) of the other classes and interfaces. For fields and methods, these symbolic references include the name of the class or interface of which the field or method is a member, as well as the name of the field or method itself, together with appropriate type information.

Before a symbolic reference can be used it must undergo resolution, wherein a symbolic reference is checked to be correct and, typically, replaced with a direct reference that can be more efficiently processed if the reference is used repeatedly.

If an error occurs during resolution, then an error will be thrown. Most typically, this will be an instance of one of the following subclasses of the class `IncompatibleClassChangeError`, but it may also be an instance of some other subclass of `IncompatibleClassChangeError` or even an instance of the class `IncompatibleClassChangeError` itself. This error may be thrown at any point in the program that uses a symbolic reference, directly or indirectly:

- `IllegalAccessError`: A symbolic reference has been encountered that specifies a use or assignment of a field, or invocation of a method, or creation of an instance of a class, to which the code containing the reference does not have access because the field or method was declared with `private`, `protected`, or package access (not `public`), or because the class was not declared `public` in a package that is exported or opened to the code containing the reference.

   This can occur, for example, if a field that is originally declared `public` is changed to be `private` after another class that refers to the field has been compiled (§13.4.7); or if the package in which a `public` class is declared ceases to be exported by its module after another module that refers to the class has been compiled (§13.3).

- `InstantiationError`: A symbolic reference has been encountered that is used in class instance creation expression, but an instance cannot be created because the reference turns out to refer to an interface or to an abstract class.

   This can occur, for example, if a class that is originally not `abstract` is changed to be `abstract` after another class that refers to the class in question has been compiled (§13.4.1).

- `NoSuchFieldError`: A symbolic reference has been encountered that refers to a specific field of a specific class or interface, but the class or interface does not contain a field of that name.

   This can occur, for example, if a field declaration was deleted from a class after another class that refers to the field was compiled (§13.4.8).

- `NoSuchMethodError`: A symbolic reference has been encountered that refers to a specific method of a specific class or interface, but the class or interface does not contain a method of that signature.

   This can occur, for example, if a method declaration was deleted from a class after another class that refers to the method was compiled (§13.4.12).

Additionally, an `UnsatisfiedLinkError`, a subclass of `LinkageError`, may be thrown if a class declares a `native` method for which no implementation can be found. The error will occur if the method is used, or earlier, depending on what kind of resolution strategy is being used by an implementation of the Java Virtual Machine (§12.3).

12.4   Initialization of Classes and Interfaces

Initialization of a class consists of executing its static initializers and the initializers for `static` fields (class variables) declared in the class.

Initialization of an interface consists of executing the initializers for fields (constants) declared in the interface.

12.4.1   When Initialization Occurs

A class or interface `T` will be initialized immediately before the first occurrence of any one of the following:

- `T` is a class and an instance of `T` is created.

- A `static` method declared by `T` is invoked.

- A `static` field declared by `T` is assigned.

- A `static` field declared by `T` is used and the field is not a constant variable (§4.12.4).

When a class is initialized, its superclasses are initialized (if they have not been previously initialized), as well as any superinterfaces (§8.1.5) that declare any default methods (§9.4.3) (if they have not been previously initialized). Initialization of an interface does not, of itself, cause initialization of any of its superinterfaces.

A reference to a `static` field (§8.3.1.1) causes initialization of only the class or interface that actually declares it, even though it might be referred to through the name of a subclass, a subinterface, or a class that implements an interface.

Invocation of certain reflective methods in class `Class` and in package `java.lang.reflect` also causes class or interface initialization.

A class or interface will not be initialized under any other circumstance.

> Note that a compiler may generate *synthetic* default methods in an interface, that is, default methods that are neither explicitly nor implicitly declared (§13.1). Such methods will trigger the interface's initialization despite the source code giving no indication that the interface should be initialized.

The intent is that a class or interface has a set of initializers that put it in a consistent state, and that this state is the first state that is observed by other classes. The static initializers and class variable initializers are executed in textual order, and may not refer to class variables declared in the class whose declarations appear textually after the use, even though these class variables are in scope (§8.3.3). This restriction is designed to detect, at compile time, most circular or otherwise malformed initializations.

The fact that initialization code is unrestricted allows examples to be constructed where the value of a class variable can be observed when it still has its initial default

value, before its initializing expression is evaluated, but such examples are rare in practice. (Such examples can be also constructed for instance variable initialization (§12.5).) The full power of the Java programming language is available in these initializers; programmers must exercise some care. This power places an extra burden on code generators, but this burden would arise in any case because the Java programming language is concurrent (§12.4.2).

Example 12.4.1-1. Superclasses Are Initialized Before Subclasses

```
class Super {
    static { System.out.print("Super "); }
}
class One {
    static { System.out.print("One "); }
}
class Two extends Super {
    static { System.out.print("Two "); }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        One o = null;
        Two t = new Two();
        System.out.println((Object)o == (Object)t);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
Super Two false
```

The class One is never initialized, because it not used actively and therefore is never linked to. The class Two is initialized only after its superclass Super has been initialized.

Example 12.4.1-2. Only The Class That Declares static Field Is Initialized

```
class Super {
    static int taxi = 1729;
}
class Sub extends Super {
    static { System.out.print("Sub "); }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(Sub.taxi);
    }
}
```

This program prints only:

```
1729
```

because the class `Sub` is never initialized; the reference to `Sub.taxi` is a reference to a field actually declared in class `Super` and does not trigger initialization of the class `Sub`.

Example 12.4.1-3. Interface Initialization Does Not Initialize Superinterfaces

```
interface I {
    int i = 1, ii = Test.out("ii", 2);
}
interface J extends I {
    int j = Test.out("j", 3), jj = Test.out("jj", 4);
}
interface K extends J {
    int k = Test.out("k", 5);
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(J.i);
        System.out.println(K.j);
    }
    static int out(String s, int i) {
        System.out.println(s + "=" + i);
        return i;
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
1
j=3
jj=4
3
```

The reference to `J.i` is to a field that is a constant variable (§4.12.4); therefore, it does not cause `I` to be initialized (§13.4.9).

The reference to `K.j` is a reference to a field actually declared in interface `J` that is not a constant variable; this causes initialization of the fields of interface `J`, but not those of its superinterface `I`, nor those of interface `K`.

Despite the fact that the name `K` is used to refer to field `j` of interface `J`, interface `K` is not initialized.

12.4.2   Detailed Initialization Procedure

Because the Java programming language is multithreaded, initialization of a class or interface requires careful synchronization, since some other thread may be trying to initialize the same class or interface at the same time. There is also the possibility that initialization of a class or interface may be requested recursively as part of the initialization of that class or interface; for example, a variable initializer in class `A`

437

might invoke a method of an unrelated class B, which might in turn invoke a method of class A. The implementation of the Java Virtual Machine is responsible for taking care of synchronization and recursive initialization by using the following procedure.

The procedure assumes that the Class object has already been verified and prepared, and that the Class object contains state that indicates one of four situations:

- This Class object is verified and prepared but not initialized.

- This Class object is being initialized by some particular thread T.

- This Class object is fully initialized and ready for use.

- This Class object is in an erroneous state, perhaps because initialization was attempted and failed.

For each class or interface C, there is a unique initialization lock LC. The mapping from C to LC is left to the discretion of the Java Virtual Machine implementation. The procedure for initializing C is then as follows:

1. Synchronize on the initialization lock, LC, for C. This involves waiting until the current thread can acquire LC.

2. If the Class object for C indicates that initialization is in progress for C by some other thread, then release LC and block the current thread until informed that the in-progress initialization has completed, at which time repeat this step.

3. If the Class object for C indicates that initialization is in progress for C by the current thread, then this must be a recursive request for initialization. Release LC and complete normally.

4. If the Class object for C indicates that C has already been initialized, then no further action is required. Release LC and complete normally.

5. If the Class object for C is in an erroneous state, then initialization is not possible. Release LC and throw a NoClassDefFoundError.

6. Otherwise, record the fact that initialization of the Class object for C is in progress by the current thread, and release LC.

   Then, initialize the static fields of C which are constant variables (§4.12.4, §8.3.2, §9.3.1).

7. Next, if C is a class rather than an interface, then let SC be its superclass and let SI_1, ..., SI_n be all superinterfaces of C that declare at least one default method. The order of superinterfaces is given by a recursive enumeration over

the superinterface hierarchy of each interface directly implemented by `C` (in the left-to-right order of `C`'s `implements` clause). For each interface `I` directly implemented by `C`, the enumeration recurs on `I`'s superinterfaces (in the left-to-right order of `I`'s `extends` clause) before returning `I`.

For each `S` in the list [`SC`, `SI₁`, ..., `SIₙ`], if `S` has not yet been initialized, then recursively perform this entire procedure for `S`. If necessary, verify and prepare `S` first.

If the initialization of `S` completes abruptly because of a thrown exception, then acquire `LC`, label the `Class` object for `C` as erroneous, notify all waiting threads, release `LC`, and complete abruptly, throwing the same exception that resulted from initializing `S`.

8. Next, determine whether assertions are enabled (§14.10) for `C` by querying its defining class loader.

9. Next, execute either the class variable initializers and static initializers of the class, or the field initializers of the interface, in textual order, as though they were a single block.

10. If the execution of the initializers completes normally, then acquire `LC`, label the `Class` object for `C` as fully initialized, notify all waiting threads, release `LC`, and complete this procedure normally.

11. Otherwise, the initializers must have completed abruptly by throwing some exception `E`. If the class of `E` is not `Error` or one of its subclasses, then create a new instance of the class `ExceptionInInitializerError`, with `E` as the argument, and use this object in place of `E` in the following step. If a new instance of `ExceptionInInitializerError` cannot be created because an `OutOfMemoryError` occurs, then instead use an `OutOfMemoryError` object in place of `E` in the following step.

12. Acquire `LC`, label the `Class` object for `C` as erroneous, notify all waiting threads, release `LC`, and complete this procedure abruptly with reason `E` or its replacement as determined in the previous step.

An implementation may optimize this procedure by eliding the lock acquisition in step 1 (and release in step 4/5) when it can determine that the initialization of the class has already completed, provided that, in terms of the memory model, all happens-before orderings that would exist if the lock were acquired, still exist when the optimization is performed.

Code generators need to preserve the points of possible initialization of a class or interface, inserting an invocation of the initialization procedure described above. If this initialization procedure completes normally and the `Class` object is fully initialized and ready for use, then the invocation of the initialization procedure is no longer necessary and it may be

eliminated from the code - for example, by patching it out or otherwise regenerating the code.

Compile-time analysis may, in some cases, be able to eliminate many of the checks that a class or interface has been initialized from the generated code, if an initialization order for a group of related classes and interfaces can be determined. Such analysis must, however, fully account for concurrency and for the fact that initialization code is unrestricted.

12.5   Creation of New Class Instances

A new class instance is explicitly created when evaluation of a class instance creation expression (§15.9) causes a class to be instantiated.

A new class instance may be implicitly created in the following situations:

- Loading of a class or interface that contains a string literal (§3.10.5) or a text block (§3.10.6) may create a new `String` object to denote the string represented by the string literal or text block. (This object creation will not occur if an instance of `String` denoting the same sequence of Unicode code points as the string represented by the string literal or text block has previously been interned.)

- Execution of an operation that causes boxing conversion (§5.1.7). Boxing conversion may create a new object of a wrapper class (`Boolean`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Character`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, `Double`) associated with one of the primitive types.

- Execution of a string concatenation operator + (§15.18.1) that is not part of a constant expression (§15.29) always creates a new `String` object to represent the result. String concatenation operators may also create temporary wrapper objects for a value of a primitive type.

- Evaluation of a method reference expression (§15.13.3) or a lambda expression (§15.27.4) may require that a new instance be created of a class that implements a functional interface type (§9.8).

Each of these situations identifies a particular constructor (§8.8) to be called with specified arguments (possibly none) as part of the class instance creation process.

Whenever a new class instance is created, memory space is allocated for it with room for all the instance variables declared in the class and all the instance variables declared in each superclass of the class, including all the instance variables that may be hidden (§8.3).

If there is not sufficient space available to allocate memory for the object, then creation of the class instance completes abruptly with an `OutOfMemoryError`.

Otherwise, all the instance variables in the new object, including those declared in superclasses, are initialized to their default values (§4.12.5).

Just before a reference to the newly created object is returned as the result, the indicated constructor is processed to initialize the new object using the following procedure:

1. Assign the arguments for the constructor to newly created parameter variables for this constructor invocation.

2. If this constructor begins with an explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1) of another constructor in the same class (using `this`), then evaluate the arguments and process that constructor invocation recursively using these same five steps. If that constructor invocation completes abruptly, then this procedure completes abruptly for the same reason; otherwise, continue with step 5.

3. This constructor does not begin with an explicit constructor invocation of another constructor in the same class (using `this`). If this constructor is for a class other than `Object`, then this constructor will begin with an explicit or implicit invocation of a superclass constructor (using `super`). Evaluate the arguments and process that superclass constructor invocation recursively using these same five steps. If that constructor invocation completes abruptly, then this procedure completes abruptly for the same reason. Otherwise, continue with step 4.

4. Execute the instance initializers and instance variable initializers for this class, assigning the values of instance variable initializers to the corresponding instance variables, in the left-to-right order in which they appear textually in the source code for the class. If execution of any of these initializers results in an exception, then no further initializers are processed and this procedure completes abruptly with that same exception. Otherwise, continue with step 5.

5. Execute the rest of the body of this constructor. If that execution completes abruptly, then this procedure completes abruptly for the same reason. Otherwise, this procedure completes normally.

Unlike C++, the Java programming language does not specify altered rules for method dispatch during the creation of a new class instance. If methods are invoked that are overridden in subclasses in the object being initialized, then these overriding methods are used, even before the new object is completely initialized.

Example 12.5-1. Evaluation of Instance Creation

```
class Point {
    int x, y;
    Point() { x = 1; y = 1; }
```

```
        }
        class ColoredPoint extends Point {
            int color = 0xFF00FF;
        }
        class Test {
            public static void main(String[] args) {
                ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
                System.out.println(cp.color);
            }
        }
```

Here, a new instance of `ColoredPoint` is created. First, space is allocated for the new `ColoredPoint`, to hold the fields `x`, `y`, and `color`. All these fields are then initialized to their default values (in this case, `0` for each field). Next, the `ColoredPoint` constructor with no arguments is first invoked. Since `ColoredPoint` declares no constructors, a default constructor of the following form is implicitly declared:

```
        ColoredPoint() { super(); }
```

This constructor then invokes the `Point` constructor with no arguments. The `Point` constructor does not begin with an invocation of a constructor, so the Java compiler provides an implicit invocation of its superclass constructor of no arguments, as though it had been written:

```
        Point() { super(); x = 1; y = 1; }
```

Therefore, the constructor for `Object` which takes no arguments is invoked.

The class `Object` has no superclass, so the recursion terminates here. Next, any instance initializers and instance variable initializers of `Object` are invoked. Next, the body of the constructor of `Object` that takes no arguments is executed. No such constructor is declared in `Object`, so the Java compiler supplies a default one, which in this special case is:

```
        Object() { }
```

This constructor executes without effect and returns.

Next, all initializers for the instance variables of class `Point` are executed. As it happens, the declarations of `x` and `y` do not provide any initialization expressions, so no action is required for this step of the example. Then the body of the `Point` constructor is executed, setting `x` to `1` and `y` to `1`.

Next, the initializers for the instance variables of class `ColoredPoint` are executed. This step assigns the value `0xFF00FF` to `color`. Finally, the rest of the body of the `ColoredPoint` constructor is executed (the part after the invocation of `super`); there happen to be no statements in the rest of the body, so no further action is required and initialization is complete.

Example 12.5-2. Dynamic Dispatch During Instance Creation

```
        class Super {
```

```
        Super() { printThree(); }
        void printThree() { System.out.println("three"); }
}
class Test extends Super {
    int three = (int)Math.PI;  // That is, 3
    void printThree() { System.out.println(three); }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Test t = new Test();
        t.printThree();
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
0
3
```

This shows that the invocation of `printThree` in the constructor for class `Super` does not invoke the definition of `printThree` in class `Super`, but rather invokes the overriding definition of `printThree` in class `Test`. This method therefore runs before the field initializers of `Test` have been executed, which is why the first value output is `0`, the default value to which the field `three` of `Test` is initialized. The later invocation of `printThree` in method `main` invokes the same definition of `printThree`, but by that point the initializer for instance variable `three` has been executed, and so the value `3` is printed.

12.6 Finalization of Class Instances

As of Java SE 20, the Java SE Platform Specification allows finalization of class instances to be disabled in an implementation of the Java SE Platform, in anticipation of the removal of finalization from a future release of the Java SE Platform.

The class `Object` has a `protected` method called `finalize`; this method can be overridden by other classes. The particular definition of `finalize` that can be invoked for an object is called the *finalizer* of that object. Before the storage for an object is reclaimed by the garbage collector, the Java Virtual Machine will invoke the finalizer of that object.

Finalizers provide a chance to free up resources that cannot be freed automatically by an automatic storage manager. In such situations, simply reclaiming the memory used by an object would not guarantee that the resources it held would be reclaimed.

The Java programming language does not specify how soon a finalizer will be invoked, except to say that it will happen before the storage for the object is reused.

The Java programming language does not specify which thread will invoke the finalizer for any given object.

It is important to note that many finalizer threads may be active (this is sometimes needed on large shared memory multiprocessors), and that if a large connected data structure becomes garbage, all of the `finalize` methods for every object in that data structure could be invoked at the same time, each finalizer invocation running in a different thread.

The Java programming language imposes no ordering on `finalize` method calls. Finalizers may be called in any order, or even concurrently.

As an example, if a circularly linked group of unfinalized objects becomes unreachable (or finalizer-reachable), then all the objects may become finalizable together. Eventually, the finalizers for these objects may be invoked, in any order, or even concurrently using multiple threads. If the automatic storage manager later finds that the objects are unreachable, then their storage can be reclaimed.

It is straightforward to implement a class that will cause a set of finalizer-like methods to be invoked in a specified order for a set of objects when all the objects become unreachable. Defining such a class is left as an exercise for the reader.

It is guaranteed that the thread that invokes the finalizer will not be holding any user-visible synchronization locks when the finalizer is invoked.

If an uncaught exception is thrown during the finalization, the exception is ignored and finalization of that object terminates.

The completion of an object's constructor happens-before (§17.4.5) the execution of its `finalize` method (in the formal sense of happens-before).

The `finalize` method declared in class `Object` takes no action. The fact that class `Object` declares a `finalize` method means that the `finalize` method for any class can always invoke the `finalize` method for its superclass. This should always be done, unless it is the programmer's intent to nullify the actions of the finalizer in the superclass. (Unlike constructors, finalizers do not automatically invoke the finalizer for the superclass; such an invocation must be coded explicitly.)

For efficiency, an implementation may keep track of classes that do not override the `finalize` method of class `Object`, or override it in a trivial way.

For example:

```
protected void finalize() throws Throwable {
    super.finalize();
}
```

We encourage implementations to treat such objects as having a finalizer that is not overridden, and to finalize them more efficiently, as described in §12.6.1.

A finalizer may be invoked explicitly, just like any other method.

The package `java.lang.ref` describes weak references, which interact with garbage collection and finalization. As with any API that has special interactions with the Java programming language, implementors must be cognizant of any requirements imposed by the `java.lang.ref` API. This specification does not discuss weak references in any way. Readers are referred to the API documentation for details.

12.6.1   Implementing Finalization

Every object can be characterized by two attributes: it may be *reachable*, *finalizer-reachable*, or *unreachable*, and it may also be *unfinalized*, *finalizable*, or *finalized*.

A *reachable* object is any object that can be accessed in any potential continuing computation from any live thread.

A *finalizer-reachable* object can be reached from some finalizable object through some chain of references, but not from any live thread.

An *unreachable* object cannot be reached by either means.

An *unfinalized* object has never had its finalizer automatically invoked.

A *finalized* object has had its finalizer automatically invoked.

A *finalizable* object has never had its finalizer automatically invoked, but the Java Virtual Machine may eventually automatically invoke its finalizer.

An object o is not finalizable until its constructor has invoked the constructor for `Object` on o and that invocation has completed successfully (that is, without throwing an exception). Every pre-finalization write to a field of an object must be visible to the finalization of that object. Furthermore, none of the pre-finalization reads of fields of that object may see writes that occur after finalization of that object is initiated.

Optimizing transformations of a program can be designed that reduce the number of objects that are reachable to be less than those which would naively be considered reachable. For example, a Java compiler or code generator may choose to set a variable or parameter that will no longer be used to `null` to cause the storage for such an object to be potentially reclaimable sooner.

Another example of this occurs if the values in an object's fields are stored in registers. The program may then access the registers instead of the object, and never access the object again. This would imply that the object is garbage. Note that this sort of optimization is only allowed if references are on the stack, not stored in the heap.

For example, consider the *Finalizer Guardian* pattern:

```
class Foo {
    private final Object finalizerGuardian = new Object() {
        protected void finalize() throws Throwable {
            /* finalize outer Foo object */
        }
    }
}
```

The finalizer guardian forces `super.finalize` to be called if a subclass overrides `finalize` and does not explicitly call `super.finalize`.

If these optimizations are allowed for references that are stored on the heap, then a Java compiler can detect that the `finalizerGuardian` field is never read, null it out, collect the object immediately, and call the finalizer early. This runs counter to the intent: the programmer probably wanted to call the `Foo` finalizer when the `Foo` instance became unreachable. This sort of transformation is therefore not legal: the inner class object should be reachable for as long as the outer class object is reachable.

Transformations of this sort may result in invocations of the `finalize` method occurring earlier than might be otherwise expected. In order to allow the user to prevent this, we enforce the notion that synchronization may keep the object alive. *If an object's finalizer can result in synchronization on that object, then that object must be alive and considered reachable whenever a lock is held on it.*

Note that this does not prevent synchronization elimination: synchronization only keeps an object alive if a finalizer might synchronize on it. Since the finalizer occurs in another thread, in many cases the synchronization could not be removed anyway.

12.6.2   Interaction with the Memory Model

It must be possible for the memory model (§17.4) to decide when it can commit actions that take place in a finalizer. This section describes the interaction of finalization with the memory model.

Each execution has a number of *reachability decision points*, labeled *di*. Each action either *comes-before di* or *comes-after di*. Other than as explicitly mentioned, the comes-before ordering described in this section is unrelated to all other orderings in the memory model.

If *r* is a read that sees a write *w* and *r* comes-before *di*, then *w* must come-before *di*.

If *x* and *y* are synchronization actions on the same variable or monitor such that *so(x, y)* (§17.4.4) and *y* comes-before *di*, then *x* must come-before *di*.

At each reachability decision point, some set of objects are marked as unreachable, and some subset of those objects are marked as finalizable. These reachability decision points are also the points at which references are checked, enqueued, and

cleared according to the rules provided in the API documentation for the package `java.lang.ref`.

The only objects that are considered definitely reachable at a point *di* are those that can be shown to be reachable by the application of these rules:

- An object *B* is definitely reachable at *di* from `static` fields if there exists a write *w1* to a `static` field *v* of a class *C* such that the value written by *w1* is a reference to *B*, the class *C* is loaded by a reachable classloader, and there does not exist a write *w2* to *v* such that *hb(w2, w1)* is not true and both *w1* and *w2* come-before *di*.

- An object *B* is definitely reachable from *A* at *di* if there is a write *w1* to an element *v* of *A* such that the value written by *w1* is a reference to *B* and there does not exist a write *w2* to *v* such that *hb(w2, w1)* is not true and both *w1* and *w2* come-before *di*.

- If an object *C* is definitely reachable from an object *B*, and object *B* is definitely reachable from an object *A*, then *C* is definitely reachable from *A*.

If an object *x* is marked as unreachable at *di*, then:

- *x* must not be definitely reachable at *di* from `static` fields; and

- All *active uses* of *x* in thread *t* that come-after *di* must occur in the finalizer invocation for *x* or as a result of thread *t* performing a read that comes-after *di* of a reference to *x*; and

- All reads that come-after *di* that see a reference to *x* must see writes to elements of objects that were unreachable at *di*, or see writes that came-after *di*.

An action *a* is an active use of *x* if and only if at least one of the following is true:

- *a* reads or writes an element of *x*

- *a* locks or unlocks *x* and there is a lock action on *x* that happens-after the invocation of the finalizer for *x*

- *a* writes a reference to *x*

- *a* is an active use of an object *Y*, and *x* is definitely reachable from *Y*

If an object *x* is marked as finalizable at *di*, then:

- *x* must be marked as unreachable at *di*; and

- *di* must be the only place where *x* is marked as finalizable; and

- actions that happen-after the finalizer invocation must come-after *di*.

12.7   Unloading of Classes and Interfaces

An implementation of the Java programming language may *unload* classes.

A class or interface may be unloaded if and only if its defining class loader may be reclaimed by the garbage collector as discussed in §12.6.

Classes and interfaces loaded by the bootstrap loader may not be unloaded.

> Class unloading is an optimization that helps reduce memory use. Obviously, the semantics of a program should not depend on whether and how a system chooses to implement an optimization such as class unloading. To do otherwise would compromise the portability of programs. Consequently, whether a class or interface has been unloaded or not should be transparent to a program.

> However, if a class or interface C was unloaded while its defining loader was potentially reachable, then C might be reloaded. One could never ensure that this would not happen. Even if the class was not referenced by any other currently loaded class, it might be referenced by some class or interface, D, that had not yet been loaded. When D is loaded by C's defining loader, its execution might cause reloading of C.

> Reloading may not be transparent if, for example, the class has `static` variables (whose state would be lost), static initializers (which may have side effects), or `native` methods (which may retain static state). Furthermore, the hash value of the `Class` object is dependent on its identity. Therefore it is, in general, impossible to reload a class or interface in a completely transparent manner.

> Since we can never guarantee that unloading a class or interface whose loader is potentially reachable will not cause reloading, and reloading is never transparent, but unloading must be transparent, it follows that one must not unload a class or interface while its loader is potentially reachable. A similar line of reasoning can be used to deduce that classes and interfaces loaded by the bootstrap loader can never be unloaded.

> One must also argue why it is safe to unload a class C if its defining class loader can be reclaimed. If the defining loader can be reclaimed, then there can never be any live references to it (this includes references that are not live, but might be resurrected by finalizers). This, in turn, can only be true if there are can never be any live references to any of the classes defined by that loader, including C, either from their instances or from code.

> Class unloading is an optimization that is only significant for applications that load large numbers of classes and that stop using most of those classes after some time. A prime example of such an application is a web browser, but there are others. A characteristic of such applications is that they manage classes through explicit use of class loaders. As a result, the policy outlined above works well for them.

> Strictly speaking, it is not essential that the issue of class unloading be discussed by this specification, as class unloading is merely an optimization. However, the issue is very subtle, and so it is mentioned here by way of clarification.

12.8   Program Exit

A program consists of one or more threads of execution. A thread is either a non-daemon thread, a daemon thread, or a shutdown hook.

> Readers are referred to the API specifications of `Thread` and `Runtime` for details of how threads obtain daemon status, and how shutdown hooks are registered.

A thread *terminates* if either (i) its `run` method completes normally, or (ii) its `run` method completes abruptly and the relevant uncaught exception handler (§11.3) completes normally or abruptly. With no code left to run, the thread has completed execution and therefore has no current method (JVMS §2.5.1).

A program *exits* when one of the following situations has occurred:

1. All of its non-daemon threads have terminated, and all of the shutdown hooks which consequently were started by the Java Virtual Machine, if any, have terminated.

2. A thread invoked `System.exit` or `Runtime.exit`, and all of the shutdown hooks which consequently were started by the Java Virtual Machine, if any, have terminated.

3. A thread invoked `Runtime.halt`. (No shutdown hooks are started in this situation.)

4. The Java Virtual Machine implementation recognized an external event as requesting termination of the Java Virtual Machine, and all of the shutdown hooks which consequently were started by the Java Virtual Machine, if any, have terminated.

   The nature of the event is outside the scope of this specification, but is necessarily something that a Java Virtual Machine implementation can handle reliably. An example is receiving a signal from the operating system.

5. An external event occurred that the Java Virtual Machine implementation cannot handle. (No shutdown hooks are started in this situation.)

   The nature of the event is outside the scope of this specification, but is necessarily something that a Java Virtual Machine implementation cannot recognize or recover from in any way. Examples include a fatal error occurring in the process running the implementation, or power being removed from the computer running the implementation.

Upon program exit, any daemon or non-daemon thread that has not yet terminated will execute no further Java code. The current method of the thread does not

complete normally or abruptly. No `finally` clause of any method in the thread is executed, nor any uncaught exception handler.

If program exit occurs because a thread invoked `Runtime.halt` *while shutdown hooks were running*, then, in addition to daemon and non-daemon threads, any shutdown hook that has not yet terminated will execute no further Java code.

> Except for this unusual situation involving `Runtime.halt`, program exit depends on the termination of any shutdown hooks which were started. The rationale is as follows. When the number of non-daemon threads drops to zero or a thread invokes `System.exit` or `Runtime.exit`, it is likely that the program has no more work to do and is transitioning toward exit; however, the program may still have other threads that are performing ancillary tasks, and it would be undesirable to stop them in their tracks. Shutdown hooks let the program gracefully interrupt and bring down such threads in an application-specific manner; accordingly, the program has not yet exited if shutdown hooks are still running.

> Native applications can use the JNI Invocation API to create and destroy the Java Virtual Machine in such a way that a Java program, having started execution in the `main` method of an initial class (§12.1), exits as described in the first situation above.

Binary Compatibility

DEVELOPMENT tools for the Java programming language should support automatic recompilation as necessary whenever source code is available. Particular implementations may also store the source and binary representations of classes and interfaces in a versioning database and implement a `ClassLoader` that uses integrity mechanisms of the database to prevent linkage errors by providing binary-compatible versions of classes and interfaces to clients.

Developers of packages, classes, and interfaces that are to be widely distributed face a different set of problems. In the Internet, which is our favorite example of a widely distributed system, it is often impractical or impossible to automatically recompile the pre-existing binaries that directly or indirectly depend on a class or interface that is to be changed. Instead, this specification defines a set of changes that developers are permitted to make to a package or to a class or interface while preserving (not breaking) compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Within the framework of *Release-to-Release Binary Compatibility in SOM* (Forman, Conner, Danforth, and Raper, *Proceedings of OOPSLA '95*), Java programming language binaries are binary compatible under all relevant transformations that the authors identify (with some caveats with respect to the addition of instance variables). Using their scheme, here is a list of some important binary compatible changes that the Java programming language supports:

- Reimplementing existing methods, constructors, and initializers to improve performance.

- Changing methods or constructors to return values on inputs for which they previously either threw exceptions that normally should not occur or failed by going into an infinite loop or causing a deadlock.

- Adding new fields, methods, or constructors to an existing class or interface.

- Deleting `private` fields, methods, or constructors of a class.

- When an entire package is updated, deleting package access fields, methods, or constructors of classes and interfaces in the package.

- Reordering the fields, methods, or constructors in an existing class or interface declaration.

- Moving a method upward in the class hierarchy.

- Reordering the list of direct superinterfaces of a class or interface.

- Inserting new class or interface types in the type hierarchy.

This chapter specifies minimum standards for binary compatibility guaranteed by all implementations. The Java programming language guarantees compatibility when binaries of classes and interfaces are mixed that are not known to be from compatible sources, but whose sources have been modified in the compatible ways described here. Note that we are discussing compatibility between releases of an application. A discussion of compatibility among releases of the Java SE Platform is beyond the scope of this chapter.

We encourage development systems to provide facilities that alert developers to the impact of changes on pre-existing binaries that cannot be recompiled.

This chapter first specifies some properties that any binary format for the Java programming language must have (§13.1). It next defines binary compatibility, explaining what it is and what it is not (§13.2). It finally enumerates a large set of possible changes to packages (§13.3), classes (§13.4), and interfaces (§13.5), specifying which of these changes are guaranteed to preserve binary compatibility and which are not.

13.1   The Form of a Binary

Programs must be compiled either into the `class` file format specified by *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*, or into a representation that can be mapped into that format by a class loader written in the Java programming language.

A `class` file corresponding to a class or interface declaration must have certain properties. A number of these properties are specifically chosen to support source code transformations that preserve binary compatibility. The required properties are:

1. The class or interface must be named by its *binary name*, which must meet the following constraints:

   - The binary name of a top level class or interface (§7.6) is its canonical name (§6.7).

   - The binary name of a member class or interface (§8.5, §9.5) consists of the binary name of its immediately enclosing class or interface, followed by $, followed by the simple name of the member.

   - The binary name of a local class or interface (§14.3) consists of the binary name of its immediately enclosing class or interface, followed by $, followed by a non-empty sequence of digits, followed by the simple name of the local class.

   - The binary name of an anonymous class (§15.9.5) consists of the binary name of its immediately enclosing class or interface, followed by $, followed by a non-empty sequence of digits.

   - The binary name of a type variable declared by a generic class or interface (§8.1.2, §9.1.2) is the binary name of its immediately enclosing class or interface, followed by $, followed by the simple name of the type variable.

   - The binary name of a type variable declared by a generic method (§8.4.4) is the binary name of the class or interface declaring the method, followed by $, followed by the descriptor of the method (JVMS §4.3.3), followed by $, followed by the simple name of the type variable.

   - The binary name of a type variable declared by a generic constructor (§8.8.4) is the binary name of the class declaring the constructor, followed by $, followed by the descriptor of the constructor (JVMS §4.3.3), followed by $, followed by the simple name of the type variable.

2. A reference to another class or interface must be symbolic, using the binary name of the class or interface.

3. A reference to a field that is a constant variable (§4.12.4) must be resolved at compile time to the value *v* denoted by the constant variable's initializer.

   If such a field is `static`, then no reference to the field should be present in the code in a binary file, including the class or interface which declared the field. Such a field must always appear to have been initialized (§12.4.2); the default initial value for the field (if different than *v*) must never be observed.

   If such a field is non-`static`, then no reference to the field should be present in the code in a binary file, except in the class containing the field. (It will be a class rather than an interface, since an interface has only `static` fields.)

The class should have code to set the field's value to v during instance creation (§12.5).

4. Given a legal expression denoting a field access in a class c, referencing a field named f that is not a constant variable and is declared in a (possibly distinct) class or interface D, we define the *qualifying class or interface of the field reference* as follows:

    - If the expression is referenced by a simple name, then if f is a member of the current class or interface, c, then let Q be c. Otherwise, let Q be the innermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of which f is a member. In either case, Q is the qualifying class or interface of the reference.

    - If the reference is of the form *TypeName*.f, where *TypeName* denotes a class or interface, then the class or interface denoted by *TypeName* is the qualifying class or interface of the reference.

    - If the expression is of the form *ExpressionName*.f or *Primary*.f, then:

      – If the compile-time type of *ExpressionName* or *Primary* is an intersection type V_1 & ... & V_n (§4.9), then the qualifying class or interface of the reference is the erasure (§4.6) of V_1.

      – Otherwise, the erasure of the compile-time type of *ExpressionName* or *Primary* is the qualifying class or interface of the reference.

    - If the expression is of the form super.f, then the superclass of c is the qualifying class or interface of the reference.

    - If the expression is of the form *TypeName*.super.f, then the superclass of the class denoted by *TypeName* is the qualifying class or interface of the reference.

    The reference to f must be compiled into a symbolic reference to the qualifying class or interface of the reference, plus the simple name of the field, f.

    The reference must also include a symbolic reference to the erasure of the declared type of the field, so that the verifier can check that the type is as expected.

5. Given a method invocation expression or a method reference expression in a class or interface c, referencing a method named m declared (or implicitly

declared (§9.2)) in a (possibly distinct) class or interface D, we define the *qualifying class or interface of the method invocation* as follows:

- If D is `Object` then the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation is `Object`.

- Otherwise:

  - If the method is referenced by a simple name, then if m is a member of the current class or interface C, let Q be C; otherwise, let Q be the innermost lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of which m is a member. In either case, Q is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation.

  - If the expression is of the form *TypeName*.m or *ReferenceType*::m, then the class or interface denoted by *TypeName*, or the erasure of *ReferenceType*, is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation.

  - If the expression is of the form *ExpressionName*.m or *Primary*.m or *ExpressionName*::m or *Primary*::m, then:

    › If the compile-time type of *ExpressionName* or *Primary* is an intersection type V_1 & ... & V_n, then the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation is the erasure of V_1.

    › Otherwise, the erasure of the compile-time type of *ExpressionName* or *Primary* is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation.

  - If the expression is of the form `super`.m or `super`::m, then the superclass of C is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation.

  - If the expression is of the form *TypeName*.`super`.m or *TypeName*.`super`::m, then if *TypeName* denotes a class X, the superclass of X is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation; if *TypeName* denotes an interface X, X is the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation.

A reference to a method must be resolved at compile time to a symbolic reference to the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation, plus

the erasure of the declared signature (§8.4.2) of the method. The signature of a method must include all of the following as determined by §15.12.3:

- The simple name of the method

- The number of parameters to the method

- A symbolic reference to the type of each parameter

A reference to a method must also include either a symbolic reference to the erasure of the return type of the denoted method or an indication that the denoted method is declared `void` and does not return a value.

6. Given a class instance creation expression (§15.9) or an explicit constructor invocation statement (§8.8.7.1) or a method reference expression of the form *ClassType* `::` `new` (§15.13) in a class or interface `C`, referencing a constructor `m` declared in a (possibly distinct) class or interface `D`, we define the *qualifying class of the constructor invocation* as follows:

   - If the expression is of the form `new D(...)` or *ExpressionName*`.new D(...)` or *Primary*`.new D(...)` or `D :: new`, then the qualifying class of the constructor invocation is `D`.

   - If the expression is of the form `new D(...){...}` or *ExpressionName*`.new D(...){...}` or *Primary*`.new D(...){...}`, then the qualifying class of the constructor invocation is the anonymous class declared by the expression.

   - If the expression is of the form `super(...)` or *ExpressionName*`.super(...)` or *Primary*`.super(...)`, then the qualifying class of the constructor invocation is the direct superclass of `C`.

   - If the expression is of the form `this(...)`, then the qualifying class of the constructor invocation is `C`.

   A reference to a constructor must be resolved at compile time to a symbolic reference to the qualifying class of the constructor invocation, plus the declared signature of the constructor (§8.8.2). The signature of a constructor must include both:

   - The number of parameters of the constructor

   - A symbolic reference to the type of each formal parameter

A binary representation for a class or interface must also contain all of the following:

1. If it is a class and is not `Object`, then a symbolic reference to the direct superclass of this class.

2. A symbolic reference to each direct superinterface, if any.

3. A specification of each field declared in the class or interface, given as the simple name of the field and a symbolic reference to the erasure of the type of the field.

4. If it is a class, then the erased signature of each constructor, as described above.

5. For each method declared in the class or interface (excluding, for an interface, its implicitly declared methods (§9.2)), its erased signature and return type, as described above.

6. The code needed to implement the class or interface:

   - For an interface, code for the field initializers and the implementation of each method with a block body (§9.4.3).

   - For a class, code for the field initializers, the instance and static initializers, the implementation of each method with a block body (§8.4.7), and the implementation of each constructor.

7. Every class or interface must contain sufficient information to recover its canonical name (§6.7).

8. Every member class or interface must have sufficient information to recover its source-level access modifier (§6.6).

9. Every nested class or interface must have a symbolic reference to its immediately enclosing class or interface (§8.1.3).

10. Every class or interface must contain symbolic references to all of its member classes and interfaces (§8.5, §9.5), and to all other nested classes and interfaces declared within its body.

11. A construct emitted by a Java compiler must be marked as *synthetic* if it does not correspond to a construct declared explicitly or implicitly in source code, unless the emitted construct is a class initialization method (JVMS §2.9).

12. A construct emitted by a Java compiler must be marked as *mandated* if it corresponds to a formal parameter declared implicitly in source code (§8.8.1, §8.8.9, §8.9.3, §15.9.5.1).

   The following formal parameters are declared implicitly in source code:

   - The first formal parameter of a constructor of a non-`private` inner member class (§8.8.1, §8.8.9).

   - The first formal parameter of an anonymous constructor of an anonymous class whose superclass is an inner class (not in a static context) (§15.9.5.1).

- The formal parameter `name` of the `valueOf` method which is implicitly declared in an enum class (§8.9.3).

- The formal parameters of a compact constructor of a record class (§8.10.4).

For reference, the following constructs are declared implicitly in source code, but are not marked as mandated because only formal parameters and modules can be so marked in a `class` file (JVMS §4.7.24, JVMS §4.7.25):

- Default constructors of normal and enum classes (§8.8.9, §8.9.2)

- Canonical constructors of record classes (§8.10.4)

- Anonymous constructors (§15.9.5.1)

- The `values` and `valueOf` methods of enum classes (§8.9.3)

- Certain `public` fields of enum classes (§8.9.3)

- Certain `private` fields and `public` methods of record classes (§8.10.3)

- Certain `public` methods of interfaces (§9.2)

- Container annotations (§9.7.5)

A `class` file corresponding to a module declaration must have the properties of a `class` file for a class whose binary name is `module-info` and which has no superclass, no superinterfaces, no fields, and no methods. In addition, the binary representation of the module must contain all of the following:

- A specification of the name of the module, given as a symbolic reference to the name indicated after `module`. Also, the specification must include whether the module is normal or open (§7.7).

- A specification of each dependence denoted by a `requires` directive, given as a symbolic reference to the name of the module indicated by the directive (§7.7.1). Also, the specification must include whether the dependence is `transitive` and whether the dependence is `static`.

- A specification of each package denoted by an `exports` or `opens` directive, given as a symbolic reference to the name of the package indicated by the directive (§7.7.2). Also, if the directive was qualified, the specification must give symbolic references to the names of the modules indicated by the directive's `to` clause.

- A specification of each service denoted by a `uses` directive, given as a symbolic reference to the name of the class or interface indicated by the directive (§7.7.3).

- A specification of the service providers denoted by a `provides` directive, given as symbolic references to the names of the classes and interfaces indicated by the directive's `with` clause (§7.7.4). Also, the specification must give a symbolic

reference to the name of the class or interface indicated as the service by the directive.

The following sections discuss changes that may be made to class and interface declarations without breaking compatibility with pre-existing binaries. Under the translation requirements given above, the Java Virtual Machine and its `class` file format support these changes. Any other valid binary format, such as a compressed or encrypted representation that is mapped back into `class` files by a class loader under the above requirements, will necessarily support these changes as well.

13.2   What Binary Compatibility Is and Is Not

A change to a type is *binary compatible with* (equivalently, does not *break binary compatibility* with) pre-existing binaries if pre-existing binaries that previously linked without error will continue to link without error.

Binaries are compiled to rely on the accessible members and constructors of other classes and interfaces. To preserve binary compatibility, a class or interface should treat its accessible members and constructors, their existence and behavior, as a *contract* with its users.

The Java programming language is designed to prevent additions to contracts and accidental name collisions from breaking binary compatibility. Specifically, addition of more methods overloading a particular method name does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries. The method signature that the pre-existing binary will use for method lookup is chosen by the overload resolution algorithm at compile time (§15.12.2).

> If the Java programming language had been designed so that the particular method to be executed was chosen at run time, then such an ambiguity might be detected at run time. Such a rule would imply that adding an additional overloaded method so as to make ambiguity possible at a call site could break compatibility with an unknown number of pre-existing binaries. See §13.4.23 for more discussion.

Binary compatibility is not the same as source compatibility. In particular, the example in §13.4.6 shows that a set of compatible binaries can be produced from sources that will not compile all together. This example is typical: a new declaration is added, changing the meaning of a name in an unchanged part of the source code, while the pre-existing binary for that unchanged part of the source code retains the fully-qualified, previous meaning of the name. Producing a consistent set of source code requires providing a qualified name or field access expression corresponding to the previous meaning.

13.3   Evolution of Packages and Modules

A new top level class or interface may be added to a package without breaking compatibility with pre-existing binaries, provided the new class or interface does not reuse a name previously given to an unrelated class or interface. If a new class or interface reuses a name previously given to an unrelated class or interface, then a conflict may result, since binaries for both classes or interfaces could not be loaded by the same class loader.

Changes in top level classes and interfaces that are not `public` and that are not a superclass or superinterface, respectively, of a `public` class or interface, affect only classes and interfaces within the package in which they are declared. Such classes and interfaces may be deleted or otherwise changed, even if incompatibilities are otherwise described here, provided that the affected binaries of that package are updated together.

If a module that was declared to export or open a package is changed to not export or open the package, or to export or open the package to a different set of friends, then an `IllegalAccessError` is thrown if a pre-existing binary is linked that needs but no longer has access to the `public` and `protected` classes and interfaces of the package. Such a change is not recommended for modules that have been widely distributed.

If a module was not declared to export or open a given package, then changing the module to export or open the package does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries. However, changing the module to export the package may prevent the program from starting, since any module that reads the module may also read some other module that exports a package with the same name.

Adding a `requires` directive to a module declaration, or adding the `transitive` modifier to a `requires` directive, does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries. However, it may prevent the program from starting, since the module may now read multiple modules that export packages with the same name.

Deleting a `requires` directive in a module declaration, or deleting the `transitive` modifier from a `requires` directive, may break compatibility with any pre-existing binary that relied on the directive or modifier for readability of a given module in the course of referencing classes and interfaces exported by that module. An `IllegalAccessError` may be thrown when such a reference from a pre-existing binary is linked.

Adding or deleting a `uses` or `provides` directive in a module declaration does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

13.4   Evolution of Classes

This section describes the effects of changes to the declaration of a class and its members and constructors on pre-existing binaries.

13.4.1   `abstract` Classes

If a class that was not declared `abstract` is changed to be declared `abstract`, then pre-existing binaries that attempt to create new instances of that class will throw either an `InstantiationError` at link time, or (if a reflective method is used) an `InstantiationException` at run time; such a change is therefore not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Changing a class that is declared `abstract` to no longer be declared `abstract` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

13.4.2   `sealed`, `non-sealed`, and `final` Classes

13.4.2.1   `sealed` *Classes*

If a class that was freely extensible (§8.1.1.2) is changed to be declared `sealed`, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown if a binary of a pre-existing subclass of this class is loaded and is not a permitted direct subclass of this class (§8.1.6); such a change is not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Changing a class that was declared `final` to be declared `sealed` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Adding a class to the set of permitted direct subclasses of a `sealed` class will not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

If a class is removed from the set of permitted direct subclasses of a `sealed` class, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown if the pre-existing binary of the removed class is loaded.

Deleting the `sealed` modifier from a class that does not have a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

> If a sealed class *C* did have a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface, then deleting the `sealed` modifier would prevent *C* from being recompiled, as every class with a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface must be either `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed`.

13.4.2.2 `non-sealed` *Classes*

Changing a class that was declared `sealed` to be declared `non-sealed` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Changing a class that was declared `final` to be declared `non-sealed` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

> A `non-sealed` class `C` must have a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface (§8.1.1.2). Deleting the `non-sealed` modifier would prevent `C` from being recompiled, as every class with a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface must be either `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed`.

13.4.2.3 `final` *Classes*

If a class that was not declared `final` is changed to be declared `final`, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown if a binary of a pre-existing subclass of this class is loaded, because `final` classes can have no subclasses; such a change is not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Deleting the `final` modifier from a class that does not have a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

> If a `final` class `C` did have a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface, then deleting the `final` modifier would prevent `C` from being recompiled, as every class with a `sealed` direct superclass or a `sealed` direct superinterface must be either `final`, `sealed`, or `non-sealed` (§8.1.1.2).

13.4.3 `public` **Classes**

Changing a class that is not declared `public` to be declared `public` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

If a class that was declared `public` is changed to not be declared `public`, then an `IllegalAccessError` is thrown if a pre-existing binary is linked that needs but no longer has access to the class type; such a change is not recommended for widely distributed classes.

13.4.4 **Superclasses and Superinterfaces**

A `ClassCircularityError` is thrown at load time if a class would be a superclass of itself. Changes to the class hierarchy that could result in such a circularity when newly compiled binaries are loaded with pre-existing binaries are not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Changing the direct superclass type or the set of direct superinterface types of a class will not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries, provided that the total set of superclasses or superinterfaces, respectively, of the class loses no members.

> For example, it is binary compatible to replace a raw supertype of a class with a parameterization of the class or interface named by the raw type.

If a change to the direct superclass or the set of direct superinterfaces results in any class or interface no longer being a superclass or superinterface, respectively, then linkage errors may result if pre-existing binaries are loaded with the binary of the modified class. Such changes are not recommended for widely distributed classes.

> **Example 13.4.4-1. Changing A Superclass**
>
> Suppose that the following test program:
>
> ```
> class Hyper { char h = 'h'; }
> class Super extends Hyper { char s = 's'; }
> class Test extends Super {
>     public static void printH(Hyper h) {
>         System.out.println(h.h);
>     }
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         printH(new Super());
>     }
> }
> ```
>
> is compiled and executed, producing the output:
>
> ```
> h
> ```
>
> Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is then compiled:
>
> ```
> class Super { char s = 's'; }
> ```
>
> This version of class `Super` is not a subclass of `Hyper`. If we then run the existing binaries of `Hyper` and `Test` with the new version of `Super`, then a `VerifyError` is thrown at link time. The verifier complains because the result of `new Super()` cannot be passed as an argument in place of a formal parameter of type `Hyper`, because `Super` is not a subclass of `Hyper`.
>
> It is instructive to consider what might happen without the verification step: the program might run and print:
>
> ```
> s
> ```
>
> This demonstrates that without the verifier, the Java type system could be defeated by linking inconsistent binary files, even though each was produced by a correct Java compiler.

The lesson is that an implementation that lacks a verifier or fails to use it will not maintain type safety and is, therefore, not a valid implementation.

Example 13.4.4-2. Introducing a Superclass

Broadly speaking, there are various situations where a class transformation that is binary compatible for a client might not be source compatible for that client.

For example, the requirement that alternatives in a multi-catch clause (§14.20) are not subclasses or superclasses of each other is only a source restriction. The following code:

```
try {
    failByThrowingAorB();
} catch (A|B e) {
    ...
}
```

is legal provided that A and B do not have a subclass/superclass relationship when the code is compiled. Thereafter, it is binary compatible with respect to this client for A and B to be changed to have such a relationship. The previously compiled code will continue to execute, but since the change is not source compatible with respect to this client, the code cannot be recompiled.

13.4.5   Class Type Parameters

Adding or deleting a type parameter of a class does not, in itself, have any implications for binary compatibility.

If such a type parameter is used in the type of a field or method, that may have the normal implications of changing the aforementioned type.

Renaming a type parameter of a class has no effect with respect to pre-existing binaries.

Changing the first bound of a type parameter of a class may change the erasure (§4.6) of any member that uses that type parameter in its own type, and this may affect binary compatibility. The change of such a bound is analogous to the change of the first bound of a type parameter of a method or constructor (§13.4.13).

Changing any other bound has no effect on binary compatibility.

13.4.6   Class Body and Member Declarations

No incompatibility with pre-existing binaries is caused by adding an instance (respectively static) member that has the same name and accessibility (for fields), or same name and accessibility and signature and return type (for methods), as an

instance (respectively `static`) member of a superclass or subclass. No error occurs even if the set of classes being linked would encounter a compile-time error.

Deleting a class member or constructor that is not declared `private` may cause a linkage error if the member or constructor is used by a pre-existing binary.

Example 13.4.6-1. Changing A Class Body

```
class Hyper {
    void hello() { System.out.println("hello from Hyper"); }
}
class Super extends Hyper {
    void hello() { System.out.println("hello from Super"); }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        new Super().hello();
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
hello from Super
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
class Super extends Hyper {}
```

Then, recompiling `Super` and executing this new binary with the original binaries for `Test` and `Hyper` produces the output:

```
hello from Hyper
```

as expected.

The `super` keyword can be used to access a method declared in a superclass, bypassing any methods declared in the current class. The expression `super`.*Identifier* is resolved, at compile time, to a method m in the superclass s. If the method m is an instance method, then the method which is invoked at run time is the method with the same signature as m that is a member of the direct superclass of the class containing the expression involving `super`.

Example 13.4.6-2. Changing A Superclass

```
class Hyper {
    void hello() { System.out.println("hello from Hyper"); }
}
class Super extends Hyper { }
class Test extends Super {
```

```
                public static void main(String[] args) {
                    new Test().hello();
                }
                void hello() {
                    super.hello();
                }
            }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    hello from Hyper
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
    class Super extends Hyper {
        void hello() { System.out.println("hello from Super"); }
    }
```

Then, if `Super` and `Hyper` are recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binaries with the existing binary of `Test` produces the output:

```
    hello from Super
```

as you might expect.

13.4.7   Access to Members and Constructors

Changing the declared access of a member or constructor to permit less access may break compatibility with pre-existing binaries, causing a linkage error to be thrown when these binaries are resolved. Less access is permitted if the access modifier is changed from package access to `private` access; from `protected` access to package or `private` access; or from `public` access to `protected`, package, or `private` access. Changing a member or constructor to permit less access is therefore not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Perhaps surprisingly, the binary format is defined so that changing a member or constructor to be more accessible does not cause a linkage error when a subclass (already) defines a method to have less access.

Example 13.4.7-1. Changing Accessibility

If the package `points` defines the class `Point`:

```
    package points;
    public class Point {
        public int x, y;
        protected void print() {
            System.out.println("(" + x + "," + y + ")");
```

```
            }
        }
```

used by the program:

```
    class Test extends points.Point {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Test t = new Test();
            t.print();
        }
        protected void print() {
            System.out.println("Test");
        }
    }
```

then these classes compile and `Test` executes to produce the output:

```
    Test
```

If the method `print` in class `Point` is changed to be `public`, and then only the `Point` class is recompiled, and then executed with the previously existing binary for `Test`, then no linkage error occurs. This happens even though it is improper, at compile time, for a `public` method to be overridden by a `protected` method (as shown by the fact that the class `Test` could not be recompiled using this new `Point` class unless `print` in `Test` were changed to be `public`.)

Allowing superclasses to change `protected` methods to be `public` without breaking binaries of pre-existing subclasses helps make binaries less fragile. The alternative, where such a change would cause a linkage error, would create additional binary incompatibilities.

13.4.8   Field Declarations

Widely distributed programs should not expose any fields to their clients. Apart from the binary compatibility issues discussed below, this is generally good software engineering practice. Adding a field to a class may break compatibility with pre-existing binaries that are not recompiled.

Assume a reference to a field f with qualifying class C. Assume further that f is in fact an instance (respectively `static`) field declared in a superclass of C, S, and that the type of f is X.

If a new field of type X with the same name as f is added to a subclass of S that is a superclass of C or C itself, then a linkage error may occur. Such a linkage error will occur only if, in addition to the above, either one of the following is true:

• The new field is less accessible than the old one.

- The new field is a `static` (respectively instance) field.

In particular, no linkage error will occur in the case where a class could no longer be recompiled because a field access previously referenced a field of a superclass with an incompatible type. The previously compiled class with such a reference will continue to reference the field declared in a superclass.

Example 13.4.8-1. Adding A Field Declaration

```
class Hyper { String h = "hyper"; }
class Super extends Hyper { String s = "super"; }
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(new Super().h);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
hyper
```

Suppose a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
class Super extends Hyper {
    String s = "super";
    int h = 0;
}
```

Then, recompiling `Hyper` and `Super`, and executing the resulting new binaries with the old binary of `Test` produces the output:

```
hyper
```

The field `h` of `Hyper` is output by the original binary of `Test`. While this may seem surprising at first, it serves to reduce the number of incompatibilities that occur at run time. (In an ideal world, all source files that needed recompilation would be recompiled whenever any one of them changed, eliminating such surprises. But such a mass recompilation is often impractical or impossible, especially in the Internet. And, as was previously noted, such recompilation would sometimes require further changes to the source code.)

As another example, if the program:

```
class Hyper { String h = "Hyper"; }
class Super extends Hyper { }
class Test extends Super {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String s = new Test().h;
        System.out.println(s);
    }
}
```

is compiled and executed, it produces the output:

```
Hyper
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is then compiled:

```
class Super extends Hyper { char h = 'h'; }
```

If the resulting binary is used with the existing binaries for `Hyper` and `Test`, then the output is still:

```
Hyper
```

even though compiling the source for these binaries:

```
class Hyper { String h = "Hyper"; }
class Super extends Hyper { char h = 'h'; }
class Test extends Super {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String s = new Test().h;
        System.out.println(s);
    }
}
```

would result in a compile-time error, because the `h` in the source code for `main` would now be construed as referring to the `char` field declared in `Super`, and a `char` value can't be assigned to a `String`.

Deleting a field from a class will break compatibility with any pre-existing binaries that reference this field, and a `NoSuchFieldError` will be thrown when such a reference from a pre-existing binary is linked. Only `private` fields may be safely deleted from a widely distributed class.

For purposes of binary compatibility, adding or deleting a field `f` whose type involves type variables (§4.4) or parameterized types (§4.5) is equivalent to the addition (respectively, deletion) of a field of the same name whose type is the erasure (§4.6) of the type of `f`.

13.4.9 `final` Fields and `static` Constant Variables

If a field that was not declared `final` is changed to be declared `final`, then it can break compatibility with pre-existing binaries that attempt to assign new values to the field.

Example 13.4.9-1. Changing A Variable To Be `final`

```
class Super { char s; }
class Test extends Super {
```

```
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Super x = new Super();
            x.s = 'a';
            System.out.println(x.s);
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    a
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
    class Super { final char s = 'b'; }
```

If `Super` is recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binary with the existing binary of `Test` results in a `IllegalAccessError`.

Deleting the keyword `final` or changing the value to which a field is initialized does not break compatibility with existing binaries.

If a field is a constant variable (§4.12.4), and moreover is `static`, then deleting the keyword `final` or changing its value will not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries by causing them not to run, but they will not see any new value for a usage of the field unless they are recompiled. This result is a side-effect of the decision to support conditional compilation (§14.22). (One might suppose that the new value is not seen if the usage occurs in a constant expression (§15.29) but is seen otherwise. This is not so; pre-existing binaries do not see the new value at all.)

The best way to avoid problems with "inconstant constants" in widely-distributed code is to use `static` constant variables only for values which truly are unlikely ever to change. Other than for true mathematical constants, we recommend that source code make very sparing use of `static` constant variables.

If the read-only nature of `final` is required, a better choice is to declare a `private static` variable and a suitable accessor method to get its value. Thus we recommend:

```
private static int N;
public static int getN() { return N; }
```

rather than:

```
public static final int N = ...;
```

There is no problem with:

```
public static int N = ...;
```

if N need not be read-only.

13.4.10 `static` **Fields**

If a field that is not declared `private` was not declared `static` and is changed to be declared `static`, or vice versa, then a linkage error, specifically an `IncompatibleClassChangeError`, will result if the field is used by a pre-existing binary which expected a field of the other kind. Such changes are not recommended in code that has been widely distributed.

13.4.11 `transient` **Fields**

Adding or deleting a `transient` modifier of a field does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

13.4.12   **Method and Constructor Declarations**

Adding a method or constructor to a class will not break compatibility with any pre-existing binaries, even in the case where a class could no longer be recompiled because an invocation previously referenced a method or constructor of a superclass with an incompatible type. The previously compiled class with such a reference will continue to reference the method or constructor declared in a superclass.

Assume a reference to a method m with qualifying class C. Assume further that m is in fact an instance (respectively `static`) method declared in a superclass of C, S.

If a new method of type X with the same signature and return type as m is added to a subclass of S that is a superclass of C or C itself, then a linkage error may occur. Such a linkage error will occur only if, in addition to the above, either one of the following is true:

• The new method is less accessible than the old one.

• The new method is a `static` (respectively instance) method.

Deleting a method or constructor from a class may break compatibility with any pre-existing binary that referenced this method or constructor; a `NoSuchMethodError` may be thrown when such a reference from a pre-existing binary is linked. Such an error will occur only if no method with a matching signature and return type is declared in a superclass.

If the source code for a non-inner class contains no declared constructors, then a default constructor with no parameters is implicitly declared (§8.8.9). Adding

one or more constructor declarations to the source code of such a class will prevent this default constructor from being implicitly declared, effectively deleting a constructor, unless one of the new constructors also has no parameters, thus replacing the default constructor. The default constructor with no parameters is given the same access modifier as the class of its declaration, so any replacement should have as much or more access if compatibility with pre-existing binaries is to be preserved.

13.4.13   Method and Constructor Type Parameters

Adding or deleting a type parameter of a method or constructor does not, in itself, have any implications for binary compatibility.

If such a type parameter is used in the type of the method or constructor, that may have the normal implications of changing the aforementioned type.

Renaming a type parameter of a method or constructor has no effect with respect to pre-existing binaries.

Changing the first bound of a type parameter of a method or constructor may change the erasure (§4.6) of any member that uses that type parameter in its own type, and this may affect binary compatibility. Specifically:

• If the type parameter is used as the type of a field, the effect is as if the field were deleted and a field with the same name, whose type is the new erasure of the type variable, were added.

• If the type parameter is used as the type of any formal parameter of a method, but not as the return type, the effect is as if that method were deleted, and replaced with a new method that is identical except for the types of the aforementioned formal parameters, which now have the new erasure of the type parameter as their type.

• If the type parameter is used as a return type of a method, but not as the type of any formal parameter of the method, the effect is as if that method were deleted, and replaced with a new method that is identical except for the return type, which is now the new erasure of the type parameter.

• If the type parameter is used as a return type of a method and as the type of one or more formal parameters of the method, the effect is as if that method were deleted, and replaced with a new method that is identical except for the return type, which is now the new erasure of the type parameter, and except for the types of the aforementioned formal parameters, which now have the new erasure of the type parameter as their types.

Changing any other bound has no effect on binary compatibility.

13.4.14   Method and Constructor Formal Parameters

Changing the name of a formal parameter of a method or constructor does not impact pre-existing binaries.

Changing the name of a method, or the type of a formal parameter to a method or constructor, or adding a parameter to or deleting a parameter from a method or constructor declaration creates a method or constructor with a new signature, and has the combined effect of deleting the method or constructor with the old signature and adding a method or constructor with the new signature (§13.4.12).

Changing the type of the last formal parameter of a method from `T[]` to a variable arity parameter of type `T`, that is, to `T...` (§8.4.1), and vice versa, does not impact pre-existing binaries.

For purposes of binary compatibility, adding or deleting a method or constructor *m* whose signature involves type variables (§4.4) or parameterized types (§4.5) is equivalent to the addition (respectively, deletion) of an otherwise equivalent method whose signature is the erasure (§4.6) of the signature of *m*.

13.4.15   Method Result Type

Changing the result type of a method, or replacing a result type with `void`, or replacing `void` with a result type, has the combined effect of deleting the old method and adding a new method with the new result type or newly `void` result (see §13.4.12).

For purposes of binary compatibility, adding or deleting a method or constructor *m* whose return type involves type variables (§4.4) or parameterized types (§4.5) is equivalent to the addition (respectively, deletion) of the an otherwise equivalent method whose return type is the erasure (§4.6) of the return type of *m*.

13.4.16   `abstract` Methods

Changing a method that is declared `abstract` to no longer be declared `abstract` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Changing a method that is not declared `abstract` to be declared `abstract` will break compatibility with pre-existing binaries that previously invoked the method, causing an `AbstractMethodError`.

Example 13.4.16-1. Changing A Method To Be `abstract`

```
class Super { void out() { System.out.println("Out"); } }
class Test extends Super {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Test t = new Test();
        System.out.println("Way ");
        t.out();
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
Way
Out
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
abstract class Super {
    abstract void out();
}
```

If `Super` is recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binary with the existing binary of `Test` results in an `AbstractMethodError`, because class `Test` has no implementation of the method `out`, and is therefore is (or should be) `abstract`.

13.4.17 `final` **Methods**

Changing a method that is declared `final` to no longer be declared `final` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Changing an instance method that is not declared `final` to be declared `final` may break compatibility with existing binaries that depend on the ability to override the method.

Example 13.4.17-1. Changing A Method To Be `final`

```
class Super { void out() { System.out.println("out"); } }
class Test extends Super {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Test t = new Test();
        t.out();
    }
    void out() { super.out(); }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
out
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
class Super { final void out() { System.out.println("!"); } }
```

If `Super` is recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binary with the existing binary of `Test` results in an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` because the class `Test` improperly tries to override the instance method `out`.

Changing a class (`static`) method that is not declared `final` to be declared `final` does not break compatibility with existing binaries, because the method could not have been overridden.

13.4.18 `native` **Methods**

Adding or deleting a `native` modifier of a method does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

The impact of changes to types on pre-existing `native` methods that are not recompiled is beyond the scope of this specification and should be provided with the description of an implementation. Implementations are encouraged, but not required, to implement `native` methods in a way that limits such impact.

13.4.19 `static` **Methods**

If a method that is not declared `private` is also declared `static` (that is, a class method) and is changed to not be declared `static` (that is, to an instance method), or vice versa, then compatibility with pre-existing binaries may be broken, resulting in a linkage time error, namely an `IncompatibleClassChangeError`, if these methods are used by the pre-existing binaries. Such changes are not recommended in code that has been widely distributed.

13.4.20 `synchronized` **Methods**

Adding or deleting a `synchronized` modifier of a method does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

13.4.21 **Method and Constructor Throws**

Changes to the `throws` clause of methods or constructors do not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries; these clauses are checked only at compile time.

475

13.4.22   Method and Constructor Body

Changes to the body of a method or constructor do not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

The keyword `final` on a method does not mean that the method can be safely inlined; it means only that the method cannot be overridden. It is still possible that a new version of that method will be provided at link-time. Furthermore, the structure of the original program must be preserved for purposes of reflection.

Therefore, we note that a Java compiler cannot expand a method inline at compile time. In general we suggest that implementations use late-bound (run-time) code generation and optimization.

13.4.23   Method and Constructor Overloading

Adding new methods or constructors that overload existing methods or constructors does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries. The signature to be used for each invocation was determined when these existing binaries were compiled; therefore newly added methods or constructors will not be used, even if their signatures are both applicable and more specific than the signature originally chosen.

While adding a new overloaded method or constructor may cause a compile-time error the next time a class or interface is compiled because there is no method or constructor that is most specific (§15.12.2.5), no such error occurs when a program is executed, because no overload resolution is done at execution time.

Example 13.4.23-1. Adding An Overloaded Method

```
class Super {
    static void out(float f) {
        System.out.println("float");
    }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Super.out(2);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
float
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Super` is produced:

```
class Super {
    static void out(float f) { System.out.println("float"); }
    static void out(int i)   { System.out.println("int");   }
}
```

If `Super` is recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binary with the existing binary of Test still produces the output:

```
float
```

However, if `Test` is then recompiled, using this new `Super`, the output is then:

```
int
```

as might have been naively expected in the previous case.

13.4.24 Method Overriding

If an instance method is added to a subclass and it overrides a method in a superclass, then the subclass method will be found by method invocations in pre-existing binaries, and these binaries are not impacted.

If a class method is added to a class, then this method will not be found unless the qualifying class of the method invocation is the subclass.

13.4.25 Static Initializers

Adding, deleting, or changing a static initializer (§8.7) of a class does not impact pre-existing binaries.

13.4.26 Evolution of Enum Classes

Adding or reordering enum constants in an enum class will not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Deleting an enum constant from an enum class will delete the `public` field that corresponds to the enum constant (§8.9.3). The consequences are specified in §13.4.8. Such a change is not recommended for widely distributed enum classes.

In all other respects, the binary compatibility rules for enum classes are identical to those for normal classes.

13.4.27   Evolution of Record Classes

Adding, deleting, changing, or reordering record components in a record class may break compatibility with pre-existing binaries that are not recompiled; such a change is not recommended for widely distributed record classes.

More precisely, adding, deleting, changing, or reordering record components may change the corresponding implicit declarations of component fields and accessor methods, as well as changing the signature and implementation of the canonical constructor and other supporting methods, with consequences specified in §13.4.8 and §13.4.12.

In all other respects, the binary compatibility rules for record classes are identical to those for normal classes.

13.5   Evolution of Interfaces

This section describes the impact of changes to the declaration of an interface and its members on pre-existing binaries.

13.5.1   `public` Interfaces

Changing an interface that is not declared `public` to be declared `public` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

If an interface that is declared `public` is changed to not be declared `public`, then an `IllegalAccessError` is thrown if a pre-existing binary is linked that needs but no longer has access to the interface type, so such a change is not recommended for widely distributed interfaces.

13.5.2   `sealed` and `non-sealed` Interfaces

If an interface that was freely extensible (§9.1.1.4) is changed to be declared `sealed`, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown if a binary of a pre-existing subclass or subinterface of this interface is loaded and is not a permitted direct subclass or subinterface of this interface (§9.1.4); such a change is not recommended for widely distributed classes.

Adding a class or interface to the set of permitted direct subclasses or subinterfaces, respectively, of a `sealed` interface will not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

If a class or interface is removed from the set of permitted direct subclasses or subinterfaces of a `sealed` interface, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown if the pre-existing binary of the removed class or interface is loaded.

Changing an interface that was declared `sealed` to be declared `non-sealed` does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

> A `non-sealed` interface *I* must have a `sealed` direct superinterface. Deleting the `non-sealed` modifier would prevent *I* from being recompiled, as every interface with a `sealed` direct superinterface must be `sealed` or `non-sealed`.

Deleting the `sealed` modifier from an interface that does not have a `sealed` direct superinterface does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

> If a sealed interface *I* did have a `sealed` direct superinterface, then deleting the `sealed` modifier would prevent *I* from being recompiled, as every interface with a `sealed` direct superinterface must be `sealed` or `non-sealed`.

13.5.3   Superinterfaces

Changes to the interface hierarchy cause errors in the same way that changes to the class hierarchy do, as described in §13.4.4. In particular, changes that result in any previous superinterface of a class no longer being a superinterface can break compatibility with pre-existing binaries, resulting in a `VerifyError`.

13.5.4   Interface Members

Adding an `abstract`, `private`, or `static` method to an interface does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries.

Adding a field to a superinterface of *C* may hide a field inherited from a superclass of *C*. If the original reference was to an instance field, an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` will result. If the original reference was an assignment, an `IllegalAccessError` will result.

Deleting a member from an interface may cause linkage errors in pre-existing binaries.

Example 13.5.4-1. Deleting An Interface Member

```
interface I { void hello(); }
class Test implements I {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        I anI = new Test();
        anI.hello();
    }
```

```
        public void hello() { System.out.println("hello"); }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    hello
```

Suppose that a new version of interface I is compiled:

```
    interface I {}
```

If I is recompiled but not Test, then running the new binary with the existing binary for Test will result in a NoSuchMethodError.

13.5.5   Interface Type Parameters

The effects of changes to the type parameters of an interface are the same as those of analogous changes to the type parameters of a class.

13.5.6   Field Declarations

The considerations for changing field declarations in interfaces are the same as those for static final fields in classes, as described in §13.4.8 and §13.4.9.

13.5.7   Interface Method Declarations

The considerations for changing method declarations in interfaces include those for changing methods in classes, as described in §13.4.7, §13.4.14, §13.4.15, §13.4.19, §13.4.21, §13.4.22, and §13.4.23.

Adding a default method, or changing a method from abstract to default, does not break compatibility with pre-existing binaries, but may cause an IncompatibleClassChangeError if a pre-existing binary attempts to invoke the method. This error occurs if the qualifying interface of the method invocation, K, is a subinterface of two interfaces, I and J, where both I and J declare a default method with the same signature and result, and neither I nor J is a subinterface of the other.

In other words, adding a default method is a binary-compatible change because it does not introduce errors at link time, even if it introduces errors at compile time or invocation time. In practice, the risk of accidental clashes occurring by introducing a default method are similar to those associated with adding a new method to a non-final class. In the event of a clash, adding a method to a class is unlikely to

trigger a `LinkageError`, but an accidental override of the method in a child can lead to unpredictable method behavior. Both changes can cause errors at compile time.

Example 13.5.7-1. Adding A Default Method

```
interface Painter {
    default void draw() {
        System.out.println("Here's a picture...");
    }
}

interface Cowboy {}

public class CowboyArtist implements Cowboy, Painter {
    public static void main(String... args) {
        new CowboyArtist().draw();
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
Here's a picture...
```

Suppose that a default method is added to `Cowboy`:

```
interface Cowboy {
    default void draw() {
        System.out.println("Bang!");
    }
}
```

If `Cowboy` is recompiled but not `CowboyArtist`, then running the new binary with the existing binary for `CowboyArtist` will link without error but cause an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` when `main` attempts to invoke `draw()`.

13.5.8   Annotation Interfaces

Annotation interfaces behave exactly like any other interface. Adding or deleting an element from an annotation interface is analogous to adding or deleting a method. There are important considerations governing other changes to annotation interfaces, such as making an annotation interface repeatable (§9.6.3), but these have no effect on the linkage of binaries by the Java Virtual Machine. Rather, such changes affect the behavior of reflective APIs in the Java SE Platform that reveal the presence of annotations in a program. The API specifications describe their behavior when various changes are made to the underlying annotation interfaces (§1.4).

Adding or deleting annotations has no effect on the correct linkage of the binary representations of programs in the Java programming language.

Blocks, Statements, and Patterns

THE sequence of execution of a program is controlled by *statements*, which are executed for their effect and do not have values.

Some statements *contain* other statements as part of their structure; such other statements are *substatements* of the statement. We say that statement S *immediately contains* statement U if there is no statement T different from S and U such that S contains T and T contains U. In the same manner, some statements contain expressions (§15 (*Expressions*)) as part of their structure.

The first section of this chapter discusses the distinction between normal and abrupt completion of statements (§14.1). Most of the remaining sections explain the various kinds of statements, describing in detail both their normal behavior and any special treatment of abrupt completion.

Blocks are explained first (§14.2), both because they can appear in certain places where statements are not allowed and because one kind of statement, a local variable declaration statement (§14.4.2), must be immediately contained by a block. Local class and interface declarations (§14.3) are not statements, but must also be immediately contained by a block.

Next, a grammatical maneuver that sidesteps the familiar "dangling else" problem (§14.5) is explained.

Every statement must be *reachable* in a certain technical sense (§14.22).

Sections 14.23-14.29 are unused to allow for the introduction of new kinds of statements in future.

The last section of this chapter (§14.30) describes *patterns*, which are used within statements and expressions to conditionally declare and initialize local variables. A pattern gives a concise description of how one value, such as an object, could

be composed from one or more other values, denoted by variable declarations. *Pattern matching* attempts to extract one or more values from a given value, as if to decompose it, and uses the extracted values to initialize the variables declared by the pattern.

14.1   Normal and Abrupt Completion of Statements

Every statement has a normal mode of execution in which certain computational steps are carried out. The following sections describe the normal mode of execution for each kind of statement.

If all the steps are carried out as described, with no indication of abrupt completion, the statement is said to *complete normally*. However, certain events may prevent a statement from completing normally:

- The `break`, `yield`, `continue`, and `return` statements (§14.15, §14.21, §14.16, §14.17) cause a transfer of control that may prevent normal completion of expressions, statements, and blocks that contain them.

- Evaluation of certain expressions may throw exceptions from the Java Virtual Machine (§15.6). An explicit `throw` (§14.18) statement also results in an exception. An exception causes a transfer of control that may prevent normal completion of statements.

If such an event occurs, then execution of one or more statements may be terminated before all steps of their normal mode of execution have completed; such statements are said to *complete abruptly*.

An abrupt completion always has an associated *reason*, which is one of the following:

- A `break` with no label

- A `break` with a given label

- A `continue` with no label

- A `continue` with a given label

- A `return` with no value

- A `return` with a given value

- A `throw` with a given value, including exceptions thrown by the Java Virtual Machine

484

• A `yield` with a given value

The terms "complete normally" and "complete abruptly" also apply to the evaluation of expressions (§15.6). The only reason an expression can complete abruptly is that an exception is thrown, because of either a `throw` with a given value (§14.18) or a run-time exception or error (§11 (*Exceptions*), §15.6).

If a statement evaluates an expression, abrupt completion of the expression always causes the immediate abrupt completion of the statement, with the same reason. All succeeding steps in the normal mode of execution are not performed.

Unless otherwise specified in this chapter, abrupt completion of a substatement causes the immediate abrupt completion of the statement itself, with the same reason, and all succeeding steps in the normal mode of execution of the statement are not performed.

Unless otherwise specified, a statement completes normally if all expressions it evaluates and all substatements it executes complete normally.

14.2 Blocks

A *block* is a sequence of statements, local variable declaration statements, and local class and interface declarations within braces.

> *Block:*
>   { *[BlockStatements]* }
>
> *BlockStatements:*
>   *BlockStatement {BlockStatement}*
>
> *BlockStatement:*
>   *LocalClassOrInterfaceDeclaration*
>   *LocalVariableDeclarationStatement*
>   *Statement*

A block is executed by executing each of the local variable declaration statements and other statements in order from first to last (left to right). If all of these block statements complete normally, then the block completes normally. If any of these block statements complete abruptly for any reason, then the block completes abruptly for the same reason.

14.3 Local Class and Interface Declarations

A *local class* is a nested class (§8 (*Classes*)) whose declaration is immediately contained by a block (§14.2).

A *local interface* is a nested interface (§9 (*Interfaces*)) whose declaration is immediately contained by a block.

> *LocalClassOrInterfaceDeclaration:*
>   *ClassDeclaration*
>   *NormalInterfaceDeclaration*

> The following productions are shown here for convenience:

> *ClassDeclaration:*
>   *NormalClassDeclaration*
>   *EnumDeclaration*
>   *RecordDeclaration*

> *NormalClassDeclaration:*
>   *{ClassModifier}* class *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
>   *[ClassExtends] [ClassImplements] [ClassPermits] ClassBody*

> *EnumDeclaration:*
>   *{ClassModifier}* enum *TypeIdentifier [ClassImplements] EnumBody*

> *NormalInterfaceDeclaration:*
>   *{InterfaceModifier}* interface *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
>   *[InterfaceExtends] [InterfacePermits] InterfaceBody*

Local class and interface declarations may be intermixed freely with statements (including local variable declaration statements) in the containing block.

It is a compile-time error if a local class or interface declaration has any of the access modifiers `public`, `protected`, or `private` (§6.6).

It is a compile-time error if a local class or interface declaration has the modifier `static` (§8.1.1.4), `sealed`, or `non-sealed` (§8.1.1.2, §9.1.1.4).

It is a compile-time error if the direct superclass or a direct superinterface of a local class is `sealed`.

It is a compile-time error if a direct superinterface of a local interface is `sealed`.

A local class may be a normal class (§8.1), an enum class (§8.9), or a record class (§8.10). Every local normal class is an inner class (§8.1.3). Every local enum class and local record class is implicitly `static` (§8.1.1.4), and therefore not an inner class.

A local interface may be a normal interface (§9.1), but not an annotation interface (§9.6). Every local interface is implicitly `static` (§9.1.1.3).

Like an anonymous class (§15.9.5), a local class or interface is not a member of any package, class, or interface (§7.1, §8.5). Unlike an anonymous class, a local class or interface has a simple name (§6.2, §6.7).

The scope and shadowing of a local class or interface declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

Example 14.3-1. Local Class Declarations

Here is an example that illustrates several aspects of the rules given above:

```
class Global {
    class Cyclic {}

    void foo() {
        new Cyclic(); // create a Global.Cyclic
        class Cyclic extends Cyclic {} // circular definition

        {
            class Local {}
            {
                class Local {} // compile-time error
            }
            class Local {} // compile-time error
            class AnotherLocal {
                void bar() {
                    class Local {} // ok
                }
            }
        }
        class Local {} // ok, not in scope of prior Local
    }
}
```

The first statement of method `foo` creates an instance of the member class `Global.Cyclic` rather than an instance of the local class `Cyclic`, because the statement appears prior to the scope of the local class declaration.

The fact that the scope of a local class declaration encompasses its whole declaration (not only its body) means that the definition of the local class `Cyclic` is indeed cyclic because it extends itself rather than `Global.Cyclic`. Consequently, the declaration of the local class `Cyclic` is rejected at compile time.

Since local class names cannot be redeclared within the same method (or constructor or initializer, as the case may be), the second and third declarations of `Local` result in compile-time errors. However, `Local` can be redeclared in the context of another, more deeply nested, class such as `AnotherLocal`.

487

The final declaration of `Local` is legal, since it occurs outside the scope of any prior declaration of `Local`.

14.4   Local Variable Declarations

A *local variable declaration* declares and optionally initializes one or more local variables (§4.12.3).

LocalVariableDeclaration:
  {VariableModifier} LocalVariableType VariableDeclaratorList

LocalVariableType:
  UnannType
  `var`

See §8.3 for *UnannType*. The following productions from §4.3, §8.3, and §8.4.1 are shown here for convenience:

  VariableModifier:
    Annotation
    `final`

  VariableDeclaratorList:
    VariableDeclarator { , VariableDeclarator}

  VariableDeclarator:
    VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]

  VariableDeclaratorId:
    Identifier [Dims]

  Dims:
    {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]}*

  VariableInitializer:
    Expression
    ArrayInitializer

A local variable declaration can appear in the following locations:

- a local variable declaration statement in a block (§14.4.2)

- the header of a basic `for` statement (§14.14.1)

- the header of an enhanced `for` statement (§14.14.2)

- the resource specification of a `try`-with-resources statement (§14.20.3)

- a pattern (§14.30.1)

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a local variable declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

If the keyword `final` appears as a modifier for a local variable declaration, then the local variable is a `final` variable (§4.12.4).

It is a compile-time error if `final` appears more than once as a modifier for a local variable declaration.

It is a compile-time error if the *LocalVariableType* is `var` and any of the following are true:

- More than one *VariableDeclarator* is listed.

- The *VariableDeclaratorId* has one or more bracket pairs.

- The *VariableDeclarator* lacks an initializer.

- The initializer of the *VariableDeclarator* is an *ArrayInitializer*.

- The initializer of the *VariableDeclarator* contains a reference to the variable.

> **Example 14.4-1. Local Variables Declared With** `var`
>
> The following code illustrates these rules restricting the use of `var`:
>
> ```
> var a = 1;              // Legal
> var b = 2, c = 3.0;   // Illegal: multiple declarators
> var d[] = new int[4]; // Illegal: extra bracket pairs
> var e;                  // Illegal: no initializer
> var f = { 6 };         // Illegal: array initializer
> var g = (g = 7);       // Illegal: self reference in initializer
> ```
>
> These restrictions help to avoid confusion about the type being represented by `var`.

14.4.1   Local Variable Declarators and Types

Each *declarator* in a local variable declaration declares one local variable, whose name is the *Identifier* that appears in the declarator.

If the optional keyword `final` appears at the start of the declaration, the variable being declared is a final variable (§4.12.4).

The declared type of a local variable is determined as follows:

- If the *LocalVariableType* is *UnannType*, and no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* or *VariableDeclaratorId*, then the type of the local variable is denoted by *UnannType*.

- If the *LocalVariableType* is *UnannType*, and bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* or *VariableDeclaratorId*, then the type of the local variable is specified by §10.2.

- If the *LocalVariableType* is `var`, then let T be the type of the initializer expression when treated as if it did not appear in an assignment context, and were thus a standalone expression (§15.2). The type of the local variable is the upward projection of T with respect to all synthetic type variables mentioned by T (§4.10.5).

It is a compile-time error if T is the null type.

> Because the initializer is treated as if it did not appear in an assignment context, an error occurs if it is a lambda expression (§15.27) or a method reference expression (§15.13).

The scope and shadowing of a local variable declaration is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to a local variable from a nested class or interface, or a lambda expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

Example 14.4.1-1. Type of Local Variables Declared With `var`

The following code illustrates the typing of variables declared with `var`:

```
var a = 1;                // a has type 'int'
var b = java.util.List.of(1, 2);  // b has type 'List<Integer>'
var c = "x".getClass();   // c has type 'Class<? extends String>'
                          // (see JLS 15.12.2.6)
var d = new Object() {};  // d has the type of the anonymous class
var e = (CharSequence & Comparable<String>) "x";
                          // e has type CharSequence & Comparable<String>
var f = () -> "hello";    // Illegal: lambda not in an assignment context
var g = null;             // Illegal: null type
```

Note that some variables declared with `var` cannot be declared with an explicit type, because the type of the variable is not denotable.

Upward projection is applied to the type of the initializer when determining the type of the variable. If the type of the initializer contains capture variables, this projection maps the type of the initializer to a supertype that does not contain capture variables.

While it would be possible to allow the type of the variable to mention capture variables, by projecting them away we enforce an attractive invariant that the scope of a capture variable is never larger than the statement containing the expression whose type is captured. Informally, capture variables cannot "leak" into subsequent statements.

14.4.2   Local Variable Declaration Statements

A *local variable declaration statement* consists of a local variable declaration.

LocalVariableDeclarationStatement:
  LocalVariableDeclaration ;

Every local variable declaration statement is immediately contained by a block, whereas other kinds of statement (§14.5) may be immediately contained by either a block or another statement.

In the containing block, local variable declaration statements may be intermixed freely with other kinds of statements and with local class and interface declarations.

A local variable declaration statement is an executable statement. Every time it is executed, the declarators are processed in order from left to right. If a declarator has an initializer, the initializer is evaluated and its value is assigned to the variable.

> If a declarator does not have an initializer, then every reference to the variable must be preceded by execution of an assignment to the variable, or a compile-time error occurs by the rules of §16 (*Definite Assignment*).

Each initializer (except the first) is evaluated only if evaluation of the preceding initializer completes normally.

Execution of the local variable declaration statement completes normally only if evaluation of the last initializer completes normally.

If none of the declarators in a local variable declaration statement have an initializer, then executing the statement always completes normally.

14.5   Statements

There are many kinds of statements in the Java programming language. Most correspond to statements in the C and C++ languages, but some are unique.

As in C and C++, the `if` statement of the Java programming language suffers from the so-called "dangling `else` problem," illustrated by this misleadingly formatted example:

```
if (door.isOpen())
    if (resident.isVisible())
        resident.greet("Hello!");
else door.bell.ring();  // A "dangling else"
```

The problem is that both the outer `if` statement and the inner `if` statement might conceivably own the `else` clause. In this example, one might surmise that the programmer intended the `else` clause to belong to the outer `if` statement.

The Java programming language, like C and C++ and many programming languages before them, arbitrarily decrees that an `else` clause belongs to the innermost `if` to which it might possibly belong. This rule is captured by the following grammar:

> *Statement:*
>   *StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement*
>   *LabeledStatement*
>   *IfThenStatement*
>   *IfThenElseStatement*
>   *WhileStatement*
>   *ForStatement*
>
> *StatementNoShortIf:*
>   *StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement*
>   *LabeledStatementNoShortIf*
>   *IfThenElseStatementNoShortIf*
>   *WhileStatementNoShortIf*
>   *ForStatementNoShortIf*
>
> *StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement:*
>   *Block*
>   *EmptyStatement*
>   *ExpressionStatement*
>   *AssertStatement*
>   *SwitchStatement*
>   *DoStatement*
>   *BreakStatement*
>   *ContinueStatement*
>   *ReturnStatement*
>   *SynchronizedStatement*
>   *ThrowStatement*
>   *TryStatement*
>   *YieldStatement*

The following productions from §14.9 are shown here for convenience:

> *IfThenStatement:*
>   `if` (*Expression*) *Statement*
>
> *IfThenElseStatement:*
>   `if` (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf* `else` *Statement*

> *IfThenElseStatementNoShortIf:*
>   if (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf* else *StatementNoShortIf*

Statements are thus grammatically divided into two categories: those that might end in an `if` statement that has no `else` clause (a "short `if` statement") and those that definitely do not.

Only statements that definitely do not end in a short `if` statement may appear as an immediate substatement before the keyword `else` in an `if` statement that does have an `else` clause.

This simple rule prevents the "dangling `else`" problem. The execution behavior of a statement with the "no short `if`" restriction is identical to the execution behavior of the same kind of statement without the "no short `if`" restriction; the distinction is drawn purely to resolve the syntactic difficulty.

14.6 The Empty Statement

An empty statement does nothing.

> *EmptyStatement:*
>   ;

Execution of an empty statement always completes normally.

14.7 Labeled Statements

Statements may have *label* prefixes.

> *LabeledStatement:*
>   *Identifier* : *Statement*

> *LabeledStatementNoShortIf:*
>   *Identifier* : *StatementNoShortIf*

The *Identifier* is declared to be the label of the immediately contained *Statement*.

Unlike C and C++, the Java programming language has no `goto` statement; identifier statement labels are used with `break` or `continue` statements (§14.15, §14.16) appearing anywhere within the labeled statement.

The scope of a label of a labeled statement is the immediately contained *Statement*.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a label of a labeled statement is used within the scope of the label as a label of another labeled statement.

There is no restriction against using the same identifier as a label and as the name of a package, class, interface, method, field, parameter, or local variable. Use of an identifier to label a statement does not obscure (§6.4.2) a package, class, interface, method, field, parameter, or local variable with the same name. Use of an identifier as a class, interface, method, field, local variable or as the parameter of an exception handler (§14.20) does not obscure a statement label with the same name.

A labeled statement is executed by executing the immediately contained *Statement*.

If the statement is labeled by an *Identifier* and the contained *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `break` with the same *Identifier*, then the labeled statement completes normally. In all other cases of abrupt completion of the *Statement*, the labeled statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Example 14.7-1. Labels and Identifiers

The following code was taken from a version of the class `String` and its method `indexOf`, where the label was originally called `test`. Changing the label to have the same name as the local variable `i` does not obscure the label in the scope of the declaration of `i`. Thus, the code is valid.

```
class Test {
    char[] value;
    int offset, count;
    int indexOf(TestString str, int fromIndex) {
        char[] v1 = value, v2 = str.value;
        int max = offset + (count - str.count);
        int start = offset + ((fromIndex < 0) ? 0 : fromIndex);
    i:
        for (int i = start; i <= max; i++) {
            int n = str.count, j = i, k = str.offset;
            while (n-- != 0) {
                if (v1[j++] != v2[k++])
                    continue i;
            }
            return i - offset;
        }
        return -1;
    }
}
```

The identifier `max` could also have been used as the statement label; the label would not obscure the local variable `max` within the labeled statement.

14.8   Expression Statements

Certain kinds of expressions may be used as statements by following them with semicolons.

> *ExpressionStatement:*
>   *StatementExpression ;*
>
> *StatementExpression:*
>   *Assignment*
>   *PreIncrementExpression*
>   *PreDecrementExpression*
>   *PostIncrementExpression*
>   *PostDecrementExpression*
>   *MethodInvocation*
>   *ClassInstanceCreationExpression*

An *expression statement* is executed by evaluating the expression; if the expression has a value, the value is discarded.

Execution of the expression statement completes normally if and only if evaluation of the expression completes normally.

> Unlike C and C++, the Java programming language allows only certain forms of expressions to be used as expression statements. For example, it is legal to use a method invocation expression (§15.12):
>
> ```
> System.out.println("Hello world");  // OK
> ```
>
> but it is not legal to use a parenthesized expression (§15.8.5):
>
> ```
> (System.out.println("Hello world"));  // illegal
> ```
>
> Note that the Java programming language does not allow a "cast to `void`" - `void` is not a type - so the traditional C trick of writing an expression statement such as:
>
> ```
> (void)... ;  // incorrect!
> ```
>
> does not work. On the other hand, the Java programming language allows all the most useful kinds of expressions in expression statements, and it does not require a method invocation used as an expression statement to invoke a `void` method, so such a trick is almost never needed. If a trick is needed, either an assignment statement (§15.26) or a local variable declaration statement (§14.4) can be used instead.

14.9   The if Statement

The if statement allows conditional execution of a statement or a conditional choice of two statements, executing one or the other but not both.

> *IfThenStatement:*
>   if (*Expression*) *Statement*
>
> *IfThenElseStatement:*
>   if (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf* else *Statement*
>
> *IfThenElseStatementNoShortIf:*
>   if (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf* else *StatementNoShortIf*

The *Expression* must have type boolean or Boolean, or a compile-time error occurs.

14.9.1   The if-then Statement

An if-then statement is executed by first evaluating the *Expression*. If the result is of type Boolean, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If evaluation of the *Expression* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly for some reason, the if-then statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Otherwise, execution continues by making a choice based on the resulting value:

- If the value is true, then the contained *Statement* is executed; the if-then statement completes normally if and only if execution of the *Statement* completes normally.

- If the value is false, no further action is taken and the if-then statement completes normally.

14.9.2   The if-then-else Statement

An if-then-else statement is executed by first evaluating the *Expression*. If the result is of type Boolean, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If evaluation of the *Expression* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly for some reason, then the if-then-else statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Otherwise, execution continues by making a choice based on the resulting value:

- If the value is `true`, then the first contained *Statement* (the one before the `else` keyword) is executed; the if-then-else statement completes normally if and only if execution of that statement completes normally.

- If the value is `false`, then the second contained *Statement* (the one after the `else` keyword) is executed; the if-then-else statement completes normally if and only if execution of that statement completes normally.

14.10 The `assert` Statement

An *assertion* is an `assert` statement containing a boolean expression. An assertion is either *enabled* or *disabled*. If an assertion is enabled, execution of the assertion causes evaluation of the boolean expression and an error is reported if the expression evaluates to `false`. If the assertion is disabled, execution of the assertion has no effect whatsoever.

> *AssertStatement:*
>   `assert` *Expression* ;
>   `assert` *Expression* : *Expression* ;

To ease the presentation, the first *Expression* in both forms of the `assert` statement is referred to as *Expression1*. In the second form of the `assert` statement, the second *Expression* is referred to as *Expression2*.

It is a compile-time error if *Expression1* does not have type `boolean` or `Boolean`.

It is a compile-time error if, in the second form of the `assert` statement, *Expression2* is void (§15.1).

An `assert` statement that is executed *after* its class or interface has completed initialization is enabled if and only if the host system has determined that the top level class or interface that lexically contains the `assert` statement enables assertions.

Whether a top level class or interface enables assertions is determined no later than the earliest of (i) the initialization of the top level class or interface, and (ii) the initialization of any class or interface nested in the top level class or interface. Whether a top level class or interface enables assertions cannot be changed after it has been determined.

An `assert` statement that is executed *before* its class or interface has completed initialization is enabled.

> This rule is motivated by a case that demands special treatment. Recall that the assertion status of a class is set no later than the time it is initialized. It is possible, though generally not desirable, to execute methods or constructors prior to initialization. This can happen when a class hierarchy contains a circularity in its static initialization, as in the following example:

```
public class Foo {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Baz.testAsserts();
        // Will execute after Baz is initialized.
    }
}
class Bar {
    static {
        Baz.testAsserts();
        // Will execute before Baz is initialized!
    }
}
class Baz extends Bar {
    static void testAsserts() {
        boolean enabled = false;
        assert  enabled = true;
        System.out.println("Asserts " +
      (enabled ? "enabled" : "disabled"));
    }
}
```

> Invoking `Baz.testAsserts()` causes `Baz` to be initialized. Before this can happen, `Bar` must be initialized. `Bar`'s static initializer again invokes `Baz.testAsserts()`. Because initialization of `Baz` is already in progress by the current thread, the second invocation executes immediately, though `Baz` is not initialized (§12.4.2).

> Because of the rule above, if the program above is executed without enabling assertions, it must print:

```
Asserts enabled
Asserts disabled
```

A disabled `assert` statement does nothing. In particular, neither *Expression1* nor *Expression2* (if it is present) are evaluated. Execution of a disabled `assert` statement always completes normally.

An enabled `assert` statement is executed by first evaluating *Expression1*. If the result is of type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If evaluation of *Expression1* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly for some reason, the `assert` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Otherwise, execution continues by making a choice based on the value of *Expression1*:

- If the value is `true`, no further action is taken and the `assert` statement completes normally.

- If the value is `false`, the execution behavior depends on whether *Expression2* is present:

  – If *Expression2* is present, it is evaluated. Then:

    › If the evaluation completes abruptly for some reason, the `assert` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

    › If the evaluation completes normally, an `AssertionError` instance whose "detail message" is the resulting value of *Expression2* is created. Then:

      » If the instance creation completes abruptly for some reason, the `assert` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

      » If the instance creation completes normally, the `assert` statement completes abruptly by throwing the newly created `AssertionError` object.

  – If *Expression2* is not present, an `AssertionError` instance with no "detail message" is created. Then:

    › If the instance creation completes abruptly for some reason, the `assert` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

    › If the instance creation completes normally, the `assert` statement completes abruptly by throwing the newly created `AssertionError` object.

Typically, assertion checking is enabled during program development and testing, and disabled for deployment, to improve performance.

Because assertions may be disabled, programs must not assume that the expressions contained in assertions will be evaluated. Thus, these boolean expressions should generally be free of side effects. Evaluating such a boolean expression should not affect any state that is visible after the evaluation is complete. It is not illegal for a boolean expression contained in an assertion to have a side effect, but it is generally inappropriate, as it could cause program behavior to vary depending on whether assertions were enabled or disabled.

In light of this, assertions should not be used for argument checking in `public` methods. Argument checking is typically part of the contract of a method, and this contract must be upheld whether assertions are enabled or disabled.

A secondary problem with using assertions for argument checking is that erroneous arguments should result in an appropriate run-time exception (such as `IllegalArgumentException`, `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException`, or `NullPointerException`). An assertion failure will not throw an appropriate exception. Again, it is not illegal to use assertions for argument checking on `public` methods, but it is generally inappropriate. It is intended that `AssertionError` never be caught, but it is possible to do so, thus the rules for `try` statements should treat assertions appearing in a `try` block similarly to the current treatment of `throw` statements.

14.11   The `switch` Statement

The `switch` statement transfers control to one of several statements or expressions, depending on the value of an expression.

> *SwitchStatement:*
>   `switch (` *Expression* `)` *SwitchBlock*

The *Expression* is called the *selector expression*. The type of the selector expression must be `char`, `byte`, `short`, `int`, `Character`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Integer`, `String`, or an enum type (§8.9), or a compile-time error occurs.

14.11.1   Switch Blocks

The body of both a `switch` statement and a `switch` expression (§15.28) is called a *switch block*. This subsection presents general rules which apply to all switch blocks, whether they appear in `switch` statements or `switch` expressions. Other subsections present additional rules which apply either to switch blocks in `switch` statements (§14.11.2) or to switch blocks in `switch` expressions (§15.28.1).

> *SwitchBlock:*
>   { *SwitchRule {SwitchRule}* }
>   { *{SwitchBlockStatementGroup} {SwitchLabel* `:`*}* }
>
> *SwitchRule:*
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *Expression* `;`
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *Block*
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *ThrowStatement*

> *SwitchBlockStatementGroup:*
>   *SwitchLabel* : *{SwitchLabel* :*} BlockStatements*
>
> *SwitchLabel:*
>   case *CaseConstant {* , *CaseConstant}*
>   default
>
> *CaseConstant:*
>   *ConditionalExpression*

A switch block can consist of either:

- *Switch rules*, which use -> to introduce either a *switch rule expression*, a *switch rule block*, or a *switch rule* throw *statement*; or

- *Switch labeled statement groups*, which use : to introduce *switch labeled block statements*.

Every switch rule and switch labeled statement group starts with a *switch label*, which is either a case label or a default label. Multiple switch labels are permitted for a switch labeled statement group.

A case label has one or more case constants. Every case constant must be either a constant expression (§15.29) or the name of an enum constant (§8.9.1), or a compile-time error occurs.

Switch labels and their case constants are said to be *associated* with the switch block. No two of the case constants associated with a switch block may have the same value, or a compile-time error occurs.

The switch block of a switch statement or a switch expression is *compatible* with the type of the selector expression, T, if both of the following are true:

- If T is not an enum type, then every case constant associated with the switch block is assignment compatible with T (§5.2).

- If T is an enum type, then every case constant associated with the switch block is an enum constant of type T.

The switch block of a switch statement or a switch expression must be compatible with the type of the selector expression, or a compile-time error occurs.

Both the execution of a switch statement (§14.11.3) and the evaluation of a switch expression (§15.28.2) need to determine if a switch label *matches* the value of the selector expression. To determine whether a switch label in a switch block matches

a given value, the value is compared with the `case` constants associated with the switch block. Then:

• If one of the `case` constants is equal to the value, then we say that the `case` label which contains the `case` constant *matches*.

  Equality is defined in terms of the `==` operator (§15.21) unless the value is a `String`, in which case equality is defined in terms of the `equals` method of class `String`.

• If no `case` label matches but there is a `default` label, then we say that the `default` label *matches*.

  A `case` label can contain several `case` constants. The label matches the value of the selector expression if any one of its constants matches the value of the selector expression. For example, in the following code, the `case` label matches if the enum variable `day` is either one of the enum constants shown:

  ```
  switch (day) {
      ...
      case SATURDAY, SUNDAY :
          System.out.println("It's the weekend!");
          break;
      ...
  }
  ```

  `null` cannot be used as a `case` constant because it is not a constant expression. Even if `case null` was allowed, it would be undesirable because the code in that `case` would never be executed. This is due to the fact that, given a selector expression of a reference type (that is, `String` or a boxed primitive type or an enum type), an exception will occur if the selector expression evaluates to `null` at run time. In the judgment of the designers of the Java programming language, propagating the exception is a better outcome than either having no `case` label match, or having the `default` label match.

  A Java compiler is encouraged (but not required) to provide a warning if a `switch` statement with an enum-typed selector expression lacks a `default` label and lacks `case` labels for one or more of the enum's constants. Such a `switch` statement will silently do nothing if the expression evaluates to one of the missing constants.

  In C and C++ the body of a `switch` statement can be a statement and statements with `case` labels do not have to be immediately contained by that statement. Consider the simple loop:

  ```
  for (i = 0; i < n; ++i) foo();
  ```

  where `n` is known to be positive. A trick known as *Duff's device* can be used in C or C++ to unroll the loop, but this is not valid code in the Java programming language:

```
int q = (n+7)/8;
switch (n%8) {
    case 0: do { foo();     // Great C hack, Tom,
    case 7:      foo();     // but it's not valid here.
    case 6:      foo();
    case 5:      foo();
    case 4:      foo();
    case 3:      foo();
    case 2:      foo();
    case 1:      foo();
            } while (--q > 0);
}
```

Fortunately, this trick does not seem to be widely known or used. Moreover, it is less needed nowadays; this sort of code transformation is properly in the province of state-of-the-art optimizing compilers.

14.11.2 The Switch Block of a `switch` Statement

In addition to the general rules for switch blocks (§14.11.1), there are further rules for switch blocks in `switch` statements. Namely, all of the following must be true for the switch block of a `switch` statement, or a compile-time error occurs:

- No more than one `default` label is associated with the `switch` block.

- Every switch rule expression in the switch block is a statement expression (§14.8).

  `switch` statements differ from `switch` expressions in terms of which expressions may appear to the right of an arrow (`->`) in the switch block, that is, which expressions may be used as *switch rule expressions*. In a `switch` statement, only a statement expression may be used as a switch rule expression, but in a `switch` expression, any expression may be used (§15.28.1).

14.11.3 Execution of a `switch` Statement

A `switch` statement is executed by first evaluating the selector expression. Then:

- If evaluation of the selector expression completes abruptly, then the entire `switch` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Otherwise, if the result of evaluating the selector expression is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown and the entire `switch` statement completes abruptly for that reason.

- Otherwise, if the result of evaluating the selector expression is of type `Character`, `Byte`, `Short`, or `Integer`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion

(§5.1.8). If this conversion completes abruptly, the entire `switch` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

If evaluation of the selector expression completes normally and the result is non-`null`, and the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes normally, then execution of the `switch` statement continues by determining if a switch label associated with the switch block matches the value of the selector expression (§14.11.1). Then:

- If no switch label matches, the entire `switch` statement completes normally.

- If a switch label matches, then one of the following applies:

  - If it is the switch label for a switch rule expression, then the switch rule expression is necessarily a statement expression (§14.11.2). The statement expression is evaluated. If the evaluation completes normally, then the `switch` statement completes normally. If the result of evaluation is a value, it is discarded.

  - If it is the switch label for a switch rule block, then the block is executed. If this block completes normally, then the `switch` statement completes normally.

  - If it is the switch label for a switch rule `throw` statement, then the `throw` statement is executed.

  - If it is the switch label for a switch labeled statement group, then all the statements in the switch block that follow the switch label are executed in order. If these statements complete normally, then the `switch` statement completes normally.

  - Otherwise, there are no statements that follow the matched switch label in the switch block, and the `switch` statement completes normally.

If execution of any statement or expression in the switch block completes abruptly, it is handled as follows:

- If execution of a statement completes abruptly because of a `break` with no label, then no further action is taken and the `switch` statement completes normally.

  > Abrupt completion because of a `break` with a label is handled by the general rule for labeled statements (§14.7).

- If execution of a statement or expression completes abruptly for any other reason, then the `switch` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

  > Abrupt completion because of a `yield` statement is handled by the general rule for switch expressions (§15.28.2).

Example 14.11.3-1. Fall-Through in the `switch` **Statement**

When a selector expression matches a switch label for a switch rule, the switch rule expression or statement introduced by the switch label is executed, and nothing else. In the case of a switch label for a statement group, all the block statements in the switch block that follow the switch label are executed, including those that appear after subsequent switch labels. The effect is that, as in C and C++, execution of statements can "fall through labels."

For example, the program:

```
class TooMany {
    static void howMany(int k) {
        switch (k) {
            case 1: System.out.print("one ");
            case 2: System.out.print("too ");
            case 3: System.out.println("many");
        }
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        howMany(3);
        howMany(2);
        howMany(1);
    }
}
```

contains a `switch` block in which the code for each `case` falls through into the code for the next `case`. As a result, the program prints:

```
many
too many
one too many
```

Fall through can be the cause of subtle bugs. If code is not to fall through `case` to `case` in this manner, then `break` statements can be used to indicate when control should be transferred, or switch rules can be used, as in the program:

```
class TwoMany {
    static void howMany(int k) {
        switch (k) {
            case 1: System.out.println("one");
                    break;  // exit the switch
            case 2: System.out.println("two");
                    break;  // exit the switch
            case 3: System.out.println("many");
                    break;  // not needed, but good style
        }
    }
    static void howManyAgain(int k) {
        switch (k) {
            case 1 -> System.out.println("one");
            case 2 -> System.out.println("two");
```

```
                case 3 -> System.out.println("many");
        }
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        howMany(1);
        howMany(2);
        howMany(3);
        howManyAgain(1);
        howManyAgain(2);
        howManyAgain(3);
    }
}
```

This program prints:

```
one
two
many
one
two
many
```

14.12   The while Statement

The while statement executes an *Expression* and a *Statement* repeatedly until the value of the *Expression* is false.

WhileStatement:
   while (*Expression*) *Statement*

WhileStatementNoShortIf:
   while (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf*

The *Expression* must have type boolean or Boolean, or a compile-time error occurs.

A while statement is executed by first evaluating the *Expression*. If the result is of type Boolean, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If evaluation of the *Expression* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly for some reason, the while statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Otherwise, execution continues by making a choice based on the resulting value:

- If the value is `true`, then the contained *Statement* is executed. Then there is a choice:

  – If execution of the *Statement* completes normally, then the entire `while` statement is executed again, beginning by re-evaluating the *Expression*.

  – If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly, see §14.12.1.

- If the (possibly unboxed) value of the *Expression* is `false`, no further action is taken and the `while` statement completes normally.

  > If the (possibly unboxed) value of the *Expression* is `false` the first time it is evaluated, then the *Statement* is not executed.

14.12.1 Abrupt Completion of `while` Statement

Abrupt completion of the contained *Statement* is handled in the following manner:

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `break` with no label, no further action is taken and the `while` statement completes normally.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `continue` with no label, then the entire `while` statement is executed again.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label *L*, then there is a choice:

  – If the `while` statement has label *L*, then the entire `while` statement is executed again.

  – If the `while` statement does not have label *L*, the `while` statement completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label *L*.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly for any other reason, the `while` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

  > The case of abrupt completion because of a `break` with a label is handled by the general rule for labeled statements (§14.7).

14.13 The `do` Statement

The `do` statement executes a *Statement* and an *Expression* repeatedly until the value of the *Expression* is `false`.

DoStatement:
  do *Statement* while (*Expression*) ;

The *Expression* must have type boolean or Boolean, or a compile-time error occurs.

A do statement is executed by first executing the *Statement*. Then there is a choice:

• If execution of the *Statement* completes normally, then the *Expression* is evaluated. If the result is of type Boolean, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

  If evaluation of the *Expression* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly for some reason, the do statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

  Otherwise, there is a choice based on the resulting value:

  – If the value is true, then the entire do statement is executed again.

  – If the value is false, no further action is taken and the do statement completes normally.

• If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly, see §14.13.1.

   Executing a do statement always executes the contained *Statement* at least once.

14.13.1   Abrupt Completion of do Statement

Abrupt completion of the contained *Statement* is handled in the following manner:

• If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a break with no label, then no further action is taken and the do statement completes normally.

• If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a continue with no label, then the *Expression* is evaluated. Then there is a choice based on the resulting value:

  – If the value is true, then the entire do statement is executed again.

  – If the value is false, no further action is taken and the do statement completes normally.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label *L*, then there is a choice:

  - If the `do` statement has label *L*, then the *Expression* is evaluated. Then there is a choice:

    › If the value of the *Expression* is `true`, then the entire `do` statement is executed again.

    › If the value of the *Expression* is `false`, no further action is taken and the `do` statement completes normally.

  - If the `do` statement does not have label *L*, the `do` statement completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label *L*.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly for any other reason, the `do` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

> The case of abrupt completion because of a `break` with a label is handled by the general rule for labeled statements (§14.7).

Example 14.13-1. The `do` **Statement**

The following code is one possible implementation of the `toHexString` method of class `Integer`:

```
public static String toHexString(int i) {
    StringBuffer buf = new StringBuffer(8);
    do {
        buf.append(Character.forDigit(i & 0xF, 16));
        i >>>= 4;
    } while (i != 0);
    return buf.reverse().toString();
}
```

Because at least one digit must be generated, the `do` statement is an appropriate control structure.

14.14 The `for` Statement

The `for` statement has two forms:

- The basic `for` statement.

- The enhanced `for` statement

ForStatement:
  BasicForStatement
  EnhancedForStatement

ForStatementNoShortIf:
  BasicForStatementNoShortIf
  EnhancedForStatementNoShortIf

14.14.1   The basic for Statement

The basic for statement executes some initialization code, then executes an
Expression, a *Statement*, and some update code repeatedly until the value of the
Expression is false.

BasicForStatement:
  for (*[ForInit]* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*

BasicForStatementNoShortIf:
  for (*[ForInit]* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *StatementNoShortIf*

ForInit:
  StatementExpressionList
  LocalVariableDeclaration

ForUpdate:
  StatementExpressionList

StatementExpressionList:
  StatementExpression { , StatementExpression}

The type of the *Expression* must be boolean or Boolean, or a compile-time error
occurs.

The scope and shadowing of a local variable declared in the *ForInit* part of a basic
for statement is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to a local variable declared in the *ForInit* part of a basic for statement
from a nested class or interface, or a lambda expression, are restricted, as specified
in §6.5.6.1.

14.14.1.1   *Initialization of* for *Statement*

A for statement is executed by first executing the *ForInit* code:

- If the *ForInit* code is a list of statement expressions (§14.8), the expressions are evaluated in sequence from left to right; their values, if any, are discarded.

  If evaluation of any expression completes abruptly for some reason, the `for` statement completes abruptly for the same reason; any *ForInit* statement expressions to the right of the one that completed abruptly are not evaluated.

- If the *ForInit* code is a local variable declaration (§14.4), it is executed as if it were a local variable declaration statement appearing in a block (§14.4.2).

  If execution of the local variable declaration completes abruptly for any reason, the `for` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

- If the *ForInit* part is not present, no action is taken.

14.14.1.2   *Iteration of* `for` *Statement*

Next, a `for` iteration step is performed, as follows:

- If the *Expression* is present, it is evaluated. If the result is of type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

  If evaluation of the *Expression* or the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes abruptly, the `for` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

  > Otherwise, there is then a choice based on the presence or absence of the *Expression* and the resulting value if the *Expression* is present; see next bullet.

- If the *Expression* is not present, or it is present and the value resulting from its evaluation (including any possible unboxing) is `true`, then the contained *Statement* is executed. Then there is a choice:

  – If execution of the *Statement* completes normally, then the following two steps are performed in sequence:

    1. First, if the *ForUpdate* part is present, the expressions are evaluated in sequence from left to right; their values, if any, are discarded. If evaluation of any expression completes abruptly for some reason, the `for` statement completes abruptly for the same reason; any *ForUpdate* statement expressions to the right of the one that completed abruptly are not evaluated.

       If the *ForUpdate* part is not present, no action is taken.

    2. Second, another `for` iteration step is performed.

  – If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly, see §14.14.1.3.

- If the *Expression* is present and the value resulting from its evaluation (including any possible unboxing) is `false`, no further action is taken and the `for` statement completes normally.

  > If the (possibly unboxed) value of the *Expression* is `false` the first time it is evaluated, then the *Statement* is not executed.

If the *Expression* is not present, then the only way a `for` statement can complete normally is by use of a `break` statement.

14.14.1.3   *Abrupt Completion of* `for` *Statement*

Abrupt completion of the contained *Statement* is handled in the following manner:

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `break` with no label, no further action is taken and the `for` statement completes normally.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `continue` with no label, then the following two steps are performed in sequence:

  1. First, if the *ForUpdate* part is present, the expressions are evaluated in sequence from left to right; their values, if any, are discarded.

     If the *ForUpdate* part is not present, no action is taken.

  2. Second, another `for` iteration step is performed.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label `L`, then there is a choice:

  – If the `for` statement has label `L`, then the following two steps are performed in sequence:

    1. First, if the *ForUpdate* part is present, the expressions are evaluated in sequence from left to right; their values, if any, are discarded.

       If the *ForUpdate* is not present, no action is taken.

    2. Second, another `for` iteration step is performed.

  – If the `for` statement does not have label `L`, the `for` statement completes abruptly because of a `continue` with label `L`.

- If execution of the *Statement* completes abruptly for any other reason, the `for` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

  > Note that the case of abrupt completion because of a `break` with a label is handled by the general rule for labeled statements (§14.7).

14.14.2   The enhanced `for` statement

The enhanced `for` statement has the form:

> *EnhancedForStatement:*
>   `for (` *LocalVariableDeclaration* : *Expression* `)`
>   *Statement*

> *EnhancedForStatementNoShortIf:*
>   `for (` *LocalVariableDeclaration* : *Expression* `)`
>   *StatementNoShortIf*

> The following productions from §4.3, §8.3, §8.4.1, and §14.4 are shown here for convenience:

>> *LocalVariableDeclaration:*
>>   *{VariableModifier} LocalVariableType VariableDeclaratorList*

>> *VariableModifier:*
>>   *Annotation*
>>   `final`

>> *LocalVariableType:*
>>   *UnannType*
>>   `var`

>> *VariableDeclaratorList:*
>>   *VariableDeclarator {* `,` *VariableDeclarator}*

>> *VariableDeclarator:*
>>   *VariableDeclaratorId [*= *VariableInitializer]*

>> *VariableDeclaratorId:*
>>   *Identifier [Dims]*

>> *Dims:*
>>   *{Annotation}* `[ ]` *{{Annotation}* `[ ]`*}*

The type of the *Expression* must be an array type (§10.1) or a subtype of the raw type `Iterable`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The header of the enhanced `for` statement declares a local variable whose name is the identifier given by *VariableDeclaratorId*. When the enhanced `for` statement is executed, the local variable is initialized, on each iteration of the loop, to successive elements of the `Iterable` or the array produced by the expression.

The rules for a local variable declared in the header of an enhanced `for` statement are specified in §14.4, disregarding any rules in that section which apply when

the *LocalVariableType* is var. In addition, all of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The *VariableDeclaratorList* consists of a single *VariableDeclarator*.

- The *VariableDeclarator* has no initializer.

- The *VariableDeclaratorId* has no bracket pairs if the *LocalVariableType* is var.

The scope and shadowing of a local variable declared in the header of an enhanced for statement is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to the local variable from a nested class or interface, or a lambda expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

The type T of the local variable declared in the header of the enhanced for statement is determined as follows:

- If the *LocalVariableType* is *UnannType*, and no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* or *VariableDeclaratorId*, then T is the type denoted by *UnannType*.

- If the *LocalVariableType* is *UnannType*, and bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* or *VariableDeclaratorId*, then T is specified by §10.2.

- If the *LocalVariableType* is var, then let R be derived from the type of the *Expression*, as follows:

  - If the *Expression* has an array type, then R is the component type of the array type.

  - Otherwise, if the *Expression* has a type that is a subtype of Iterable<X>, for some type X, then R is X.

  - Otherwise, the *Expression* has a type that is a subtype of the raw type Iterable, and R is Object.

  T is the upward projection of R with respect to all synthetic type variables mentioned by R (§4.10.5).

The precise meaning of the enhanced for statement is given by translation into a basic for statement, as follows:

- If the type of *Expression* is a subtype of `Iterable`, then the basic `for` statement has this form:

```
for (I #i = Expression.iterator(); #i.hasNext(); ) {
    {VariableModifier} T Identifier = (TargetType) #i.next();
    Statement
}
```

where:

– If the type of *Expression* is a subtype of `Iterable<X>` for some type argument `X`, then `I` is the type `java.util.Iterator<X>`. Otherwise, `I` is the raw type `java.util.Iterator`.

– `#i` is an automatically generated identifier that is distinct from any other identifiers (automatically generated or otherwise) that are in scope (§6.3) at the point where the enhanced `for` statement occurs.

– *{VariableModifier}* is as given in the header of the enhanced `for` statement.

– `T` is the type of the local variable as determined above.

– If `T` is a reference type, then *TargetType* is `T`. Otherwise, *TargetType* is the upper bound of the capture conversion (§5.1.10) of the type argument of `I`, or `Object` if `I` is raw.

- Otherwise, the *Expression* necessarily has an array type, `S[]`, and the basic `for` statement has this form:

```
S[] #a = Expression;
L₁: L₂: ... Lₘ:
for (int #i = 0; #i < #a.length; #i++) {
    {VariableModifier} T Identifier = #a[#i];
    Statement
}
```

where:

– $L_1 ... L_m$ is the (possibly empty) sequence of labels immediately preceding the enhanced `for` statement.

– `#a` and `#i` are automatically generated identifiers that are distinct from any other identifiers (automatically generated or otherwise) that are in scope at the point where the enhanced `for` statement occurs.

– *{VariableModifier}* is as given in the header of the enhanced `for` statement.

– `T` is the type of the local variable as determined above.

For example, this code:

515

```
List<? extends Integer> l = ...
for (float i : l) ...
```

will be translated to:

```
for (Iterator<Integer> #i = l.iterator(); #i.hasNext(); ) {
    float #i0 = (Integer)#i.next();
    ...
```

Example 14.14-1. Enhanced `for` **And Arrays**

The following program, which calculates the sum of an integer array, shows how enhanced
`for` works for arrays:

```
int sum(int[] a) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i : a) sum += i;
    return sum;
}
```

Example 14.14-2. Enhanced `for` **And Unboxing Conversion**

The following program combines the enhanced `for` statement with auto-unboxing to
translate a histogram into a frequency table:

```
Map<String, Integer> histogram = ...;
double total = 0;
for (int i : histogram.values())
    total += i;
for (Map.Entry<String, Integer> e : histogram.entrySet())
    System.out.println(e.getKey() + " " + e.getValue() / total);
}
```

14.15 The `break` Statement

A `break` statement transfers control out of an enclosing statement.

BreakStatement:
    `break` *[Identifier]* `;`

There are two kinds of `break` statement:

• A `break` statement with no label.

• A `break` statement with the label *Identifier*.

A `break` statement with no label attempts to transfer control to the innermost enclosing `switch`, `while`, `do`, or `for` statement; this enclosing statement, which is called the *break target*, then immediately completes normally.

A `break` statement with label *Identifier* attempts to transfer control to the enclosing labeled statement (§14.7) that has the same *Identifier* as its label; this enclosing statement, which is called the *break target*, then immediately completes normally. In this case, the break target need not be a `switch`, `while`, `do`, or `for` statement.

It is a compile-time error if a `break` statement has no break target.

It is a compile-time error if the break target contains any method, constructor, instance initializer, static initializer, lambda expression, or switch expression that encloses the `break` statement. That is, there are no non-local jumps.

Execution of a `break` statement with no label always completes abruptly, the reason being a `break` with no label.

Execution of a `break` statement with label *Identifier* always completes abruptly, the reason being a `break` with label *Identifier*.

It can be seen, then, that a `break` statement always completes abruptly.

The preceding descriptions say "attempts to transfer control" rather than just "transfers control" because if there are any `try` statements (§14.20) within the break target whose `try` blocks or `catch` clauses contain the `break` statement, then any `finally` clauses of those `try` statements are executed, in order, innermost to outermost, before control is transferred to the break target. Abrupt completion of a `finally` clause can disrupt the transfer of control initiated by a `break` statement.

Example 14.15-1. The `break` **Statement**

In the following example, a mathematical graph is represented by an array of arrays. A graph consists of a set of nodes and a set of edges; each edge is an arrow that points from some node to some other node, or from a node to itself. In this example it is assumed that there are no redundant edges; that is, for any two nodes *P* and *Q*, where *Q* may be the same as *P*, there is at most one edge from *P* to *Q*.

Nodes are represented by integers, and there is an edge from node *i* to node `edges[i][j]` for every *i* and *j* for which the array reference `edges[i][j]` does not throw an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException`.

The task of the method `loseEdges`, given integers *i* and *j*, is to construct a new graph by copying a given graph but omitting the edge from node *i* to node *j*, if any, and the edge from node *j* to node *i*, if any:

```
class Graph {
    int[][] edges;
    public Graph(int[][] edges) { this.edges = edges; }
```

```
    public Graph loseEdges(int i, int j) {
        int n = edges.length;
        int[][] newedges = new int[n][];
        for (int k = 0; k < n; ++k) {
edgelist:
{
            int z;
search:
{
            if (k == i) {
                for (z = 0; z < edges[k].length; ++z) {
                    if (edges[k][z] == j) break search;
                }
            } else if (k == j) {
                for (z = 0; z < edges[k].length; ++z) {
                    if (edges[k][z] == i) break search;
                }
            }

            // No edge to be deleted; share this list.
            newedges[k] = edges[k];
            break edgelist;
} //search

            // Copy the list, omitting the edge at position z.
            int   m  = edges[k].length - 1;
            int[] ne = new int[m];
            System.arraycopy(edges[k], 0, ne, 0, z);
            System.arraycopy(edges[k], z+1, ne, z, m-z);
            newedges[k] = ne;
} //edgelist
        }
        return new Graph(newedges);
    }
}
```

Note the use of two statement labels, `edgelist` and `search`, and the use of `break`
statements. This allows the code that copies a list, omitting one edge, to be shared between
two separate tests, the test for an edge from node *i* to node *j*, and the test for an edge from
node *j* to node *i*.

14.16 The `continue` **Statement**

A `continue` statement may occur only in a `while`, `do`, or `for` statement; statements
of these three kinds are called *iteration statements*. Control passes to the loop-
continuation point of an iteration statement.

ContinueStatement:
    continue *[Identifier]* ;

There are two kinds of continue statement:

- A continue statement with no label.

- A continue statement with the label *Identifier*.

A continue statement with no label attempts to transfer control to the innermost enclosing while, do, or for statement; this enclosing statement, which is called the *continue target*, then immediately ends the current iteration and begins a new one.

A continue statement with label *Identifier* attempts to transfer control to the enclosing labeled statement (§14.7) that has the same *Identifier* as its label; this enclosing statement, which is called the *continue target*, then immediately ends the current iteration and begins a new one. In this case, the continue target must be a while, do, or for statement, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if a continue statement has no continue target.

It is a compile-time error if the continue target contains any method, constructor, instance initializer, static initializer, lambda expression, or switch expression that encloses the continue statement. That is, there are no non-local jumps.

Execution of a continue statement with no label always completes abruptly, the reason being a continue with no label.

Execution of a continue statement with label *Identifier* always completes abruptly, the reason being a continue with label *Identifier*.

> It can be seen, then, that a continue statement always completes abruptly.
>
> See the descriptions of the while statement (§14.12), do statement (§14.13), and for statement (§14.14) for a discussion of the handling of abrupt termination because of continue.
>
> The preceding descriptions say "attempts to transfer control" rather than just "transfers control" because if there are any try statements (§14.20) within the continue target whose try blocks or catch clauses contain the continue statement, then any finally clauses of those try statements are executed, in order, innermost to outermost, before control is transferred to the continue target. Abrupt completion of a finally clause can disrupt the transfer of control initiated by a continue statement.

Example 14.16-1. The continue **Statement**

In the Graph class in §14.15, one of the break statements is used to finish execution of the entire body of the outermost for loop. This break can be replaced by a continue if the for loop itself is labeled:

```
class Graph {
    int[][] edges;
    public Graph(int[][] edges) { this.edges = edges; }

    public Graph loseEdges(int i, int j) {
        int n = edges.length;
        int[][] newedges = new int[n][];
edgelists:
        for (int k = 0; k < n; ++k) {
            int z;
search:
{
            if (k == i) {
                for (z = 0; z < edges[k].length; ++z) {
                    if (edges[k][z] == j) break search;
                }
            } else if (k == j) {
                for (z = 0; z < edges[k].length; ++z) {
                    if (edges[k][z] == i) break search;
                }
            }

            // No edge to be deleted; share this list.
            newedges[k] = edges[k];
            continue edgelists;
} //search

            // Copy the list, omitting the edge at position z.
            int  m = edges[k].length - 1;
            int[] ne = new int[m];
            System.arraycopy(edges[k], 0, ne, 0, z);
            System.arraycopy(edges[k], z+1, ne, z, m-z);
            newedges[k] = ne;
        } //edgelists
        return new Graph(newedges);
    }
}
```

Which to use, if either, is largely a matter of programming style.

14.17   The return **Statement**

A return statement returns control to the invoker of a method (§8.4, §15.12) or constructor (§8.8, §15.9).

> *ReturnStatement:*
>   return *[Expression]* ;

There are two kinds of return statement:

- A return statement with no value.

- A return statement with value *Expression*.

A return statement attempts to transfer control to the invoker of the innermost enclosing constructor, method, or lambda expression; this enclosing declaration or expression is called the *return target*. In the case of a return statement with value *Expression*, the value of the *Expression* becomes the value of the invocation.

It is a compile-time error if a return statement has no return target.

It is a compile-time error if the return target contains either (i) an instance or static initializer that encloses the return statement, or (ii) a switch expression that encloses the return statement.

It is a compile-time error if the return target of a return statement with no value is a method, and that method is not declared void.

It is a compile-time error if the return target of a return statement with value *Expression* is either a constructor, or a method that is declared void.

It is a compile-time error if the return target of a return statement with value *Expression* is a method with declared return type T, and the type of *Expression* is not assignable compatible (§5.2) with T.

Execution of a return statement with no value always completes abruptly, the reason being a return with no value.

Execution of a return statement with value *Expression* first evaluates the *Expression*. If the evaluation of the *Expression* completes abruptly for some reason, then the return statement completes abruptly for that reason. If evaluation of the *Expression* completes normally, producing a value V, then the return statement completes abruptly, the reason being a return with value V.

> It can be seen, then, that a return statement always completes abruptly.

The preceding descriptions say "attempts to transfer control" rather than just "transfers control" because if there are any try statements (§14.20) within the method or constructor whose try blocks or catch clauses contain the return statement, then any finally clauses of those try statements will be executed, in order, innermost to outermost, before control is transferred to the invoker of the method or constructor. Abrupt completion of a finally clause can disrupt the transfer of control initiated by a return statement.

14.18   The throw **Statement**

A throw statement causes an exception (§11 (*Exceptions*)) to be thrown. The result is an immediate transfer of control (§11.3) that may exit multiple statements and multiple constructor, instance initializer, static initializer and field initializer evaluations, and method invocations until a try statement (§14.20) is found that catches the thrown value. If no such try statement is found, then execution of the thread (§17 (*Threads and Locks*)) that executed the throw is terminated (§11.3) after invocation of the uncaughtException method for the thread group to which the thread belongs.

> *ThrowStatement:*
>   throw *Expression* ;

The *Expression* in a throw statement must either denote a variable or value of a reference type which is assignable (§5.2) to the type Throwable, or denote the null reference, or a compile-time error occurs.

> The reference type of the *Expression* will always be a class type (since no interface types are assignable to Throwable) which is not parameterized (since a subclass of Throwable cannot be generic (§8.1.2)).

At least one of the following three conditions must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The type of the *Expression* is an unchecked exception class (§11.1.1) or the null type (§4.1).

- The throw statement is contained in the try block of a try statement (§14.20) and it is not the case that the try statement can throw an exception of the type of the *Expression*. (In this case we say the thrown value is *caught* by the try statement.)

- The throw statement is contained in a method or constructor declaration and the type of the *Expression* is assignable (§5.2) to at least one type listed in the throws clause (§8.4.6, §8.8.5) of the declaration.

The exception types that a `throw` statement can throw are specified in §11.2.2.

A `throw` statement first evaluates the *Expression*. Then:

- If evaluation of the *Expression* completes abruptly for some reason, then the `throw` completes abruptly for that reason.

- If evaluation of the *Expression* completes normally, producing a non-`null` value *v*, then the `throw` statement completes abruptly, the reason being a `throw` with value *v*.

- If evaluation of the *Expression* completes normally, producing a `null` value, then an instance *v'* of class `NullPointerException` is created and thrown instead of `null`. The `throw` statement then completes abruptly, the reason being a `throw` with value *v'*.

   It can be seen, then, that a `throw` statement always completes abruptly.

If there are any enclosing `try` statements (§14.20) whose `try` blocks contain the `throw` statement, then any `finally` clauses of those `try` statements are executed as control is transferred outward, until the thrown value is caught. Note that abrupt completion of a `finally` clause can disrupt the transfer of control initiated by a `throw` statement.

If a `throw` statement is contained in a method declaration or a lambda expression, but its value is not caught by some `try` statement that contains it, then the invocation of the method completes abruptly because of the `throw`.

If a `throw` statement is contained in a constructor declaration, but its value is not caught by some `try` statement that contains it, then the class instance creation expression that invoked the constructor will complete abruptly because of the `throw` (§15.9.4).

If a `throw` statement is contained in a static initializer (§8.7), then a compile-time check (§11.2.3) ensures that either its value is always an unchecked exception or its value is always caught by some `try` statement that contains it. If at run time, despite this check, the value is not caught by some `try` statement that contains the `throw` statement, then the value is rethrown if it is an instance of class `Error` or one of its subclasses; otherwise, it is wrapped in an `ExceptionInInitializerError` object, which is then thrown (§12.4.2).

If a `throw` statement is contained in an instance initializer (§8.6), then a compile-time check (§11.2.3) ensures that either its value is always an unchecked exception or its value is always caught by some `try` statement that contains it, or the type

of the thrown exception (or one of its superclasses) occurs in the throws clause of every constructor of the class.

> By convention, user-declared throwable types should usually be declared to be subclasses of class Exception, which is a subclass of class Throwable (§11.1.1).

14.19   The synchronized **Statement**

A synchronized statement acquires a mutual-exclusion lock (§17.1) on behalf of the executing thread, executes a block, then releases the lock. While the executing thread owns the lock, no other thread may acquire the lock.

SynchronizedStatement:
    synchronized (*Expression*) *Block*

The type of *Expression* must be a reference type, or a compile-time error occurs.

A synchronized statement is executed by first evaluating the *Expression*. Then:

- If evaluation of the *Expression* completes abruptly for some reason, then the synchronized statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Otherwise, if the value of the *Expression* is null, a NullPointerException is thrown.

- Otherwise, let the non-null value of the *Expression* be *v*. The executing thread locks the monitor associated with *v*. Then the *Block* is executed, and then there is a choice:

  - If execution of the *Block* completes normally, then the monitor is unlocked and the synchronized statement completes normally.

  - If execution of the *Block* completes abruptly for any reason, then the monitor is unlocked and the synchronized statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

The locks acquired by synchronized statements are the same as the locks that are acquired implicitly by synchronized methods (§8.4.3.6). A single thread may acquire a lock more than once.

Acquiring the lock associated with an object does not in itself prevent other threads from accessing fields of the object or invoking un-synchronized methods on the object. Other threads can also use synchronized methods or the synchronized statement in a conventional manner to achieve mutual exclusion.

Example 14.19-1. The synchronized **Statement**

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Test t = new Test();
        synchronized(t) {
            synchronized(t) {
                System.out.println("made it!");
            }
        }
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
made it!
```

Note that this program would deadlock if a single thread were not permitted to lock a monitor more than once.

14.20   The try **statement**

A try statement executes a block. If a value is thrown and the try statement has one or more catch clauses that can catch it, then control will be transferred to the first such catch clause. If the try statement has a finally clause, then another block of code is executed, no matter whether the try block completes normally or abruptly, and no matter whether a catch clause is first given control.

TryStatement:
  try *Block Catches*
  try *Block [Catches] Finally*
  TryWithResourcesStatement

Catches:
  CatchClause {CatchClause}

CatchClause:
  catch (*CatchFormalParameter*) *Block*

CatchFormalParameter:
  {VariableModifier} CatchType VariableDeclaratorId

CatchType:
  UnannClassType {| ClassType}

Finally:
    `finally` *Block*

See §8.3 for *UnannClassType*. The following productions from §4.3, §8.3, and §8.4.1 are
shown here for convenience:

VariableModifier:
    Annotation
    `final`

VariableDeclaratorId:
    Identifier [Dims]

Dims:
    {Annotation} `[ ]` *{{Annotation}* `[ ]}*

The *Block* immediately after the keyword `try` is called the `try` *block* of the `try`
statement.

The *Block* immediately after the keyword `finally` is called the `finally` *block* of
the `try` statement.

A `try` statement may have `catch` clauses, also called *exception handlers*.

A `catch` clause declares exactly one parameter, which is called an *exception
parameter*.

It is a compile-time error if `final` appears more than once as a modifier for an
exception parameter declaration.

The scope and shadowing of an exception parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to an exception parameter from a nested class or interface, or a lambda
expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

An exception parameter may denote its type as either a single class type or a union
of two or more class types (called *alternatives*). The alternatives of a union are
syntactically separated by |.

A `catch` clause whose exception parameter is denoted as a single class type is
called a *uni-*`catch` *clause*.

A `catch` clause whose exception parameter is denoted as a union of types is called
a *multi-*`catch` *clause*.

Each class type used in the denotation of the type of an exception parameter must
be the class `Throwable` or a subclass of `Throwable`, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error if a type variable is used in the denotation of the type of
an exception parameter.

It is a compile-time error if a union of types contains two alternatives D_i and D_j ($i \neq j$) where D_i is a subtype of D_j (§4.10.2).

The declared type of an exception parameter that denotes its type with a single class type is that class type.

The declared type of an exception parameter that denotes its type as a union with alternatives $D_1 \mid D_2 \mid ... \mid D_n$ is lub(D_1, D_2, ..., D_n).

An exception parameter of a multi-`catch` clause is implicitly declared `final` if it is not explicitly declared `final`.

It is a compile-time error if an exception parameter that is implicitly or explicitly declared `final` is assigned to within the body of the `catch` clause.

An exception parameter of a uni-`catch` clause is never implicitly declared `final`, but it may be explicitly declared `final` or be effectively final (§4.12.4).

> An implicitly `final` exception parameter is final by virtue of its declaration, while an effectively final exception parameter is (as it were) final by virtue of how it is used. An exception parameter of a multi-`catch` clause is implicitly declared `final`, so will never occur as the left-hand operand of an assignment operator, but it is *not* considered effectively final.

> If an exception parameter is effectively final (in a uni-`catch` clause) or implicitly final (in a multi-`catch` clause), then adding an explicit `final` modifier to its declaration will not introduce any compile-time errors. On the other hand, if the exception parameter of a uni-`catch` clause is explicitly declared `final`, then removing the `final` modifier may introduce compile-time errors because the exception parameter, now considered to be effectively final, can no longer longer be referenced by anonymous and local class declarations in the body of the `catch` clause. If there are no compile-time errors, it is possible to further change the program so that the exception parameter is re-assigned in the body of the `catch` clause and thus will no longer be considered effectively final.

The exception types that a `try` statement can throw are specified in §11.2.2.

The relationship of the exceptions thrown by the `try` block of a `try` statement and caught by the `catch` clauses (if any) of the `try` statement is specified in §11.2.3.

Exception handlers are considered in left-to-right order: the earliest possible `catch` clause accepts the exception, receiving as its argument the thrown exception object, as specified in §11.3.

> A multi-`catch` clause can be thought of as a sequence of uni-`catch` clauses. That is, a `catch` clause where the type of the exception parameter is denoted as a union $D_1|D_2|...|D_n$ is equivalent to a sequence of *n* `catch` clauses where the types of the exception parameters are class types D_1, D_2, ..., D_n respectively. In the *Block* of each of the *n* `catch` clauses, the declared type of the exception parameter is lub(D_1, D_2, ..., D_n). For example, the following code:

```
try {
    ... throws ReflectiveOperationException ...
}
catch (ClassNotFoundException | IllegalAccessException ex) {
    ... body ...
}
```

is semantically equivalent to the following code:

```
try {
    ... throws ReflectiveOperationException ...
}
catch (final ClassNotFoundException ex1) {
    final ReflectiveOperationException ex = ex1;
    ... body ...
}
catch (final IllegalAccessException ex2) {
    final ReflectiveOperationException ex = ex2;
    ... body ...
}
```

where the multi-`catch` clause with two alternatives has been translated into two uni-`catch` clauses, one for each alternative. A Java compiler is neither required nor recommended to compile a multi-`catch` clause by duplicating code in this manner, since it is possible to represent the multi-`catch` clause in a `class` file without duplication.

A `finally` clause ensures that the `finally` block is executed after the `try` block and any `catch` block that might be executed, no matter how control leaves the `try` block or `catch` block. Handling of the `finally` block is rather complex, so the two cases of a `try` statement with and without a `finally` block are described separately (§14.20.1, §14.20.2).

A `try` statement is permitted to omit `catch` clauses and a `finally` clause if it is a `try`-*with-resources* statement (§14.20.3).

14.20.1   Execution of `try`-`catch`

A `try` statement without a `finally` block is executed by first executing the `try` block. Then there is a choice:

- If execution of the `try` block completes normally, then no further action is taken and the `try` statement completes normally.

- If execution of the `try` block completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value *v*, then there is a choice:

  – If the run-time type of *v* is assignment compatible with (§5.2) a catchable exception class of any `catch` clause of the `try` statement, then the first

(leftmost) such `catch` clause is selected. The value v is assigned to the parameter of the selected `catch` clause, and the *Block* of that `catch` clause is executed, and then there is a choice:

› If that block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes normally.

› If that block completes abruptly for any reason, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

– If the run-time type of v is not assignment compatible with a catchable exception class of any `catch` clause of the `try` statement, then the `try` statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

• If execution of the `try` block completes abruptly for any other reason, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

Example 14.20.1-1. Catching An Exception

```
class BlewIt extends Exception {
    BlewIt() { }
    BlewIt(String s) { super(s); }
}
class Test {
    static void blowUp() throws BlewIt { throw new BlewIt(); }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            blowUp();
        } catch (RuntimeException r) {
            System.out.println("Caught RuntimeException");
        } catch (BlewIt b) {
            System.out.println("Caught BlewIt");
        }
    }
}
```

Here, the exception `BlewIt` is thrown by the method `blowUp`. The try-catch statement in the body of `main` has two `catch` clauses. The run-time type of the exception is `BlewIt` which is not assignable to a variable of type `RuntimeException`, but is assignable to a variable of type `BlewIt`, so the output of the example is:

```
Caught BlewIt
```

14.20.2   Execution of `try`-`finally` and `try`-`catch`-`finally`

A `try` statement with a `finally` block is executed by first executing the `try` block. Then there is a choice:

- If execution of the `try` block completes normally, then the `finally` block is executed, and then there is a choice:

  - If the `finally` block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes normally.

  - If the `finally` block completes abruptly for reason *S*, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *S*.

- If execution of the `try` block completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value *V*, then there is a choice:

  - If the run-time type of *V* is assignment compatible with a catchable exception class of any `catch` clause of the `try` statement, then the first (leftmost) such `catch` clause is selected. The value *V* is assigned to the parameter of the selected `catch` clause, and the *Block* of that `catch` clause is executed. Then there is a choice:

    › If the `catch` block completes normally, then the `finally` block is executed. Then there is a choice:

      » If the `finally` block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes normally.

      » If the `finally` block completes abruptly for any reason, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for the same reason.

    › If the `catch` block completes abruptly for reason *R*, then the `finally` block is executed. Then there is a choice:

      » If the `finally` block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *R*.

      » If the `finally` block completes abruptly for reason *S*, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *S* (and reason *R* is discarded).

  - If the run-time type of *V* is not assignment compatible with a catchable exception class of any `catch` clause of the `try` statement, then the `finally` block is executed. Then there is a choice:

    › If the `finally` block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value *V*.

    › If the `finally` block completes abruptly for reason *S*, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *S* (and the `throw` of value *V* is discarded and forgotten).

- If execution of the `try` block completes abruptly for any other reason *R*, then the `finally` block is executed, and then there is a choice:

  – If the `finally` block completes normally, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *R*.

  – If the `finally` block completes abruptly for reason *S*, then the `try` statement completes abruptly for reason *S* (and reason *R* is discarded).

Example 14.20.2-1. Handling An Uncaught Exception With `finally`

```
class BlewIt extends Exception {
    BlewIt() { }
    BlewIt(String s) { super(s); }
}
class Test {
    static void blowUp() throws BlewIt {
        throw new NullPointerException();
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            blowUp();
        } catch (BlewIt b) {
            System.out.println("Caught BlewIt");
        } finally {
            System.out.println("Uncaught Exception");
        }
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
Uncaught Exception
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.NullPointerException
        at Test.blowUp(Test.java:7)
        at Test.main(Test.java:11)
```

The `NullPointerException` (which is a kind of `RuntimeException`) that is thrown by method `blowUp` is not caught by the `try` statement in `main`, because a `NullPointerException` is not assignable to a variable of type `BlewIt`. This causes the `finally` clause to execute, after which the thread executing `main`, which is the only thread of the test program, terminates because of an uncaught exception, which typically results in printing the exception name and a simple backtrace. However, a backtrace is not required by this specification.

The problem with mandating a backtrace is that an exception can be created at one point in the program and thrown at a later one. It is prohibitively expensive to store a stack trace in an exception unless it is actually thrown (in which case the trace may be generated while unwinding the stack). Hence we do not mandate a back trace in every exception.

531

14.20.3 `try`**-with-resources**

A `try`-with-resources statement is parameterized with variables (known as *resources*) that are initialized before execution of the `try` block and closed automatically, in the reverse order from which they were initialized, after execution of the `try` block. `catch` clauses and a `finally` clause are often unnecessary when resources are closed automatically.

TryWithResourcesStatement:
  `try` *ResourceSpecification Block [Catches] [Finally]*

ResourceSpecification:
  (*ResourceList [;]*)

ResourceList:
  Resource {; Resource}

Resource:
  LocalVariableDeclaration
  VariableAccess

VariableAccess:
  ExpressionName
  FieldAccess

The following productions from §4.3, §8.3, §8.4.1, and §14.4 are shown here for convenience:

  LocalVariableDeclaration:
    {VariableModifier} LocalVariableType VariableDeclaratorList

  VariableModifier:
    Annotation
    `final`

  LocalVariableType:
    UnannType
    `var`

  VariableDeclaratorList:
    VariableDeclarator {, VariableDeclarator}

  VariableDeclarator:
    VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]

  VariableDeclaratorId:
    Identifier [Dims]

        Dims:
          {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]}*

        VariableInitializer:
          Expression
          ArrayInitializer

  See §8.3 for *UnannType*.

The *resource specification* denotes the resources of the `try`-with-resources statement, either by declaring local variables with initializer expressions or by referring to existing variables. An existing variable is referred to by an expression name (§6.5.6) or a field access expression (§15.11).

The rules for a local variable declared in a resource specification are specified in §14.4. In addition, all of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

• The *VariableDeclaratorList* consists of a single *VariableDeclarator*.

• The *VariableDeclarator* has an initializer.

• The *VariableDeclaratorId* has no bracket pairs.

The scope and shadowing of a local variable declared in a resource specification is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to the local variable from a nested class or interface, or a lambda expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

The type of a local variable declared in a resource specification is specified in §14.4.1.

The type of a local variable declared in a resource specification, or the type of an existing variable referred to in a resource specification, must be a subtype of `AutoCloseable`, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error for a resource specification to declare two local variables with the same name.

Resources are `final`, in that:

• A local variable declared in a resource specification is implicitly declared `final` if it is not explicitly declared `final` (§4.12.4).

• An existing variable referred to in a resource specification must be a `final` or effectively `final` variable that is definitely assigned before the `try`-with-resources statement (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)), or a compile-time error occurs.

Resources are initialized in left-to-right order. If a resource fails to initialize (that is, its initializer expression throws an exception), then all resources initialized so far by the `try`-with-resources statement are closed. If all resources initialize successfully, the `try` block executes as normal and then all non-null resources of the `try`-with-resources statement are closed.

Resources are closed in the reverse order from that in which they were initialized. A resource is closed only if it initialized to a non-null value. An exception from the closing of one resource does not prevent the closing of other resources. Such an exception is *suppressed* if an exception was thrown previously by an initializer, the `try` block, or the closing of a resource.

A `try`-with-resources statement whose resource specification indicates multiple resources is treated as if it were multiple `try`-with-resources statements, each of which has a resource specification that indicates a single resource. When a `try`-with-resources statement with *n* resources (*n* > 1) is translated, the result is a `try`-with-resources statement with *n*-1 resources. After *n* such translations, there are *n* nested `try`-`catch`-`finally` statements, and the overall translation is complete.

14.20.3.1   *Basic* `try`-*with-resources*

A `try`-with-resources statement with no `catch` clauses or `finally` clause is called a *basic* `try`-with-resources statement.

If a basic `try`-with-resources statement is of the form:

```
try (VariableAccess ...)
    Block
```

then the resource is first converted to a local variable declaration by the following translation:

```
try (T #r = VariableAccess ...) {
    Block
}
```

`T` is the type of the variable denoted by *VariableAccess* and `#r` is an automatically generated identifier that is distinct from any other identifiers (automatically generated or otherwise) that are in scope at the point where the `try`-with-resources statement occurs. The `try`-with-resources statement is then translated according to the rest of this section.

The meaning of a basic `try`-with-resources statement of the form:

```
try ({VariableModifier} R Identifier = Expression ...)
    Block
```

is given by the following translation to a local variable declaration and a `try-catch-finally` statement:

```
{
    final {VariableModifierNoFinal} R Identifier = Expression;
    Throwable #primaryExc = null;

    try ResourceSpecification_tail
        Block
    catch (Throwable #t) {
        #primaryExc = #t;
        throw #t;
    } finally {
        if (Identifier != null) {
            if (#primaryExc != null) {
                try {
                    Identifier.close();
                } catch (Throwable #suppressedExc) {
                    #primaryExc.addSuppressed(#suppressedExc);
                }
            } else {
                Identifier.close();
            }
        }
    }
}
```

{VariableModifierNoFinal} is defined as *{VariableModifier}* without `final`, if present.

`#t`, `#primaryExc`, and `#suppressedExc` are automatically generated identifiers that are distinct from any other identifiers (automatically generated or otherwise) that are in scope at the point where the `try`-with-resources statement occurs.

If the resource specification indicates one resource, then *ResourceSpecification_tail* is empty (and the `try-catch-finally` statement is not itself a `try`-with-resources statement).

If the resource specification indicates $n > 1$ resources, then *ResourceSpecification_tail* consists of the 2nd, 3rd, ..., *n*'th resources indicated in the resource specification, in the same order (and the `try-catch-finally` statement is itself a `try`-with-resources statement).

Reachability and definite assignment rules for the basic `try`-with-resources statement are implicitly specified by the translation above.

In a basic `try`-with-resources statement that manages a single resource:

- If the initialization of the resource completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value v, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

- If the initialization of the resource completes normally, and the `try` block completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value v, then:

  - If the automatic closing of the resource completes normally, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

  - If the automatic closing of the resource completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value $v2$, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v, with $v2$ added to the suppressed exception list of v.

- If the initialization of the resource completes normally, and the `try` block completes normally, and the automatic closing of the resource completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value v, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

In a basic `try`-with-resources statement that manages multiple resources:

- If the initialization of a resource completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value v, then:

  - If the automatic closings of all successfully initialized resources (possibly zero) complete normally, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

  - If the automatic closings of all successfully initialized resources (possibly zero) complete abruptly because of `throw`s of values $v1...vn$, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v, with any remaining values $v1...vn$ added to the suppressed exception list of v.

- If the initialization of all resources completes normally, and the `try` block completes abruptly because of a `throw` of a value v, then:

  - If the automatic closings of all initialized resources complete normally, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v.

  - If the automatic closings of one or more initialized resources complete abruptly because of `throw`s of values $v1...vn$, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value v, with any remaining values $v1...vn$ added to the suppressed exception list of v.

• If the initialization of every resource completes normally, and the `try` block completes normally, then:

– If one automatic closing of an initialized resource completes abruptly because of a `throw` of value `v`, and all other automatic closings of initialized resources complete normally, then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value `v`.

– If more than one automatic closing of an initialized resource completes abruptly because of `throw`s of values `v1`...`vn` (where `v1` is the exception from the rightmost resource failing to close and `vn` is the exception from the leftmost resource failing to close), then the `try`-with-resources statement completes abruptly because of a `throw` of the value `v1`, with any remaining values `v2`...`vn` added to the suppressed exception list of `v1`.

14.20.3.2 *Extended* `try`*-with-resources*

A `try`-with-resources statement with at least one `catch` clause and/or a `finally` clause is called an *extended* `try`-with-resources statement.

The meaning of an extended `try`-with-resources statement:

```
try ResourceSpecification
    Block
[Catches]
[Finally]
```

is given by the following translation to a basic `try`-with-resources statement nested inside a `try-catch` or `try-finally` or `try-catch-finally` statement:

```
try {
    try ResourceSpecification
        Block
}
[Catches]
[Finally]
```

The effect of the translation is to put the resource specification "inside" the `try` statement. This allows a `catch` clause of an extended `try`-with-resources statement to catch an exception due to the automatic initialization or closing of any resource.

Furthermore, all resources will have been closed (or attempted to be closed) by the time the `finally` block is executed, in keeping with the intent of the `finally` keyword.

14.21   The yield **Statement**

A yield statement transfers control by causing an enclosing switch expression (§15.28) to produce a specified value.

> *YieldStatement:*
>   yield *Expression* ;

A yield statement attempts to transfer control to the innermost enclosing switch expression; this enclosing expression, which is called the *yield target*, then immediately completes normally and the value of the *Expression* becomes the value of the switch expression.

It is a compile-time error if a yield statement has no yield target.

It is a compile-time error if the yield target contains any method, constructor, instance initializer, static initializer, or lambda expression that encloses the yield statement. That is, there are no non-local jumps.

It is a compile-time error if the *Expression* of a yield statement is void (§15.1).

Execution of a yield statement first evaluates the *Expression*. If the evaluation of the *Expression* completes abruptly for some reason, then the yield statement completes abruptly for that reason. If evaluation of the *Expression* completes normally, producing a value v, then the yield statement completes abruptly, the reason being a yield with value v.

It can be seen, then, that a yield statement always completes abruptly.

Example 14.21-1. The yield **Statement**

In the following example, a yield statement is used to produce a value for the enclosing switch expression.

```
class Test {
    enum Day {
        MONDAY, TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY, FRIDAY,
        SATURDAY, SUNDAY
    }

    public int calculate(Day d) {
        return switch (d) {
            case SATURDAY, SUNDAY -> d.ordinal();
            default -> {
                int len = d.toString().length();
                yield len*len;
            }
```

```
                };
            }
        }
```

14.22 Unreachable Statements

It is a compile-time error if a statement cannot be executed because it is *unreachable*.

> This section is devoted to a precise explanation of the word "reachable." The idea is that there must be some possible execution path from the beginning of the constructor, method, instance initializer, or static initializer that contains the statement to the statement itself. The analysis takes into account the structure of statements. Except for the special treatment of while, do, and for statements whose condition expression has the constant value true, the values of expressions are not taken into account in the flow analysis.
>
> For example, a Java compiler will accept the code:
>
> ```
> {
>     int n = 5;
>     while (n > 7) k = 2;
> }
> ```
>
> even though the value of n is known at compile time and in principle it can be known at compile time that the assignment to k can never be executed.

The rules in this section define two technical terms:

- whether a statement is *reachable*
- whether a statement *can complete normally*

The rules allow a statement to complete normally only if it is reachable.

Two further technical terms are used:

- A reachable break statement *exits a statement* if, within the break target, either there are no try statements whose try blocks contain the break statement, or there are try statements whose try blocks contain the break statement and all finally clauses of those try statements can complete normally.

  > This definition is based on the logic around "attempts to transfer control" in §14.15.

- A continue statement *continues a* do *statement* if, within the do statement, either there are no try statements whose try blocks contain the continue statement, or there are try statements whose try blocks contain the continue statement and all finally clauses of those try statements can complete normally.

The rules are as follows:

- The block that is the body of a constructor, method, instance initializer, static initializer, lambda expression, or `switch` expression is reachable.

- An empty block that is not a switch block can complete normally iff it is reachable.

  A non-empty block that is not a switch block can complete normally iff the last statement in it can complete normally.

  The first statement in a non-empty block that is not a switch block is reachable iff the block is reachable.

  Every other statement `s` in a non-empty block that is not a switch block is reachable iff the statement preceding `s` can complete normally.

- A local class declaration statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- A local variable declaration statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- An empty statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- A labeled statement can complete normally if at least one of the following is true:

  – The contained statement can complete normally.

  – There is a reachable `break` statement that exits the labeled statement.

  The contained statement is reachable iff the labeled statement is reachable.

- An expression statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- An `if-then` statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

  The `then`-statement is reachable iff the `if-then` statement is reachable.

  An `if-then-else` statement can complete normally iff the `then`-statement can complete normally or the `else`-statement can complete normally.

  The `then`-statement is reachable iff the `if-then-else` statement is reachable.

  The `else`-statement is reachable iff the `if-then-else` statement is reachable.

  > This handling of an `if` statement, whether or not it has an `else` part, is rather unusual. The rationale is given at the end of this section.

- An `assert` statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- A `switch` statement whose switch block is empty, or contains only switch labels, can complete normally.

- A `switch` statement *whose switch block consists of switch labeled statement groups* can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:

  – The last statement in the switch block can complete normally.

  – There is at least one switch label after the last switch block statement group.

  – There is a reachable `break` statement that exits the `switch` statement.

  – The switch block does not contain a `default` label.

- A `switch` statement *whose switch block consists of switch rules* can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:

  – One of the switch rules introduces a switch rule expression (which is necessarily a statement expression).

  – One of the switch rules introduces a switch rule block that can complete normally.

  – One of the switch rules introduces a switch rule block that contains a reachable `break` statement which exits the `switch` statement.

  – The switch block does not contain a `default` label.

- A switch block is reachable iff its `switch` statement is reachable.

- A statement in a switch block *that consists of switch labeled statement groups* is reachable iff the switch block is reachable and at least one of the following is true:

  – It bears a `case` or `default` label.

  – There is a statement preceding it in the switch block and that preceding statement can complete normally.

- A switch rule block in a switch block is reachable iff the switch block is reachable.

- A switch rule `throw` statement in a switch block is reachable iff the switch block is reachable.

- A `while` statement can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:

  – The `while` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression (§15.29) with value `true`.

  – There is a reachable `break` statement that exits the `while` statement.

  The contained statement is reachable iff the `while` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `false`.

- A `do` statement can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:

  – The contained statement can complete normally and the condition expression is not a constant expression (§15.29) with value `true`.

  – The `do` statement contains a reachable `continue` statement with no label, and the `do` statement is the innermost `while`, `do`, or `for` statement that contains that `continue` statement, and the `continue` statement continues that `do` statement, and the condition expression is not a constant expression with value `true`.

  – The `do` statement contains a reachable `continue` statement with label `L`, and the `do` statement has label `L`, and the `continue` statement continues that `do` statement, and the condition expression is not a constant expression with value `true`.

  – There is a reachable `break` statement that exits the `do` statement.

  The contained statement is reachable iff the `do` statement is reachable.

- A basic `for` statement can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:

  – The `for` statement is reachable, there is a condition expression, and the condition expression is not a constant expression (§15.29) with value `true`.

  – There is a reachable `break` statement that exits the `for` statement.

  The contained statement is reachable iff the `for` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `false`.

- An enhanced `for` statement can complete normally iff it is reachable.

- A `break`, `continue`, `return`, `throw`, or `yield` statement cannot complete normally.

- A `synchronized` statement can complete normally iff the contained statement can complete normally.

  The contained statement is reachable iff the `synchronized` statement is reachable.

- A `try` statement can complete normally iff both of the following are true:

  – The `try` block can complete normally or any `catch` block can complete normally.

  – If the `try` statement has a `finally` block, then the `finally` block can complete normally.

- The `try` block is reachable iff the `try` statement is reachable.

- A `catch` block *C* is reachable iff both of the following are true:
  - Either the type of *C*'s parameter is an unchecked exception type or `Exception` or a superclass of `Exception`, or some expression or `throw` statement in the `try` block is reachable and can throw a checked exception whose type is assignment compatible (§5.2) with the type of *C*'s parameter. (An expression is reachable iff the innermost statement containing it is reachable.)

    See §15.6 for normal and abrupt completion of expressions.

  - There is no earlier `catch` block *A* in the `try` statement such that the type of *C*'s parameter is the same as, or a subclass of, the type of *A*'s parameter.

- The *Block* of a `catch` block is reachable iff the `catch` block is reachable.

- If a `finally` block is present, it is reachable iff the `try` statement is reachable.

  One *might expect* the `if` statement to be handled in the following manner:

  - An `if-then` statement can complete normally iff at least one of the following is true:
    - The `if-then` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `true`.
    - The then-statement can complete normally.

    The then-statement is reachable iff the `if-then` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `false`.

  - An `if-then-else` statement can complete normally iff the then-statement can complete normally or the else-statement can complete normally.

    The then-statement is reachable iff the `if-then-else` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `false`.

    The else-statement is reachable iff the `if-then-else` statement is reachable and the condition expression is not a constant expression whose value is `true`.

  This approach would be consistent with the treatment of other control structures. However, in order to allow the `if` statement to be used conveniently for "conditional compilation" purposes, the actual rules differ.

  As an example, the following statement results in a compile-time error:

  ```
  while (false) { x=3; }
  ```

  because the statement `x=3;` is not reachable; but the superficially similar case:

  ```
  if (false) { x=3; }
  ```

543

does not result in a compile-time error. An optimizing compiler may realize that the statement `x=3;` will never be executed and may choose to omit the code for that statement from the generated `class` file, but the statement `x=3;` is not regarded as "unreachable" in the technical sense specified here.

The rationale for this differing treatment is to allow programmers to define "flag" variables such as:

```
static final boolean DEBUG = false;
```

and then write code such as:

```
if (DEBUG) { x=3; }
```

The idea is that it should be possible to change the value of `DEBUG` from `false` to `true` or from `true` to `false` and then compile the code correctly with no other changes to the program text.

Conditional compilation comes with a caveat. If a set of classes that use a "flag" variable - or more precisely, any `static` constant variable (§4.12.4) - are compiled and conditional code is omitted, it does not suffice later to distribute just a new version of the class or interface that contains the definition of the flag. The classes that use the flag will not see its new value, so their behavior may be surprising. In essence, a change to the value of a flag is binary compatible with pre-existing binaries (no `LinkageError` occurs) but not behaviorally compatible.

Another reason for "inlining" values of `static` constant variables is because of `switch` statements. They are the only kind of statement that relies on constant expressions, namely that each `case` label of a `switch` statement must be a constant expression whose value is different than every other `case` label. `case` labels are often references to `static` constant variables so it may not be immediately obvious that all the labels have different values. If it is proven that there are no duplicate labels at compile time, then inlining the values into the `class` file ensures there are no duplicate labels at run time either - a very desirable property.

Example 14.22-1. Conditional Compilation

If the example:

```
class Flags { static final boolean DEBUG = true; }
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        if (Flags.DEBUG)
            System.out.println("DEBUG is true");
    }
}
```

is compiled and executed, it produces the output:

```
DEBUG is true
```

Suppose that a new version of class `Flags` is produced:

```
class Flags { static final boolean DEBUG = false; }
```

If `Flags` is recompiled but not `Test`, then running the new binary with the existing binary of `Test` produces the output:

```
DEBUG is true
```

because `DEBUG` is a `static` constant variable, so its value could have been used in compiling `Test` without making a reference to the class `Flags`.

This behavior would also occur if `Flags` was an interface, as in the modified example:

```
interface Flags { boolean DEBUG = true; }
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        if (Flags.DEBUG)
            System.out.println("DEBUG is true");
    }
}
```

In fact, because the fields of interfaces are always `static` and `final`, we recommend that only constant expressions be assigned to fields of interfaces. We note, but do not recommend, that if a field of primitive type of an interface may change, its value may be expressed idiomatically as in:

```
interface Flags {
    boolean debug = Boolean.valueOf(true).booleanValue();
}
```

ensuring that this value is not a constant expression. Similar idioms exist for the other primitive types.

14.30 Patterns

A *pattern* describes a test that can be performed on a value. Patterns appear as operands of statements and expressions, which provide the values to be tested. Patterns declare local variables, known as *pattern variables*.

The process of testing a value against a pattern is known as *pattern matching*. If a value successfully matches a pattern, then the process of pattern matching initializes the pattern variable declared by the pattern.

Pattern variables are only in scope (§6.3) where pattern matching succeeds and thus the pattern variables will have been initialized. It is not possible to use a pattern variable that has not been initialized.

14.30.1   Kinds of Patterns

A *type pattern* is used to test whether a value is an instance of the type appearing in the pattern.

> *Pattern:*
>   *TypePattern*

> *TypePattern:*
>   *LocalVariableDeclaration*

> The following productions from §4.3, §8.3, §8.4.1, and §14.4 are shown here for convenience:

>> *LocalVariableDeclaration:*
>>   *{VariableModifier} LocalVariableType VariableDeclaratorList*

>> *VariableModifier:*
>>   *Annotation*
>>   `final`

>> *LocalVariableType:*
>>   *UnannType*
>>   `var`

>> *VariableDeclaratorList:*
>>   *VariableDeclarator { , VariableDeclarator}*

>> *VariableDeclarator:*
>>   *VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]*

>> *VariableDeclaratorId:*
>>   *Identifier [Dims]*

>> *Dims:*
>>   *{Annotation}* `[ ]` *{{Annotation}* `[ ]`*}*

> See §8.3 for *UnannType*.

A type pattern declares one local variable, known as a pattern variable. The *Identifier* in the local variable declaration specifies the name of the pattern variable.

The rules for a local variable declared in a type pattern are specified in §14.4. In addition, all of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- The *LocalVariableType* denotes a reference type (and furthermore is not `var`).

- The *VariableDeclaratorList* consists of a single *VariableDeclarator*.

- The *VariableDeclarator* has no initializer.

- The *VariableDeclaratorId* has no bracket pairs.

The type of a pattern variable is the reference type denoted by *LocalVariableType*.

The type of a type pattern is the type of its pattern variable.

An expression `e` is *compatible* with a pattern of type `T` if `e` is downcast compatible with `T` (§5.5).

> Compatibility of an expression with a pattern is used by the `instanceof` pattern match operator (§15.20.2).

14.30.2 Pattern Matching

Pattern matching is the process of testing a value against a pattern at run time. Pattern matching is distinct from statement execution (§14.1) and expression evaluation (§15.1).

The rules for determining whether a value matches a pattern, and for initializing pattern variables, are as follows:

- A value `v` that is not the null reference *matches* a type pattern of type `T` if `v` can be cast to `T` without raising a `ClassCastException`; and *does not match* otherwise.

  If `v` matches, then the pattern variable declared by the type pattern is initialized to `v`.

  If `v` does not match, then the pattern variable declared by the type pattern is not initialized.

> There is no rule to cover a value that *is* the null reference. This is because the solitary construct that performs pattern matching, the `instanceof` pattern match operator (§15.20.2), only does so when a value is *not* the null reference. It is possible that future versions of the Java programming language will allow pattern matching in other expressions and statements.

Expressions

MUCH of the work in a program is done by evaluating *expressions*, either for their side effects, such as assignments to variables, or for their values, which can be used as arguments or operands in larger expressions, or to affect the execution sequence in statements, or both.

This chapter specifies the meanings of expressions and the rules for their evaluation.

15.1 Evaluation, Denotation, and Result

When an expression in a program is *evaluated* (*executed*), the result denotes one of three things:

- A variable (§4.12) (in C, this would be called an *lvalue*)

- A value (§4.2, §4.3)

- Nothing (the expression is said to be void)

If an expression denotes a variable, and a value is required for use in further evaluation, then the value of that variable is used. In this context, if the expression denotes a variable or a value, we may speak simply of the *value* of the expression.

An expression denotes nothing if and only if it is a method invocation (§15.12) that invokes a method that does not return a value, that is, a method declared `void` (§8.4). Such an expression can be used only as an expression statement (§14.8) or as the single expression of a lambda body (§15.27.2), because every other context in which an expression can appear requires the expression to denote something. An expression statement or lambda body that is a method invocation may also invoke a method that produces a result; in this case the value returned by the method is quietly discarded.

Evaluation of an expression can produce side effects, because expressions may contain embedded assignments, increment operators, decrement operators, method invocations, and, in `switch` expressions, arbitrary statements.

An expression occurs in either:

• The declaration of some class or interface that is being declared: in a field initializer, in a static initializer, in an instance initializer, in a constructor declaration, in a method declaration, or in an annotation.

• An annotation on the declaration of a module, a package, or a top level class or interface.

15.2   Forms of Expressions

Expressions can be broadly categorized into one of the following syntactic forms:

• Expression names (§6.5.6)

• Primary expressions (§15.8 - §15.13)

• Unary operator expressions (§15.14 - §15.16)

• Binary operator expressions (§15.17 - §15.24, and §15.26)

• Ternary operator expressions (§15.25)

• Lambda expressions (§15.27)

• `switch` expressions (§15.28)

Precedence among operators is managed by a hierarchy of grammar productions. The lowest precedence operator is the arrow of a lambda expression (`->`), followed by the assignment operators. Thus, all expressions are syntactically included in the *LambdaExpression* and *AssignmentExpression* nonterminals:

> *Expression:*
>   *LambdaExpression*
>   *AssignmentExpression*

When some expressions appear in certain contexts, they are considered *poly expressions*. The following forms of expressions may be poly expressions:

• Parenthesized expressions (§15.8.5)

• Class instance creation expressions (§15.9)

- Method invocation expressions (§15.12)

- Method reference expressions (§15.13)

- Conditional expressions (§15.25)

- Lambda expressions (§15.27)

- `switch` expressions (§15.28)

The rules determining whether an expression of one of these forms is a poly expression are given in the individual sections that specify these forms of expressions.

Expressions that are not poly expressions are *standalone expressions*. Standalone expressions are expressions of the forms above when determined not to be poly expressions, as well as all expressions of all other forms. Expressions of all other forms are said to have a *standalone form*.

Some expressions have a value that can be determined at compile time. These are *constant expressions* (§15.29).

15.3 Type of an Expression

If an expression denotes a variable or a value, then the expression has a type known at compile time. The type of a standalone expression can be determined entirely from the contents of the expression; in contrast, the type of a poly expression may be influenced by the expression's target type (§5 (*Conversions and Contexts*)). The rules for determining the type of an expression are explained separately below for each kind of expression.

The value of an expression is assignment compatible (§5.2) with the type of the expression, unless heap pollution occurs (§4.12.2).

Likewise, the value stored in a variable is always compatible with the type of the variable, unless heap pollution occurs.

In other words, the value of an expression whose type is T is always suitable for assignment to a variable of type T.

Note that if the type of an expression is a class type which names the class C, then the declaration of class C as `final` or `sealed` (§8.1.1.2) has implications for the value of the expression:

- If c is `final`, then the expression is guaranteed to have a value that is either (i) the null reference, or (ii) an object whose class is c itself, because `final` classes have no subclasses.

- If c is `sealed`, then the expression is guaranteed to have a value that is either (i) the null reference, (ii) an object whose class is c itself, or (iii) assignment compatible with one of the permitted direct subclasses of c (§8.1.6).

- If c is freely extensible, then the expression is guaranteed to have a value that is either (i) the null reference, (ii) an object whose class is c itself, or (iii) assignment compatible with c.

15.4   Floating-point Expressions

A floating-point expression is an expression whose type is `float` or `double` (§4.2.3). Floating-point expressions of type `float` denote values that exactly correspond to the values representable in the 32-bit IEEE 754 binary32 format. Floating-point expressions of type `double` denote values that exactly correspond to the values representable in the 64-bit IEEE 754 binary64 format.

Many of the comparison and numerical operators of the Java programming language that can be used to form floating-point expressions correspond to IEEE 754 operations, as do the conversions that act on floating-point values (Table 15.4-A).

Table 15.4-A. Correspondence with IEEE 754 operations

Operator/Conversion	IEEE 754 operation
The numerical comparison operators <, <=, >, and >= (§15.20.1)	compareQuietLess, compareQuietLessEqual, compareQuietGreater, compareQuietGreaterEqual
The numerical equality operators == and != (§15.21.1)	compareQuietEqual, compareQuietNotEqual
The unary minus operator – (§15.15.4)	negate
The multiplicative operators * and / (§15.17.1, §15.17.2)	multiplication, division
The additive operators + and – (§15.18.2)	addition, subtraction
Widening primitive conversion from an integral type (§5.1.2)	convertFromInt
Narrowing primitive conversion to an integral type (§5.1.3)	convertToIntegerTowardZero
Conversion between `float` and `double`	convertFormat

> The floating-point remainder operator `%` (§15.17.3) does not correspond to the IEEE 754 remainder operation.
>
> Some IEEE 754 operations without corresponding operators in the Java programming language are provided via methods in the `Math` and `StrictMath` classes, including the `sqrt` method for the IEEE 754 squareRoot operation, the `fma` method for the IEEE 754 fusedMultiplyAdd operation, and the `IEEEremainder` method for the IEEE 754 remainder operation.

The Java programming language requires support of IEEE 754 *subnormal* floating-point numbers and *gradual underflow*, which make it easier to prove desirable properties of particular numerical algorithms. Floating-point operations do not "flush to zero" if the calculated result is a subnormal number.

The result of a floating-point operator of the Java programming language must match the result of the corresponding IEEE 754 operation on the same operands. For finite results, this implies the sign, significand, and exponent of the floating-point result must all be those specified by IEEE 754.

> The requirement for matching sign, significand, and exponent precludes some transformations that might be allowed if floating-point behavior was less precisely specified. For example, `-x` cannot generally be replaced by `(0.0 - x)` because the sign of the result will differ if `x` is `-0.0`. Also, other possibly value-changing transformation such

as replacing `(a * b + c)` with a call to a fused multiply-accumulate library method are not allowed unless the result can be proven to be identical.

There are no circumstances where the evaluation of a floating-point expression may use intermediate results that have more precision or more exponent range than indicated by the expression's type.

A floating-point operation that overflows produces a signed infinity.

A floating-point operation that underflows produces a subnormal value or a signed zero.

A floating-point operation that has no unique mathematically defined result produces NaN.

All numeric operations with NaN as an operand produce NaN as a result.

Since NaN is unordered, any numerical comparison operation involving one or two NaNs returns `false`, any == comparison involving NaN returns `false`, and any ! = comparison involving NaN returns `true`.

Floating-point arithmetic is an approximation to real arithmetic. While there are an infinite number of real numbers, a particular floating-point format only has a finite number of values. In the Java programming language, a *rounding policy* is a function used to map from a real number to a floating-point value in a given format. For real numbers in the representable range of a floating-point format, a continuous segment of the real number line is mapped to a single floating-point value. The real number whose value is numerically equal to a floating-point value is mapped to that floating-point value; for example, the real number 1.5 is mapped to the floating-point value 1.5 in a given format. The Java programming language defines two rounding policies, as follows:

- The *round to nearest* rounding policy applies to all floating-point operators except for (i) conversion to an integer value, and (ii) floating-point remainder. Under the round to nearest rounding policy, inexact results must be rounded to the representable value nearest to the infinitely precise result; if the two nearest representable values are equally near, then the value whose least significant bit is zero is chosen.

  The round to nearest rounding policy corresponds to the default rounding-direction attribute for binary arithmetic in IEEE 754, *roundTiesToEven*.

  The *roundTiesToEven* rounding-direction attribute was known as the "round to nearest" rounding mode in the 1985 version of the IEEE 754 Standard. The rounding policy in the Java programming language is named after this rounding mode.

• The *round toward zero* rounding policy applies to (i) conversion of a floating-point value to an integer value (§5.1.3), and (ii) floating-point remainder (§15.17.3). Under the round toward zero rounding policy, inexact results are rounded to the nearest representable value that is not greater in magnitude than the infinitely precise result. For conversion to integer, the round toward zero rounding policy is equivalent to truncation where fractional significand bits are discarded.

The round toward zero rounding policy corresponds to the *roundTowardZero* rounding-direction attribute for binary arithmetic in IEEE 754.

> The *roundTowardZero* rounding-direction attribute was known as the "round toward zero" rounding mode in the 1985 version of the IEEE 754 Standard. The rounding policy in the Java programming language is named after this rounding mode.

The Java programming language requires that every floating-point operator rounds its floating-point result to the result precision. The rounding policy used for each floating-point operator is either round to nearest or round toward zero, as specified above.

> Java 1.0 and 1.1 required *strict* evaluation of floating-point expressions. Strict evaluation means that each `float` operand corresponds to a value representable in the IEEE 754 binary32 format, each `double` operand corresponds to a value representable in the IEEE 754 binary64 format, and each floating-point operator with a corresponding IEEE 754 operation matches the IEEE 754 result for the same operands.

> Strict evaluation provides predictable results, but caused performance problems in the Java Virtual Machine implementations for some processor families common in the Java 1.0/1.1 era. Consequently, in Java 1.2 through Java SE 16, the Java SE Platform allowed a Java Virtual Machine implementation to have one or two *value sets* associated with each floating-point type. The `float` type was associated with the *float value set* and the *float-extended-exponent value set*, while the `double` type was associated with the *double value set* and the *double-extended-exponent value set*. The float value set corresponded to the values representable in the IEEE 754 binary32 format; the float-extended-exponent value set had the same number of precision bits but larger exponent range. Similarly, the double value set corresponded to the values representable in the IEEE 754 binary64 format; the double-extended-exponent value set had the same number of precision bits but larger exponent range. Allowing use of the extended-exponent value sets by default ameliorated the performance problems on some processor families.

> For compatibility, Java 1.2 allowed the programmer to forbid an implementation from using the extended-exponent value sets. The programmer expressed this by placing the `strictfp` modifier on the declaration of a class, interface, or method. `strictfp` constrained the floating-point semantics of any enclosed expressions to use the float value set for `float` expressions and the double value set for `double` expressions, ensuring the results of such expressions were fully predictable. Code modified by `strictfp` thus had the same floating-point semantics as specified in Java 1.0 and 1.1.

In Java SE 17 and later, the Java SE Platform always requires strict evaluation of floating-point expressions. Newer members of the processor families that had performance problems implementing strict evaluation no longer have that difficulty. This specification no longer associates `float` and `double` with the four value sets described above, and the `strictfp` modifier no longer affects the evaluation of floating-point expressions. For compatibility, `strictfp` remains a keyword in Java SE 20 (§3.8) and continues to have restrictions on its use (§8.4.3, §9.4), although Java compilers are encouraged to warn the programmer about its obsolete status. Future versions of the Java programming language may redefine or remove the `strictfp` keyword.

15.5 Expressions and Run-Time Checks

If the type of an expression is a primitive type, then the value of the expression is of that same primitive type.

If the type of an expression is a reference type, then the class of the referenced object, or even whether the value is a reference to an object rather than `null`, is not necessarily known at compile time. There are a few places in the Java programming language where the actual class of a referenced object affects program execution in a manner that cannot be deduced from the type of the expression. They are as follows:

- Method invocation (§15.12). The particular method used for an invocation `o.m(...)` is chosen based on the methods that are part of the class or interface that is the type of `o`. For instance methods, the class of the object referenced by the run-time value of `o` participates because a subclass may override a specific method already declared in a parent class so that this overriding method is invoked. (The overriding method may or may not choose to further invoke the original overridden `m` method.)

- The `instanceof` operator (§15.20.2). An expression whose type is a reference type may be tested using `instanceof` to find out whether the class of the object referenced by the run-time value of the expression may be converted to some other reference type.

- Casting (§15.16). The class of the object referenced by the run-time value of the operand expression might not be compatible with the type specified by the cast operator. For reference types, this may require a run-time check that throws an exception if the class of the referenced object, as determined at run time, cannot be converted to the target type.

- Assignment to an array component of reference type (§10.5, §15.13, §15.26.1). The type-checking rules allow the array type `S[]` to be treated as a subtype of

`T[]` if `S` is a subtype of `T`, but this requires a run-time check for assignment to an array component, similar to the check performed for a cast.

- Exception handling (§14.20). An exception is caught by a `catch` clause only if the class of the thrown exception object is an `instanceof` the type of the formal parameter of the `catch` clause.

Situations where the class of an object is not statically known may lead to run-time type errors.

In addition, there are situations where the statically known type may not be accurate at run time. Such situations can arise in a program that gives rise to compile-time unchecked warnings. Such warnings are given in response to operations that cannot be statically guaranteed to be safe, and cannot immediately be subjected to dynamic checking because they involve non-reifiable types (§4.7). As a result, dynamic checks later in the course of program execution may detect inconsistencies and result in run-time type errors.

A run-time type error can occur only in these situations:

- In a cast, when the actual class of the object referenced by the value of the operand expression is not compatible with the target type specified by the cast operator (§5.5, §15.16); in this case a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

- In an automatically generated cast introduced to ensure the validity of an operation on a non-reifiable type (§4.7).

- In an assignment to an array component of reference type, when the actual class of the object referenced by the value to be assigned is not compatible with the actual run-time component type of the array (§10.5, §15.13, §15.26.1); in this case an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown.

- When an exception is not caught by any `catch` clause of a `try` statement (§14.20); in this case the thread of control that encountered the exception first attempts to invoke an uncaught exception handler (§11.3) and then terminates.

15.6 Normal and Abrupt Completion of Evaluation

Every expression has a normal mode of evaluation in which certain computational steps are carried out. The following sections describe the normal mode of evaluation for each kind of expression.

If all the steps are carried out without an exception being thrown, the expression is said to *complete normally*.

If, however, evaluation of an expression throws an exception, then the expression is said to *complete abruptly*. An abrupt completion always has an associated reason, which is always a throw with a given value.

Run-time exceptions are thrown by the predefined operators as follows:

- A class instance creation expression (§15.9.4), array creation expression (§15.10.2), method reference expression (§15.13.3), array initializer expression (§10.6), string concatenation operator expression (§15.18.1), or lambda expression (§15.27.4) throws an OutOfMemoryError if there is insufficient memory available.

- An array creation expression (§15.10.2) throws a NegativeArraySizeException if the value of any dimension expression is less than zero.

- An array access expression (§15.10.4) throws a NullPointerException if the value of the array reference expression is null.

- An array access expression (§15.10.4) throws an ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException if the value of the array index expression is negative or greater than or equal to the length of the array.

- A field access expression (§15.11) throws a NullPointerException if the value of the object reference expression is null.

- A method invocation expression (§15.12) that invokes an instance method throws a NullPointerException if the target reference is null.

- A cast expression (§15.16) throws a ClassCastException if a cast is found to be impermissible at run time.

- An integer division (§15.17.2) or integer remainder (§15.17.3) operator throws an ArithmeticException if the value of the right-hand operand expression is zero.

- An assignment to an array component of reference type (§15.26.1), a method invocation expression (§15.12), or a prefix or postfix increment (§15.14.2, §15.15.1) or decrement operator (§15.14.3, §15.15.2) may all throw an OutOfMemoryError as a result of boxing conversion (§5.1.7).

- An assignment to an array component of reference type (§15.26.1) throws an ArrayStoreException when the value to be assigned is not compatible with the component type of the array (§10.5).

- A switch expression (§15.28) throws an IncompatibleClassChangeError if no switch label matches the value of the selector expression.

A method invocation expression can also result in an exception being thrown if an exception occurs that causes execution of the method body to complete abruptly.

A class instance creation expression can also result in an exception being thrown if an exception occurs that causes execution of the constructor to complete abruptly.

Various linkage and virtual machine errors may also occur during the evaluation of an expression. By their nature, such errors are difficult to predict and difficult to handle.

If an exception occurs, then evaluation of one or more expressions may be terminated before all steps of their normal mode of evaluation are complete; such expressions are said to complete abruptly.

If evaluation of an expression requires evaluation of a subexpression, then abrupt completion of the subexpression always causes the immediate abrupt completion of the expression itself, with the same reason, and all succeeding steps in the normal mode of evaluation are not performed.

The terms "complete normally" and "complete abruptly" are also applied to the execution of statements (§14.1). A statement may complete abruptly for a variety of reasons, not just because an exception is thrown.

15.7   Evaluation Order

The Java programming language guarantees that the operands of operators appear to be evaluated in a specific *evaluation order*, namely, from left to right.

> It is recommended that code not rely crucially on this specification. Code is usually clearer when each expression contains at most one side effect, as its outermost operation, and when code does not depend on exactly which exception arises as a consequence of the left-to-right evaluation of expressions.

15.7.1   Evaluate Left-Hand Operand First

The left-hand operand of a binary operator appears to be fully evaluated before any part of the right-hand operand is evaluated.

If the operator is a compound-assignment operator (§15.26.2), then evaluation of the left-hand operand includes both remembering the variable that the left-hand operand denotes and fetching and saving that variable's value for use in the implied binary operation.

If evaluation of the left-hand operand of a binary operator completes abruptly, no part of the right-hand operand appears to have been evaluated.

Example 15.7.1-1. Left-Hand Operand Is Evaluated First

In the following program, the * operator has a left-hand operand that contains an assignment to a variable and a right-hand operand that contains a reference to the same variable. The value produced by the reference will reflect the fact that the assignment occurred first.

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int i = 2;
        int j = (i=3) * i;
        System.out.println(j);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
9
```

It is not permitted for evaluation of the * operator to produce 6 instead of 9.

Example 15.7.1-2. Implicit Left-Hand Operand In Operator Of Compound Assigment

In the following program, the two assignment statements both fetch and remember the value of the left-hand operand, which is 9, before the right-hand operand of the addition operator is evaluated, at which point the variable is set to 3.

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int a = 9;
        a += (a = 3);  // first example
        System.out.println(a);
        int b = 9;
        b = b + (b = 3);  // second example
        System.out.println(b);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
12
12
```

It is not permitted for either assignment (compound for a, simple for b) to produce the result 6.

See also the example in §15.26.2.

Example 15.7.1-3. Abrupt Completion of Evaluation of the Left-Hand Operand

```
class Test3 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int j = 1;
        try {
            int i = forgetIt() / (j = 2);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.out.println(e);
            System.out.println("Now j = " + j);
        }
    }
    static int forgetIt() throws Exception {
        throw new Exception("I'm outta here!");
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
java.lang.Exception: I'm outta here!
Now j = 1
```

That is, the left-hand operand `forgetIt()` of the operator `/` throws an exception before the right-hand operand is evaluated and its embedded assignment of `2` to `j` occurs.

15.7.2   Evaluate Operands before Operation

The Java programming language guarantees that every operand of an operator (except the conditional operators `&&`, `||`, and `? :`) appears to be fully evaluated before any part of the operation itself is performed.

If the binary operator is an integer division `/` (§15.17.2) or integer remainder `%` (§15.17.3), then its execution may raise an `ArithmeticException`, but this exception is thrown only after both operands of the binary operator have been evaluated and only if these evaluations completed normally.

Example 15.7.2-1. Evaluation of Operands Before Operation

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int divisor = 0;
        try {
            int i = 1 / (divisor * loseBig());
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.out.println(e);
        }
    }
    static int loseBig() throws Exception {
        throw new Exception("Shuffle off to Buffalo!");
    }
```

```
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    java.lang.Exception: Shuffle off to Buffalo!
```

and not:

```
    java.lang.ArithmeticException: / by zero
```

since no part of the division operation, including signaling of a divide-by-zero exception, may appear to occur before the invocation of `loseBig` completes, even though the implementation may be able to detect or infer that the division operation would certainly result in a divide-by-zero exception.

15.7.3   Evaluation Respects Parentheses and Precedence

The Java programming language respects the order of evaluation indicated explicitly by parentheses and implicitly by operator precedence.

> An implementation of the Java programming language may not take advantage of algebraic identities such as the associative law to rewrite expressions into a more convenient computational order unless it can be proven that the replacement expression is equivalent in value and in its observable side effects, even in the presence of multiple threads of execution (using the thread execution model in §17 (*Threads and Locks*)), for all possible computational values that might be involved.

In the case of floating-point calculations, this rule applies also for infinity and not-a-number (NaN) values.

> For example, `!(x<y)` may not be rewritten as `x>=y`, because these expressions have different values if either `x` or `y` is NaN or both are NaN.

Specifically, floating-point calculations that appear to be mathematically associative are unlikely to be computationally associative. Such computations must not be naively reordered.

> For example, it is not correct for a Java compiler to rewrite `4.0*x*0.5` as `2.0*x`; while roundoff happens not to be an issue here, there are large values of `x` for which the first expression produces infinity (because of overflow) but the second expression produces a finite result.

> So, for example, the test program:

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        double d = 8E307;
```

```
            System.out.println(4.0 * d * 0.5);
            System.out.println(2.0 * d);
        }
    }
```

prints:

```
Infinity
1.6E308
```

because the first expression overflows and the second does not.

In contrast, integer addition and multiplication *are* provably associative in the Java programming language.

> For example `a+b+c`, where `a`, `b`, and `c` are local variables (this simplifying assumption avoids issues involving multiple threads and `volatile` variables), will always produce the same answer whether evaluated as `(a+b)+c` or `a+(b+c)`; if the expression `b+c` occurs nearby in the code, a smart Java compiler may be able to use this common subexpression.

15.7.4   Argument Lists are Evaluated Left-to-Right

In a method or constructor invocation or class instance creation expression, argument expressions may appear within the parentheses, separated by commas. Each argument expression appears to be fully evaluated before any part of any argument expression to its right.

If evaluation of an argument expression completes abruptly, no part of any argument expression to its right appears to have been evaluated.

> **Example 15.7.4-1. Evaluation Order At Method Invocation**
>
> ```
> class Test1 {
>     public static void main(String[] args) {
>         String s = "going, ";
>         print3(s, s, s = "gone");
>     }
>     static void print3(String a, String b, String c) {
>         System.out.println(a + b + c);
>     }
> }
> ```
>
> This program produces the output:
>
> ```
>     going, going, gone
> ```
>
> because the assignment of the string `"gone"` to `s` occurs after the first two arguments to `print3` have been evaluated.

Example 15.7.4-2. Abrupt Completion of Argument Expression

```
class Test2 {
    static int id;
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            test(id = 1, oops(), id = 3);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.out.println(e + ", id=" + id);
        }
    }
    static int test(int a, int b, int c) {
        return a + b + c;
    }
    static int oops() throws Exception {
        throw new Exception("oops");
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
java.lang.Exception: oops, id=1
```

because the assignment of 3 to id is not executed.

15.7.5   Evaluation Order for Other Expressions

The order of evaluation for some expressions is not completely covered by these general rules, because these expressions may raise exceptional conditions at times that must be specified. See the detailed explanations of evaluation order for the following kinds of expressions:

- class instance creation expressions (§15.9.4)
- array creation expressions (§15.10.2)
- array access expressions (§15.10.4)
- method invocation expressions (§15.12.4)
- method reference expressions (§15.13.3)
- assignments involving array components (§15.26)
- lambda expressions (§15.27.4)

15.8   Primary Expressions

Primary expressions include most of the simplest kinds of expressions, from which all others are constructed: literals, object creations, field accesses, method invocations, method references, and array accesses. A parenthesized expression is also treated syntactically as a primary expression.

Primary:
   PrimaryNoNewArray
   ArrayCreationExpression

PrimaryNoNewArray:
   Literal
   ClassLiteral
   `this`
   TypeName `. this`
   `(` *Expression* `)`
   ClassInstanceCreationExpression
   FieldAccess
   ArrayAccess
   MethodInvocation
   MethodReference

This part of the grammar of the Java programming language is unusual, in two ways. First, one might expect simple names, such as names of local variables and method parameters, to be primary expressions. For technical reasons, names are grouped together with primary expressions a little later when postfix expressions are introduced (§15.14).

The technical reasons have to do with allowing left-to-right parsing of Java programs with only one-token lookahead. Consider the expressions (`z[3]`) and (`z[]`). The first is a parenthesized array access (§15.10.3) and the second is the start of a cast (§15.16). At the point that the look-ahead symbol is `[`, a left-to-right parse will have reduced the `z` to the nonterminal *Name*. In the context of a cast we prefer not to have to reduce the name to a *Primary*, but if *Name* were one of the alternatives for *Primary*, then we could not tell whether to do the reduction (that is, we could not determine whether the current situation would turn out to be a parenthesized array access or a cast) without looking ahead two tokens, to the token following the `[`. The grammar presented here avoids the problem by keeping *Name* and *Primary* separate and allowing either in certain other syntax rules (those for *ClassInstanceCreationExpression*, *MethodInvocation*, *ArrayAccess*, and *PostfixExpression*, though not *FieldAccess* because it uses an identifier directly). This strategy effectively defers the question of whether a *Name* should be treated as a *Primary* until more context can be examined.

The second unusual feature avoids a potential grammatical ambiguity in the expression "`new int[3][3]`" which in Java always means a single creation of a multidimensional

array, but which, without appropriate grammatical finesse, might also be interpreted as meaning the same as "(new int[3])[3]".

This ambiguity is eliminated by splitting the expected definition of *Primary* into *Primary* and *PrimaryNoNewArray*. (This may be compared to the splitting of *Statement* into *Statement* and *StatementNoShortIf* (§14.5) to avoid the "dangling else" problem.)

15.8.1   Lexical Literals

A literal (§3.10) denotes a fixed, unchanging value.

The following production from §3.10 is shown here for convenience:

Literal:
   IntegerLiteral
   FloatingPointLiteral
   BooleanLiteral
   CharacterLiteral
   StringLiteral
   TextBlock
   NullLiteral

The type of a literal is determined as follows:

- The type of an integer literal (§3.10.1) that ends with L or l (ell) is long (§4.2.1).

  The type of any other integer literal is int (§4.2.1).

- The type of a floating-point literal (§3.10.2) that ends with F or f is float (§4.2.3).

  The type of any other floating-point literal is double (§4.2.3).

- The type of a boolean literal (§3.10.3) is boolean (§4.2.5).

- The type of a character literal (§3.10.4) is char (§4.2.1).

- The type of a string literal (§3.10.5) or a text block (§3.10.6) is String (§4.3.3).

- The type of the null literal null (§3.10.8) is the null type (§4.1); its value is the null reference.

Evaluation of a lexical literal always completes normally.

15.8.2   Class Literals

A *class literal* is an expression consisting of the name of a class, interface, array type, or primitive type, or the pseudo-type void, followed by a '.' and the token class.

ClassLiteral:
   TypeName {[ ]*}* . `class`
   NumericType {[ ]*}* . `class`
   `boolean` *{*[ ]*}* . `class`
   `void` . `class`

The *TypeName* must denote a class or interface that is accessible (§6.6). It is a compile-time error if the *TypeName* denotes a class or interface that is not accessible, or denotes a type variable.

The type of `C`.`class`, where `C` is the name of a class, interface, or array type (§4.3), is `Class<C>`.

The type of `p`.`class`, where `p` is the name of a primitive type (§4.2), is `Class<B>`, where `B` is the type of an expression of type `p` after boxing conversion (§5.1.7).

The type of `void.class` (§8.4.5) is `Class<Void>`.

A class literal evaluates to the `Class` object for the named class, interface, array type, or primitive type (or for `void`), as defined by the defining class loader (§12.2) of the class of the current instance.

15.8.3 `this`

The keyword `this` may be used as an expression in the following contexts:

- in the body of an instance method of a class (§8.4.3.2)

- in the body of a constructor of a class (§8.8.7)

- in an instance initializer of a class (§8.6)

- in the initializer of an instance variable of a class (§8.3.2)

- in the body of an instance method of an interface, that is, a default method or a non-`static` `private` interface method (§9.4)

When used as an expression, the keyword `this` denotes a value that is a reference either to the object for which the instance method was invoked (§15.12), or to the object being constructed. The value denoted by `this` in a lambda body (§15.27.2) is the same as the value denoted by `this` in the surrounding context.

> The keyword `this` is also used in explicit constructor invocation statements (§8.8.7.1), and to denote the receiver parameter of a method or constructor (§8.4).

It is a compile-time error if a `this` expression occurs in a static context (§8.1.3).

Let C by the innermost enclosing class or interface declaration of a `this` expression. If C is generic, with type parameters $F_1,...,F_n$, the type of `this` is $C<F_1,...,F_n>$. Otherwise, the type of `this` is C.

At run time, the class of the actual object referred to may be C or a subclass of C (§8.1.5.

Example 15.8.3-1. The `this` Expression

```
class IntVector {
    int[] v;
    boolean equals(IntVector other) {
        if (this == other)
            return true;
        if (v.length != other.v.length)
            return false;
        for (int i = 0; i < v.length; i++) {
            if (v[i] != other.v[i]) return false;
        }
        return true;
    }
}
```

Here, the class `IntVector` implements a method `equals`, which compares two vectors. If the other vector is the same vector object as the one for which the `equals` method was invoked, then the check can skip the length and value comparisons. The `equals` method implements this check by comparing the reference to the other object to `this`.

15.8.4   Qualified `this`

Any lexically enclosing instance (§8.1.3) can be referred to by explicitly qualifying the keyword `this`.

Let *n* be an integer such that *TypeName* denotes the *n*'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of the class or interface whose declaration immediately encloses the qualified `this` expression.

The value of a qualified `this` expression *TypeName*.`this` is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

If *TypeName* denotes a generic class, with type parameters $F_1,...,F_n$, the type of the qualified `this` expression is *TypeName*$<F_1,...,F_n>$. Otherwise, the type of the qualified `this` expression is *TypeName*.

It is a compile-time error if a qualified `this` expression occurs in a static context (§8.1.3).

It is a compile-time error if the class or interface whose declaration immediately encloses a qualified `this` expression is not an inner class of *TypeName* or *TypeName* itself.

15.8.5   Parenthesized Expressions

A parenthesized expression is a primary expression whose type is the type of the contained expression and whose value at run time is the value of the contained expression. If the contained expression denotes a variable then the parenthesized expression also denotes that variable.

The use of parentheses affects only the *order* of evaluation, except for a corner case whereby `(-2147483648)` and `(-9223372036854775808L)` are legal but `-(2147483648)` and `-(9223372036854775808L)` are illegal.

> This is because the decimal literals `2147483648` and `9223372036854775808L` are allowed only as an operand of the unary minus operator (§3.10.1).

In particular, the presence or absence of parentheses around an expression does not affect whether a variable is definitely assigned, definitely assigned when `true`, definitely assigned when `false`, definitely unassigned, definitely unassigned when `true`, or definitely unassigned when `false` (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)).

If a parenthesized expression appears in a context of a particular kind with target type `T` (§5 (*Conversions and Contexts*)), its contained expression similarly appears in a context of the same kind with target type `T`.

If the contained expression is a poly expression (§15.2), the parenthesized expression is also a poly expression. Otherwise, it is a standalone expression.

A poly parenthesized expression is compatible with a target type `T` if its contained expression is compatible with `T`.

15.9   Class Instance Creation Expressions

A class instance creation expression is used to create new objects that are instances of classes.

> *ClassInstanceCreationExpression:*
>   *UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression*
>   *ExpressionName* . *UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression*
>   *Primary* . *UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression*

569

UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression:
  new *[TypeArguments]*
     ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate (*[ArgumentList]*) *[ClassBody]*

ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate:
  {Annotation} Identifier { . {Annotation} Identifier}
     [TypeArgumentsOrDiamond]

TypeArgumentsOrDiamond:
  TypeArguments
  <>

The following production from §15.12 is shown here for convenience:

  ArgumentList:
    Expression { , Expression}

A class instance creation expression specifies a class to be instantiated, possibly followed by type arguments (§4.5.1) or a *diamond* (<>) if the class being instantiated is generic (§8.1.2), followed by (a possibly empty) list of actual value arguments to the constructor.

If the type argument list to the class is empty — the diamond form <> — the type arguments of the class are inferred. It is legal, though strongly discouraged as a matter of style, to have white space between the "<" and ">" of a diamond.

If the constructor is generic (§8.8.4), the type arguments to the constructor may similarly either be inferred or passed explicitly. If passed explicitly, the type arguments to the constructor immediately follow the keyword new.

It is a compile-time error if a class instance creation expression provides type arguments to a constructor but uses the diamond form for type arguments to the class.

  This rule is introduced because inference of a generic class's type arguments may influence the constraints on a generic constructor's type arguments.

If *TypeArguments* is present immediately after new, or immediately before (, then it is a compile-time error if any of the type arguments are wildcards (§4.5.1).

The exception types that a class instance creation expression can throw are specified in §11.2.1.

Class instance creation expressions have two forms:

- *Unqualified class instance creation expressions* begin with the keyword `new`.

  An unqualified class instance creation expression may be used to create an instance of a class, regardless of whether the class is a top level (§7.6), member (§8.5, §9.5), local (§14.3), or anonymous class (§15.9.5).

- *Qualified class instance creation expressions* begin with a *Primary* expression or an *ExpressionName*.

  A qualified class instance creation expression enables the creation of instances of inner member classes and their anonymous subclasses.

Both unqualified and qualified class instance creation expressions may optionally end with a class body. Such a class instance creation expression declares an *anonymous class* (§15.9.5) and creates an instance of it.

A class instance creation expression is a poly expression (§15.2) if it uses the diamond form for type arguments to the class, and it appears in an assignment context or an invocation context (§5.2, §5.3). Otherwise, it is a standalone expression.

We say that a class is *instantiated* when an instance of the class is created by a class instance creation expression. Class instantiation involves determining the class to be instantiated (§15.9.1), the enclosing instances (if any) of the newly created instance (§15.9.2), and the constructor to be invoked to create the new instance (§15.9.3).

15.9.1   Determining the Class being Instantiated

If *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* ends with *TypeArguments* (rather than `<>`), then *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* must denote a well-formed parameterized type (§4.5), or a compile-time error occurs.

If *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* ends with `<>`, but the class or interface denoted by the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* is not generic, then a compile-time error occurs.

If the class instance creation expression ends in a class body, then the class being instantiated is an anonymous class. Then:

- If the class instance creation expression is unqualified, then:

  The *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* must denote either a class that is accessible, freely extensible (§8.1.1.2), and not an enum class, or an

interface that is accessible and freely extensible (§9.1.1.4). Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

If the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* denotes a class, `C`, then an anonymous direct subclass of `C` is declared. If *TypeArguments* is present, then `C` has type arguments given by *TypeArguments*; if `<>` is present, then `C` will have its type arguments inferred in §15.9.3; otherwise, `C` has no type arguments. The body of the subclass is the *ClassBody* given in the class instance creation expression. The class being instantiated is the anonymous subclass.

If the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* denotes an interface, `I`, then an anonymous direct subclass of `Object` that implements `I` is declared. If *TypeArguments* is present, then `I` has type arguments given by *TypeArguments*; if `<>` is present, then `I` will have its type arguments inferred in §15.9.3; otherwise, `I` has no type arguments. The body of the subclass is the *ClassBody* given in the class instance creation expression. The class being instantiated is the anonymous subclass.

- If the class instance creation expression is qualified, then:

  The *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* must unambiguously denote an inner class that is accessible, freely extensible, not an enum class, and a member of the compile-time type of the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName*. Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

  Let the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* denote a class, `C`. An anonymous direct subclass of `C` is declared. If *TypeArguments* is present, then `C` has type arguments given by *TypeArguments*; if `<>` is present, then `C` will have its type arguments inferred in §15.9.3; otherwise, `C` has no type arguments. The body of the subclass is the *ClassBody* given in the class instance creation expression. The class being instantiated is the anonymous subclass.

If a class instance creation expression does not declare an anonymous class, then:

- If the class instance creation expression is unqualified, then:

  The *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* must denote a class that is accessible, non-`abstract`, and not an enum class. Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

  The class being instantiated is specified by the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate*. If *TypeArguments* is present, then the class has type arguments given by *TypeArguments*; if `<>` is present, then the class will have its type arguments inferred in §15.9.3; otherwise, the class has no type arguments.

- If the class instance creation expression is qualified, then:

  The *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate* must unambiguously denote an inner class that is accessible, non-`abstract`, not an enum class, and a member of the compile-time type of the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName*.

  The class being instantiated is specified by the *Identifier* in *ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate*. If *TypeArguments* is present, then the class has type arguments given by *TypeArguments*; if `<>` is present, then the class will have its type arguments inferred in §15.9.3; otherwise, the class has no type arguments.

15.9.2   Determining Enclosing Instances

Let c be the class being instantiated, and let i be the instance being created. If c is an inner class, then i may have an *immediately enclosing instance* (§8.1.3), determined as follows:

- If c is an anonymous class, then:
  - If the class instance creation expression occurs in a static context, then i has no immediately enclosing instance.
  - Otherwise, the immediately enclosing instance of i is `this`.
- If c is an inner local class, then:
  - If c occurs in a static context, then i has no immediately enclosing instance.
  - Otherwise, if the class instance creation expression occurs in a static context, then a compile-time error occurs.
  - Otherwise, let o be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of c, and let u be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the class instance creation expression.

    If u is not an inner class of o or o itself, then a compile-time error occurs.

    Let *n* be an integer such that o is the *n*'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of u.

    The immediately enclosing instance of i is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

- If c is an inner member class, then:

  - If the class instance creation expression is unqualified, then:

    › If the class instance creation expression occurs in a static context, then a compile-time error occurs.

    › Otherwise, if c is not a member of any class whose declaration lexically encloses the class instance creation expression, then a compile-time error occurs.

    › Otherwise, let o be the innermost enclosing class declaration of which c is a member, and let U be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the class instance creation expression.

    If U is not an inner class of o or o itself, then a compile-time error occurs.

    Let *n* be an integer such that o is the *n*'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of U

    The immediately enclosing instance of i is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

  - If the class instance creation expression is qualified, then the immediately enclosing instance of i is the object that is the value of the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName*.

If c is an anonymous class, and its direct superclass s is an inner class, then i may have an *immediately enclosing instance with respect to* s, determined as follows:

- If s is an inner local class, then:

  - If s occurs in a static context, then i has no immediately enclosing instance with respect to s.

  - Otherwise, if the class instance creation expression occurs in a static context, then a compile-time error occurs.

  - Otherwise, let o be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of s, and let U be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the class instance creation expression.

    If U is not an inner class of o or o itself, then a compile-time error occurs.

    Let *n* be an integer such that o is the *n*'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of U.

    The immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to s is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

- If `s` is an inner member class, then:

  - If the class instance creation expression is unqualified, then:

    › If the class instance creation expression occurs in a static context, then a compile-time error occurs.

    › Otherwise, if `s` is not a member of any class whose declaration encloses the class instance creation expression, then a compile-time error occurs.

    › Otherwise, let `o` be the innermost enclosing class declaration of which `s` is a member, and let `u` be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the class instance creation expression.

      If `u` is not an inner class of `o` or `o` itself, then a compile-time error occurs.

      Let *n* be an integer such that `o` is the *n*'th lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of `u`.

      The immediately enclosing instance of `i` with respect to `s` is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

    › Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

  - If the class instance creation expression is qualified, then the immediately enclosing instance of `i` with respect to `s` is the object that is the value of the *Primary* expression or the *ExpressionName*.

15.9.3   Choosing the Constructor and its Arguments

Let `c` be the class being instantiated. To create an instance of `c`, `i`, a constructor of `c` is chosen at compile time by the following rules.

First, the actual arguments to the constructor invocation are determined:

- If `c` is an anonymous class with direct superclass `s`, then:

  - If `s` is not an inner class, or if `s` is a local class that occurs in a static context, then the arguments to the constructor are the arguments in the argument list of the class instance creation expression, if any, in the order they appear in the expression.

  - Otherwise, the first argument to the constructor is the immediately enclosing instance of `i` with respect to `s` (§15.9.2), and the subsequent arguments to the constructor are the arguments in the argument list of the class instance creation expression, if any, in the order they appear in the class instance creation expression.

- If `c` is a local class or a `private` inner member class, then the arguments to the constructor are the arguments in the argument list of the class instance creation expression, if any, in the order they appear in the class instance creation expression.

- If `c` is a non-`private` inner member class, then the first argument to the constructor is the immediately enclosing instance of `i` (§8.8.1, §15.9.2), and the subsequent arguments to its constructor are the arguments in the argument list of the class instance creation expression, if any, in the order they appear in the class instance creation expression.

- Otherwise, the arguments to the constructor are the arguments in the argument list of the class instance creation expression, if any, in the order they appear in the expression.

Second, a constructor of `c` and corresponding `throws` clause and return type are determined:

- If the class instance creation expression does not use <>, then:

  – If `c` is not an anonymous class, then:

    Let `T` be the type denoted by `c` followed by any class type arguments in the expression. The process specified in §15.12.2, modified to handle

constructors, is used to choose one of the constructors of T and determine its `throws` clause.

If there is no unique most-specific constructor in T that is both applicable and accessible (§6.6), then a compile-time error occurs (as in method invocations).

Otherwise, the return type corresponding to the chosen constructor is T.

– If C is an anonymous class, then:

The process specified in §15.12.2, modified to handle constructors, is used to choose one of the constructors of the direct superclass type of C and determine its `throws` clause.

If there is no unique most-specific constructor in the direct superclass type of C that is both applicable and accessible, then a compile-time error occurs (as in method invocations).

Otherwise, C's anonymous constructor is chosen as the constructor of C (§15.9.5.1). Its body consists of an explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1) of the constructor chosen in the direct superclass type of C.

The `throws` clause of the chosen constructor includes the exceptions in the `throws` clause of the constructor chosen in the direct superclass type of C.

The return type corresponding to the chosen constructor is the anonymous class type.

- If the class instance creation expression uses <>, then:

  If C is not an anonymous class, let D be the same as C. If C is an anonymous class, let D be the superclass or superinterface of C named by the class instance creation expression.

  If D is a class, let c_1, ..., c_n be the constructors of class D. If D is an interface, let c_1, ..., c_n be a singleton list ($n = 1$) containing the zero-argument constructor of the class `Object`.

  A list of methods m_1, ..., m_n is defined for the purpose of overload resolution and type argument inference. For all j ($1 \le j \le n$), m_j is defined in terms of c_j as follows:

  – A substitution θ_j is first defined to instantiate the types in c_j.

    Let F_1, ..., F_p be the type parameters of D, and let G_1, ..., G_q be the type parameters (if any) of c_j. Let X_1, ..., X_p and Y_1, ..., Y_q be type variables with distinct names that are not in scope in the body of D.

    θ_j is [F_1:=X_1, ..., F_p:=X_p, G_1:=Y_1, ..., G_q:=Y_q].

  – The type parameters of m_j are X_1, ..., X_p, Y_1, ..., Y_q. The bound of each type parameter, if any, is θ_j applied to the corresponding type parameter bound in D or c_j.

  – The return type of m_j is θ_j applied to D<F_1, ..., F_p>.

  – The (possibly empty) list of argument types of m_j is θ_j applied to the argument types of c_j.

  – The (possibly empty) list of thrown types of m_j is θ_j applied to the thrown types of c_j.

  – The modifiers of m_j are those of c_j.

  – The name of m_j is #m, an automatically generated name that is distinct from all constructor and method names in D and is shared by m_1, ..., m_n.

  – The body of m_j is irrelevant.

  To choose a constructor, we temporarily consider m_1, ..., m_n to be members of D. One of m_1, ..., m_n is chosen, as determined by the class instance creation expression's argument expressions, using the process specified in §15.12.2.

  If there is no unique most specific method that is both applicable and accessible, then a compile-time error occurs.

  Otherwise, where m_j is the chosen method:

– If c is not an anonymous class, then c_j is chosen as the constructor of c.

The `throws` clause of the chosen constructor is the same as the `throws` clause determined for m_j.

The return type corresponding to the chosen constructor is the return type determined for m_j (§15.12.2.6).

– If c is an anonymous class, then c's anonymous constructor is chosen as the constructor of c. Its body consists of an explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1) of c_j.

The `throws` clause of the chosen constructor includes the exceptions in the `throws` clause determined for m_j.

The return type corresponding to the chosen constructor is the anonymous class type.

If the class instance creation expression is a poly expression, then its compatibility with a target type is as determined by §18.5.2.1, using m_j as the selected method m.

> Testing for compatibility with a target type may occur multiple times before making a final determination of the class instance creation expression's target type and the return type corresponding to the chosen constructor. For example, an enclosing method invocation expression may require testing the class instance creation expression for compatibility with different methods' formal parameter types.

If c is an anonymous class, then its direct superclass type or direct superinterface type is the return type determined for m_j (§15.12.2.6).

It is a compile-time error if the direct superclass type or direct superinterface type, or any subexpression therein ("subexpression" includes type arguments of parameterized types, bounds of wildcard type arguments, and element types of array types, but excludes bounds of type variables), has one of the following forms:

– A type variable that was not declared as a type parameter (such as a type variable produced by capture conversion).

– An intersection type.

– A class or interface type, where the class or interface declaration is not accessible from the class or interface in which the class instance creation expression appears.

It is a compile-time error if an argument to a class instance creation expression is not compatible with its target type, as derived from the invocation type (§15.12.2.6).

If the compile-time declaration is applicable by variable arity invocation (§15.12.2.4), then where the last formal parameter type of the invocation type of the constructor is F_n[], it is a compile-time error if the type which is the erasure of F_n is not accessible at the point of invocation.

The type of the class instance creation expression is the return type corresponding to the chosen constructor, as defined above.

15.9.4   Run-Time Evaluation of Class Instance Creation Expressions

At run time, evaluation of a class instance creation expression is as follows.

First, if the class instance creation expression is a qualified class instance creation expression, the qualifying primary expression is evaluated. If the qualifying expression evaluates to null, a NullPointerException is raised, and the class instance creation expression completes abruptly. If the qualifying expression completes abruptly, the class instance creation expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

Next, space is allocated for the new class instance. If there is insufficient space to allocate the object, evaluation of the class instance creation expression completes abruptly by throwing an OutOfMemoryError.

The new object contains new instances of all the fields declared in the specified class and all its superclasses. As each new field instance is created, it is initialized to its default value (§4.12.5).

Next, the actual arguments to the constructor are evaluated, left-to-right. If any of the argument evaluations completes abruptly, any argument expressions to its right are not evaluated, and the class instance creation expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

Next, the selected constructor of the specified class is invoked. This results in invoking at least one constructor for each superclass of the class. This process can be directed by explicit constructor invocation statements (§8.8.7.1) and is specified in detail in §12.5.

The value of a class instance creation expression is a reference to the newly created object of the specified class. Every time the expression is evaluated, a fresh object is created.

Example 15.9.4-1. Evaluation Order and Out-Of-Memory Detection

If evaluation of a class instance creation expression finds there is insufficient memory to perform the creation operation, then an `OutOfMemoryError` is thrown. This check occurs before any argument expressions are evaluated.

So, for example, the test program:

```
class List {
    int value;
    List next;
    static List head = new List(0);
    List(int n) { value = n; next = head; head = this; }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int id = 0, oldid = 0;
        try {
            for (;;) {
                ++id;
                new List(oldid = id);
            }
        } catch (Error e) {
            List.head = null;
            System.out.println(e.getClass() + ", " + (oldid==id));
        }
    }
}
```

prints:

```
class java.lang.OutOfMemoryError, false
```

because the out-of-memory condition is detected before the argument expression `oldid = id` is evaluated.

Compare this to the treatment of array creation expressions, for which the out-of-memory condition is detected after evaluation of the dimension expressions (§15.10.2).

15.9.5 Anonymous Class Declarations

An anonymous class is implicitly declared by a class instance creation expression or by an enum constant that ends with a class body (§8.9.1).

An anonymous class is never `abstract` (§8.1.1.1).

An anonymous class is never `sealed` (§8.1.1.2), and thus has no permitted direct subclasses (§8.1.6).

An anonymous class declared by a class instance creation expression is never `final` (§8.1.1.2).

An anonymous class declared by an enum constant is always `final`.

> An anonymous class being non-`final` is relevant in casting, in particular the narrowing reference conversion allowed for the cast operator (§5.5). On the other hand, it is not relevant to subclassing, because it is impossible to declare a subclass of an anonymous class (an anonymous class cannot be named by an `extends` clause) despite the anonymous class being non-`final`.

An anonymous class is always an inner class (§8.1.3).

Like a local class or interface (§14.3), an anonymous class is not a member of any package, class, or interface (§7.1, §8.5).

The direct superclass type or direct superinterface type of an anonymous class declared by a class instance creation expression is given by the expression (§15.9.1), with type arguments inferred as necessary while choosing a constructor (§15.9.3). If a direct superinterface type is given, the direct superclass type is `Object`.

The direct superclass type of an anonymous class declared by an enum constant is the type of the declaring enum class.

The *ClassBody* of the class instance creation expression or enum constant declares fields (§8.3), methods (§8.4), member classes (§8.5), member interfaces (§9.1.1.3), instance initializers (§8.6), and static initializers (§8.7) of the anonymous class. The constructor of an anonymous class is always implicit (§15.9.5.1).

If a class instance creation expression with a *ClassBody* uses a diamond (`<>`) for the type arguments of the class to be instantiated, then for all non-`private` methods declared in the *ClassBody*, it is as if the method declaration is annotated with `@Override` (§9.6.4.4).

> When `<>` is used, the inferred type arguments may not be as anticipated by the programmer. Consequently, the supertype of the anonymous class may not be as anticipated, and methods declared in the anonymous class may not override supertype methods as intended. Treating such methods as if annotated with `@Override` (if they are not explicitly annotated with `@Override`) helps avoid silently incorrect programs.

15.9.5.1 *Anonymous Constructors*

An anonymous class cannot have an explicitly declared constructor. Instead, an anonymous constructor is implicitly declared for an anonymous class. The form of the anonymous constructor for an anonymous class `c` with direct superclass `s` is as follows:

- If s is not an inner class, or if s is a local class that occurs in a static context, then the anonymous constructor has one formal parameter for each actual argument to the class instance creation expression or enum constant that declares c.

    The actual arguments to the class instance creation expression or enum constant are used to determine a constructor x of s, as specified in §15.9.3. The type of each formal parameter of the anonymous constructor is made identical to the corresponding formal parameter of x.

    The anonymous constructor body consists of an explicit constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1) of the form `super(...)`, where the actual arguments are the formal parameters of the anonymous constructor, in the order they were declared. The superclass constructor to be invoked is x.

- Otherwise, the first formal parameter of the anonymous constructor represents the value of the immediately enclosing instance of i with respect to s (§15.9.2). The type of this parameter is the class type that immediately encloses the declaration of s.

    The anonymous constructor has an additional formal parameter for each actual argument to the class instance creation expression that declared the anonymous class. The n'th formal parameter corresponds to the n-1'th actual argument.

    The actual arguments to the class instance creation expression are used to determine a constructor x of s, as specified in §15.9.3. The type of each formal parameter of the anonymous constructor is made identical to the corresponding formal parameter of x.

    The anonymous constructor body consists of an explicit constructor invocation of the form `o.super(...)`, where `o` is the first formal parameter of the anonymous constructor, and the actual arguments are the subsequent formal parameters of the constructor, in the order they were declared. The superclass constructor to be invoked is x.

In all cases, the `throws` clause of an anonymous constructor lists all the checked exceptions thrown by the explicit constructor invocation statement contained in the anonymous constructor, as specified in §15.9.3, and all checked exceptions thrown by any instance initializers or instance variable initializers of the anonymous class.

Note that it is possible for the signature of the anonymous constructor to refer to an inaccessible type (for example, if such a type occurred in the signature of the superclass constructor x). This does not, in itself, cause any errors at either compile-time or run-time.

15.10   Array Creation and Access Expressions

15.10.1   Array Creation Expressions

An array creation expression is used to create new arrays (§10 (*Arrays*)).

> *ArrayCreationExpression:*
>   *ArrayCreationExpressionWithoutInitializer*
>   *ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer*

> *ArrayCreationExpressionWithoutInitializer:*
>   new *PrimitiveType DimExprs [Dims]*
>   new *ClassOrInterfaceType DimExprs [Dims]*

> *ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer:*
>   new *PrimitiveType Dims ArrayInitializer*
>   new *ClassOrInterfaceType Dims ArrayInitializer*

> *DimExprs:*
>   *DimExpr {DimExpr}*

> *DimExpr:*
>   *{Annotation}* [*Expression*]

The following production from §4.3 is shown here for convenience:

> *Dims:*
>   *{Annotation}* [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

An array creation expression creates an object that is a new array whose elements are of the type specified by the *PrimitiveType* or *ClassOrInterfaceType*.

It is a compile-time error if the *ClassOrInterfaceType* does not denote a reifiable type (§4.7). Otherwise, the *ClassOrInterfaceType* may name any named reference type, even an abstract class type (§8.1.1.1) or an interface type.

> The rules above imply that the element type in an array creation expression cannot be a parameterized type, unless all type arguments to the parameterized type are unbounded wildcards.

The type of each dimension expression within a *DimExpr* must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to an integral type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Each dimension expression undergoes unary numeric promotion (§5.6). The promoted type must be `int`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the array creation expression is an array type that can denoted by a copy of the array creation expression from which the `new` keyword and every *DimExpr* expression and array initializer have been deleted.

> For example, the type of the creation expression:
>
> `new double[3][3][]`
>
> is:
>
> `double[][][]`

15.10.2   Run-Time Evaluation of Array Creation Expressions

At run time, evaluation of an array creation expression behaves as follows:

- If there are no dimension expressions, then there must be an array initializer. A newly allocated array will be initialized with the values provided by the array initializer as described in §10.6. The value of the array initializer becomes the value of the array creation expression.

- Otherwise, there is no array initializer, and:

  - First, the dimension expressions are evaluated, left-to-right. If any of the expression evaluations completes abruptly, the expressions to the right of it are not evaluated.

  - Next, the values of the dimension expressions are checked. If the value of any *DimExpr* expression is less than zero, then a `NegativeArraySizeException` is thrown.

  - Next, space is allocated for the new array. If there is insufficient space to allocate the array, evaluation of the array creation expression completes abruptly by throwing an `OutOfMemoryError`.

  - Then, if a single *DimExpr* appears, a one-dimensional array is created of the specified length, and each component of the array is initialized to its default value (§4.12.5).

  - Otherwise, if *n DimExpr* expressions appear, then array creation effectively executes a set of nested loops of depth *n*-1 to create the implied arrays of arrays.

    A multidimensional array need not have arrays of the same length at each level.

Example 15.10.2-1. Array Creation Evaluation

In an array creation expression with one or more dimension expressions, each dimension expression is fully evaluated before any part of any dimension expression to its right. Thus:

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int     i  = 4;
        int[][] ia = new int[i][i=3];
        System.out.println(
            "[" + ia.length + "," + ia[0].length + "]");
    }
}
```

prints:

```
[4,3]
```

because the first dimension is calculated as 4 before the second dimension expression sets i to 3.

If evaluation of a dimension expression completes abruptly, no part of any dimension expression to its right will appear to have been evaluated. Thus:

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[][] a = { { 00, 01 }, { 10, 11 } };
        int i = 99;
        try {
            a[val()][i = 1]++;
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.out.println(e + ", i=" + i);
        }
    }
    static int val() throws Exception {
        throw new Exception("unimplemented");
    }
}
```

prints:

```
java.lang.Exception: unimplemented, i=99
```

because the embedded assignment that sets i to 1 is never executed.

Example 15.10.2-2. Multi-Dimensional Array Creation

The declaration:

```
float[][] matrix = new float[3][3];
```

is equivalent in behavior to:

```
float[][] matrix = new float[3][];
for (int d = 0; d < matrix.length; d++)
    matrix[d] = new float[3];
```

and:

```
Age[][][][][] Aquarius = new Age[6][10][8][12][];
```

is equivalent to:

```
Age[][][][][] Aquarius = new Age[6][][][][];
for (int d1 = 0; d1 < Aquarius.length; d1++) {
    Aquarius[d1] = new Age[10][][][];
    for (int d2 = 0; d2 < Aquarius[d1].length; d2++) {
        Aquarius[d1][d2] = new Age[8][][];
        for (int d3 = 0; d3 < Aquarius[d1][d2].length; d3++) {
            Aquarius[d1][d2][d3] = new Age[12][];
        }
    }
}
```

with *d*, *d1*, *d2*, and *d3* replaced by names that are not already locally declared. Thus, a single `new` expression actually creates one array of length 6, 6 arrays of length 10, 6x10 = 60 arrays of length 8, and 6x10x8 = 480 arrays of length 12. This example leaves the fifth dimension, which would be arrays containing the actual array elements (references to `Age` objects), initialized only to null references. These arrays can be filled in later by other code, such as:

```
Age[] Hair = { new Age("quartz"), new Age("topaz") };
Aquarius[1][9][6][9] = Hair;
```

A triangular matrix may be created by:

```
float[][] triang = new float[100][];
for (int i = 0; i < triang.length; i++)
    triang[i] = new float[i+1];
```

If evaluation of an array creation expression finds there is insufficient memory to perform the creation operation, then an `OutOfMemoryError` is thrown. If the array creation expression does not have an array initializer, then this check occurs only after evaluation of all dimension expressions has completed normally. If the array creation expression does have an array initializer, then an `OutOfMemoryError` can occur when an object of reference type is allocated during evaluation of a variable initializer expression, or when space is allocated for an array to hold the values of a (possibly nested) array initializer.

Example 15.10.2-3. `OutOfMemoryError` **and Dimension Expression Evaluation**

```
class Test3 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int len = 0, oldlen = 0;
        Object[] a = new Object[0];
        try {
            for (;;) {
                ++len;
                Object[] temp = new Object[oldlen = len];
                temp[0] = a;
                a = temp;
            }
        } catch (Error e) {
            System.out.println(e + ", " + (oldlen==len));
        }
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
java.lang.OutOfMemoryError, true
```

because the out-of-memory condition is detected after the dimension expression `oldlen = len` is evaluated.

Compare this to class instance creation expressions (§15.9), which detect the out-of-memory condition before evaluating argument expressions (§15.9.4).

15.10.3   Array Access Expressions

An array access expression refers to a variable that is a component of an array.

> *ArrayAccess:*
>   *ExpressionName* [*Expression*]
>   *PrimaryNoNewArray* [*Expression*]
>   *ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer* [*Expression*]

An array access expression contains two subexpressions, the *array reference expression* (before the left bracket) and the *index expression* (within the brackets).

Note that the array reference expression may be a name or any primary expression that is not an array creation expression, unless the array creation expression has an array initializer (§15.10.1).

The type of the array reference expression must be an array type (call it `T[]`, an array whose components are of type `T`), or a compile-time error occurs.

The index expression undergoes unary numeric promotion (§5.6). The promoted type must be `int`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the array access expression is the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to `T`.

The result of an array access expression is a variable of type `T`, namely the variable within the array selected by the value of the index expression.

This resulting variable, which is a component of the array, is never considered `final`, even if the array reference expression denoted a `final` variable.

15.10.4 Run-Time Evaluation of Array Access Expressions

At run time, evaluation of an array access expression behaves as follows:

- First, the array reference expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the array access completes abruptly for the same reason and the index expression is not evaluated.

- Otherwise, the index expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the array access completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Otherwise, if the value of the array reference expression is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown.

- Otherwise, the value of the array reference expression indeed refers to an array. If the value of the index expression is less than zero, or greater than or equal to the array's `length`, then an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` is thrown.

- Otherwise, the result of the array access is the variable of type `T`, within the array, selected by the value of the index expression.

  Example 15.10.4-1. Array Reference Is Evaluated First

  In an array access, the expression to the left of the brackets appears to be fully evaluated before any part of the expression within the brackets is evaluated. For example, in the (admittedly monstrous) expression `a[(a=b)[3]]`, the expression `a` is fully evaluated before the expression `(a=b)[3]`; this means that the original value of `a` is fetched and remembered while the expression `(a=b)[3]` is evaluated. This array referenced by the original value of `a` is then subscripted by a value that is element 3 of another array (possibly the same array) that was referenced by `b` and is now also referenced by `a`.

  Thus, the program:

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] a = { 11, 12, 13, 14 };
```

```
            int[] b = { 0, 1, 2, 3 };
            System.out.println(a[(a=b)[3]]);
        }
    }
```

prints:

```
    14
```

because the monstrous expression's value is equivalent to `a[b[3]]` or `a[3]` or `14`.

Example 15.10.4-2. Abrupt Completion of Array Reference Evaluation

If evaluation of the expression to the left of the brackets completes abruptly, no part of the expression within the brackets will appear to have been evaluated. Thus, the program:

```
    class Test2 {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            int index = 1;
            try {
                skedaddle()[index=2]++;
            } catch (Exception e) {
                System.out.println(e + ", index=" + index);
            }
        }
        static int[] skedaddle() throws Exception {
            throw new Exception("Ciao");
        }
    }
```

prints:

```
    java.lang.Exception: Ciao, index=1
```

because the embedded assignment of `2` to `index` never occurs.

Example 15.10.4-3. `null` Array Reference

If the array reference expression produces `null` instead of a reference to an array, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown at run time, but only after all parts of the array access expression have been evaluated and only if these evaluations completed normally. Thus, the program:

```
    class Test3 {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            int index = 1;
            try {
                nada()[index=2]++;
            } catch (Exception e) {
                System.out.println(e + ", index=" + index);
```

```
                }
            }
            static int[] nada() { return null; }
        }
```

prints:

```
    java.lang.NullPointerException, index=2
```

because the embedded assignment of 2 to `index` occurs before the check for a `null` array reference expression. As a related example, the program:

```
    class Test4 {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            int[] a = null;
            try {
                int i = a[vamoose()];
                System.out.println(i);
            } catch (Exception e) {
                System.out.println(e);
            }
        }
        static int vamoose() throws Exception {
            throw new Exception("Twenty-three skidoo!");
        }
    }
```

always prints:

```
    java.lang.Exception: Twenty-three skidoo!
```

A `NullPointerException` never occurs, because the index expression must be completely evaluated before any further part of the array access occurs, and that includes the check as to whether the value of the array reference expression is `null`.

15.11 Field Access Expressions

A field access expression may access a field of an object or array, a reference to which is the value of either an expression or the special keyword `super`.

FieldAccess:
  Primary . *Identifier*
  `super` . *Identifier*
  TypeName . `super` . *Identifier*

The meaning of a field access expression is determined using the same rules as for qualified names (§6.5.6.2), but limited by the fact that an expression cannot denote a package, class type, or interface type.

It is also possible to refer to a field of the current instance or current class by using a simple name (§6.5.6.1).

15.11.1   Field Access Using a Primary

The type of the *Primary* must be a reference type `T`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The meaning of the field access expression is determined as follows:

- If the identifier names several accessible (§6.6) member fields in type `T`, then the field access is ambiguous and a compile-time error occurs.

- If the identifier does not name an accessible member field in type `T`, then the field access is undefined and a compile-time error occurs.

- Otherwise, the identifier names a single accessible member field in type `T`, and the type of the field access expression is the type of the member field after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

At run time, the result of the field access expression is computed as follows: (assuming that the program is correct with respect to definite assignment analysis, that is, every blank `final` variable is definitely assigned before access)

- If the field is `static`:

  - The *Primary* expression is evaluated, and the result is discarded. If evaluation of the *Primary* expression completes abruptly, the field access expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

  - If the field is a non-blank `final` field, then the result is the value of the specified class variable in the class or interface that is the type of the *Primary* expression.

  - If the field is not `final`, or is a blank `final` and the field access occurs in a class variable initializer (§8.3.2) or static initializer (§8.7), then the result is a variable, namely, the specified class variable in the class that is the type of the *Primary* expression.

- If the field is not `static`:

  - The *Primary* expression is evaluated. If evaluation of the *Primary* expression completes abruptly, the field access expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

  - If the value of the *Primary* is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown.

  - If the field is a non-blank `final`, then the result is the value of the named member field in type `T` found in the object referenced by the value of the *Primary*.

  - If the field is not `final`, or is a blank `final` and the field access occurs in an instance variable initializer (§8.3.2), instance initializer (§8.6), or constructor (§8.8), then the result is a variable, namely the named member field in type `T` found in the object referenced by the value of the *Primary*.

Note that only the type of the *Primary* expression, not the class of the actual object referred to at run time, is used in determining which field to use.

Example 15.11.1-1. Static Binding for Field Access

```
class S            { int x = 0; }
class T extends S { int x = 1; }
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        T t = new T();
        System.out.println("t.x=" + t.x + when("t", t));
        S s = new S();
        System.out.println("s.x=" + s.x + when("s", s));
        s = t;
        System.out.println("s.x=" + s.x + when("s", s));
    }
    static String when(String name, Object t) {
        return " when " + name + " holds a "
                        + t.getClass() + " at run time.";
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
t.x=1 when t holds a class T at run time.
s.x=0 when s holds a class S at run time.
s.x=0 when s holds a class T at run time.
```

The last line shows that, indeed, the field that is accessed does not depend on the run-time class of the referenced object; even if `s` holds a reference to an object of class `T`, the expression `s.x` refers to the `x` field of class `S`, because the type of the expression `s` is `S`. Objects of class `T` contain two fields named `x`, one for class `T` and one for its superclass `S`.

593

This lack of dynamic lookup for field accesses allows programs to be run efficiently with straightforward implementations. The power of late binding and overriding is available, but only when instance methods are used. Consider the same example using instance methods to access the fields:

```
class S            { int x = 0; int z() { return x; } }
class T extends S { int x = 1; int z() { return x; } }
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        T t = new T();
        System.out.println("t.z()=" + t.z() + when("t", t));
        S s = new S();
        System.out.println("s.z()=" + s.z() + when("s", s));
        s = t;
        System.out.println("s.z()=" + s.z() + when("s", s));
    }
    static String when(String name, Object t) {
        return " when " + name + " holds a "
                        + t.getClass() + " at run time.";
    }
}
```

Now the output is:

```
t.z()=1 when t holds a class T at run time.
s.z()=0 when s holds a class S at run time.
s.z()=1 when s holds a class T at run time.
```

The last line shows that, indeed, the method that is accessed *does* depend on the run-time class of the referenced object; when s holds a reference to an object of class T, the expression s.z() refers to the z method of class T, despite the fact that the type of the expression s is S. Method z of class T overrides method z of class S.

Example 15.11.1-2. Receiver Variable Is Irrelevant For `static` Field Access

The following program demonstrates that a null reference may be used to access a class (`static`) variable without causing an exception:

```
class Test3 {
    static String mountain = "Chocorua";
    static Test3 favorite(){
        System.out.print("Mount ");
        return null;
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(favorite().mountain);
    }
}
```

It compiles, executes, and prints:

```
Mount Chocorua
```

Even though the result of `favorite()` is null, a `NullPointerException` is not thrown. That "`Mount `" is printed demonstrates that the *Primary* expression is indeed fully evaluated at run time, despite the fact that only its type, not its value, is used to determine which field to access (because the field `mountain` is `static`).

15.11.2   Accessing Superclass Members using `super`

The form `super`.*Identifier* refers to the field named *Identifier* of the current object, but with the current object viewed as an instance of the superclass of the current class.

The form `T`.`super`.*Identifier* refers to the field named *Identifier* of the lexically enclosing instance corresponding to `T`, but with that instance viewed as an instance of the superclass of `T`.

The forms using the keyword `super` may be used in the locations within a class declaration that allow the keyword `this` as an expression (§15.8.3).

It is a compile-time error if a field access expression using the keyword `super` appears in a static context (§8.1.3).

For a field access expression of the form `super`.*Identifier*:

• It is a compile-time error if the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the field access expression is the class `Object` or an interface.

For a field access expression of the form `T`.`super`.*Identifier*:

• It is a compile-time error if `T` is the class `Object` or an interface.

• Let `U` be the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the field access expression. It is a compile-time error if `U` is not an inner class of `T` or `T` itself.

Suppose that a field access expression `super.f` appears within class `C`, and the immediate superclass of `C` is class `S`. If `f` in `S` is accessible from class `C` (§6.6), then `super.f` is treated as if it had been the expression `this.f` in the body of class `S`. Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

Thus, `super.f` can access the field `f` that is accessible in class `S`, even if that field is hidden by a declaration of a field `f` in class `C`.

Suppose that a field access expression `T.super.f` appears within class `C`, and the immediate superclass of the class denoted by `T` is a class whose fully qualified name

is *S*. If *f* in *S* is accessible from *C*, then *T*.super.*f* is treated as if it had been the expression this.*f* in the body of class *S*. Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs.

> Thus, *T*.super.*f* can access the field *f* that is accessible in class *S*, even if that field is hidden by a declaration of a field *f* in class *T*.

Example 15.11.2-1. The super **Expression**

```
interface I          { int x = 0; }
class T1 implements I { int x = 1; }
class T2 extends T1   { int x = 2; }
class T3 extends T2 {
    int x = 3;
    void test() {
        System.out.println("x=\t\t"          + x);
        System.out.println("super.x=\t\t"    + super.x);
        System.out.println("((T2)this).x=\t" + ((T2)this).x);
        System.out.println("((T1)this).x=\t" + ((T1)this).x);
        System.out.println("((I)this).x=\t"  + ((I)this).x);
    }
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        new T3().test();
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
x=              3
super.x=        2
((T2)this).x=   2
((T1)this).x=   1
((I)this).x=    0
```

> Within class T3, the expression super.x has the same effect as ((T2)this).x when x has package access. Note that super.x is not specified in terms of a cast, due to difficulties around access to protected members of the superclass.

15.12   Method Invocation Expressions

A method invocation expression is used to invoke a class or instance method.

MethodInvocation:
   MethodName (*[ArgumentList]*)
   TypeName . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
   ExpressionName . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
   Primary . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
   `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
   TypeName . `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)

ArgumentList:
   Expression { , *Expression}*

Resolving a method name at compile time is more complicated than resolving a field name because of the possibility of method overloading. Invoking a method at run time is also more complicated than accessing a field because of the possibility of instance method overriding.

Determining the method that will be invoked by a method invocation expression involves several steps. The following three sections describe the compile-time processing of a method invocation. The determination of the type of the method invocation expression is specified in §15.12.3.

The exception types that a method invocation expression can throw are specified in §11.2.1.

It is a compile-time error if the name to the left of the rightmost "." that occurs before the (in a *MethodInvocation* cannot be classified as a *TypeName* or an *ExpressionName* (§6.5.2).

If *TypeArguments* is present to the left of *Identifier*, then it is a compile-time error if any of the type arguments are wildcards (§4.5.1).

A method invocation expression is a poly expression if all of the following are true:

- The invocation appears in an assignment context or an invocation context (§5.2, §5.3).

- If the invocation is qualified (that is, any form of *MethodInvocation* except for the first), then the invocation elides *TypeArguments* to the left of the *Identifier*.

- The method to be invoked, as determined by the following subsections, is generic (§8.4.4) and has a return type that mentions at least one of the method's type parameters.

Otherwise, the method invocation expression is a standalone expression.

597

15.12.1   Compile-Time Step 1: Determine Type to Search

The first step in processing a method invocation at compile time is to figure out the name of the method to be invoked and which type to search for definitions of methods of that name.

The name of the method is specified by the *MethodName* or *Identifier* which immediately precedes the left parenthesis of the *MethodInvocation*.

For the type to search, there are six cases to consider, depending on the form that precedes the left parenthesis of the *MethodInvocation*:

• If the form is *MethodName*, that is, just an *Identifier*, then:

  If the *Identifier* appears in the scope of a method declaration with that name (§6.3, §6.4.1), then:

  – If there is an enclosing class or interface declaration of which that method is a member, let `E` be the innermost such class or interface declaration. The type to search is the type of `E.this` (§15.8.4).

    > This search policy is called the "comb rule". It effectively looks for methods in a nested class's superclass hierarchy before looking for methods in an enclosing class and its superclass hierarchy. See §6.5.7.1 for an example.

  – Otherwise, the method declaration may be in scope due to one or more single-static-import or static-import-on-demand declarations. There is no type to search, as the method to be invoked is determined later (§15.12.2.1).

• If the form is *TypeName . [TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the type to search is the (possibly raw) type denoted by *TypeName*.

• If the form is *ExpressionName . [TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the type to search is the declared type `T` of the variable denoted by *ExpressionName* if `T` is a class or interface type, or the upper bound of `T` if `T` is a type variable.

• If the form is *Primary . [TypeArguments] Identifier*, then let `T` be the type of the *Primary* expression. The type to search is `T` if `T` is a class or interface type, or the upper bound of `T` if `T` is a type variable.

  It is a compile-time error if `T` is not a reference type.

• If the form is `super` *. [TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the type to search is the direct superclass type of the class whose declaration contains the method invocation.

  Let `E` be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method invocation. It is a compile-time error if `E` is the class `Object` or an interface.

- If the form is *TypeName* . super . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then:

  – It is a compile-time error if *TypeName* denotes neither a class nor an interface.

  – If *TypeName* denote a class, `C`, then the type to search is the direct superclass type of `C`.

    It is a compile-time error if `C` is not a lexically enclosing class declaration of the method invocation, or if `C` is the class `Object`.

    Let `E` be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method invocation. It is a compile-time error if `E` is the class `Object`.

  – Otherwise, *TypeName* denotes an interface, `I`.

    Let `E` be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method invocation. It is a compile-time error if `I` is not a direct superinterface of `E`, or if there exists some other direct superclass or direct superinterface of `E`, `J`, such that `J` is a subclass or subinterface of `I`.

    The type to search is the type of `I` that is a direct superinterface type of `E`.

  The *TypeName* . super syntax is overloaded: traditionally, the *TypeName* refers to a lexically enclosing class declaration, and the target is the superclass of this class, as if the invocation were an unqualified super in the lexically enclosing class declaration.

```
class Superclass {
    void foo() { System.out.println("Hi"); }
}

class Subclass1 extends Superclass {
    void foo() { throw new UnsupportedOperationException(); }

    Runnable tweak = new Runnable() {
        void run() {
            Subclass1.super.foo();  // Gets the 'println' behavior
        }
    };
}
```

  To support invocation of default methods in superinterfaces, the *TypeName* may also refer to a direct superinterface of the current class or interface, and the target is that superinterface.

```
interface Superinterface {
    default void foo() { System.out.println("Hi"); }
}

class Subclass2 implements Superinterface {
    void foo() { throw new UnsupportedOperationException(); }

    void tweak() {
        Superinterface.super.foo();  // Gets the 'println' behavior
    }
}
```

No syntax supports a combination of these forms, that is, invoking a superinterface method of a lexically enclosing class declaration, as if the invocation were of the form *InterfaceName* . super in the lexically enclosing class declaration.

```
class Subclass3 implements Superinterface {
    void foo() { throw new UnsupportedOperationException(); }

    Runnable tweak = new Runnable() {
        void run() {
            Subclass3.Superinterface.super.foo();  // Illegal
        }
    };
}
```

A workaround is to introduce a private method in the lexically enclosing class declaration, that performs the interface super call.

15.12.2   Compile-Time Step 2: Determine Method Signature

The second step searches the type determined in the previous step for member methods. This step uses the name of the method and the argument expressions to locate methods that are both *accessible* and *applicable*, that is, declarations that can be correctly invoked on the given arguments.

There may be more than one such method, in which case the *most specific* one is chosen. The descriptor (signature plus return type) of the most specific method is the one used at run time to perform the method dispatch.

Certain argument expressions that contain implicitly typed lambda expressions (§15.27.1) or inexact method references (§15.13.1) are ignored by the applicability tests, because their meaning cannot be determined until the invocation's target type is selected. On the other hand, it is only argument expressions - *not* the invocation's target type - that influence the applicability tests, even if the method invocation expression is a poly expression.

The process of determining applicability begins by determining the *potentially applicable* methods (§15.12.2.1). Then, to ensure compatibility with the Java programming language prior to Java SE 5.0, the process continues in three phases:

1. The first phase performs overload resolution without permitting boxing or unboxing conversion, or the use of variable arity method invocation. If no applicable method is found during this phase then processing continues to the second phase.

    > This guarantees that any calls that were valid in the Java programming language before Java SE 5.0 are not considered ambiguous as the result of the introduction of variable arity methods, implicit boxing and/or unboxing. However, the declaration of a variable arity method (§8.4.1) can change the method chosen for a given method method invocation expression, because a variable arity method is treated as a fixed arity method in the first phase. For example, declaring m(Object...) in a class which already declares m(Object) causes m(Object) to no longer be chosen for some invocation expressions (such as m(null)), as m(Object[]) is more specific.

2. The second phase performs overload resolution while allowing boxing and unboxing, but still precludes the use of variable arity method invocation. If no applicable method is found during this phase then processing continues to the third phase.

    > This ensures that a method is never chosen through variable arity method invocation if it is applicable through fixed arity method invocation.

3. The third phase allows overloading to be combined with variable arity methods, boxing, and unboxing.

A method is *applicable* if it is applicable by one of strict invocation (the first phase, §15.12.2.2), loose invocation (the second phase, §15.12.2.3), or variable arity invocation (the third phase, §15.12.2.4). Deciding whether a method is applicable will, in the case of generic methods (§8.4.4), require an analysis of the type arguments. Type arguments may be passed explicitly or implicitly; if they are passed implicitly, then bounds of the type arguments must be inferred from the argument expressions (§18 (*Type Inference*)).

If several applicable methods have been identified during one of the three phases of applicability testing, then the most specific one is chosen, as specified in §15.12.2.5.

> To check for applicability, the types of an invocation's arguments cannot, in general, be inputs to the analysis. This is because:
>
> • The arguments to a method invocation may be poly expressions.
>
> • Poly expressions cannot be typed in the absence of a target type.

- Overload resolution has to be completed before the arguments' target types will be known.

Instead, the input to the applicability check is a list of the arguments themselves. The arguments *can* be checked for compatibility with potential target types, even if the ultimate types of the arguments are unknown.

Note that overload resolution is independent of a target type. This is for two reasons:

- First, it makes the user model more accessible and less error-prone. The meaning of a method name (i.e., the declaration corresponding to the name) is too fundamental to the meaning of a program to depend on subtle contextual hints. (In contrast, other poly expressions may have different behavior depending on a target type; but the variation in behavior is always limited and essentially equivalent, while no such guarantees can be made about the behavior of an arbitrary set of methods that share a name and arity.)

- Second, it allows other properties - such as whether or not the method is a poly expression (§15.12) or how to categorize a conditional expression (§15.25) - to depend on the meaning of the method name, even before a target type is known.

Example 15.12.2-1. Method Applicability

```
class Doubler {
            static int two()      { return two(1); }
    private static int two(int i) { return 2*i;    }
}
class Test extends Doubler {
    static long two(long j) { return j+j; }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(two(3));
        System.out.println(Doubler.two(3)); // compile-time error
    }
}
```

For the method invocation `two(1)` within class `Doubler`, there are two accessible methods named `two`, but only the second one is applicable, and so that is the one invoked at run time.

For the method invocation `two(3)` within class `Test`, there are two applicable methods, but only the one in class `Test` is accessible, and so that is the one to be invoked at run time (the argument `3` is converted to type `long`).

For the method invocation `Doubler.two(3)`, the class `Doubler`, not class `Test`, is searched for methods named `two`; the only applicable method is not accessible, and so this method invocation causes a compile-time error.

Another example is:

```
class ColoredPoint {
    int x, y;
    byte color;
```

```
        void setColor(byte color) { this.color = color; }
    }
    class Test {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
            byte color = 37;
            cp.setColor(color);
            cp.setColor(37);  // compile-time error
        }
    }
```

Here, a compile-time error occurs for the second invocation of `setColor`, because no applicable method can be found at compile time. The type of the literal 37 is `int`, and `int` cannot be converted to `byte` by invocation conversion. Assignment conversion, which is used in the initialization of the variable `color`, performs an implicit conversion of the constant from type `int` to `byte`, which is permitted because the value 37 is small enough to be represented in type `byte`; but such a conversion is not allowed for invocation conversion.

If the method `setColor` had, however, been declared to take an `int` instead of a `byte`, then both method invocations would be correct; the first invocation would be allowed because invocation conversion does permit a widening conversion from `byte` to `int`. However, a narrowing cast would then be required in the body of `setColor`:

```
    void setColor(int color) { this.color = (byte)color; }
```

Here is an example of overloading ambiguity. Consider the program:

```
    class Point { int x, y; }
    class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
    class Test {
        static void test(ColoredPoint p, Point q) {
            System.out.println("(ColoredPoint, Point)");
        }
        static void test(Point p, ColoredPoint q) {
            System.out.println("(Point, ColoredPoint)");
        }
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
            test(cp, cp);  // compile-time error
        }
    }
```

This example produces an error at compile time. The problem is that there are two declarations of `test` that are applicable and accessible, and neither is more specific than the other. Therefore, the method invocation is ambiguous.

If a third definition of `test` were added:

```
    static void test(ColoredPoint p, ColoredPoint q) {
        System.out.println("(ColoredPoint, ColoredPoint)");
    }
```

603

then it would be more specific than the other two, and the method invocation would no longer be ambiguous.

Example 15.12.2-2. Return Type Not Considered During Method Selection

```
class Point { int x, y; }
class ColoredPoint extends Point { int color; }
class Test {
    static int test(ColoredPoint p) {
        return p.color;
    }
    static String test(Point p) {
        return "Point";
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
        String s = test(cp);  // compile-time error
    }
}
```

Here, the most specific declaration of method `test` is the one taking a parameter of type `ColoredPoint`. Because the result type of the method is `int`, a compile-time error occurs because an `int` cannot be converted to a `String` by assignment conversion. This example shows that the result types of methods do not participate in resolving overloaded methods, so that the second `test` method, which returns a `String`, is not chosen, even though it has a result type that would allow the example program to compile without error.

Example 15.12.2-3. Choosing The Most Specific Method

The most specific method is chosen at compile time; its descriptor determines what method is actually executed at run time. If a new method is added to a class, then source code that was compiled with the old definition of the class might not use the new method, even if a recompilation would cause this method to be chosen.

So, for example, consider two compilation units, one for class `Point`:

```
package points;
public class Point {
    public int x, y;
    public Point(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }
    public String toString() { return toString(""); }
    public String toString(String s) {
        return "(" + x + "," + y + s + ")";
    }
}
```

and one for class `ColoredPoint`:

```
package points;
public class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    public static final int
```

```
        RED = 0, GREEN = 1, BLUE = 2;
    public static String[] COLORS =
        { "red", "green", "blue" };

    public byte color;
    public ColoredPoint(int x, int y, int color) {
        super(x, y);
        this.color = (byte)color;
    }

    /** Copy all relevant fields of the argument into
        this ColoredPoint object. */
    public void adopt(Point p) { x = p.x; y = p.y; }

    public String toString() {
        String s = "," + COLORS[color];
        return super.toString(s);
    }
}
```

Now consider a third compilation unit that uses `ColoredPoint`:

```
import points.*;
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ColoredPoint cp =
            new ColoredPoint(6, 6, ColoredPoint.RED);
        ColoredPoint cp2 =
            new ColoredPoint(3, 3, ColoredPoint.GREEN);
        cp.adopt(cp2);
        System.out.println("cp: " + cp);
    }
}
```

The output is:

```
cp: (3,3,red)
```

The programmer who coded class `Test` has expected to see the word `green`, because the actual argument, a `ColoredPoint`, has a `color` field, and `color` would seem to be a "relevant field". (Of course, the documentation for the package `points` ought to have been much more precise!)

Notice, by the way, that the most specific method (indeed, the only applicable method) for the method invocation of `adopt` has a signature that indicates a method of one parameter, and the parameter is of type `Point`. This signature becomes part of the binary representation of class `Test` produced by the Java compiler and is used by the method invocation at run time.

Suppose the programmer reported this software error and the maintainer of the `points` package decided, after due deliberation, to correct it by adding a method to class `ColoredPoint`:

```
        public void adopt(ColoredPoint p) {
            adopt((Point)p);
            color = p.color;
        }
```

If the programmer then runs the old binary file for `Test` with the new binary file for `ColoredPoint`, the output is still:

```
        cp: (3,3,red)
```

because the old binary file for `Test` still has the descriptor "one parameter, whose type is `Point`; void" associated with the method call `cp.adopt(cp2)`. If the source code for `Test` is recompiled, the Java compiler will then discover that there are now two applicable `adopt` methods, and that the signature for the more specific one is "one parameter, whose type is `ColoredPoint`; void"; running the program will then produce the desired output:

```
        cp: (3,3,green)
```

With forethought about such problems, the maintainer of the `points` package could fix the `ColoredPoint` class to work with both newly compiled and old code, by adding defensive code to the old `adopt` method for the sake of old code that still invokes it on `ColoredPoint` arguments:

```
        public void adopt(Point p) {
            if (p instanceof ColoredPoint)
                color = ((ColoredPoint)p).color;
            x = p.x; y = p.y;
        }
```

Ideally, source code should be recompiled whenever code that it depends on is changed. However, in an environment where different classes are maintained by different organizations, this is not always feasible. Defensive programming with careful attention to the problems of class evolution can make upgraded code much more robust. See §13 (*Binary Compatibility*) for a detailed discussion of binary compatibility and type evolution.

15.12.2.1   *Identify Potentially Applicable Methods*

The type determined by compile-time step 1 (§15.12.1) is searched for all member methods that are potentially applicable to this method invocation; members inherited from superclasses and superinterfaces are included in this search.

In addition, if the form of the method invocation expression is *MethodName* - that is, a single *Identifier* - then the search for potentially applicable methods also examines all member methods that are imported by single-static-import declarations and static-import-on-demand declarations of the compilation unit where the method invocation occurs (§7.5.3, §7.5.4) and that are not shadowed at the point where the method invocation appears.

A member method is *potentially applicable* to a method invocation if and only if all of the following are true:

- The name of the member is identical to the name of the method in the method invocation.

- The member is accessible (§6.6) to the class or interface in which the method invocation appears.

  > Whether a member method is accessible at a method invocation depends on the access modifier (`public`, `protected`, no modifier (package access), or `private`) in the member's declaration, and on the inheritance of the member by the class or interface determined by compile-time step 1, and on where the method invocation appears.

- If the member is a fixed arity method with arity n, the arity of the method invocation is equal to n, and for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), the i'th argument of the method invocation is *potentially compatible*, as defined below, with the type of the i'th parameter of the method.

- If the member is a variable arity method with arity n, then for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n\text{-}1$), the i'th argument of the method invocation is *potentially compatible* with the type of the i'th parameter of the method; and, where the nth parameter of the method has type `T[]`, one of the following is true:

  – The arity of the method invocation is equal to n-1.

  – The arity of the method invocation is equal to n, and the nth argument of the method invocation is potentially compatible with either `T` or `T[]`.

  – The arity of the method invocation is m, where $m > n$, and for all i ($n \leq i \leq m$), the i'th argument of the method invocation is potentially compatible with `T`.

- If the method invocation includes explicit type arguments, and the member is a generic method, then the number of type arguments is equal to the number of type parameters of the method.

  > This clause implies that a non-generic method may be potentially applicable to an invocation that supplies explicit type arguments. Indeed, it may turn out to be applicable. In such a case, the type arguments will simply be ignored.

  > This rule stems from issues of compatibility and principles of substitutability. Since interfaces or superclasses may be generified independently of their subtypes, we may override a generic method with a non-generic one. However, the overriding (non-generic) method must be applicable to calls to the generic method, including calls that explicitly pass type arguments. Otherwise the subtype would not be substitutable for its generified supertype.

If the search does not yield at least one method that is potentially applicable, then a compile-time error occurs.

An expression is *potentially compatible* with a target type according to the following rules:

- A lambda expression (§15.27) is potentially compatible with a functional interface type T (§9.8) if all of the following are true:

  - The arity of the function type of T (§9.9) is the same as the arity of the lambda expression.

  - If the function type of T has a `void` return, then the lambda body is either a statement expression (§14.8) or a void-compatible block (§15.27.2).

  - If the function type of T has a (non-`void`) return type, then the lambda body is either an expression or a value-compatible block (§15.27.2).

- A method reference expression (§15.13) is potentially compatible with a functional interface type T if, where the arity of the function type of T is n, there exists at least one potentially applicable method when the method reference expression targets the function type with arity n (§15.13.1), and one of the following is true:

  - The method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier* and at least one potentially applicable method is either (i) `static` and supports arity n, or (ii) not `static` and supports arity n-1.

  - The method reference expression has some other form and at least one potentially applicable method is not `static`.

- A lambda expression or a method reference expression is potentially compatible with a type variable if the type variable is a type parameter of the candidate method.

- A parenthesized expression (§15.8.5) is potentially compatible with a type if its contained expression is potentially compatible with that type.

- A conditional expression (§15.25) is potentially compatible with a type if each of its second and third operand expressions are potentially compatible with that type.

- A switch expression (§15.28) is potentially compatible with a type if all of its result expressions are potentially compatible with that type.

- A class instance creation expression, a method invocation expression, or an expression of a standalone form (§15.2) is potentially compatible with any type.

  The definition of potential applicability goes beyond a basic arity check to also take into account the presence and "shape" of functional interface target types. In some cases involving type argument inference, a lambda expression appearing as a method invocation

argument cannot be properly typed until after overload resolution. These rules allow the form of the lambda expression to still be taken into account, discarding obviously incorrect target types that might otherwise cause ambiguity errors.

15.12.2.2 *Phase 1: Identify Matching Arity Methods Applicable by Strict Invocation*

An argument expression is considered *pertinent to applicability* for a potentially applicable method m unless it has one of the following forms:

- An implicitly typed lambda expression (§15.27.1).

- An inexact method reference expression (§15.13.1).

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation does not provide explicit type arguments, an explicitly typed lambda expression or an exact method reference expression for which the corresponding target type (as derived from the signature of m) is a type parameter of m.

- An explicitly typed lambda expression whose body is an expression that is not pertinent to applicability.

- An explicitly typed lambda expression whose body is a block, where at least one result expression is not pertinent to applicability.

- A parenthesized expression (§15.8.5) whose contained expression is not pertinent to applicability.

- A conditional expression (§15.25) whose second or third operand is not pertinent to applicability.

Let m be a potentially applicable method (§15.12.2.1) with arity n and formal parameter types F_1, ..., F_n, and let e_1, ..., e_n be the actual argument expressions of the method invocation. Then:

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation does not provide explicit type arguments, then the applicability of the method is inferred as specified in §18.5.1.

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation provides explicit type arguments, then let R_1, ..., R_p ($p \geq 1$) be the type parameters of m, let B_1 be the declared bound of R_1 ($1 \leq l \leq p$), and let U_1, ..., U_p be the explicit type arguments given in the method invocation. Then m is *applicable by strict invocation* if both of the following are true:

  – For $1 \leq i \leq n$, if e_i is pertinent to applicability then e_i is compatible in a strict invocation context with $F_i[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$ (§5.3).

  – For $1 \leq l \leq p$, $U_1 <: B_1[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$.

609

- If m is not a generic method, then m is *applicable by strict invocation* if, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, either e_i is compatible in a strict invocation context with F_i (§5.3) or e_i is not pertinent to applicability.

If no method applicable by strict invocation is found, the search for applicable methods continues with phase 2 (§15.12.2.3).

Otherwise, the most specific method (§15.12.2.5) is chosen among the methods that are applicable by strict invocation.

> The meaning of an implicitly typed lambda expression or an inexact method reference expression is sufficiently vague prior to resolving a target type that arguments containing these expressions are not considered *pertinent to applicability*; they are simply ignored (except for their expected arity) until overload resolution is finished.

15.12.2.3   *Phase 2: Identify Matching Arity Methods Applicable by Loose Invocation*

Let m be a potentially applicable method (§15.12.2.1) with arity n and formal parameter types $F_1, ..., F_n$, and let $e_1, ..., e_n$ be the actual argument expressions of the method invocation. Then:

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation does not provide explicit type arguments, then the applicability of the method is inferred as specified in §18.5.1.

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation provides explicit type arguments, then let $R_1, ..., R_p$ ($p \geq 1$) be the type parameters of m, let B_l be the declared bound of R_l ($1 \leq l \leq p$), and let $U_1, ..., U_p$ be the explicit type arguments given in the method invocation. Then m is *applicable by loose invocation* if both of the following are true:

  – For $1 \leq i \leq n$, if e_i is pertinent to applicability (§15.12.2.2) then e_i is compatible in a loose invocation context with $F_i[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$ (§5.3).

  – For $1 \leq l \leq p$, $U_l <: B_l[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$.

- If m is not a generic method, then m is *applicable by loose invocation* if, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, either e_i is compatible in a loose invocation context with F_i (§5.3) or e_i is not pertinent to applicability.

If no method applicable by loose invocation is found, the search for applicable methods continues with phase 3 (§15.12.2.4).

Otherwise, the most specific method (§15.12.2.5) is chosen among the methods that are applicable by loose invocation.

15.12.2.4 *Phase 3: Identify Methods Applicable by Variable Arity Invocation*

Where a variable arity method has formal parameter types F_1, ..., F_{n-1}, F_n[ ], let the *i*'th *variable arity parameter type* of the method be defined as follows:

- For $i \leq n$-1, the *i*'th variable arity parameter type is F_i.

- For $i \geq n$, the *i*'th variable arity parameter type is F_n.

Let m be a potentially applicable method (§15.12.2.1) with variable arity, let T_1, ..., T_k be the first k variable arity parameter types of m, and let e_1, ..., e_k be the actual argument expressions of the method invocation. Then:

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation does not provide explicit type arguments, then the applicability of the method is inferred as specified in §18.5.1.

- If m is a generic method and the method invocation provides explicit type arguments, then let R_1, ..., R_p ($p \geq 1$) be the type parameters of m, let B_l be the declared bound of R_l ($1 \leq l \leq p$), and let U_1, ..., U_p be the explicit type arguments given in the method invocation. Then m is *applicable by variable arity invocation* if:

  - For $1 \leq i \leq k$, if e_i is pertinent to applicability (§15.12.2.2) then e_i is compatible in a loose invocation context with $T_i[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$ (§5.3).

  - For $1 \leq l \leq p$, U_1 <: $B_l[R_1:=U_1, ..., R_p:=U_p]$.

- If m is not a generic method, then m is *applicable by variable arity invocation* if, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, either e_i is compatible in a loose invocation context with T_i (§5.3) or e_i is not pertinent to applicability.

If no method applicable by variable arity invocation is found, then a compile-time error occurs.

Otherwise, the most specific method (§15.12.2.5) is chosen among the methods applicable by variable arity invocation.

15.12.2.5 *Choosing the Most Specific Method*

If more than one member method is both accessible and applicable to a method invocation, it is necessary to choose one to provide the descriptor for the run-time method dispatch. The Java programming language uses the rule that the *most specific* method is chosen.

The informal intuition is that one method is more specific than another if any invocation handled by the first method could be passed on to the other one without a compile-time error. In cases such as an explicitly typed lambda expression

argument (§15.27.1) or a variable arity invocation (§15.12.2.4), some flexibility is allowed to adapt one signature to the other.

One applicable method m_1 is *more specific* than another applicable method m_2, for an invocation with argument expressions e_1, ..., e_k, if any of the following are true:

- m_2 is generic, and m_1 is inferred to be more specific than m_2 for argument expressions e_1, ..., e_k by §18.5.4.

- m_2 is not generic, and m_1 and m_2 are applicable by strict or loose invocation, and where m_1 has formal parameter types S_1, ..., S_n and m_2 has formal parameter types T_1, ..., T_n, the type S_i is *more specific* than T_i for argument e_i for all i ($1 \le i \le n$, $n = k$).

- m_2 is not generic, and m_1 and m_2 are applicable by variable arity invocation, and where the first k variable arity parameter types of m_1 are S_1, ..., S_k and the first k variable arity parameter types of m_2 are T_1, ..., T_k, the type S_i is *more specific* than T_i for argument e_i for all i ($1 \le i \le k$). Additionally, if m_2 has $k+1$ parameters, then the $k+1$'th variable arity parameter type of m_1 is a subtype of the $k+1$'th variable arity parameter type of m_2.

The above conditions are the only circumstances under which one method may be more specific than another.

A type S is *more specific* than a type T for *any* expression if $S <: T$ (§4.10).

A functional interface type S is *more specific* than a functional interface type T for an expression e if all of the following are true:

- The interface of S is neither a superinterface nor a subinterface of the interface of T.

  If S or T is an intersection type, it is not the case that any interface of S is a superinterface or a subinterface of any interface of T. (The "interfaces of" an intersection type refers here to the set of interfaces that appear as (possibly parameterized) interface types in the intersection.)

- Let MT_S be the function type of the capture of S, and let MT_T be the function type of T. MT_S and MT_T must have the same type parameters (if any) (§8.4.4).

- Let P_1, ..., P_n be the formal parameter types of MT_S, adapted to the type parameters of MT_T. Let P_1', ..., P_n' be the formal parameter types of the function type of S (without capture), adapted to the type parameters of MT_T. Let Q_1, ..., Q_n be the formal parameter types of MT_T. Then, for all i ($1 \le i \le n$), $Q_i <: P_i$ and $Q_i = P_i'$.

  Generally, this rule asserts that the formal parameter types derived from S and T are the same. But in the case in which S is a wildcard-parameterized type, the check is more

complex in order to allow capture variables to occur in formal parameter types: first, each formal parameter type of T must be a subtype of the corresponding formal parameter type of the capture of S; second, after mapping the wildcards to their bounds (§9.9), the formal parameter types of the resulting function types are the same.

- Let R_S be the return type of MT_S, adapted to the type parameters of MT_T, and let R_T be the return type of MT_T. One of the following must be true:

  - e is an explicitly typed lambda expression (§15.27.1), and one of the following is true:

    › R_T is void.

    › $R_S <: R_T$.

    › R_S and R_T are functional interface types, and there is at least one result expression, and R_S is more specific than R_T for each result expression of e.

      The result expression of a lambda expression with a block body is defined in §15.27.2; the result expression of a lambda expression with an expression body is simply the body itself.

    › R_S is a primitive type, and R_T is a reference type, and there is at least one result expression, and each result expression of e is a standalone expression (§15.2) of a primitive type.

    › R_S is a reference type, and R_T is a primitive type, and there is at least one result expression, and each result expression of e is either a standalone expression of a reference type or a poly expression.

  - e is an exact method reference expression (§15.13.1), and one of the following is true:

    › R_T is void.

    › $R_S <: R_T$.

    › R_S is a primitive type, R_T is a reference type, and the compile-time declaration for the method reference has a return type which is a primitive type.

    › R_S is a reference type, R_T is a primitive type, and the compile-time declaration for the method reference has a return type which is a reference type.

  - e is a parenthesized expression, and one of these conditions applies recursively to the contained expression.

  - e is a conditional expression, and, for each of the second and third operands, one of these conditions applies recursively.

  - e is a switch expression, and, for each of its result expressions, one of these conditions applies recursively.

A method m_1 is *strictly more specific* than another method m_2 if and only if m_1 is more specific than m_2 and m_2 is not more specific than m_1.

A method is said to be *maximally specific* for a method invocation if it is accessible and applicable and there is no other method that is accessible and applicable that is strictly more specific.

If there is exactly one maximally specific method, then that method is in fact the *most specific method*; it is necessarily more specific than any other accessible method that is applicable. It is then subjected to some further compile-time checks as specified in §15.12.3.

It is possible that no method is the most specific, because there are two or more methods that are maximally specific. In this case:

- If all the maximally specific methods have override-equivalent signatures (§8.4.2), and *exactly one* of the maximally specific methods is concrete (that is, neither `abstract` nor default), then it is the most specific method.

- Otherwise, if all the maximally specific methods have override-equivalent signatures, and *all* the maximally specific methods are `abstract` or default, and the declarations of these methods have the same erased parameter types, and at least one maximally specific method is *preferred* according to the rules below, then the most specific method is chosen arbitrarily among the subset of the maximally specific methods that are preferred. The most specific method is then considered to be `abstract`.

  A maximally specific method is *preferred* if it has:

  – a signature that is a subsignature of every maximally specific method's signature; and

  – a return type R (possibly `void`), where either R is the same as every maximally specific method's return type, or R is a reference type and is a subtype of

every maximally specific method's return type (after adapting for any type parameters (§8.4.4) if the two methods have the same signature).

If no preferred method exists according to the above rules, then a maximally specific method is *preferred* if it:

– has a signature that is a subsignature of every maximally specific method's signature; and

– is return-type-substitutable (§8.4.5) for every maximally specific method.

The thrown exception types of the most specific method are derived from the throws clauses of the maximally specific methods, as follows:

1. If the most specific method is generic, the throws clauses are first adapted to the type parameters of the most specific method (§8.4.4).

    If the most specific method is not generic but at least one maximally specific method is generic, the throws clauses are first erased.

2. Then, the thrown exception types include every type E which satisfies the following constraints:

    – E is mentioned in one of the throws clauses.

    – For each throws clause, E is a subtype of some type named in that clause.

    These rules for deriving a single method type from a group of overloaded methods are also used to identify the function type of a functional interface (§9.9).

• Otherwise, the method invocation is *ambiguous*, and a compile-time error occurs.

15.12.2.6   *Method Invocation Type*

The *invocation type* of a most specific accessible and applicable method is a method type (§8.2) which expresses the target types of the invocation arguments, the result (return type or void) of the invocation, and the exception types of the invocation. It is determined as follows:

• If the chosen method is generic and the method invocation does not provide explicit type arguments, the invocation type is inferred as specified in §18.5.2.

    In this case, if the method invocation expression is a poly expression, then its compatibility with a target type is as determined by §18.5.2.1.

    Testing for compatibility with a target type may occur multiple times before making a final determination of the method invocation expression's target type and invocation type. For example, an enclosing method invocation expression may require testing the

deeper method invocation expression for compatibility with different methods' formal parameter types.

- If the chosen method is generic and the method invocation provides explicit type arguments, let P_i be the type parameters of the method and let T_i be the explicit type arguments provided for the method invocation ($1 \le i \le p$). Then:

  - If unchecked conversion was necessary for the method to be applicable, then the invocation type's parameter types are obtained by applying the substitution `[P₁:=T₁, ..., Pₚ:=Tₚ]` to the parameter types of the method's type, and the invocation type's return type and thrown types are given by the erasure of the return type and thrown types of the method's type.

  - If unchecked conversion was not necessary for the method to be applicable, then the invocation type is obtained by applying the substitution `[P₁:=T₁, ..., Pₚ:=Tₚ]` to the method's type.

- If the chosen method is not generic, then:

  - If unchecked conversion was necessary for the method to be applicable, the parameter types of the invocation type are the parameter types of the method's type, and the return type and thrown types are given by the erasures of the return type and thrown types of the method's type.

  - Otherwise, if the chosen method is the `getClass` method of the class `Object` (§4.3.2), the invocation type is the same as the method's type, except that the return type is `Class<? extends |T|>`, where `T` is the type that was searched, as determined by §15.12.1, and `|T|` denotes the erasure of `T` (§4.6).

  - Otherwise, the invocation type is the same as the method's type.

15.12.3   Compile-Time Step 3: Is the Chosen Method Appropriate?

If there is a most specific method declaration for a method invocation, it is called the *compile-time declaration* for the method invocation.

It is a compile-time error if an argument to a method invocation is not compatible with its target type, as derived from the invocation type of the compile-time declaration.

If the compile-time declaration is applicable by variable arity invocation, then where the last formal parameter type of the invocation type of the method is F_n`[ ]`, it is a compile-time error if the type which is the erasure of F_n is not accessible (§6.6) at the point of invocation.

If the compile-time declaration is `void`, then the method invocation must be a top level expression (that is, the *Expression* in an expression statement or in the *ForInit* or *ForUpdate* part of a `for` statement), or a compile-time error occurs. Such a method invocation produces no value and so must be used only in a situation where a value is not needed.

In addition, whether the compile-time declaration is appropriate may depend on the form of the method invocation expression before the left parenthesis, as follows:

- If the form is *MethodName* - that is, just an *Identifier* - and the compile-time declaration is an instance method, then:

  - It is a compile-time error if the method invocation occurs in a static context (§8.1.3).

  - Otherwise, let *T* be the class or interface to search (§15.12.1). It is a compile-time error if the innermost enclosing class or interface declaration of the method invocation is neither *T* nor an inner class of *T*.

- If the form is *TypeName* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the compile-time declaration must be `static`, or a compile-time error occurs.

- If the form is *ExpressionName* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *Primary* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the compile-time declaration must not be a `static` method declared in an interface, or a compile-time error occurs.

- If the form is `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then:

  - It is a compile-time error if the compile-time declaration is `abstract`.

  - It is a compile-time error if the method invocation occurs in a static context.

- If the form is *TypeName* . `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then:

  - It is a compile-time error if the compile-time declaration is `abstract`.

  - It is a compile-time error if the method invocation occurs in a static context.

  - If *TypeName* denotes a class *C*, then if the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method invocation is not *C* or an inner class of *C*, a compile-time error occurs.

  - If *TypeName* denotes an interface, let *E* be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method invocation. A compile-time error occurs if there exists a method, distinct from the compile-time declaration, that

overrides (§9.4.1) the compile-time declaration from a direct superclass or direct superinterface of `E`.

> In the case that a superinterface overrides a method declared in a grandparent interface, this rule prevents the child interface from "skipping" the override by simply adding the grandparent to its list of direct superinterfaces. The appropriate way to access functionality of a grandparent is through the direct superinterface, and only if that interface chooses to expose the desired behavior. (Alternately, the programmer is free to define an additional superinterface that exposes the desired behavior with a `super` method invocation.)

The *compile-time parameter types* and *compile-time result* are determined as follows:

- If the compile-time declaration for the method invocation is *not* a signature polymorphic method, then:

  - The compile-time parameter types are the types of the formal parameters of the compile-time declaration.

  - The compile-time result is the result of the invocation type of the compile-time declaration (§15.12.2.6).

- If the compile-time declaration for the method invocation is a signature polymorphic method, then:

  - The compile-time parameter types are the types of the actual argument expressions. An argument expression which is the null literal `null` (§3.10.8) is treated as having the type `Void`.

  - The compile-time result is determined as follows:

    › If the signature polymorphic method is either `void` or has a return type other than `Object`, the compile-time result is the result of the invocation type of the compile-time declaration (§15.12.2.6).

    › Otherwise, if the method invocation expression is an expression statement, the compile-time result is `void`.

    › Otherwise, if the method invocation expression is the operand of a cast expression (§15.16), the compile-time result is the erasure of the type of the cast expression (§4.6).

    › Otherwise, the compile-time result is the signature polymorphic method's return type, `Object`.

A method is *signature polymorphic* if all of the following are true:

- It is declared in the `java.lang.invoke.MethodHandle` class or the `java.lang.invoke.VarHandle` class.

- It has a single variable arity parameter (§8.4.1) whose declared type is `Object[]`.

- It is `native`.

The following compile-time information is then associated with the method invocation for use at run time:

- The name of the method.

- The qualifying class or interface of the method invocation (§13.1).

- The number of parameters and the compile-time parameter types, in order.

- The compile-time result.

- The invocation mode, computed as follows:

  - If the compile-time declaration has the `static` modifier, then the invocation mode is `static`.

  - Otherwise, if the part of the method invocation before the left parenthesis is of the form `super` . *Identifier* or of the form *TypeName* . `super` . *Identifier*, then the invocation mode is `super`.

  - Otherwise, if the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation is in fact an interface, then the invocation mode is `interface`.

  - Otherwise, the invocation mode is `virtual`.

If the result of the invocation type of the compile-time declaration is not `void`, then the type of the method invocation expression is obtained by applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to the return type of the invocation type of the compile-time declaration.

15.12.4   Run-Time Evaluation of Method Invocation

At run time, method invocation requires five steps. First, a *target reference* may be computed. Second, the argument expressions are evaluated. Third, the accessibility of the method to be invoked is checked. Fourth, the actual code for the method to be executed is located. Fifth, a new activation frame is created, synchronization is performed if necessary, and control is transferred to the method code.

15.12.4.1   *Compute Target Reference (If Necessary)*

There are six cases to consider, depending on the form of the method invocation:

- If the form is *MethodName* - that is, just an *Identifier* - then:

  – If the invocation mode is `static`, then there is no target reference.

  – Otherwise, let `T` be the enclosing type declaration of which the method is a member, and let *n* be an integer such that `T` is the *n*'th lexically enclosing type declaration of the class whose declaration immediately contains the method invocation. The target reference is the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this`.

    It is a compile-time error if the *n*'th lexically enclosing instance of `this` does not exist.

- If the form is *TypeName* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then there is no target reference.

- If form is *ExpressionName* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then:

  – If the invocation mode is `static`, then there is no target reference. The *ExpressionName* is evaluated, but the result is then discarded.

  – Otherwise, the target reference is the value denoted by *ExpressionName*.

- If the form is *Primary* . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* involved, then:

  – If the invocation mode is `static`, then there is no target reference. The *Primary* expression is evaluated, but the result is then discarded.

  – Otherwise, the *Primary* expression is evaluated and the result is used as the target reference.

  In either case, if the evaluation of the *Primary* expression completes abruptly, then no part of any argument expression appears to have been evaluated, and the method invocation completes abruptly for the same reason.

- If the form is `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the target reference is the value of `this`.

- If the form is *TypeName* . `super` . *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then if *TypeName* denotes a class, the target reference is the value of *TypeName*`.this`; otherwise, the target reference is the value of `this`.

  Example 15.12.4.1-1. Target References and `static` Methods

  When a target reference is computed and then discarded because the invocation mode is `static`, the reference is not examined to see whether it is `null`:

  ```
  class Test1 {
      static void mountain() {
          System.out.println("Monadnock");
      }
  ```

```
        static Test1 favorite(){
            System.out.print("Mount ");
            return null;
        }
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            favorite().mountain();
        }
    }
```

which prints:

```
    Mount Monadnock
```

Here `favorite()` returns `null`, yet no `NullPointerException` is thrown.

Example 15.12.4.1-2. Evaluation Order During Method Invocation

As part of an instance method invocation (§15.12), there is an expression that denotes the object to be invoked. This expression appears to be fully evaluated before any part of any argument expression to the method invocation is evaluated.

So, for example, in:

```
    class Test2 {
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            String s = "one";
            if (s.startsWith(s = "two"))
                System.out.println("oops");
        }
    }
```

the occurrence of `s` before ".startsWith" is evaluated first, before the argument expression `s = "two"`. Therefore, a reference to the string `"one"` is remembered as the target reference before the local variable `s` is changed to refer to the string `"two"`. As a result, the `startsWith` method is invoked for target object `"one"` with argument `"two"`, so the result of the invocation is `false`, as the string `"one"` does not start with `"two"`. It follows that the test program does not print `"oops"`.

15.12.4.2   *Evaluate Arguments*

The process of evaluating the argument list differs, depending on whether the method being invoked is a fixed arity method or a variable arity method (§8.4.1).

If the method being invoked is a variable arity method *m*, it necessarily has $n > 0$ formal parameters, and the *n*'th parameter type of the invocation type (§15.12.2.6) of *m* necessarily has type `T[]` for some *T*. Let *k* be the number of actual argument expressions in the method invocation: if $k \neq n$, or if $k = n$ and the type of the *k*'th argument expression is not assignment compatible with `T[]`, then *m* was found applicable by variable arity invocation (§15.12.2.4). In this case, the argument list

$(e_1, ..., e_{n-1}, e_n, ..., e_k)$ is evaluated as if it were written as $(e_1, ..., e_{n-1},$ `new` $|T[\,]|$ { $e_n, ..., e_k$ }), where $|T[\,]|$ denotes the erasure (§4.6) of $T[\,]$.

> The preceding paragraph is crafted to handle the interaction of parameterized types and array types that occurs in a Java Virtual Machine with erased generics. Namely, if the element type T of the variable array parameter is non-reifiable, e.g. `List<String>`, then special care must be taken with the array creation expression (§15.10) because the created array's element type must be reifiable. By erasing the array type of the final expression in the argument list, we are guaranteed to obtain a reifiable element type. Then, since the array creation expression appears in an invocation context (§5.3), an unchecked conversion is possible from the array type with reifiable element type to an array type with non-reifiable element type, specifically that of the variable arity parameter. A Java compiler is required to give a compile-time unchecked warning at this conversion. Oracle's reference implementation of a Java compiler identifies this unchecked warning as a more informative *unchecked generic array creation*.

The argument expressions (possibly rewritten as described above) are now evaluated to yield *argument values*. Each argument value corresponds to exactly one of the method's *n* formal parameters.

The argument expressions, if any, are evaluated in order, from left to right. If the evaluation of any argument expression completes abruptly, then no part of any argument expression to its right appears to have been evaluated, and the method invocation completes abruptly for the same reason. The result of evaluating the *j*'th argument expression is the *j*'th argument value, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Evaluation then continues, using the argument values, as described below.

15.12.4.3   *Check Accessibility of Type and Method*

In this section:

- Let D be the class containing the method invocation.

- Let Q be the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation (§13.1).

- Let m be the name of the method as determined at compile time (§15.12.3).

An implementation of the Java programming language must ensure, as part of linkage, that the class or interface Q is accessible:

- If Q is in the same package as D, then Q is accessible.

- If Q is in a different package than D, and their packages are in the same module, and Q is `public` or `protected`, then Q is accessible.

- If Q is in a different package than D, and their packages are in different modules, and Q's module exports Q's package to D's module, and Q is `public` or `protected`, then Q is accessible.

623

> If Q is `protected`, it is necessarily a nested class or interface, so at compile time, its accessibility is affected by the accessibility of classes and interfaces enclosing its declaration. However, during linkage, its accessibility is not affected by the accessibility of classes and interfaces enclosing its declaration. Moreover, during linkage, a `protected` Q is as accessible as a `public` Q. These discrepancies between access control at compile time (§6.6) and access control at run time are due to limitations in the Java Virtual Machine.

The implementation must also ensure, during linkage, that the method m can still be found in Q or a superclass or superinterface of Q. If m cannot be found, then a `NoSuchMethodError` (which is a subclass of `IncompatibleClassChangeError`) occurs. If m can be found, then let C be the class or interface that declares m. The implementation must ensure, during linkage, that the declaration of m in C is accessible to D:

- If m is `public`, then m is accessible.

- If m is `protected`, then m is accessible iff (i) either D is in the same package as C, or D is a subclass of C or C itself; and (ii) if m is a `protected` instance method, then Q must be a subclass of D or D itself.

  > This is the only place where Q is involved in checks for m, because a `protected` instance method may only be invoked via a qualifying class or interface that aligns with the invoker's type.

- If m has package access, then m is accessible iff D is in the same package as C.

- If m is `private`, then m is accessible iff D is C, or D encloses C, or C encloses D, or C and D are both enclosed by a third class or interface.

If either Q or m is not accessible, then an `IllegalAccessError` occurs (§12.3).

If the invocation mode is `interface`, then the implementation must check that the target reference class still implements the specified interface. If the target reference class does not still implement the interface, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` occurs.

15.12.4.4   *Locate Method to Invoke*

As in the previous section (§15.12.4.3):

- Let Q be the qualifying class or interface of the method invocation (§13.1).

- Let m be the method found in Q or a superclass or superinterface of Q. (Note that m was merely the name of the method in the previous section; here it is the actual declaration.)

- Let C be the class or interface that declares m.

The strategy for locating a method to invoke depends on the invocation mode:

- If the invocation mode is `static`, no target reference is needed and overriding is not allowed. Method m of class or interface C is the one to be invoked.

- Otherwise, an instance method is to be invoked and there is a target reference. If the target reference is `null`, a `NullPointerException` is thrown at this point. Otherwise, the target reference is said to refer to a *target object* and will be used as the value of the keyword `this` in the invoked method. The other three possibilities for the invocation mode are then considered:

  – If the invocation mode is `super`, overriding is not allowed. Method m of class or interface C is the one to be invoked. If m is `abstract`, an `AbstractMethodError` is thrown.

  – Otherwise, if the invocation mode is `virtual`, and Q and m jointly indicate a signature polymorphic method (§15.12.3), then the target object is an instance of `java.lang.invoke.MethodHandle` or `java.lang.invoke.VarHandle`. The target object encapsulates state which is matched against the information associated with the method invocation at compile time. Details of this matching are given in *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition* and the Java SE Platform API. If matching succeeds, then either the method referenced by the `java.lang.invoke.MethodHandle` instance is directly and immediately invoked, or the variable represented by the `java.lang.invoke.VarHandle` instance is directly and immediately accessed, *and in either case the procedure in §15.12.4.5 is not executed.* If matching fails, then a `java.lang.invoke.WrongMethodTypeException` is thrown.

  – Otherwise, the invocation mode is `interface` or `virtual`.

    If the method m of class or interface C is `private`, then it is the method to be invoked.

    Otherwise, overriding may occur. A *dynamic method lookup*, specified below, is used to locate the method to invoke. The lookup procedure starts from class R, the actual run-time class of the target object.

    > Note that for invocation mode `interface`, R necessarily implements Q; for invocation mode `virtual`, R is necessarily either Q or a subclass of Q. If the target object is an array, then R is a "class" representing an array type.

The procedure for dynamic method lookup is as follows. Let S be the class to search, beginning with R. Then:

1. If class S contains a declaration for a method that overrides method m of class or interface C from R (§8.4.8.1), then that overriding method is the method to be invoked, and the procedure terminates.

2. Otherwise, if s has a superclass, then steps 1 and 2 of this lookup procedure are performed recursively using the direct superclass of s in place of s; the method to be invoked, if any, is the result of the recursive invocation of this lookup procedure.

3. If no method is found by the previous two steps, the superinterfaces of s are searched for a suitable method.

    A set of candidate methods is considered with the following properties: (i) each method is declared in a (direct or indirect) superinterface of R; (ii) each method has the name and descriptor required by the method invocation; (iii) each method is non-`static` and non-`private`; (iv) for each method, where the method's declaring interface is I, there is no other method satisfying (i) through (iii) that is declared in a subinterface of I.

    If this set contains a default method, one such method is the method to be invoked. Otherwise, an `abstract` method in the set is selected as the method to be invoked.

Dynamic method lookup may cause the following errors to occur:

- If the method to be invoked is `abstract`, an `AbstractMethodError` is thrown.

- If the method to be invoked is `default`, and more than one default method appears in the set of candidates in step 3 above, an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown.

- If the invocation mode is `interface` and the method to be invoked is neither `public` nor `private`, an `IllegalAccessError` is thrown.

The above procedure (if it terminates without error) will find a non-`abstract`, accessible method to invoke, provided that all classes and interfaces in the program have been consistently compiled. However, if this is not the case, then various errors may occur, as specified above; additional details about the behavior of the Java Virtual Machine under these circumstances are given by *The Java Virtual Machine Specification, Java SE 20 Edition*.

> The dynamic lookup process, while described here explicitly, will often be implemented implicitly, for example as a side-effect of the construction and use of per-class method dispatch tables, or the construction of other per-class structures used for efficient dispatch.

> **Example 15.12.4.4-1. Overriding and Method Invocation**
>
> ```
> class Point {
>     final int EDGE = 20;
>     int x, y;
>     void move(int dx, int dy) {
> ```

```
                x += dx; y += dy;
                if (Math.abs(x) >= EDGE || Math.abs(y) >= EDGE)
                    clear();
            }
            void clear() {
                System.out.println("\tPoint clear");
                x = 0; y = 0;
            }
        }
        class ColoredPoint extends Point {
            int color;
            void clear() {
                System.out.println("\tColoredPoint clear");
                super.clear();
                color = 0;
            }
        }
```

Here, the subclass `ColoredPoint` extends the `clear` abstraction defined by its superclass `Point`. It does so by overriding the `clear` method with its own method, which invokes the `clear` method of its superclass, using the form `super.clear()`.

This method is then invoked whenever the target object for an invocation of `clear` is a `ColoredPoint`. Even the method `move` in `Point` invokes the `clear` method of class `ColoredPoint` when the class of `this` is `ColoredPoint`, as shown by the output of this test program:

```
        class Test1 {
            public static void main(String[] args) {
                Point p = new Point();
                System.out.println("p.move(20,20):");
                p.move(20, 20);

                ColoredPoint cp = new ColoredPoint();
                System.out.println("cp.move(20,20):");
                cp.move(20, 20);

                p = new ColoredPoint();
                System.out.println("p.move(20,20), p colored:");
                p.move(20, 20);
            }
        }
```

which is:

```
p.move(20,20):
        Point clear
cp.move(20,20):
        ColoredPoint clear
        Point clear
p.move(20,20), p colored:
        ColoredPoint clear
        Point clear
```

Overriding is sometimes called "late-bound self-reference"; in this example it means that the reference to `clear` in the body of `Point.move` (which is really syntactic shorthand for `this.clear`) invokes a method chosen "late" (at run time, based on the run-time class of the object referenced by `this`) rather than a method chosen "early" (at compile time, based only on the type of `this`). This provides the programmer a powerful way of extending abstractions and is a key idea in object-oriented programming.

Example 15.12.4.4-2. Method Invocation Using `super`

An overridden instance method of a superclass may be accessed by using the keyword `super` to access the members of the immediate superclass, bypassing any overriding declaration in the class that contains the method invocation.

When accessing an instance variable, `super` means the same as a cast of `this` (§15.11.2), but this equivalence does not hold true for method invocation. This is demonstrated by the example:

```
class T1 {
    String s() { return "1"; }
}
class T2 extends T1 {
    String s() { return "2"; }
}
class T3 extends T2 {
    String s() { return "3"; }
    void test() {
        System.out.println("s()=\t\t"          + s());
        System.out.println("super.s()=\t"       + super.s());
        System.out.println("((T2)this).s()=\t" + ((T2)this).s());
        System.out.println("((T1)this).s()=\t" + ((T1)this).s());
    }
}
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        T3 t3 = new T3();
        t3.test();
    }
}
```

which produces the output:

```
s()=            3
super.s()=      2
((T2)this).s()= 3
((T1)this).s()= 3
```

The casts to types `T1` and `T2` do not change the method that is invoked, because the instance method to be invoked is chosen according to the run-time class of the object referred to by `this`. A cast does not change the class of an object; it only checks that the class is compatible with the specified type.

15.12.4.5 *Create Frame, Synchronize, Transfer Control*

A method m in some class S has been identified as the one to be invoked.

Now a new *activation frame* is created, containing the target reference (if any) and the argument values (if any), as well as enough space for the local variables and stack for the method to be invoked and any other bookkeeping information that may be required by the implementation (stack pointer, program counter, reference to previous activation frame, and the like). If there is not sufficient memory available to create such an activation frame, a `StackOverflowError` is thrown.

The newly created activation frame becomes the current activation frame. The effect of this is to assign the argument values to corresponding freshly created parameter variables of the method, and to make the target reference available as `this`, if there is a target reference. Before each argument value is assigned to its corresponding parameter variable, it is subjected to invocation conversion (§5.3).

If the erasure (§4.6) of the type of the method being invoked differs in its signature from the erasure of the type of the compile-time declaration for the method invocation (§15.12.3), then if any of the argument values is an object which is not an instance of a subclass or subinterface of the erasure of the corresponding formal parameter type in the compile-time declaration for the method invocation, then a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

If the method m is a `native` method but the necessary native, implementation-dependent binary code has not been loaded or otherwise cannot be dynamically linked, then an `UnsatisfiedLinkError` is thrown.

If the method m is not `synchronized`, control is transferred to the body of the method m to be invoked.

If the method m is `synchronized`, then an object must be locked before the transfer of control. No further progress can be made until the current thread can obtain the lock. If there is a target reference, then the target object must be locked; otherwise the `Class` object for class S, the class of the method m, must be locked. Control is then transferred to the body of the method m to be invoked. The object is

automatically unlocked when execution of the body of the method has completed, whether normally or abruptly. The locking and unlocking behavior is exactly as if the body of the method were embedded in a `synchronized` statement (§14.19).

Example 15.12.4.5-1. Invoked Method Signature Has Different Erasure Than Compile-Time Method Signature

Consider the declarations:

```
abstract class C<T> {
    abstract T id(T x);
}
class D extends C<String> {
    String id(String x) { return x; }
}
```

Now, given an invocation:

```
C c = new D();
c.id(new Object());  // fails with a ClassCastException
```

The erasure of the actual method being invoked, `D.id()`, differs in its signature from that of the compile-time method declaration, `C.id()`. The former takes an argument of type `String` while the latter takes an argument of type `Object`. The invocation fails with a `ClassCastException` before the body of the method is executed.

Such situations can only arise if the program gives rise to a compile-time unchecked warning (§4.8, §5.1.6, §5.1.9, §8.4.1, §8.4.8.3, §15.13.2, §15.12.4.2, §15.27.3).

Implementations can enforce these semantics by creating *bridge methods*. In the above example, the following bridge method would be created in class `D`:

```
Object id(Object x) { return id((String) x); }
```

This is the method that would actually be invoked by the Java Virtual Machine in response to the call `c.id(new Object())` shown above, and it will execute the cast and fail, as required.

15.13   Method Reference Expressions

A method reference expression is used to refer to the invocation of a method without actually performing the invocation. Certain forms of method reference expression also allow class instance creation (§15.9) or array creation (§15.10) to be treated as if it were a method invocation.

MethodReference:
    ExpressionName :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
    Primary :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
    ReferenceType :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
    super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
    TypeName . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
    ClassType :: *[TypeArguments]* new
    ArrayType :: new

If *TypeArguments* is present to the right of ::, then it is a compile-time error if any of the type arguments are wildcards (§4.5.1).

If a method reference expression has the form *ExpressionName* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *Primary* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, it is a compile-time error if the type of the *ExpressionName* or *Primary* is not a reference type.

If a method reference expression has the form super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, let *E* be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method reference expression. It is a compile-time error if *E* is the class Object or if *E* is an interface.

If a method reference expression has the form *TypeName* . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then:

- If *TypeName* denotes a class, *C*, then it is a compile-time error if *C* is not a lexically enclosing class of the current class, or if *C* is the class Object.

- If *TypeName* denotes an interface, *I*, then let *E* be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method reference expression. It is a compile-time error if *I* is not a direct superinterface of *E*, or if there exists some other direct superclass or direct superinterface of *E*, *J*, such that *J* is a subclass or subinterface of *I*.

- If *TypeName* denotes a type variable, then a compile-time error occurs.

If a method reference expression has the form super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *TypeName* . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, it is a compile-time error if the expression occurs in a static context (§8.1.3).

If a method reference expression has the form *ClassType* :: *[TypeArguments]* new, then:

- *ClassType* must name a class that is accessible (§6.6), non-abstract, and not an enum class, or a compile-time error occurs.

631

- If *ClassType* denotes a parameterized type (§4.5), then it is a compile-time error if any of its type arguments are wildcards.

- If *ClassType* denotes a raw type (§4.8), then it is a compile-time error if *TypeArguments* is present after the ::.

If a method reference expression has the form *ArrayType* :: new, then *ArrayType* must denote a type that is reifiable (§4.7), or a compile-time error occurs.

The target reference of an instance method (§15.12.4.1) may be provided by the method reference expression using an *ExpressionName*, a *Primary*, or super, or it may be provided later when the method is invoked. The immediately enclosing instance of a new inner class instance (§15.9.2) is provided by a lexically enclosing instance of this (§8.1.3).

When more than one member method of a type has the same name, or when a class has more than one constructor, the appropriate method or constructor is selected based on the functional interface type targeted by the method reference expression, as specified in §15.13.1.

If a method or constructor is generic, the appropriate type arguments may either be inferred or provided explicitly. Similarly, the type arguments of a generic type mentioned by the method reference expression may be provided explicitly or inferred.

Method reference expressions are always poly expressions (§15.2).

It is a compile-time error if a method reference expression occurs in a program in someplace other than an assignment context (§5.2), an invocation context (§5.3), or a casting context (§5.5).

Evaluation of a method reference expression produces an instance of a functional interface type (§9.8). This does *not* cause the execution of the corresponding method; instead, the execution may occur at a later time when an appropriate method of the functional interface is invoked.

> Here are some method reference expressions, first with no target reference and then with a target reference:

```
String::length                // instance method
System::currentTimeMillis  // static method
List<String>::size  // explicit type arguments for generic type
List::size          // inferred type arguments for generic type
int[]::clone
T::tvarMember

System.out::println
"abc"::length
foo[x]::bar
(test ? list.replaceAll(String::trim) : list) :: iterator
super::toString
```

Here are some more method reference expressions:

```
String::valueOf        // overload resolution needed
Arrays::sort           // type arguments inferred from context
Arrays::<String>sort   // explicit type arguments
```

Here are some method reference expressions that represent a deferred creation of an object or an array:

```
ArrayList<String>::new       // constructor for parameterized type
ArrayList::new               // inferred type arguments
                             // for generic class
Foo::<Integer>new            // explicit type arguments
                             // for generic constructor
Bar<String>::<Integer>new  // generic class, generic constructor
Outer.Inner::new             // inner class constructor
int[]::new                   // array creation
```

It is not possible to specify a particular signature to be matched, for example, `Arrays::sort(int[])`. Instead, the functional interface provides argument types that are used as input to the overload resolution algorithm (§15.12.2). This should satisfy the vast majority of use cases; when the rare need arises for more precise control, a lambda expression can be used.

The use of type argument syntax in the class name before a delimiter (`List<String>::size`) raises the parsing problem of distinguishing between < as a type argument bracket and < as a less-than operator. In theory, this is no worse than allowing type arguments in cast expressions; however, the difference is that the cast case only comes up when a (token is encountered; with the addition of method reference expressions, the start of *every* expression is potentially a parameterized type.

15.13.1 Compile-Time Declaration of a Method Reference

The *compile-time declaration* of a method reference expression is the method to which the expression refers. In special cases, the compile-time declaration does not actually exist, but is a notional method that represents a class instance creation or an array creation. The choice of compile-time declaration depends on a function

type targeted by the expression, just as the compile-time declaration of a method invocation depends on the invocation's arguments (§15.12.3).

The search for a compile-time declaration mirrors the process for method invocations in §15.12.1 and §15.12.2, as follows:

- First, a type to search is determined:

  - If the method reference expression has the form *ExpressionName* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *Primary* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, the type to search is the type of the expression preceding the :: token.

  - If the method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, the type to search is the result of capture conversion (§5.1.10) applied to *ReferenceType*.

  - If the method reference expression has the form super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, the type to search is the superclass type of the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the method reference expression.

    Let *T* be the class or interface declaration immediately enclosing the method reference expression. It is a compile-time error if *T* is the class Object or an interface.

  - If the method reference expression has the form *TypeName* . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then if *TypeName* denotes a class, the type to search is the superclass type of the named class; otherwise, *TypeName* denotes an interface to search.

    It is a compile-time error if *TypeName* is neither a lexically enclosing class or interface declaration of the method reference expression, nor a direct superinterface of the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the method reference expression.

    It is a compile-time error if *TypeName* is the class Object.

    It is a compile-time error if *TypeName* is an interface, and there exists some other direct superclass or direct superinterface of the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the method reference expression, *J*, such that *J* is a subclass or subinterface of *TypeName*.

  - For the two other forms (involving :: new), the referenced method is notional and there is no type to search.

- Second, given a targeted function type with n parameters, a set of potentially applicable methods is identified:

  – If the method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the potentially applicable methods are:

    › the member methods of the type to search that would be potentially applicable (§15.12.2.1) for a method invocation which names *Identifier*, has arity n, has type arguments *TypeArguments*, and appears in the same class as the method reference expression; plus

    › the member methods of the type to search that would be potentially applicable for a method invocation which names *Identifier*, has arity n-1, has type arguments *TypeArguments*, and appears in the same class as the method reference expression.

      Two different arities, n and n-1, are considered, to account for the possibility that this form refers to either a `static` method or an instance method.

  – If the method reference expression has the form *ClassType* :: *[TypeArguments]* `new`, then the potentially applicable methods are a set of notional methods corresponding to the constructors of *ClassType*.

    If *ClassType* is a raw type, but is not a non-`static` member type of a raw type, the candidate notional member methods are those specified in §15.9.3 for a class instance creation expression that uses `<>` to elide the type arguments to a class. Otherwise, the candidate notional member methods are the constructors of *ClassType*, treated as if they were methods with return type *ClassType*.

    Among these candidates, the potentially applicable methods are the notional methods that would be potentially applicable for a method invocation which has arity n, has type arguments *TypeArguments*, and appears in the same class as the method reference expression.

  – If the method reference expression has the form *ArrayType* :: `new`, a single notional method is considered. The method has a single parameter of type `int`, returns the *ArrayType*, and has no `throws` clause. If $n = 1$, this is the only potentially applicable method; otherwise, there are no potentially applicable methods.

  – For all other forms, the potentially applicable methods are the member methods of the type to search that would be potentially applicable for a method invocation which names *Identifier*, has arity n, has type argument *TypeArguments*, and appears in the same class as the method reference expression.

635

- Finally, if there are no potentially applicable methods, then there is no compile-time declaration.

  Otherwise, given a targeted function type with parameter types P_1, ..., P_n and a set of potentially applicable methods, the compile-time declaration is selected as follows:

  – If the method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then two searches for a most specific applicable method are performed. Each search is as specified in §15.12.2.2 through §15.12.2.5, with the clarifications below. Each search produces a set of applicable methods and, possibly, designates a most specific method of the set. In the case of an error as specified in §15.12.2.4, the set of applicable methods is empty. In the case of an error as specified in §15.12.2.5, there is no most specific method.

    In the first search, the method reference is treated as if it were an invocation with argument expressions of types P_1, ..., P_n. Type arguments, if any, are given by the method reference expression.

    In the second search, if P_1, ..., P_n is not empty and P_1 is a subtype of *ReferenceType*, then the method reference expression is treated as if it were a method invocation expression with argument expressions of types P_2, ..., P_n. If *ReferenceType* is a raw type, and there exists a parameterization of this type, G<...>, that is a supertype of P_1, the type to search is the result of capture conversion (§5.1.10) applied to G<...>; otherwise, the type to search is the same as the type of the first search. Type arguments, if any, are given by the method reference expression.

    If the first search produces a most specific method that is static, and the set of applicable methods produced by the second search contains no non-static methods, then the compile-time declaration is the most specific method of the first search.

    Otherwise, if the set of applicable methods produced by the first search contains no static methods, and the second search produces a most specific

method that is non-`static`, then the compile-time declaration is the most specific method of the second search.

Otherwise, there is no compile-time declaration.

– For all other forms of method reference expression, one search for a most specific applicable method is performed. The search is as specified in §15.12.2.2 through §15.12.2.5, with the clarifications below.

The method reference is treated as if it were an invocation with argument expressions of types P_1, ..., P_n; the type arguments, if any, are given by the method reference expression.

If the search results in an error as specified in §15.12.2.2 through §15.12.2.5, or if the most specific applicable method is `static`, there is no compile-time declaration.

Otherwise, the compile-time declaration is the most specific applicable method.

It is a compile-time error if a method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* `::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, and the compile-time declaration is `static`, and *ReferenceType* is not a simple or qualified name (§6.2).

It is a compile-time error if the method reference expression has the form `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *TypeName* . `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, and the compile-time declaration is `abstract`.

It is a compile-time error if the method reference expression has the form `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *TypeName* . `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, and the method reference expression occurs in a static context (§8.1.3).

It is a compile-time error if the method reference expression has the form *TypeName* . `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, and *TypeName* denotes a class `C`, and the immediately enclosing class or interface declaration of the method reference expression is not `C` or an inner class of `C`.

It is a compile-time error if the method reference expression has the form *TypeName* . `super ::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, and *TypeName* denotes an interface, and there exists a method, distinct from the compile-time declaration, that overrides the compile-time declaration from a direct superclass or direct superinterface of the class or interface whose declaration immediately encloses the method reference expression (§8.4.8, §9.4.1).

It is a compile-time error if the method reference expression is of the form *ClassType* `::` *[TypeArguments]* `new` and a compile-time error would occur when determining an enclosing instance for *ClassType* as specified in §15.9.2 (treating

the method reference expression as if it were an unqualified class instance creation
expression).

A method reference expression of the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
can be interpreted in different ways. If *Identifier* refers to an instance method, then the
implicit lambda expression has an extra parameter compared to if *Identifier* refers to a
`static` method. It is possible for *ReferenceType* to have both kinds of applicable methods,
so the search algorithm described above identifies them separately, since there are different
parameter types for each case.

An example of ambiguity is:

```
interface Fun<T,R> { R apply(T arg); }

class C {
    int size() { return 0; }
    static int size(Object arg) { return 0; }

    void test() {
        Fun<C, Integer> f1 = C::size;
          // Error: instance method size()
          // or static method size(Object)?
    }
}
```

This ambiguity cannot be resolved by providing an applicable instance method which is
more specific than an applicable `static` method:

```
interface Fun<T,R> { R apply(T arg); }

class C {
    int size() { return 0; }
    static int size(Object arg) { return 0; }
    int size(C arg) { return 0; }

    void test() {
        Fun<C, Integer> f1 = C::size;
          // Error: instance method size()
          // or static method size(Object)?
    }
}
```

The search is smart enough to ignore ambiguities in which all the applicable methods (from
both searches) are instance methods:

```
interface Fun<T,R> { R apply(T arg); }

class C {
    int size() { return 0; }
    int size(Object arg) { return 0; }
    int size(C arg) { return 0; }
```

```
        void test() {
            Fun<C, Integer> f1 = C::size;
              // OK: reference is to instance method size()
        }
    }
```

> For convenience, when the name of a generic type is used to refer to an instance method (where the receiver becomes the first parameter), the target type is used to determine the type arguments. This facilitates usage like `Pair::first` in place of `Pair<String,Integer>::first`. Similarly, a method reference like `Pair::new` is treated like a "diamond" instance creation (`new Pair<>()`). Because the "diamond" is implicit, this form does *not* instantiate a raw type; in fact, there is no way to express a reference to the constructor of a raw type.

For some method reference expressions, there is only one possible compile-time declaration with only one possible invocation type (§15.12.2.6), regardless of the targeted function type. Such method reference expressions are said to be *exact*. A method reference expression that is not exact is said to be *inexact*.

A method reference expression ending with *Identifier* is exact if it satisfies all of the following:

- If the method reference expression has the form *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then *ReferenceType* does not denote a raw type.

- The type to search has exactly one member method with the name *Identifier* that is accessible to the class or interface in which the method reference expression appears.

- This method is not variable arity (§8.4.1).

- If this method is generic (§8.4.4), then the method reference expression provides *TypeArguments*.

A method reference expression of the form *ClassType* :: *[TypeArguments]* `new` is exact if it satisfies all of the following:

- The type denoted by *ClassType* is not raw, or is a non-`static` member type of a raw type.

- The type denoted by *ClassType* has exactly one constructor that is accessible to the class or interface in which the method reference expression appears.

- This constructor is not variable arity.

- If this constructor is generic, then the method reference expression provides *TypeArguments*.

A method reference expression of the form *ArrayType* :: `new` is always exact.

639

15.13.2   Type of a Method Reference

A method reference expression is compatible in an assignment context, invocation context, or casting context with a target type T if T is a functional interface type (§9.8) and the expression is *congruent* with the function type of the *ground target type* derived from T.

The *ground target type* is derived from T as follows:

• If T is a wildcard-parameterized functional interface type, then the ground target type is the non-wildcard parameterization (§9.9) of T.

• Otherwise, the ground target type is T.

A method reference expression is *congruent* with a function type if both of the following are true:

• The function type identifies a single compile-time declaration corresponding to the reference.

• One of the following is true:

  – The result of the function type is `void`.

  – The result of the function type is R, and the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to the return type of the invocation type (§15.12.2.6) of the chosen compile-time declaration is R' (where R is the target type that may be used to infer R'), and neither R nor R' is `void`, and R' is compatible with R in an assignment context.

If unchecked conversion was necessary for the compile-time declaration to be applicable, and this conversion would cause an unchecked warning in an invocation context, then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs, unless suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings` (§9.6.4.5).

If unchecked conversion was necessary for the return type R', described above, to be compatible with the function type's return type, R, and this conversion would cause an unchecked warning in an assignment context, then a compile-time unchecked warning occurs, unless suppressed by `@SuppressWarnings`.

If a method reference expression is compatible with a target type T, then the type of the expression, U, is the ground target type derived from T.

It is a compile-time error if any class or interface mentioned by either U or the function type of U is not accessible (§6.6) from the class or interface in which the method reference expression appears.

For each non-`static` member method *m* of *U*, if the function type of *U* has a subsignature of the signature of *m*, then a notional method whose method type is the function type of *U* is said to override *m*, and any compile-time error or unchecked warning specified in §8.4.8.3 may occur.

For each checked exception type *X* listed in the `throws` clause of the invocation type of the compile-time declaration, *X* or a superclass of *X* must be mentioned in the `throws` clause of the function type of *U*, or a compile-time error occurs.

> The key idea driving the compatibility definition is that a method reference is compatible if and only if the equivalent lambda expression `(x, y, z) -> exp.<T1, T2>method(x, y, z)` is compatible. (This is informal, and there are issues that make it difficult or impossible to formally define the semantics in terms of such a rewrite.)
>
> These compatibility rules provide a convenient facility for converting from one functional interface to another:
>
> ```
> Task t = () -> System.out.println("hi");
> Runnable r = t::invoke;
> ```
>
> The implementation may be optimized so that when a lambda-derived object is passed around and converted to various types, this does not result in many levels of adaptation logic around the core lambda body.
>
> Unlike a lambda expression, a method reference can be congruent with a generic function type (that is, a function type that has type parameters). This is because the lambda expression would need to be able to declare type parameters, and no syntax supports this; while for a method reference, no such declaration is necessary. For example, the following program is legal:
>
> ```
> interface ListFactory {
>     <T> List<T> make();
> }
>
> ListFactory lf  = ArrayList::new;
> List<String> ls = lf.make();
> List<Number> ln = lf.make();
> ```

15.13.3   Run-Time Evaluation of Method References

At run time, evaluation of a method reference expression is similar to evaluation of a class instance creation expression, insofar as normal completion produces a reference to an object. Evaluation of a method reference expression is distinct from invocation of the method itself.

First, if the method reference expression begins with an *ExpressionName* or a *Primary*, this subexpression is evaluated. If the subexpression evaluates to `null`, a

`NullPointerException` is raised, and the method reference expression completes abruptly. If the subexpression completes abruptly, the method reference expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

Next, either a new instance of a class with the properties below is allocated and initialized, or an existing instance of a class with the properties below is referenced. If a new instance is to be created, but there is insufficient space to allocate the object, evaluation of the method reference expression completes abruptly by throwing an `OutOfMemoryError`.

The value of a method reference expression is a reference to an instance of a class with the following properties:

- The class implements the targeted functional interface type and, if the target type is an intersection type, every other interface type mentioned in the intersection.

- Where the method reference expression has type U, for each non-`static` member method m of U:

  If the function type of U has a subsignature of the signature of m, then the class declares an *invocation method* that overrides m. The invocation method's body invokes the referenced method, creates a class instance, or creates an array, as described below. If the invocation method's result is not `void`, then the body returns the result of the method invocation or object creation, after any necessary assignment conversions (§5.2).

  If the erasure of the type of a method being overridden differs in its signature from the erasure of the function type of U, then before the method invocation or object creation, an invocation method's body checks that each argument value is an instance of a subclass or subinterface of the erasure of the corresponding parameter type in the function type of U; if not, a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

- The class overrides no other methods of the functional interface type or other interface types mentioned above, although it may override methods of the `Object` class.

The body of an invocation method depends on the form of the method reference expression, as follows:

- If the form is *ExpressionName* `::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *Primary* `::` *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, then the body of the invocation method has the effect of a method invocation expression for a compile-time declaration which is the compile-time declaration of the method reference expression. Run-time

evaluation of the method invocation expression is as specified in §15.12.4.3, §15.12.4.4, and §15.12.4.5, where:

– The invocation mode is derived from the compile-time declaration as specified in §15.12.3.

– The target reference is the value of *ExpressionName* or *Primary*, as determined when the method reference expression was evaluated.

– The arguments to the method invocation expression are the formal parameters of the invocation method.

• If the form is *ReferenceType* :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, the body of the invocation method similarly has the effect of a method invocation expression for a compile-time declaration which is the compile-time declaration of the method reference expression. Run-time evaluation of the method invocation expression is as specified in §15.12.4.3, §15.12.4.4, and §15.12.4.5, where:

– The invocation mode is derived from the compile-time declaration as specified in §15.12.3.

– If the compile-time declaration is an instance method, then the target reference is the first formal parameter of the invocation method. Otherwise, there is no target reference.

– If the compile-time declaration is an instance method, then the arguments to the method invocation expression (if any) are the second and subsequent formal parameters of the invocation method. Otherwise, the arguments to the method invocation expression are the formal parameters of the invocation method.

• If the form is super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier* or *TypeName* . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*, the body of the invocation method has the effect of a method invocation expression for a compile-time declaration which is the compile-time declaration of the method reference expression. Run-time evaluation of the method invocation expression is as specified in §15.12.4.3, §15.12.4.4, and §15.12.4.5, where:

– The invocation mode is super.

– If the method reference expression begins with a *TypeName* that names a class, the target reference is the value of *TypeName* . this at the point at which the method reference is evaluated. Otherwise, the target reference is the value of this at the point at which the method reference is evaluated.

– The arguments to the method invocation expression are the formal parameters of the invocation method.

- If the form is *ClassType* `::` *[TypeArguments]* `new`, the body of the invocation method has the effect of a class instance creation expression of the form `new` *[TypeArguments] ClassType*(`A₁`, ..., `Aₙ`), where the arguments `A₁`, ..., `Aₙ` are the formal parameters of the invocation method, and where:

  – The enclosing instance for the new object, if any, is derived from the site of the method reference expression, as specified in §15.9.2.

  – The constructor to invoke is the constructor that corresponds to the compile-time declaration of the method reference (§15.13.1).

- If the form is *Type*`[ ]`^{k} `::` `new` ($k \geq 1$), then the body of the invocation method has the same effect as an array creation expression of the form `new` *Type* `[` *size* `]` `[ ]`$^{k-1}$, where *size* is the invocation method's single parameter. (The notation `[ ]`^{k} indicates a sequence of k bracket pairs.)

If the body of the invocation method has the effect of a method invocation expression, then the compile-time parameter types and the compile-time result of the method invocation are determined as specified in §15.12.3. For the purpose of determining the compile-time result, the method invocation expression is an expression statement if the invocation method's result is `void`, and the *Expression* of a `return` statement if the invocation method's result is non-`void`.

> The timing of method reference expression evaluation is more complex than that of lambda expressions (§15.27.4). When a method reference expression has an expression (rather than a type) preceding the `::` separator, that subexpression is evaluated immediately. The result of evaluation is stored until the method of the corresponding functional interface type is invoked; at that point, the result is used as the target reference for the invocation. This means the expression preceding the `::` separator is evaluated only when the program encounters the method reference expression, and is not re-evaluated on subsequent invocations on the functional interface type.

> It is interesting to contrast the treatment of `null` here with its treatment during method invocation. When a method invocation expression is evaluated, it is possible for the *Primary* that qualifies the invocation to evaluate to `null` but for no `NullPointerException` to be raised. This occurs when the invoked method is `static` (despite the syntax of the invocation suggesting an instance method). Since the applicable method for a method reference expression qualified by a *Primary* is prohibited from being `static` (§15.13.1), the evaluation of the method reference expression is simpler - a `null` *Primary* always raises a `NullPointerException`.

15.14   Postfix Expressions

Postfix expressions include uses of the postfix `++` and `--` operators. Names are not considered to be primary expressions (§15.8), but are handled separately in the

grammar to avoid certain ambiguities. They become interchangeable only here, at the level of precedence of postfix expressions.

PostfixExpression:
  Primary
  ExpressionName
  PostIncrementExpression
  PostDecrementExpression

15.14.1 Expression Names

The rules for evaluating expression names are given in §6.5.6.

15.14.2 Postfix Increment Operator ++

A postfix expression followed by a ++ operator is a postfix increment expression.

PostIncrementExpression:
  PostfixExpression ++

The result of the postfix expression must be a variable of a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the postfix increment expression is the type of the variable. The result of the postfix increment expression is not a variable, but a value.

At run time, if evaluation of the operand expression completes abruptly, then the postfix increment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no incrementation occurs. Otherwise, the value 1 is added to the value of the variable and the sum is stored back into the variable. Before the addition, binary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the value 1 and the value of the variable. If necessary, the sum is narrowed by a narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3) and/ or subjected to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to the type of the variable before it is stored. The value of the postfix increment expression is the value of the variable *before* the new value is stored.

> Note that the binary numeric promotion mentioned above may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

A variable that is declared `final` cannot be incremented because when an access of such a `final` variable is used as an expression, the result is a value, not a variable. Thus, it cannot be used as the operand of a postfix increment operator.

15.14.3   **Postfix Decrement Operator** `--`

A postfix expression followed by a `--` operator is a postfix decrement expression.

> *PostDecrementExpression:*
>   *PostfixExpression* `--`

The result of the postfix expression must be a variable of a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the postfix decrement expression is the type of the variable. The result of the postfix decrement expression is not a variable, but a value.

At run time, if evaluation of the operand expression completes abruptly, then the postfix decrement expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no decrementation occurs. Otherwise, the value `1` is subtracted from the value of the variable and the difference is stored back into the variable. Before the subtraction, binary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the value `1` and the value of the variable. If necessary, the difference is narrowed by a narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3) and/or subjected to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to the type of the variable before it is stored. The value of the postfix decrement expression is the value of the variable *before* the new value is stored.

> Note that the binary numeric promotion mentioned above may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

A variable that is declared `final` cannot be decremented because when an access of such a `final` variable is used as an expression, the result is a value, not a variable. Thus, it cannot be used as the operand of a postfix decrement operator.

15.15   **Unary Operators**

The operators `+`, `-`, `++`, `--`, `~`, `!`, and the cast operator (§15.16) are called the *unary operators*. A unary expression is either a unary operator applied to an operand, or a `switch` expression (§15.28).

> *UnaryExpression:*
>   *PreIncrementExpression*
>   *PreDecrementExpression*
>   `+` *UnaryExpression*
>   `-` *UnaryExpression*
>   *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus*

   PreIncrementExpression:
     `++` *UnaryExpression*

   PreDecrementExpression:
     `--` *UnaryExpression*

   UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus:
     PostfixExpression
     `~` *UnaryExpression*
     `!` *UnaryExpression*
     CastExpression
     SwitchExpression

Expressions with unary operators group right-to-left, so that `-~x` means the same as `-(~x)`.

This portion of the grammar contains some tricks to avoid two potential syntactic ambiguities.

The first potential ambiguity would arise in expressions such as `(p)+q`, which looks, to a C or C++ programmer, as though it could be either a cast to type `p` of a unary + operating on `q`, or a binary addition of two quantities `p` and `q`. In C and C++, the parser handles this problem by performing a limited amount of semantic analysis as it parses, so that it knows whether `p` is the name of a type or the name of a variable.

Java takes a different approach. The result of the + operator must be numeric, and all type names involved in casts on numeric values are known keywords. Thus, if `p` is a keyword naming a primitive type, then `(p)+q` can make sense only as a cast of a unary expression. However, if `p` is not a keyword naming a primitive type, then `(p)+q` can make sense only as a binary arithmetic operation. Similar remarks apply to the – operator. The grammar splits *CastExpression* into multiple cases to make this distinction:

   CastExpression:
     `(` *PrimitiveType* `)` *UnaryExpression*
     `(` *ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}* `)` *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus*
     `(` *ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}* `)` *LambdaExpression*

The nonterminal *UnaryExpression* includes all unary operators, but the nonterminal *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus* excludes uses of all unary operators that could also be binary operators, which in Java are + and –.

The second potential ambiguity is that the expression `(p)++` could, to a C or C++ programmer, appear to be either a postfix increment of a parenthesized expression or the beginning of a cast, for example, in `(p)++q`. As before, parsers for C and C++ know whether `p` is the name of a type or the name of a variable. But a parser using only one-token lookahead and no semantic analysis during the parse would not be able to tell, when `++` is the lookahead token, whether `(p)` should be considered a *Primary* expression or left alone for later consideration as part of a *CastExpression*.

In Java, the result of the ++ operator must be numeric, and all type names involved in casts on numeric values are known keywords. Thus, if p is a keyword naming a primitive type, then (p)++ can make sense only as a cast of a prefix increment expression, and there had better be an operand such as q following the ++. However, if p is not a keyword naming a primitive type, then (p)++ can make sense only as a postfix increment of p. Similar remarks apply to the -- operator. The nonterminal *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus* therefore also excludes uses of the prefix operators ++ and --.

15.15.1   Prefix Increment Operator ++

A unary expression preceded by a ++ operator is a prefix increment expression.

The result of the unary expression must be a variable of a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the prefix increment expression is the type of the variable. The result of the prefix increment expression is not a variable, but a value.

At run time, if evaluation of the operand expression completes abruptly, then the prefix increment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no incrementation occurs. Otherwise, the value 1 is added to the value of the variable and the sum is stored back into the variable. Before the addition, binary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the value 1 and the value of the variable. If necessary, the sum is narrowed by a narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3) and/ or subjected to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to the type of the variable before it is stored. The value of the prefix increment expression is the value of the variable *after* the new value is stored.

> Note that the binary numeric promotion mentioned above may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

A variable that is declared final cannot be incremented because when an access of such a final variable is used as an expression, the result is a value, not a variable. Thus, it cannot be used as the operand of a prefix increment operator.

15.15.2   Prefix Decrement Operator --

A unary expression preceded by a -- operator is a prefix decrement expression.

The result of the unary expression must be a variable of a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the prefix decrement expression is the type of the variable. The result of the prefix decrement expression is not a variable, but a value.

At run time, if evaluation of the operand expression completes abruptly, then the prefix decrement expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no decrementation occurs. Otherwise, the value `1` is subtracted from the value of the variable and the difference is stored back into the variable. Before the subtraction, binary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the value `1` and the value of the variable. If necessary, the difference is narrowed by a narrowing primitive conversion (§5.1.3) and/or subjected to boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to the type of the variable before it is stored. The value of the prefix decrement expression is the value of the variable *after* the new value is stored.

> Note that the binary numeric promotion mentioned above may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

A variable that is declared `final` cannot be decremented because when an access of such a `final` variable is used as an expression, the result is a value, not a variable. Thus, it cannot be used as the operand of a prefix decrement operator.

15.15.3   Unary Plus Operator +

The type of the operand expression of the unary + operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Unary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the operand. The type of the unary plus expression is the promoted type of the operand. The result of the unary plus expression is not a variable, but a value, even if the result of the operand expression is a variable.

At run time, the value of the unary plus expression is the promoted value of the operand.

15.15.4   Unary Minus Operator –

The type of the operand expression of the unary – operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Unary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the operand.

The type of the unary minus expression is the promoted type of the operand.

At run time, the value of the unary minus expression is the arithmetic negation of the promoted value of the operand.

For integer values, negation is the same as subtraction from zero. The Java programming language uses two's-complement representation for integers, and the

649

range of two's-complement values is not symmetric, so negation of the maximum negative `int` or `long` results in that same maximum negative number. Overflow occurs in this case, but no exception is thrown. For all integer values x, `-x` equals `(~x)+1`.

For floating-point values, negation is *not* the same as subtraction from zero, because if `x` is `+0.0`, then `0.0-x` is `+0.0`, but `-x` is `-0.0`. Unary minus merely inverts the sign of a floating-point number. Special cases of interest:

• If the operand is NaN, the result is NaN. (Recall that NaN has no sign (§4.2.3).)

> The Java programming language has not adopted the stronger requirement from the 2019 version of the IEEE 754 Standard that negation inverts the sign bit for all inputs including NaN.

• If the operand is an infinity, the result is the infinity of opposite sign.

• If the operand is a zero, the result is the zero of opposite sign.

15.15.5   Bitwise Complement Operator ~

The type of the operand expression of the unary ~ operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive integral type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Unary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on the operand. The type of the unary bitwise complement expression is the promoted type of the operand.

At run time, the value of the unary bitwise complement expression is the bitwise complement of the promoted value of the operand. In all cases, `~x` equals `(-x)-1`.

15.15.6   Logical Complement Operator !

The type of the operand expression of the unary ! operator must be `boolean` or `Boolean`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of the unary logical complement expression is `boolean`.

At run time, the operand is subject to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8) if necessary. The value of the unary logical complement expression is `true` if the (possibly converted) operand value is `false`, and `false` if the (possibly converted) operand value is `true`.

15.16   Cast Expressions

A cast expression converts, at run time, a value of one numeric type to a similar value of another numeric type; or confirms, at compile time, that the type of an expression is `boolean`; or checks, at run time, that a reference value refers to an object either whose class is compatible with a specified reference type or list of reference types, or which embodies a value of a primitive type.

> *CastExpression:*
>   (*PrimitiveType*) *UnaryExpression*
>   (*ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}*) *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus*
>   (*ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}*) *LambdaExpression*
>
>   The following production from §4.4 is shown here for convenience:
>
> > *AdditionalBound:*
> >   & *InterfaceType*

The parentheses and the type or list of types they contain are sometimes called the *cast operator*.

If the cast operator contains a list of types, that is, a *ReferenceType* followed by one or more *AdditionalBound* terms, then all of the following must be true, or a compile-time error occurs:

- *ReferenceType* must denote a class or interface type.

- The erasures (§4.6) of all the listed types must be pairwise different.

- No two listed types may be subtypes of different parameterizations of the same generic interface.

The target type for the casting context (§5.5) introduced by the cast expression is either the *PrimitiveType* or the *ReferenceType* (if not followed by *AdditionalBound* terms) appearing in the cast operator, or the intersection type denoted by the *ReferenceType* and *AdditionalBound* terms appearing in the cast operator.

The type of a cast expression is the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to this target type.

> Casts can be used to explicitly "tag" a lambda expression or a method reference expression with a particular target type. To provide an appropriate degree of flexibility, the target type may be a list of types denoting an intersection type, provided the intersection induces a functional interface (§9.8).

651

The result of a cast expression is not a variable, but a value, even if the result of evaluating the operand expression is a variable.

If the compile-time type of the operand cannot be converted by casting conversion (§5.5) to the target type specified by the cast operator, then a compile-time error occurs.

Otherwise, at run time, the operand value is converted (if necessary) by casting conversion to the target type specified by the cast operator.

A `ClassCastException` is thrown if a cast is found at run time to be impermissible.

> Some casts result in an error at compile time. Some casts can be proven, at compile time, always to be correct at run time. For example, it is always correct to convert a value of a class type to the type of its superclass; such a cast should require no special action at run time. Finally, some casts cannot be proven to be either always correct or always incorrect at compile time. Such casts require a test at run time. See §5.5 for details.

15.17 Multiplicative Operators

The operators `*`, `/`, and `%` are called the *multiplicative operators*.

> *MultiplicativeExpression:*
>   *UnaryExpression*
>   *MultiplicativeExpression* `*` *UnaryExpression*
>   *MultiplicativeExpression* `/` *UnaryExpression*
>   *MultiplicativeExpression* `%` *UnaryExpression*

The multiplicative operators have the same precedence and are syntactically left-associative (they group left-to-right).

The type of each of the operands of a multiplicative operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Binary numeric promotion is performed on the operands (§5.6).

> Note that binary numeric promotion may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

The type of a multiplicative expression is the promoted type of its operands.

If the promoted type is `int` or `long`, then integer arithmetic is performed.

If the promoted type is `float` or `double`, then floating-point arithmetic is performed.

15.17.1   Multiplication Operator *

The binary * operator performs multiplication, producing the product of its operands.

Multiplication is a commutative operation if the operand expressions have no side effects.

Integer multiplication is associative when the operands are all of the same type.

Floating-point multiplication is not associative.

If an integer multiplication overflows, then the result is the low-order bits of the mathematical product as represented in some sufficiently large two's-complement format. As a result, if overflow occurs, then the sign of the result may not be the same as the sign of the mathematical product of the two operand values.

The result of a floating-point multiplication is determined by the rules of IEEE 754 arithmetic:

- If either operand is NaN, the result is NaN.

- If the result is not NaN, the sign of the result is positive if both operands have the same sign, and negative if the operands have different signs.

- Multiplication of an infinity by a zero results in NaN.

- Multiplication of an infinity by a finite value results in a signed infinity. The sign is determined by the rule stated above.

- In the remaining cases, where neither an infinity nor NaN is involved, the exact mathematical product is computed.

  If the magnitude of the product is too large to represent, we say the operation overflows; the result is then an infinity of appropriate sign.

  Otherwise, the product is rounded to the nearest representable value using the round to nearest rounding policy (§15.4). The Java programming language requires support of gradual underflow as defined by IEEE 754.

Despite the fact that overflow, underflow, or loss of information may occur, evaluation of a multiplication operator * never throws a run-time exception.

15.17.2   Division Operator /

The binary / operator performs division, producing the quotient of its operands. The left-hand operand is the *dividend* and the right-hand operand is the *divisor*.

Integer division rounds toward `0`. That is, the quotient produced for operands n and d that are integers after binary numeric promotion (§5.6) is an integer value q whose magnitude is as large as possible while satisfying $|d \cdot q| \leq |n|$. Moreover, q is positive when $|n| \geq |d|$ and n and d have the same sign, but q is negative when $|n| \geq |d|$ and n and d have opposite signs.

There is one special case that does not satisfy this rule: if the dividend is the negative integer of largest possible magnitude for its type, and the divisor is `-1`, then integer overflow occurs and the result is equal to the dividend. Despite the overflow, no exception is thrown in this case. On the other hand, if the value of the divisor in an integer division is `0`, then an `ArithmeticException` is thrown.

The result of a floating-point division is determined by the rules of IEEE 754 arithmetic:

- If either operand is NaN, the result is NaN.

- If the result is not NaN, the sign of the result is positive if both operands have the same sign, and negative if the operands have different signs.

- Division of an infinity by an infinity results in NaN.

- Division of an infinity by a finite value results in a signed infinity. The sign is determined by the rule stated above.

- Division of a finite value by an infinity results in a signed zero. The sign is determined by the rule stated above.

- Division of a zero by a zero results in NaN; division of zero by any other finite value results in a signed zero. The sign is determined by the rule stated above.

- Division of a nonzero finite value by a zero results in a signed infinity. The sign is determined by the rule stated above.

- In the remaining cases, where neither an infinity nor NaN is involved, the exact mathematical quotient is computed.

  If the magnitude of the quotient is too large to represent, we say the operation overflows; the result is then an infinity of appropriate sign.

  Otherwise, the quotient is rounded to the nearest representable value using the round to nearest rounding policy (§15.4). The Java programming language requires support of gradual underflow as defined by IEEE 754.

Despite the fact that overflow, underflow, division by zero, or loss of information may occur, evaluation of a floating-point division operator `/` never throws a run-time exception.

15.17.3   Remainder Operator %

The binary % operator is said to yield the remainder of its operands from an implied division; the left-hand operand is the *dividend* and the right-hand operand is the *divisor*.

In C and C++, the remainder operator accepts only integral operands, but in the Java programming language, it also accepts floating-point operands.

The remainder operation for operands that are integers after binary numeric promotion (§5.6) produces a result value such that (a/b)*b+(a%b) is equal to a.

This identity holds even in the special case that the dividend is the negative integer of largest possible magnitude for its type and the divisor is -1 (the remainder is 0).

It follows from this rule that the result of the remainder operation can be negative only if the dividend is negative, and can be positive only if the dividend is positive. Moreover, the magnitude of the result is always less than the magnitude of the divisor.

If the value of the divisor for an integer remainder operator is 0, then an ArithmeticException is thrown.

Example 15.17.3-1. Integer Remainder Operator

```
class Test1 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int a = 5%3;  // 2
        int b = 5/3;  // 1
        System.out.println("5%3 produces " + a +
                           " (note that 5/3 produces " + b + ")");

        int c = 5%(-3);  // 2
        int d = 5/(-3);  // -1
        System.out.println("5%(-3) produces " + c +
                           " (note that 5/(-3) produces " + d + ")");

        int e = (-5)%3;  // -2
        int f = (-5)/3;  // -1
        System.out.println("(-5)%3 produces " + e +
                           " (note that (-5)/3 produces " + f + ")");

        int g = (-5)%(-3);  // -2
        int h = (-5)/(-3);  // 1
        System.out.println("(-5)%(-3) produces " + g +
                           " (note that (-5)/(-3) produces " + h + ")");
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
5%3 produces 2 (note that 5/3 produces 1)
5%(-3) produces 2 (note that 5/(-3) produces -1)
(-5)%3 produces -2 (note that (-5)/3 produces -1)
(-5)%(-3) produces -2 (note that (-5)/(-3) produces 1)
```

The result of a floating-point remainder operation as computed by the `%` operator is *not* the same as that computed by the remainder operation in IEEE 754, due to the choice of rounding policy in the Java programming language (§15.4). The IEEE 754 remainder operation computes the remainder from a rounding division, not a truncating division, and so its behavior is *not* analogous to that of the usual integer remainder operator. Instead, the Java programming language defines `%` on floating-point operands to behave in a manner analogous to that of the integer remainder operator, with an implied division using the round toward zero rounding policy; this may be compared with the C library function `fmod`. The IEEE 754 remainder operation may be computed by the library routine `Math.IEEEremainder` or `StrictMath.IEEEremainder`.

The result of a floating-point remainder operation is determined by the following rules, which match IEEE 754 arithmetic except for how the implied division is computed:

- If either operand is NaN, the result is NaN.

- If the result is not NaN, the sign of the result equals the sign of the dividend.

- If the dividend is an infinity, or the divisor is a zero, or both, the result is NaN.

- If the dividend is finite and the divisor is an infinity, the result equals the dividend.

- If the dividend is a zero and the divisor is finite, the result equals the dividend.

- In the remaining cases, where neither an infinity, nor a zero, nor NaN is involved, the floating-point remainder *r* from the division of a dividend *n* by a divisor *d* is defined by the mathematical relation $r = n - (d \cdot q)$ where *q* is an integer that is negative only if *n/d* is negative and positive only if *n/d* is positive, and whose magnitude is as large as possible without exceeding the magnitude of the true mathematical quotient of *n* and *d*.

Evaluation of a floating-point remainder operator `%` never throws a run-time exception, even if the right-hand operand is zero. Overflow, underflow, or loss of precision cannot occur.

Example 15.17.3-2. Floating-Point Remainder Operator

```
class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        double a = 5.0%3.0;  // 2.0
```

```
                    System.out.println("5.0%3.0 produces " + a);

                    double b = 5.0%(-3.0);  // 2.0
                    System.out.println("5.0%(-3.0) produces " + b);

                    double c = (-5.0)%3.0;  // -2.0
                    System.out.println("(-5.0)%3.0 produces " + c);

                    double d = (-5.0)%(-3.0);  // -2.0
                    System.out.println("(-5.0)%(-3.0) produces " + d);
            }
       }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    5.0%3.0 produces 2.0
    5.0%(-3.0) produces 2.0
    (-5.0)%3.0 produces -2.0
    (-5.0)%(-3.0) produces -2.0
```

15.18   Additive Operators

The operators + and – are called the *additive operators*.

> *AdditiveExpression:*
>   *MultiplicativeExpression*
>   *AdditiveExpression + MultiplicativeExpression*
>   *AdditiveExpression – MultiplicativeExpression*

The additive operators have the same precedence and are syntactically left-associative (they group left-to-right).

If the type of either operand of a + operator is `String`, then the operation is string concatenation.

Otherwise, the type of each of the operands of the + operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

In every case, the type of each of the operands of the binary – operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

657

15.18.1   String Concatenation Operator +

If only one operand expression is of type `String`, then string conversion (§5.1.11) is performed on the other operand to produce a string at run time.

The result of string concatenation is a reference to a `String` object that is the concatenation of the two operand strings. The characters of the left-hand operand precede the characters of the right-hand operand in the newly created string.

The `String` object is newly created (§12.5) unless the expression is a constant expression (§15.29).

> An implementation may choose to perform conversion and concatenation in one step to avoid creating and then discarding an intermediate `String` object. To increase the performance of repeated string concatenation, a Java compiler may use the `StringBuffer` class or a similar technique to reduce the number of intermediate `String` objects that are created by evaluation of an expression.

> For primitive types, an implementation may also optimize away the creation of a wrapper object by converting directly from a primitive type to a string.

Example 15.18.1-1. String Concatenation

The example expression:

```
"The square root of 2 is " + Math.sqrt(2)
```

produces the result:

```
"The square root of 2 is 1.4142135623730952"
```

The + operator is syntactically left-associative, no matter whether it is determined by type analysis to represent string concatenation or numeric addition. In some cases care is required to get the desired result. For example, the expression:

```
a + b + c
```

is always regarded as meaning:

```
(a + b) + c
```

Therefore the result of the expression:

```
1 + 2 + " fiddlers"
```

is:

```
"3 fiddlers"
```

658

but the result of:

```
"fiddlers " + 1 + 2
```

is:

```
"fiddlers 12"
```

Example 15.18.1-2. String Concatenation and Conditionals

In this jocular little example:

```
class Bottles {
    static void printSong(Object stuff, int n) {
        String plural = (n == 1) ? "" : "s";
  loop: while (true) {
            System.out.println(n + " bottle" + plural
                    + " of " + stuff + " on the wall,");
            System.out.println(n + " bottle" + plural
                    + " of " + stuff + ";");
            System.out.println("You take one down "
                    + "and pass it around:");
            --n;
            plural = (n == 1) ? "" : "s";
            if (n == 0)
                break loop;
            System.out.println(n + " bottle" + plural
                    + " of " + stuff + " on the wall!");
            System.out.println();
        }
        System.out.println("No bottles of " +
                    stuff + " on the wall!");
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        printSong("slime", 3);
    }
}
```

the method `printSong` will print a version of a children's song. Popular values for `stuff` include `"pop"` and `"beer"`; the most popular value for `n` is `100`. Here is the output that results from running the program:

```
         3 bottles of slime on the wall,
         3 bottles of slime;
         You take one down and pass it around:
         2 bottles of slime on the wall!

         2 bottles of slime on the wall,
         2 bottles of slime;
         You take one down and pass it around:
         1 bottle of slime on the wall!

         1 bottle of slime on the wall,
         1 bottle of slime;
         You take one down and pass it around:
         No bottles of slime on the wall!
```

In the code, note the careful conditional generation of the singular "`bottle`" when appropriate rather than the plural "`bottles`"; note also how the string concatenation operator was used to break the long constant string:

```
         "You take one down and pass it around:"
```

into two pieces to avoid an inconveniently long line in the source code.

15.18.2   Additive Operators (+ and –) for Numeric Types

The binary + operator performs addition when applied to two operands of numeric type, producing the sum of the operands.

The binary – operator performs subtraction, producing the difference of two numeric operands.

Binary numeric promotion is performed on the operands (§5.6).

> Note that binary numeric promotion may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

The type of an additive expression on numeric operands is the promoted type of its operands.

If this promoted type is `int` or `long`, then integer arithmetic is performed.

If this promoted type is `float` or `double`, then floating-point arithmetic is performed.

Addition is a commutative operation if the operand expressions have no side effects.

Integer addition is associative when the operands are all of the same type.

Floating-point addition is not associative.

If an integer addition overflows, then the result is the low-order bits of the mathematical sum as represented in some sufficiently large two's-complement format. If overflow occurs, then the sign of the result is not the same as the sign of the mathematical sum of the two operand values.

The result of a floating-point addition is determined by the rules of IEEE 754 arithmetic:

- If either operand is NaN, the result is NaN.

- The sum of two infinities of opposite sign is NaN.

- The sum of two infinities of the same sign is the infinity of that sign.

- The sum of an infinity and a finite value is equal to the infinite operand.

- The sum of two zeros of opposite sign is positive zero.

- The sum of two zeros of the same sign is the zero of that sign.

- The sum of a zero and a nonzero finite value is equal to the nonzero operand.

- The sum of two nonzero finite values of the same magnitude and opposite sign is positive zero.

- In the remaining cases, where neither an infinity, nor a zero, nor NaN is involved, and the operands have the same sign or have different magnitudes, the exact mathematical sum is computed.

  If the magnitude of the sum is too large to represent, we say the operation overflows; the result is then an infinity of appropriate sign.

  Otherwise, the sum is rounded to the nearest representable value using the round to nearest rounding policy (§15.4). The Java programming language requires support of gradual underflow.

The binary `-` operator performs subtraction when applied to two operands of numeric type, producing the difference of its operands; the left-hand operand is the *minuend* and the right-hand operand is the *subtrahend*.

For both integer and floating-point subtraction, it is always the case that `a-b` produces the same result as `a+(-b)`.

Note that, for integer values, subtraction from zero is the same as negation. However, for floating-point operands, subtraction from zero is *not* the same as negation, because if x is `+0.0`, then `0.0-x` is `+0.0`, but `-x` is `-0.0`.

Despite the fact that overflow, underflow, or loss of information may occur, evaluation of a numeric additive operator never throws a run-time exception.

15.19   Shift Operators

The operators << (left shift), >> (signed right shift), and >>> (unsigned right shift) are called the *shift operators*. The left-hand operand of a shift operator is the value to be shifted; the right-hand operand specifies the shift distance.

> *ShiftExpression:*
>   *AdditiveExpression*
>   *ShiftExpression* << *AdditiveExpression*
>   *ShiftExpression* >> *AdditiveExpression*
>   *ShiftExpression* >>> *AdditiveExpression*

The shift operators are syntactically left-associative (they group left-to-right).

Unary numeric promotion (§5.6) is performed on each operand separately. (Binary numeric promotion is *not* performed on the operands.)

It is a compile-time error if the type of each of the operands of a shift operator, after unary numeric promotion, is not a primitive integral type.

The type of the shift expression is the promoted type of the left-hand operand.

If the promoted type of the left-hand operand is int, then only the five lowest-order bits of the right-hand operand are used as the shift distance. It is as if the right-hand operand were subjected to a bitwise logical AND operator & (§15.22.1) with the mask value 0x1f (0b11111). The shift distance actually used is therefore always in the range 0 to 31, inclusive.

If the promoted type of the left-hand operand is long, then only the six lowest-order bits of the right-hand operand are used as the shift distance. It is as if the right-hand operand were subjected to a bitwise logical AND operator & (§15.22.1) with the mask value 0x3f (0b111111). The shift distance actually used is therefore always in the range 0 to 63, inclusive.

At run time, shift operations are performed on the two's-complement integer representation of the value of the left operand.

The value of n << s is n left-shifted s bit positions; this is equivalent (even if overflow occurs) to multiplication by two to the power s.

The value of n >> s is n right-shifted s bit positions with sign-extension. The resulting value is *floor*$(n / 2^s)$. For non-negative values of n, this is equivalent to truncating integer division, as computed by the integer division operator /, by two to the power s.

The value of *n* >>> *s* is *n* right-shifted *s* bit positions with zero-extension, where:

- If *n* is positive, then the result is the same as that of *n* >> *s*.

- If *n* is negative and the type of the left-hand operand is `int`, then the result is equal to that of the expression (*n* >> *s*) + (2 << ~*s*).

- If *n* is negative and the type of the left-hand operand is `long`, then the result is equal to that of the expression (*n* >> *s*) + (2L << ~*s*).

  The added term (2 << ~*s*) or (2L << ~*s*) cancels out the propagated sign bit.

  Note that, because of the implicit masking of the right-hand operand of a shift operator, ~*s* as a shift distance is equivalent to 31-*s* when shifting an `int` value and to 63-*s* when shifting a `long` value.

15.20 Relational Operators

The numerical comparison operators <, >, <=, and >=, and the `instanceof` operator, are called the *relational operators*.

> *RelationalExpression:*
>   *ShiftExpression*
>   *RelationalExpression* < *ShiftExpression*
>   *RelationalExpression* > *ShiftExpression*
>   *RelationalExpression* <= *ShiftExpression*
>   *RelationalExpression* >= *ShiftExpression*
>   *InstanceofExpression*

The relational operators are syntactically left-associative (they group left-to-right).

  However, this fact is not useful. For example, a<b<c parses as (a<b)<c, which is always a compile-time error, because the type of a<b is always `boolean` and < is not an operator on `boolean` values.

The type of a relational expression is always `boolean`.

15.20.1 Numerical Comparison Operators <, <=, >, and >=

The type of each of the operands of a numerical comparison operator must be a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive numeric type, or a compile-time error occurs.

Binary numeric promotion is performed on the operands (§5.6).

> Note that binary numeric promotion may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If the promoted type of the operands is `int` or `long`, then signed integer comparison is performed.

If the promoted type is `float` or `double`, then floating-point comparison is performed.

The result of a floating-point comparison, as determined by the specification of the IEEE 754 standard, is:

- If either operand is NaN, then the result is false.

- All values other than NaN are ordered, with negative infinity less than all finite values, and positive infinity greater than all finite values.

- Positive zero and negative zero are considered equal.

> For example, `-0.0<0.0` is `false`, but `-0.0<=0.0` is `true`.

> Note, however, that the methods `Math.min` and `Math.max` treat negative zero as being strictly smaller than positive zero.

Subject to these considerations for floating-point numbers, the following rules then hold for integer operands or for floating-point operands other than NaN:

- The value produced by the `<` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is less than the value of the right-hand operand, and otherwise is `false`.

- The value produced by the `<=` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is less than or equal to the value of the right-hand operand, and otherwise is `false`.

- The value produced by the `>` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is greater than the value of the right-hand operand, and otherwise is `false`.

- The value produced by the `>=` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is greater than or equal to the value of the right-hand operand, and otherwise is `false`.

15.20.2   The `instanceof` Operator

An `instanceof` expression may perform either type comparison or pattern matching.

InstanceofExpression:
    RelationalExpression `instanceof` *ReferenceType*
    RelationalExpression `instanceof` *Pattern*

If the operand to the right of the `instanceof` keyword is a *ReferenceType*, then the `instanceof` keyword is the *type comparison operator*.

If the operand to the right of the `instanceof` keyword is a *Pattern*, then the `instanceof` keyword is the *pattern match operator*.

The following rules apply when `instanceof` is the type comparison operator:

- The type of the expression *RelationalExpression* must be a reference type or the null type, or a compile-time error occurs.

- The *RelationalExpression* must be downcast compatible with the *ReferenceType* (§5.5), or a compile-time error occurs.

- At run time, the result of the type comparison operator is determined as follows:

  – If the value of the *RelationalExpression* is the null reference (§4.1), then the result is `false`.

  – If the value of the *RelationalExpression* is not the null reference, then the result is `true` if the value could be cast to the *ReferenceType* without raising a `ClassCastException`, and `false` otherwise.

The following rules apply when `instanceof` is the pattern match operator:

- The type of the expression *RelationalExpression* must be a reference type or the null type, or a compile-time error occurs.

- The *RelationalExpression* must be compatible with the *Pattern* (§14.30.1), or a compile-time error occurs.

- If the type of the *RelationalExpression* is a subtype of the type of the *Pattern*, then a compile-time error occurs.

- At run time, the result of the pattern match operator is determined as follows:

  – If the value of the *RelationalExpression* is the null reference, then the result is `false`.

  – If the value of the *RelationalExpression* is not the null reference, then the result is `true` if the value matches the *Pattern* (§14.30.2), and `false` otherwise.

    A side effect of a `true` result is that pattern variables declared in *Pattern* will be initialized.

665

Example 15.20.2-1. The Type Comparison Operator

```
class Point   { int x, y; }
class Element { int atomicNumber; }
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Point  p = new Point();
        Element e = new Element();
        if (e instanceof Point) {  // compile-time error
            System.out.println("I get your point!");
            p = (Point)e;  // compile-time error
        }
    }
}
```

This program results in two compile-time errors. The cast `(Point)e` is incorrect because no instance of `Element` or any of its possible subclasses (none are shown here) could possibly be an instance of any subclass of `Point`. The `instanceof` expression is incorrect for exactly the same reason. If, on the other hand, the class `Point` were a subclass of `Element` (an admittedly strange notion in this example):

```
class Point extends Element { int x, y; }
```

then the cast would be possible, though it would require a run-time check, and the `instanceof` expression would then be sensible and valid. The cast `(Point)e` would never raise an exception because it would not be executed if the value of `e` could not correctly be cast to type `Point`.

Prior to Java SE 16, the *ReferenceType* operand of a type comparison operator was required to be reifiable (§4.7). This prevented the use of a parameterized type unless all its type arguments were wildcards. The requirement was lifted in Java SE 16 to allow more parameterized types to be used. For example, in the following program, it is legal to test whether the method parameter `x`, with static type `List<Integer>`, has a more "refined" parameterized type `ArrayList<Integer>` at run time:

```
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        List<Integer> x = new ArrayList<Integer>();

        if (x instanceof ArrayList<Integer>) {  // OK
            System.out.println("ArrayList of Integers");
        }
        if (x instanceof ArrayList<String>) {  // error
            System.out.println("ArrayList of Strings");
        }
        if (x instanceof ArrayList<Object>) {  // error
            System.out.println("ArrayList of Objects");
        }
    }
```

```
        }
```

The first `instanceof` expression is legal because there is a casting conversion from `List<Integer>` to `ArrayList<Integer>`. However, the second and third `instanceof` expressions both cause a compile-time error because there is no casting conversion from `List<Integer>` to `ArrayList<String>` or `ArrayList<Object>`.

15.21 Equality Operators

The operators `==` (equal to) and `!=` (not equal to) are called the *equality operators*.

> *EqualityExpression:*
>   *RelationalExpression*
>   *EqualityExpression* `==` *RelationalExpression*
>   *EqualityExpression* `!=` *RelationalExpression*

The equality operators are syntactically left-associative (they group left-to-right).

> However, this fact is essentially never useful. For example, `a==b==c` parses as `(a==b)==c`. The result type of `a==b` is always `boolean`, and `c` must therefore be of type `boolean` or a compile-time error occurs. Thus, `a==b==c` does not test to see whether `a`, `b`, and `c` are all equal.

The equality operators are commutative if the operand expressions have no side effects.

The equality operators are analogous to the relational operators except for their lower precedence. Thus, `a<b==c<d` is `true` whenever `a<b` and `c<d` have the same truth value.

The equality operators may be used to compare two operands that are convertible (§5.1.8) to numeric type, or two operands of type `boolean` or `Boolean`, or two operands that are each of either reference type or the null type. All other cases result in a compile-time error.

The type of an equality expression is always `boolean`.

In all cases, `a!=b` produces the same result as `!(a==b)`.

15.21.1 Numerical Equality Operators `==` and `!=`

If the operands of an equality operator are both of numeric type, or one is of numeric type and the other is convertible (§5.1.8) to numeric type, binary numeric promotion is performed on the operands (§5.6).

> Note that binary numeric promotion may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If the promoted type of the operands is `int` or `long`, then an integer equality test is performed.

If the promoted type is `float` or `double`, then a floating-point equality test is performed.

Floating-point equality testing is performed in accordance with the rules of the IEEE 754 standard:

- If either operand is NaN, then the result of `==` is `false` but the result of `!=` is `true`.

  Indeed, the test `x!=x` is `true` if and only if the value of `x` is NaN.

  > The methods `Float.isNaN` and `Double.isNaN` may also be used to test whether a value is NaN.

- Positive zero and negative zero are considered equal.

  > For example, `-0.0==0.0` is `true`.

- Otherwise, two distinct floating-point values are considered unequal by the equality operators.

  In particular, there is one value representing positive infinity and one value representing negative infinity; each compares equal only to itself, and each compares unequal to all other values.

Subject to these considerations for floating-point numbers, the following rules then hold for integer operands or for floating-point operands other than NaN:

- The value produced by the `==` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is equal to the value of the right-hand operand; otherwise, the result is `false`.

- The value produced by the `!=` operator is `true` if the value of the left-hand operand is not equal to the value of the right-hand operand; otherwise, the result is `false`.

15.21.2   Boolean Equality Operators `==` and `!=`

If the operands of an equality operator are both of type `boolean`, or if one operand is of type `boolean` and the other is of type `Boolean`, then the operation is boolean equality.

The boolean equality operators are associative.

If one of the operands is of type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

The result of `==` is `true` if the operands (after any required unboxing conversion) are both `true` or both `false`; otherwise, the result is `false`.

The result of `!=` is `false` if the operands are both `true` or both `false`; otherwise, the result is `true`.

> Thus `!=` behaves the same as `^` (§15.22.2) when applied to `boolean` operands.

15.21.3   Reference Equality Operators `==` and `!=`

If the operands of an equality operator are both of either reference type or the null type, then the operation is object equality.

It is a compile-time error if it is impossible to convert the type of either operand to the type of the other by a casting conversion (§5.5). The run-time values of the two operands would necessarily be unequal (ignoring the case where both values are `null`).

At run time, the result of `==` is `true` if the operand values are both `null` or both refer to the same object or array; otherwise, the result is `false`.

The result of `!=` is `false` if the operand values are both `null` or both refer to the same object or array; otherwise, the result is `true`.

While `==` may be used to compare references of type `String`, such an equality test determines whether or not the two operands refer to the same `String` object. The result is `false` if the operands are distinct `String` objects, even if they contain the same sequence of characters (§3.10.5, §3.10.6). The contents of two strings `s` and `t` can be tested for equality by the method invocation `s.equals(t)`.

15.22   Bitwise and Logical Operators

The *bitwise operators* and *logical operators* include the AND operator `&`, exclusive OR operator `^`, and inclusive OR operator `|`.

> *AndExpression:*
>   *EqualityExpression*
>   *AndExpression* `&` *EqualityExpression*

ExclusiveOrExpression:
  AndExpression
  ExclusiveOrExpression ^ AndExpression

InclusiveOrExpression:
  ExclusiveOrExpression
  InclusiveOrExpression | ExclusiveOrExpression

These operators have different precedence, with `&` having the highest precedence and `|` the lowest precedence.

Each of these operators is syntactically left-associative (each groups left-to-right).

Each operator is commutative if the operand expressions have no side effects.

Each operator is associative.

The bitwise and logical operators may be used to compare two operands of numeric type or two operands of type `boolean`. All other cases result in a compile-time error.

15.22.1   Integer Bitwise Operators `&`, `^`, and `|`

When both operands of an operator `&`, `^`, or `|` are of a type that is convertible (§5.1.8) to a primitive integral type, binary numeric promotion is first performed on the operands (§5.6).

The type of the bitwise operator expression is the promoted type of the operands.

For `&`, the result value is the bitwise AND of the operand values.

For `^`, the result value is the bitwise exclusive OR of the operand values.

For `|`, the result value is the bitwise inclusive OR of the operand values.

For example, the result of the expression:

```
0xff00 & 0xf0f0
```

is:

```
0xf000
```

The result of the expression:

```
0xff00 ^ 0xf0f0
```

is:

```
0x0ff0
```

The result of the expression:

```
0xff00 | 0xf0f0
```

is:

```
0xfff0
```

15.22.2   Boolean Logical Operators `&`, `^`, and `|`

When both operands of a `&`, `^`, or `|` operator are of type `boolean` or `Boolean`, then the type of the bitwise operator expression is `boolean`. In all cases, the operands are subject to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8) as necessary.

For `&`, the result value is `true` if both operand values are `true`; otherwise, the result is `false`.

For `^`, the result value is `true` if the operand values are different; otherwise, the result is `false`.

For `|`, the result value is `false` if both operand values are `false`; otherwise, the result is `true`.

15.23   Conditional-And Operator `&&`

The conditional-and operator `&&` is like `&` (§15.22.2), but evaluates its right-hand operand only if the value of its left-hand operand is `true`.

> *ConditionalAndExpression:*
>   *InclusiveOrExpression*
>   *ConditionalAndExpression* `&&` *InclusiveOrExpression*

The conditional-and operator is syntactically left-associative (it groups left-to-right).

The conditional-and operator is fully associative with respect to both side effects and result value. That is, for any expressions `a`, `b`, and `c`, evaluation of the expression `((a) && (b)) && (c)` produces the same result, with the same side effects occurring in the same order, as evaluation of the expression `(a) && ((b) && (c))`.

Each operand of the conditional-and operator must be of type `boolean` or `Boolean`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of a conditional-and expression is always `boolean`.

At run time, the left-hand operand expression is evaluated first; if the result has type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If the resulting value is `false`, the value of the conditional-and expression is `false` and the right-hand operand expression is not evaluated.

If the value of the left-hand operand is `true`, then the right-hand expression is evaluated; if the result has type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8). The resulting value becomes the value of the conditional-and expression.

Thus, `&&` computes the same result as `&` on `boolean` operands. It differs only in that the right-hand operand expression is evaluated conditionally rather than always.

15.24   Conditional-Or Operator ||

The conditional-or operator || operator is like | (§15.22.2), but evaluates its right-hand operand only if the value of its left-hand operand is `false`.

> *ConditionalOrExpression:*
>   *ConditionalAndExpression*
>   *ConditionalOrExpression* || *ConditionalAndExpression*

The conditional-or operator is syntactically left-associative (it groups left-to-right).

The conditional-or operator is fully associative with respect to both side effects and result value. That is, for any expressions `a`, `b`, and `c`, evaluation of the expression `((a) || (b)) || (c)` produces the same result, with the same side effects occurring in the same order, as evaluation of the expression `(a) || ((b) || (c))`.

Each operand of the conditional-or operator must be of type `boolean` or `Boolean`, or a compile-time error occurs.

The type of a conditional-or expression is always `boolean`.

At run time, the left-hand operand expression is evaluated first; if the result has type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

If the resulting value is `true`, the value of the conditional-or expression is `true` and the right-hand operand expression is not evaluated.

If the value of the left-hand operand is `false`, then the right-hand expression is evaluated; if the result has type `Boolean`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8). The resulting value becomes the value of the conditional-or expression.

Thus, `||` computes the same result as `|` on `boolean` or `Boolean` operands. It differs only in that the right-hand operand expression is evaluated conditionally rather than always.

15.25   Conditional Operator ? :

The conditional operator ? : uses the boolean value of one expression to decide which of two other expressions should be evaluated.

> *ConditionalExpression:*
>   *ConditionalOrExpression*
>   *ConditionalOrExpression* ? *Expression* : *ConditionalExpression*
>   *ConditionalOrExpression* ? *Expression* : *LambdaExpression*

The conditional operator is syntactically right-associative (it groups right-to-left). Thus, `a?b:c?d:e?f:g` means the same as `a?b:(c?d:(e?f:g))`.

The conditional operator has three operand expressions. ? appears between the first and second expressions, and : appears between the second and third expressions.

The first expression must be of type `boolean` or `Boolean`, or a compile-time error occurs.

It is a compile-time error for either the second or the third operand expression to be an invocation of a `void` method.

> In fact, by the grammar of expression statements (§14.8), it is not permitted for a conditional expression to appear in any context where an invocation of a `void` method could appear.

There are three kinds of conditional expressions, classified according to the second and third operand expressions: *boolean conditional expressions*, *numeric conditional expressions*, and *reference conditional expressions*. The classification rules are as follows:

- If both the second and the third operand expressions are *boolean expressions*, the conditional expression is a boolean conditional expression.

  For the purpose of classifying a conditional, the following expressions are boolean expressions:

  - An expression of a standalone form (§15.2) that has type `boolean` or `Boolean`.

  - A parenthesized `boolean` expression (§15.8.5).

  - A class instance creation expression (§15.9) for class `Boolean`.

  - A method invocation expression (§15.12) for which the chosen most specific method (§15.12.2.5) has return type `boolean` or `Boolean`.

    > Note that, for a generic method, this is the type *before* instantiating the method's type arguments.

  - A `boolean` conditional expression.

  - A `switch` expression (§15.28) whose result expressions are all `boolean` expressions.

- If both the second and the third operand expressions are *numeric expressions*, the conditional expression is a numeric conditional expression.

  For the purpose of classifying a conditional, the following expressions are numeric expressions:

  - An expression of a standalone form (§15.2) with a type that is convertible to a numeric type (§4.2, §5.1.8).

  - A parenthesized numeric expression (§15.8.5).

  - A class instance creation expression (§15.9) for a class that is convertible to a numeric type.

  - A method invocation expression (§15.12) for which the chosen most specific method (§15.12.2.5) has a return type that is convertible to a numeric type.

    > Note that, for a generic method, this is the type *before* instantiating the method's type arguments.

  - A numeric conditional expression.

  - A `switch` expression (§15.28) whose result expressions are all numeric expressions.

- Otherwise, the conditional expression is a reference conditional expression.

The process for determining the type of a conditional expression depends on the kind of conditional expression, as outlined in the following sections.

The following tables summarize the rules above by giving the type of a conditional expression for all possible types of its second and third operands. bnp(..) means to apply binary numeric promotion. The form "T | bnp(..)" is used where one operand is a constant expression of type `int` and may be representable in type T, where binary numeric promotion is used if the operand is not representable in type T. The operand type `Object` means any reference type other than the `null` type and the eight wrapper classes `Boolean`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Character`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, `Double`.

Table 15.25-A. Conditional expression type (Primitive 3rd operand, Part I)

3rd → 2nd ↓	byte	short	char	int
byte	byte	short	bnp(byte,char)	byte | bnp(byte,int)
Byte	byte	short	bnp(Byte,char)	byte | bnp(Byte,int)
short	short	short	bnp(short,char)	short | bnp(short,int)
Short	short	short	bnp(Short,char)	short | bnp(Short,int)
char	bnp(char,byte)	bnp(char,short)	char	char | bnp(char,int)
Character	bnp(Character,byte)	bnp(Character,short)	char	char | bnp(Character,int)
int	byte | bnp(int,byte)	short | bnp(int,short)	char | bnp(int,char)	int
Integer	bnp(Integer,byte)	bnp(Integer,short)	bnp(Integer,char)	int
long	bnp(long,byte)	bnp(long,short)	bnp(long,char)	bnp(long,int)
Long	bnp(Long,byte)	bnp(Long,short)	bnp(Long,char)	bnp(Long,int)
float	bnp(float,byte)	bnp(float,short)	bnp(float,char)	bnp(float,int)
Float	bnp(Float,byte)	bnp(Float,short)	bnp(Float,char)	bnp(Float,int)
double	bnp(double,byte)	bnp(double,short)	bnp(double,char)	bnp(double,int)
Double	bnp(Double,byte)	bnp(Double,short)	bnp(Double,char)	bnp(Double,int)
boolean	lub(Boolean,Byte)	lub(Boolean,Short)	lub(Boolean,Character)	lub(Boolean,Integer)
Boolean	lub(Boolean,Byte)	lub(Boolean,Short)	lub(Boolean,Character)	lub(Boolean,Integer)
null	lub(null,Byte)	lub(null,Short)	lub(null,Character)	lub(null,Integer)
Object	lub(Object,Byte)	lub(Object,Short)	lub(Object,Character)	lub(Object,Integer)

Table 15.25-B. Conditional expression type (Primitive 3rd operand, Part II)

3rd → / 2nd ↓	long	float	double	boolean
byte	bnp(byte,long)	bnp(byte,float)	bnp(byte,double)	lub(Byte,Boolean)
Byte	bnp(Byte,long)	bnp(Byte,float)	bnp(Byte,double)	lub(Byte,Boolean)
short	bnp(short,long)	bnp(short,float)	bnp(short,double)	lub(Short,Boolean)
Short	bnp(Short,long)	bnp(Short,float)	bnp(Short,double)	lub(Short,Boolean)
char	bnp(char,long)	bnp(char,float)	bnp(char,double)	lub(Character,Boolean)
Character	bnp(Character,long)	bnp(Character,float)	bnp(Character,double)	lub(Character,Boolean)
int	bnp(int,long)	bnp(int,float)	bnp(int,double)	lub(Integer,Boolean)
Integer	bnp(Integer,long)	bnp(Integer,float)	bnp(Integer,double)	lub(Integer,Boolean)
long	long	bnp(long,float)	bnp(long,double)	lub(Long,Boolean)
Long	long	bnp(Long,float)	bnp(Long,double)	lub(Long,Boolean)
float	bnp(float,long)	float	bnp(float,double)	lub(Float,Boolean)
Float	bnp(Float,long)	float	bnp(Float,double)	lub(Float,Boolean)
double	bnp(double,long)	bnp(double,float)	double	lub(Double,Boolean)
Double	bnp(Double,long)	bnp(Double,float)	double	lub(Double,Boolean)
boolean	lub(Boolean,Long)	lub(Boolean,Float)	lub(Boolean,Double)	boolean
Boolean	lub(Boolean,Long)	lub(Boolean,Float)	lub(Boolean,Double)	boolean
null	lub(null,Long)	lub(null,Float)	lub(null,Double)	lub(null,Boolean)
Object	lub(Object,Long)	lub(Object,Float)	lub(Object,Double)	lub(Object,Boolean)

Table 15.25-C. Conditional expression type (Reference 3rd operand, Part I)

3rd → 2nd ↓	Byte	Short	Character	Integer
byte	byte	short	bnp(byte,Character)	bnp(byte,Integer)
Byte	Byte	short	bnp(Byte,Character)	bnp(Byte,Integer)
short	short	short	bnp(short,Character)	bnp(short,Integer)
Short	short	Short	bnp(Short,Character)	bnp(Short,Integer)
char	bnp(char,Byte)	bnp(char,Short)	char	bnp(char,Integer)
Character	bnp(Character,Byte)	bnp(Character,Short)	Character	bnp(Character,Integer)
int	byte | bnp(int,Byte)	short | bnp(int,Short)	char | bnp(int,Character)	int
Integer	bnp(Integer,Byte)	bnp(Integer,Short)	bnp(Integer,Character)	Integer
long	bnp(long,Byte)	bnp(long,Short)	bnp(long,Character)	bnp(long,Integer)
Long	bnp(Long,Byte)	bnp(Long,Short)	bnp(Long,Character)	bnp(Long,Integer)
float	bnp(float,Byte)	bnp(float,Short)	bnp(float,Character)	bnp(float,Integer)
Float	bnp(Float,Byte)	bnp(Float,Short)	bnp(Float,Character)	bnp(Float,Integer)
double	bnp(double,Byte)	bnp(double,Short)	bnp(double,Character)	bnp(double,Integer)
Double	bnp(Double,Byte)	bnp(Double,Short)	bnp(Double,Character)	bnp(Double,Integer)
boolean	lub(Boolean,Byte)	lub(Boolean,Short)	lub(Boolean,Character)	lub(Boolean,Integer)
Boolean	lub(Boolean,Byte)	lub(Boolean,Short)	lub(Boolean,Character)	lub(Boolean,Integer)
null	Byte	Short	Character	Integer
Object	lub(Object,Byte)	lub(Object,Short)	lub(Object,Character)	lub(Object,Integer)

Table 15.25-D. Conditional expression type (Reference 3rd operand, Part II)

3rd → 2nd ↓	Long	Float	Double	Boolean
byte	bnp(byte,Long)	bnp(byte,Float)	bnp(byte,Double)	lub(Byte,Boolean)
Byte	bnp(Byte,Long)	bnp(Byte,Float)	bnp(Byte,Double)	lub(Byte,Boolean)
short	bnp(short,Long)	bnp(short,Float)	bnp(short,Double)	lub(Short,Boolean)
Short	bnp(Short,Long)	bnp(Short,Float)	bnp(Short,Double)	lub(Short,Boolean)
char	bnp(char,Long)	bnp(char,Float)	bnp(char,Double)	lub(Character,Boolean)
Character	bnp(Character,Long)	bnp(Character,Float)	bnp(Character,Double)	lub(Character,Boolean)
int	bnp(int,Long)	bnp(int,Float)	bnp(int,Double)	lub(Integer,Boolean)
Integer	bnp(Integer,Long)	bnp(Integer,Float)	bnp(Integer,Double)	lub(Integer,Boolean)
long	long	bnp(long,Float)	bnp(long,Double)	lub(Long,Boolean)
Long	Long	bnp(Long,Float)	bnp(Long,Double)	lub(Long,Boolean)
float	bnp(float,Long)	float	bnp(float,Double)	lub(Float,Boolean)
Float	bnp(Float,Long)	Float	bnp(Float,Double)	lub(Float,Boolean)
double	bnp(double,Long)	bnp(double,Float)	double	lub(Double,Boolean)
Double	bnp(Double,Long)	bnp(Double,Float)	Double	lub(Double,Boolean)
boolean	lub(Boolean,Long)	lub(Boolean,Float)	lub(Boolean,Double)	boolean
Boolean	lub(Boolean,Long)	lub(Boolean,Float)	lub(Boolean,Double)	Boolean
null	Long	Float	Double	Boolean
Object	lub(Object,Long)	lub(Object,Float)	lub(Object,Double)	lub(Object,Boolean)

Table 15.25-E. Conditional expression type (Reference 3rd operand, Part III)

3rd → 2nd ↓	null	Object
byte	lub(Byte,null)	lub(Byte,Object)
Byte	Byte	lub(Byte,Object)
short	lub(Short,null)	lub(Short,Object)
Short	Short	lub(Short,Object)
char	lub(Character,null)	lub(Character,Object)
Character	Character	lub(Character,Object)
int	lub(Integer,null)	lub(Integer,Object)
Integer	Integer	lub(Integer,Object)
long	lub(Long,null)	lub(Long,Object)
Long	Long	lub(Long,Object)
float	lub(Float,null)	lub(Float,Object)
Float	Float	lub(Float,Object)
double	lub(Double,null)	lub(Double,Object)
Double	Double	lub(Double,Object)
boolean	lub(Boolean,null)	lub(Boolean,Object)
Boolean	Boolean	lub(Boolean,Object)
null	null	lub(null,Object)
Object	Object	Object

At run time, the first operand expression of the conditional expression is evaluated first. If necessary, unboxing conversion is performed on the result.

The resulting `boolean` value is then used to choose either the second or the third operand expression:

- If the value of the first operand is `true`, then the second operand expression is chosen.

- If the value of the first operand is `false`, then the third operand expression is chosen.

The chosen operand expression is then evaluated and the resulting value is converted to the type of the conditional expression as determined by the rules stated below.

This conversion may include boxing or unboxing conversion (§5.1.7, §5.1.8).

The operand expression not chosen is not evaluated for that particular evaluation of the conditional expression.

15.25.1   Boolean Conditional Expressions

Boolean conditional expressions are standalone expressions (§15.2).

The type of a boolean conditional expression is determined as follows:

- If the second and third operands are both of type `Boolean`, the conditional expression has type `Boolean`.

- Otherwise, the conditional expression has type `boolean`.

15.25.2   Numeric Conditional Expressions

Numeric conditional expressions are standalone expressions (§15.2).

The type of a numeric conditional expression is determined as follows:

- If the second and third operands have the same type, then that is the type of the conditional expression.

- If one of the second and third operands is of primitive type T, and the type of the other is the result of applying boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to T, then the type of the conditional expression is T.

- If one of the operands is of type `byte` or `Byte` and the other is of type `short` or `Short`, then the type of the conditional expression is `short`.

- If one of the operands is of type T where T is `byte`, `short`, or `char`, and the other operand is a constant expression (§15.29) of type `int` whose value is representable in type T, then the type of the conditional expression is T.

- If one of the operands is of type T, where T is `Byte`, `Short`, or `Character`, and the other operand is a constant expression of type `int` whose value is representable in the type U which is the result of applying unboxing conversion to T, then the type of the conditional expression is U.

- Otherwise, general numeric promotion (§5.6) is applied to the second and third operands, and the type of the conditional expression is the promoted type of the second and third operands.

> Note that numeric promotion may include unboxing conversion (§5.1.8).

15.25.3   Reference Conditional Expressions

A reference conditional expression is a poly expression if it appears in an assignment context or an invocation context (§5.2. §5.3). Otherwise, it is a standalone expression.

Where a poly reference conditional expression appears in a context of a particular kind with target type T, its second and third operand expressions similarly appear in a context of the same kind with target type T.

A poly reference conditional expression is compatible with a target type T if its second and third operand expressions are compatible with T.

The type of a poly reference conditional expression is the same as its target type.

The type of a standalone reference conditional expression is determined as follows:

- If the second and third operands have the same type (which may be the null type), then that is the type of the conditional expression.

- If the type of one of the second and third operands is the null type, and the type of the other operand is a reference type, then the type of the conditional expression is that reference type.

- Otherwise, the second and third operands are of types S_1 and S_2 respectively. Let T_1 be the type that results from applying boxing conversion to S_1, and let T_2 be the type that results from applying boxing conversion to S_2. The type of the conditional expression is the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to lub(T_1, T_2).

> Because reference conditional expressions can be poly expressions, they can "pass down" context to their operands. This allows lambda expressions and method reference expressions to appear as operands:
>
> ```
> return ... ? (x -> x) : (x -> -x);
> ```
>
> It also allows use of extra information to improve type checking of generic method invocations. Prior to Java SE 8, this assignment was well-typed:
>
> ```
> List<String> ls = Arrays.asList();
> ```

but this was not:

```
List<String> ls = ... ? Arrays.asList() : Arrays.asList("a","b");
```

The rules above allow both assignments to be considered well-typed.

Note that a reference conditional expression does not have to *contain* a poly expression as an operand in order to *be* a poly expression. It is a poly expression simply by virtue of the context in which it appears. For example, in the following code, the conditional expression is a poly expression, and each operand is considered to be in an assignment context targeting `Class<? super Integer>`:

```
Class<? super Integer> choose(boolean b,
                              Class<Integer> c1,
                              Class<Number> c2) {
    return b ? c1 : c2;
}
```

If the conditional expression was not a poly expression, then a compile-time error would occur, as its type would be lub(`Class<Integer>`, `Class<Number>`) = `Class<? extends Number>` which is incompatible with the return type of `choose`.

15.26 Assignment Operators

There are 12 *assignment operators*; all are syntactically right-associative (they group right-to-left). Thus, `a=b=c` means `a=(b=c)`, which assigns the value of `c` to `b` and then assigns the value of `b` to `a`.

AssignmentExpression:
  ConditionalExpression
  Assignment

Assignment:
  LeftHandSide AssignmentOperator Expression

LeftHandSide:
  ExpressionName
  FieldAccess
  ArrayAccess

AssignmentOperator:
  (one of)
  =   *=   /=   %=   +=   -=   <<=   >>=   >>>=   &=   ^= |=

The result of the first operand of an assignment operator must be a variable, or a compile-time error occurs.

This operand may be a named variable, such as a local variable or a field of the current object or class, or it may be a computed variable, as can result from a field access (§15.11) or an array access (§15.10.3).

The type of the assignment expression is the type of the variable after capture conversion (§5.1.10).

At run time, the result of the assignment expression is the value of the variable after the assignment has occurred. The result of an assignment expression is not itself a variable.

A variable that is declared `final` cannot be assigned to (unless it is definitely unassigned (§16 (*Definite Assignment*))), because when an access of such a `final` variable is used as an expression, the result is a value, not a variable, and so it cannot be used as the first operand of an assignment operator.

15.26.1   Simple Assignment Operator `=`

If the type of the right-hand operand is not assignment compatible with the type of the variable (§5.2), then a compile-time error occurs.

Otherwise, at run time, the expression is evaluated in one of three ways.

If the left-hand operand expression is a field access expression `e.f` (§15.11), possibly enclosed in one or more pairs of parentheses, then:

- First, the expression `e` is evaluated. If evaluation of `e` completes abruptly, the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Next, the right hand operand is evaluated. If evaluation of the right hand expression completes abruptly, the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Then, if the field denoted by `e.f` is not `static` and the result of the evaluation of `e` above is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown.

- Otherwise, the variable denoted by `e.f` is assigned the value of the right hand operand as computed above.

If the left-hand operand is an array access expression (§15.10.3), possibly enclosed in one or more pairs of parentheses, then:

- First, the array reference subexpression of the left-hand operand array access expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the

assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason; the index subexpression (of the left-hand operand array access expression) and the right-hand operand are not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

• Otherwise, the index subexpression of the left-hand operand array access expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and the right-hand operand is not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

• Otherwise, the right-hand operand is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

• Otherwise, if the value of the array reference subexpression is `null`, then no assignment occurs and a `NullPointerException` is thrown.

• Otherwise, the value of the array reference subexpression indeed refers to an array. If the value of the index subexpression is less than zero, or greater than or equal to the `length` of the array, then no assignment occurs and an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` is thrown.

• Otherwise, the value of the index subexpression is used to select a component of the array referred to by the value of the array reference subexpression.

This component is a variable; call its type SC. Also, let TC be the type of the left-hand operand of the assignment operator as determined at compile time. Then there are two possibilities:

– If TC is a primitive type, then SC is necessarily the same as TC.

The value of the right-hand operand is converted to the type of the selected array component, and the result of the conversion is stored into the array component.

– If TC is a reference type, then SC may not be the same as TC, but rather a type that extends or implements TC.

Let RC be the class of the object referred to by the value of the right-hand operand at run time.

A Java compiler may be able to prove at compile time that the array component will be of type TC exactly (for example, TC might be `final`). But if a Java compiler cannot prove at compile time that the array component will be of type TC exactly, then a check must be performed at run time to ensure that the

class *RC* is assignment compatible (§5.2) with the actual type *SC* of the array component.

> This check is similar to a narrowing cast (§5.5, §15.16), except that if the check fails, an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown rather than a `ClassCastException`.

If class *RC* is not assignable to type *SC*, then no assignment occurs and an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown.

Otherwise, the reference value of the right-hand operand is stored into the selected array component.

Otherwise, three steps are required:

- First, the left-hand operand is evaluated to produce a variable. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason; the right-hand operand is not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the right-hand operand is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the value of the right-hand operand is converted to the type of the left-hand variable, and the result of the conversion is stored into the variable.

Example 15.26.1-1. Simple Assignment To An Array Component

```
class ArrayReferenceThrow extends RuntimeException { }
class IndexThrow          extends RuntimeException { }
class RightHandSideThrow  extends RuntimeException { }

class IllustrateSimpleArrayAssignment {
    static Object[] objects = { new Object(), new Object() };
    static Thread[] threads = { new Thread(), new Thread() };

    static Object[] arrayThrow() {
        throw new ArrayReferenceThrow();
    }
    static int indexThrow() {
        throw new IndexThrow();
    }
    static Thread rightThrow() {
        throw new RightHandSideThrow();
    }
    static String name(Object q) {
        String sq = q.getClass().getName();
        int k = sq.lastIndexOf('.');
        return (k < 0) ? sq : sq.substring(k+1);
    }
```

```
static void testFour(Object[] x, int j, Object y) {
    String sx = x == null ? "null" : name(x[0]) + "s";
    String sy = name(y);
    System.out.println();
    try {
        System.out.print(sx + "[throw]=throw => ");
        x[indexThrow()] = rightThrow();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print(sx + "[throw]=" + sy + " => ");
        x[indexThrow()] = y;
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print(sx + "[" + j + "]=throw => ");
        x[j] = rightThrow();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print(sx + "[" + j + "]=" + sy + " => ");
        x[j] = y;
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    try {
        System.out.print("throw[throw]=throw => ");
        arrayThrow()[indexThrow()] = rightThrow();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print("throw[throw]=Thread => ");
        arrayThrow()[indexThrow()] = new Thread();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print("throw[1]=throw => ");
        arrayThrow()[1] = rightThrow();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    try {
        System.out.print("throw[1]=Thread => ");
        arrayThrow()[1] = new Thread();
        System.out.println("Okay!");
    } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }

    testFour(null, 1, new StringBuffer());
    testFour(null, 9, new Thread());
    testFour(objects, 1, new StringBuffer());
    testFour(objects, 1, new Thread());
    testFour(objects, 9, new StringBuffer());
```

```
            testFour(objects, 9, new Thread());
            testFour(threads, 1, new StringBuffer());
            testFour(threads, 1, new Thread());
            testFour(threads, 9, new StringBuffer());
            testFour(threads, 9, new Thread());
        }
    }
```

This program produces the output:

```
throw[throw]=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
throw[throw]=Thread => ArrayReferenceThrow
throw[1]=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
throw[1]=Thread => ArrayReferenceThrow

null[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
null[throw]=StringBuffer => IndexThrow
null[1]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
null[1]=StringBuffer => NullPointerException

null[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
null[throw]=Thread => IndexThrow
null[9]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
null[9]=Thread => NullPointerException

Objects[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Objects[throw]=StringBuffer => IndexThrow
Objects[1]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Objects[1]=StringBuffer => Okay!

Objects[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Objects[throw]=Thread => IndexThrow
Objects[1]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Objects[1]=Thread => Okay!

Objects[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Objects[throw]=StringBuffer => IndexThrow
Objects[9]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Objects[9]=StringBuffer => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException

Objects[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Objects[throw]=Thread => IndexThrow
Objects[9]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Objects[9]=Thread => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException

Threads[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Threads[throw]=StringBuffer => IndexThrow
Threads[1]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Threads[1]=StringBuffer => ArrayStoreException

Threads[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Threads[throw]=Thread => IndexThrow
Threads[1]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Threads[1]=Thread => Okay!

Threads[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Threads[throw]=StringBuffer => IndexThrow
Threads[9]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Threads[9]=StringBuffer => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException

Threads[throw]=throw => IndexThrow
Threads[throw]=Thread => IndexThrow
Threads[9]=throw => RightHandSideThrow
Threads[9]=Thread => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
```

The most interesting case of the lot is thirteenth from the end:

```
Threads[1]=StringBuffer => ArrayStoreException
```

which indicates that the attempt to store a reference to a `StringBuffer` into an array whose components are of type `Thread` throws an `ArrayStoreException`. The code is type-correct at compile time: the assignment has a left-hand side of type `Object[]` and a right-hand side of type `Object`. At run time, the first actual argument to method `testFour` is a reference to an instance of "array of `Thread`" and the third actual argument is a reference to an instance of class `StringBuffer`.

15.26.2 Compound Assignment Operators

A compound assignment expression of the form `E1 op= E2` is equivalent to `E1 = (T) ((E1) op (E2))`, where `T` is the type of `E1`, except that `E1` is evaluated only once.

For example, the following code is correct:

```
short x = 3;
x += 4.6;
```

and results in `x` having the value `7` because it is equivalent to:

```
short x = 3;
x = (short)(x + 4.6);
```

At run time, the expression is evaluated in one of two ways.

If the left-hand operand expression is not an array access expression, then:

- First, the left-hand operand is evaluated to produce a variable. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason; the right-hand operand is not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the value of the left-hand operand is saved and then the right-hand operand is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the saved value of the left-hand variable and the value of the right-hand operand are used to perform the binary operation indicated by the compound assignment operator. If this operation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the result of the binary operation is converted to the type of the left-hand variable, and the result of the conversion is stored into the variable.

If the left-hand operand expression is an array access expression (§15.10.3), then:

- First, the array reference subexpression of the left-hand operand array access expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason; the index subexpression (of the left-hand operand array access expression) and the right-hand operand are not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, the index subexpression of the left-hand operand array access expression is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and the right-hand operand is not evaluated and no assignment occurs.

- Otherwise, if the value of the array reference subexpression is `null`, then no assignment occurs and a `NullPointerException` is thrown.

- Otherwise, the value of the array reference subexpression indeed refers to an array. If the value of the index subexpression is less than zero, or greater than or equal to the `length` of the array, then no assignment occurs and an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException` is thrown.

- Otherwise, the value of the index subexpression is used to select a component of the array referred to by the value of the array reference subexpression. The value of this component is saved and then the right-hand operand is evaluated. If this evaluation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

    For a simple assignment operator, the evaluation of the right-hand operand occurs before the checks of the array reference subexpression and the index subexpression, but for a compound assignment operator, the evaluation of the right-hand operand occurs after these checks.

- Otherwise, consider the array component selected in the previous step, whose value was saved. This component is a variable; call its type `S`. Also, let `T` be

the type of the left-hand operand of the assignment operator as determined at compile time.

– If *T* is a primitive type, then *S* is necessarily the same as *T*.

The saved value of the array component and the value of the right-hand operand are used to perform the binary operation indicated by the compound assignment operator.

If this operation completes abruptly (the only possibility is an integer division by zero - see §15.17.2), then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

Otherwise, the result of the binary operation is converted to the type of the selected array component, and the result of the conversion is stored into the array component.

– If *T* is a reference type, then it must be `String`. Because class `String` is a `final` class, *S* must also be `String`.

> Therefore the run-time check that is sometimes required for the simple assignment operator is never required for a compound assignment operator.

The saved value of the array component and the value of the right-hand operand are used to perform the binary operation (string concatenation) indicated by the compound assignment operator (which is necessarily `+=`). If this operation completes abruptly, then the assignment expression completes abruptly for the same reason and no assignment occurs.

Otherwise, the `String` result of the binary operation is stored into the array component.

Example 15.26.2-1. Compound Assignment To An Array Component

```
class ArrayReferenceThrow extends RuntimeException { }
class IndexThrow         extends RuntimeException { }
class RightHandSideThrow  extends RuntimeException { }

class IllustrateCompoundArrayAssignment {
    static String[] strings = { "Simon", "Garfunkel" };
    static double[] doubles = { Math.E, Math.PI };

    static String[] stringsThrow() {
        throw new ArrayReferenceThrow();
    }
    static double[] doublesThrow() {
        throw new ArrayReferenceThrow();
    }
    static int indexThrow() {
```

```
            throw new IndexThrow();
        }
        static String stringThrow() {
            throw new RightHandSideThrow();
        }
        static double doubleThrow() {
            throw new RightHandSideThrow();
        }
        static String name(Object q) {
            String sq = q.getClass().getName();
            int k = sq.lastIndexOf('.');
            return (k < 0) ? sq : sq.substring(k+1);
        }

        static void testEight(String[] x, double[] z, int j) {
            String sx = (x == null) ? "null" : "Strings";
            String sz = (z == null) ? "null" : "doubles";
            System.out.println();
            try {
                System.out.print(sx + "[throw]+=throw => ");
                x[indexThrow()] += stringThrow();
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sz + "[throw]+=throw => ");
                z[indexThrow()] += doubleThrow();
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sx + "[throw]+=\"heh\" => ");
                x[indexThrow()] += "heh";
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sz + "[throw]+=12345 => ");
                z[indexThrow()] += 12345;
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sx + "[" + j + "]+=throw => ");
                x[j] += stringThrow();
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sz + "[" + j + "]+=throw => ");
                z[j] += doubleThrow();
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
            try {
                System.out.print(sx + "[" + j + "]+=\"heh\" => ");
                x[j] += "heh";
                System.out.println("Okay!");
            } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
```

```
        try {
            System.out.print(sz + "[" + j + "]+=12345 => ");
            z[j] += 12345;
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[throw]+=throw => ");
            stringsThrow()[indexThrow()] += stringThrow();
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[throw]+=throw => ");
            doublesThrow()[indexThrow()] += doubleThrow();
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[throw]+=\"heh\" => ");
            stringsThrow()[indexThrow()] += "heh";
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[throw]+=12345 => ");
            doublesThrow()[indexThrow()] += 12345;
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[1]+=throw => ");
            stringsThrow()[1] += stringThrow();
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[1]+=throw => ");
            doublesThrow()[1] += doubleThrow();
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[1]+=\"heh\" => ");
            stringsThrow()[1] += "heh";
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        try {
            System.out.print("throw[1]+=12345 => ");
            doublesThrow()[1] += 12345;
            System.out.println("Okay!");
        } catch (Throwable e) { System.out.println(name(e)); }
        testEight(null, null, 1);
        testEight(null, null, 9);
        testEight(strings, doubles, 1);
        testEight(strings, doubles, 9);
    }
```

693

```
        }
```

This program produces the output:

```
    throw[throw]+=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[throw]+=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[throw]+="heh" => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[throw]+=12345 => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[1]+=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[1]+=throw => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[1]+="heh" => ArrayReferenceThrow
    throw[1]+=12345 => ArrayReferenceThrow

    null[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+="heh" => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+=12345 => IndexThrow
    null[1]+=throw => NullPointerException
    null[1]+=throw => NullPointerException
    null[1]+="heh" => NullPointerException
    null[1]+=12345 => NullPointerException

    null[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+="heh" => IndexThrow
    null[throw]+=12345 => IndexThrow
    null[9]+=throw => NullPointerException
    null[9]+=throw => NullPointerException
    null[9]+="heh" => NullPointerException
    null[9]+=12345 => NullPointerException

    Strings[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    doubles[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    Strings[throw]+="heh" => IndexThrow
    doubles[throw]+=12345 => IndexThrow
    Strings[1]+=throw => RightHandSideThrow
    doubles[1]+=throw => RightHandSideThrow
    Strings[1]+="heh" => Okay!
    doubles[1]+=12345 => Okay!

    Strings[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    doubles[throw]+=throw => IndexThrow
    Strings[throw]+="heh" => IndexThrow
    doubles[throw]+=12345 => IndexThrow
    Strings[9]+=throw => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
    doubles[9]+=throw => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
    Strings[9]+="heh" => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
    doubles[9]+=12345 => ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
```

The most interesting cases of the lot are eleventh and twelfth from the end:

```
Strings[1]+=throw => RightHandSideThrow
doubles[1]+=throw => RightHandSideThrow
```

They are the cases where a right-hand side that throws an exception actually gets to throw the exception; moreover, they are the only such cases in the lot. This demonstrates that the evaluation of the right-hand operand indeed occurs after the checks for a null array reference value and an out-of-bounds index value.

Example 15.26.2-2. Value Of Left-Hand Side Of Compound Assignment Is Saved Before Evaluation Of Right-Hand Side

```
class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int k = 1;
        int[] a = { 1 };
        k += (k = 4) * (k + 2);
        a[0] += (a[0] = 4) * (a[0] + 2);
        System.out.println("k==" + k + " and a[0]==" + a[0]);
    }
}
```

This program produces the output:

```
k==25 and a[0]==25
```

The value 1 of k is saved by the compound assignment operator += before its right-hand operand (k = 4) * (k + 2) is evaluated. Evaluation of this right-hand operand then assigns 4 to k, calculates the value 6 for k + 2, and then multiplies 4 by 6 to get 24. This is added to the saved value 1 to get 25, which is then stored into k by the += operator. An identical analysis applies to the case that uses a[0].

In short, the statements:

```
k += (k = 4) * (k + 2);
a[0] += (a[0] = 4) * (a[0] + 2);
```

behave in exactly the same manner as the statements:

```
k = k + (k = 4) * (k + 2);
a[0] = a[0] + (a[0] = 4) * (a[0] + 2);
```

15.27   Lambda Expressions

A lambda expression is like a method: it provides a list of formal parameters and a body - an expression or block - expressed in terms of those parameters.

> *LambdaExpression:*
>   *LambdaParameters* -> *LambdaBody*

Lambda expressions are always poly expressions (§15.2).

It is a compile-time error if a lambda expression occurs in a program in someplace other than an assignment context (§5.2), an invocation context (§5.3), or a casting context (§5.5).

Evaluation of a lambda expression produces an instance of a functional interface (§9.8). Lambda expression evaluation does *not* cause the execution of the expression's body; instead, this may occur at a later time when an appropriate method of the functional interface is invoked.

Here are some examples of lambda expressions:

```
() -> {}                // No parameters; result is void
() -> 42                // No parameters, expression body
() -> null              // No parameters, expression body
() -> { return 42; }    // No parameters, block body with return
() -> { System.gc(); }  // No parameters, void block body

() -> {                 // Complex block body with returns
  if (true) return 12;
  else {
    int result = 15;
    for (int i = 1; i < 10; i++)
      result *= i;
    return result;
  }
}

(int x) -> x+1          // Single declared-type parameter
(int x) -> { return x+1; }  // Single declared-type parameter
(x) -> x+1              // Single inferred-type parameter
x -> x+1                // Parentheses optional for
                        // single inferred-type parameter

(String s) -> s.length()    // Single declared-type parameter
(Thread t) -> { t.start(); }  // Single declared-type parameter
s -> s.length()             // Single inferred-type parameter
t -> { t.start(); }         // Single inferred-type parameter

(int x, int y) -> x+y  // Multiple declared-type parameters
(x, y) -> x+y          // Multiple inferred-type parameters
(x, int y) -> x+y      // Illegal: can't mix inferred and declared types
(x, final y) -> x+y    // Illegal: no modifiers with inferred types
```

This syntax has the advantage of minimizing bracket noise around simple lambda expressions, which is especially beneficial when a lambda expression is an argument to

a method, or when the body is another lambda expression. It also clearly distinguishes between its expression and statement forms, which avoids ambiguities or over-reliance on ';' tokens. When some extra bracketing is needed to visually distinguish either the full lambda expression or its body expression, parentheses are naturally supported (just as in other cases in which operator precedence is unclear).

The syntax has some parsing challenges. The Java programming language has always required arbitrary lookahead to distinguish between types and expressions after a '(' token: what follows may be a cast or a parenthesized expression. This was made worse when generics reused the binary operators '<' and '>' in types. Lambda expressions introduce a new possibility: the tokens following '(' may describe a type, an expression, or a lambda parameter list. Some tokens immediately indicate a parameter list (annotations, `final`); in other cases there are certain patterns that must be interpreted as parameter lists (two names in a row, a ',' not nested inside of '<' and '>'); and sometimes, the decision cannot be made until a '->' is encountered after a ')'. The simplest way to think of how this might be efficiently parsed is with a state machine: each state represents a subset of possible interpretations (type, expression, or parameters), and when the machine transitions to a state in which the set is a singleton, the parser knows which case it is. This does not map very elegantly to a fixed-lookahead grammar, however.

There is no special nullary form: a lambda expression with zero arguments is expressed as `() -> ...`. The obvious special-case syntax, `-> ...`, does not work because it introduces an ambiguity between argument lists and casts: `(x) -> ...`.

Lambda expressions cannot declare type parameters. While it would make sense semantically to do so, the natural syntax (preceding the parameter list with a type parameter list) introduces messy ambiguities. For example, consider:

```
foo( (x) < y , z > (w) -> v )
```

This could be an invocation of `foo` with one argument (a generic lambda cast to type `x`), or it could be an invocation of `foo` with two arguments, both the results of comparisons, the second comparing `z` with a lambda expression. (Strictly speaking, a lambda expression is meaningless as an operand to the relational operator `>`, but that is a tenuous assumption on which to build the grammar.)

There is a precedent for ambiguity resolution involving casts, which essentially prohibits the use of – and + following a non-primitive cast (§15.15), but to extend that approach to generic lambdas would involve invasive changes to the grammar.

15.27.1   Lambda Parameters

The formal parameters of a lambda expression, if any, are specified by either a parenthesized list of comma-separated parameter specifiers or a parenthesized list of comma-separated identifiers. In a list of parameter specifiers, each parameter specifier consists of optional modifiers, then a type (or `var`), then an identifier that specifies the name of the parameter. In a list of identifiers, each identifier specifies the name of the parameter.

If a lambda expression has no formal parameters, then an empty pair of parentheses appears before the `->` and the lambda body.

If a lambda expression has exactly one formal parameter, and the parameter is specified by an identifier instead of a parameter specifier, then the parentheses around the identifier may be elided.

LambdaParameters:
  (*[LambdaParameterList]*)
  Identifier

LambdaParameterList:
  LambdaParameter { , LambdaParameter}
  Identifier { , Identifier}

LambdaParameter:
  {VariableModifier} LambdaParameterType VariableDeclaratorId
  VariableArityParameter

LambdaParameterType:
  UnannType
  `var`

The following productions from §8.4.1, §8.3, and §4.3 are shown here for convenience:

  VariableArityParameter:
    {VariableModifier} UnannType {Annotation} `. . .` *Identifier*

  VariableModifier:
    Annotation
    `final`

  VariableDeclaratorId:
    Identifier [Dims]

  Dims:
    {Annotation} `[ ]` *{{Annotation}* `[ ]}*

A formal parameter of a lambda expression may be declared `final`, or annotated, only if specified by a parameter specifier. If a formal parameter is specified by an identifier instead, then the formal parameter is not `final` and has no annotations.

A formal parameter of a lambda expression may be a *variable arity parameter*, indicated by an ellipsis following the type in a parameter specifier. At most one variable arity parameter is permitted for a lambda expression. It is a compile-

time error if a variable arity parameter appears anywhere in the list of parameter specifiers except the last position.

Each formal parameter of a lambda expression has either an *inferred type* or a *declared type*:

- If a formal parameter is specified either by a parameter specifier that uses `var`, or by an identifier instead of a parameter specifier, then the formal parameter has an inferred type. The type is inferred from the functional interface type targeted by the lambda expression (§15.27.3).

- If a formal parameter is specified by a parameter specifier that does not use `var`, then the formal parameter has a declared type. The declared type is determined as follows:

  - If the formal parameter is not a variable arity parameter, then the declared type is denoted by *UnannType* if no bracket pairs appear in *UnannType* and *VariableDeclaratorId*, and specified by §10.2 otherwise.

  - If the formal parameter is a variable arity parameter, then the declared type is an array type specified by §10.2.

    No distinction is made between the following lambda parameter lists:

    ```
    (int... x) -> BODY
    (int[] x) -> BODY
    ```

    Either can be used, whether the functional interface's `abstract` method is fixed arity or variable arity. (This is consistent with the rules for method overriding.) Since lambda expressions are never directly invoked, using `int...` for the formal parameter where the functional interface uses `int[]` can have no impact on the surrounding program. In a lambda body, a variable arity parameter is treated just like an array-typed parameter.

A lambda expression where all the formal parameters have declared types is said to be *explicitly typed*. A lambda expression where all the formal parameters have inferred types is said to be *implicitly typed*. A lambda expression with no formal parameters is explicitly typed.

If a lambda expression is implicitly typed, then its lambda body is interpreted according to the context in which it appears. Specifically, the types of expressions in the body, and the checked exceptions thrown by the body, and the type correctness of code in the body all depend on the types inferred for the formal parameters. This implies that inference of formal parameter types must occur "before" attempting to type-check the lambda body.

It is a compile-time error if a lambda expression declares a formal parameter with a declared type *and* a formal parameter with an inferred type.

> This rule prevents a mix of inferred and declared types in the formal parameters, such as
> `(x, int y) -> BODY` or `(var x, int y) -> BODY`. Note that if all the formal parameters
> have inferred types, the grammar prevents a mix of identifiers and `var` parameter specifiers,
> such as `(x, var y) -> BODY` or `(var x, y) -> BODY`.

The rules concerning annotation modifiers for a formal parameter declaration are specified in §9.7.4 and §9.7.5.

It is a compile-time error if `final` appears more than once as a modifier for a formal parameter declaration.

It is a compile-time error if the *LambdaParameterType* of a formal parameter is `var` and the *VariableDeclaratorId* of the same formal parameter has one or more bracket pairs.

The scope and shadowing of a formal parameter is specified in §6.3 and §6.4.

References to a formal parameter from a nested class or interface, or a nested lambda expression, are restricted, as specified in §6.5.6.1.

It is a compile-time error for a lambda expression to declare two formal parameters with the same name. (That is, their declarations mention the same *Identifier*.)

> In Java SE 8, the use of _ as the name of a lambda parameter was forbidden, and its use
> discouraged as the name for other kinds of variable (§4.12.3). As of Java SE 9, _ is a
> keyword (§3.9) so it cannot be used as a variable name in any context.

It is a compile-time error if a formal parameter that is declared `final` is assigned to within the body of the lambda expression.

When the lambda expression is invoked (via a method invocation expression (§15.12)), the values of the actual argument expressions initialize newly created parameter variables, each of the declared or inferred type, before execution of the lambda body. The *Identifier* that appears in the *LambdaParameter* or directly in the *LambdaParameterList* or *LambdaParameters* may be used as a simple name in the lambda body to refer to the formal parameter.

15.27.2   Lambda Body

A lambda body is either a single expression or a block (§14.2). Like a method body, a lambda body describes code that will be executed whenever an invocation occurs.

LambdaBody:
  Expression
  Block

Unlike code appearing in anonymous class declarations, the meaning of names and the `this` and `super` keywords appearing in a lambda body, along with the accessibility of referenced declarations, are the same as in the surrounding context (except that lambda parameters introduce new names).

> The transparency of `this` (both explicit and implicit) in the body of a lambda expression - that is, treating it the same as in the surrounding context - allows more flexibility for implementations, and prevents the meaning of unqualified names in the body from being dependent on overload resolution.

> Practically speaking, it is unusual for a lambda expression to need to talk about itself (either to call itself recursively or to invoke its other methods), while it is more common to want to use names to refer to things in the enclosing class that would otherwise be shadowed (`this`, `toString()`). If it is necessary for a lambda expression to refer to itself (as if via `this`), a method reference or an anonymous inner class should be used instead.

A block lambda body is *void-compatible* if every return statement in the block has the form `return;`.

A block lambda body is *value-compatible* if it cannot complete normally (§14.22) and every return statement in the block has the form `return` *Expression*`;`.

It is a compile-time error if a block lambda body is neither void-compatible nor value-compatible.

In a value-compatible block lambda body, the *result expressions* are any expressions that may produce an invocation's value. Specifically, for each statement of the form `return` *Expression* `;` contained by the body, the *Expression* is a result expression.

> The following lambda bodies are void-compatible:

```
() -> {}
() -> { System.out.println("done"); }
```

> These are value-compatible:

```
() -> { return "done"; }
() -> { if (...) return 1; else return 0; }
```

> These are both:

```
() -> { throw new RuntimeException(); }
() -> { while (true); }
```

> This is neither:

```
() -> { if (...) return "done"; System.out.println("done"); }
```

The handling of void/value-compatible and the meaning of names in the body jointly serve to minimize the dependency on a particular target type in the given context, which is useful both for implementations and for programmer comprehension. While expressions can be assigned different types during overload resolution depending on the target type, the meaning of unqualified names and the basic structure of the lambda body do not change.

Note that the void/value-compatible definition is not a strictly structural property: "can complete normally" depends on the values of constant expressions, and these may include names that reference constant variables.

Any local variable, formal parameter, or exception parameter used but not declared in a lambda expression must either be `final` or effectively final (§4.12.4), as specified in §6.5.6.1.

Any local variable used but not declared in a lambda body must be definitely assigned (§16 (*Definite Assignment*)) before the lambda body, or a compile-time error occurs.

Similar rules on variable use apply in the body of an inner class (§8.1.3). The restriction to effectively final variables prohibits access to dynamically-changing local variables, whose capture would likely introduce concurrency problems. Compared to the `final` restriction, it reduces the clerical burden on programmers.

The restriction to effectively final variables includes standard loop variables, but not enhanced-`for` loop variables, which are treated as distinct for each iteration of the loop (§14.14.2).

The following lambda bodies demonstrate use of effectively final variables.

```
void m1(int x) {
    int y = 1;
    foo(() -> x+y);
    // Legal: x and y are both effectively final.
}

void m2(int x) {
    int y;
    y = 1;
    foo(() -> x+y);
    // Legal: x and y are both effectively final.
}

void m3(int x) {
    int y;
    if (...) y = 1;
    foo(() -> x+y);
    // Illegal: y is effectively final, but not definitely assigned.
}

void m4(int x) {
```

```
        int y;
        if (...) y = 1; else y = 2;
        foo(() -> x+y);
        // Legal: x and y are both effectively final.
    }

    void m5(int x) {
        int y;
        if (...) y = 1;
        y = 2;
        foo(() -> x+y);
        // Illegal: y is not effectively final.
    }

    void m6(int x) {
        foo(() -> x+1);
        x++;
        // Illegal: x is not effectively final.
    }

    void m7(int x) {
        foo(() -> x=1);
        // Illegal: x is not effectively final.
    }

    void m8() {
        int y;
        foo(() -> y=1);
        // Illegal: y is not definitely assigned before the lambda.
    }

    void m9(String[] arr) {
        for (String s : arr) {
            foo(() -> s);
            // Legal: s is effectively final
            // (it is a new variable on each iteration)
        }
    }

    void m10(String[] arr) {
        for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
            foo(() -> arr[i]);
            // Illegal: i is not effectively final
            // (it is not final, and is incremented)
        }
    }
}
```

15.27.3   Type of a Lambda Expression

A lambda expression is compatible in an assignment context, invocation context, or casting context with a target type *T* if *T* is a functional interface type (§9.8) and

the expression is *congruent* with the function type of the *ground target type* derived from T.

The *ground target type* is derived from T as follows:

- If T is a wildcard-parameterized functional interface type and the lambda expression is explicitly typed, then the ground target type is inferred as described in §18.5.3.

- If T is a wildcard-parameterized functional interface type and the lambda expression is implicitly typed, then the ground target type is the non-wildcard parameterization (§9.9) of T.

- Otherwise, the ground target type is T.

A lambda expression is *congruent* with a function type if all of the following are true:

- The function type has no type parameters.

- The number of lambda parameters is the same as the number of parameter types of the function type.

- If the lambda expression is explicitly typed, its formal parameter types are the same as the parameter types of the function type.

- If the lambda parameters are assumed to have the same types as the function type's parameter types, then:

  - If the function type's result is `void`, the lambda body is either a statement expression (§14.8) or a `void`-compatible block.

  - If the function type's result is a (non-`void`) type R, then either (i) the lambda body is an expression that is compatible with R in an assignment context, or (ii) the lambda body is a value-compatible block, and each result expression (§15.27.2) is compatible with R in an assignment context.

If a lambda expression is compatible with a target type T, then the type of the expression, U, is the ground target type derived from T.

It is a compile-time error if any class or interface mentioned by either U or the function type of U is not accessible (§6.6) from the class or interface in which the lambda expression appears.

For each non-`static` member method m of U, if the function type of U has a subsignature of the signature of m, then a notional method whose method type is the function type of U is deemed to override m, and any compile-time error or unchecked warning specified in §8.4.8.3 may occur.

A checked exception that can be thrown in the body of the lambda expression may cause a compile-time error, as specified in §11.2.3.

> The parameter types of explicitly typed lambdas are required to exactly match those of the function type. While it would be possible to be more flexible - allow boxing or contravariance, for example - this kind of generality seems unnecessary, and is inconsistent with the way overriding works in class declarations. A programmer ought to know exactly what function type is being targeted when writing a lambda expression, so the programmer should thus know exactly what signature must be overridden. (This is not the case for method references, so more flexibility is allowed when they are used.) In addition, more flexibility with parameter types would add to the complexity of type inference and overload resolution.

> Note that while boxing is not allowed in a strict invocation context, boxing of lambda result expressions is *always* allowed - that is, the result expression appears in an assignment context, regardless of the context enclosing the lambda expression. However, if an explicitly typed lambda expression is an argument to an overloaded method, a method signature that avoids boxing or unboxing the lambda result is preferred by the most specific check (§15.12.2.5).

> If the body of a lambda is a statement expression (that is, an expression that would be allowed to stand alone as a statement), it is compatible with a `void`-producing function type; any result is simply discarded. So, for example, both of the following are legal:

> ```
> // Predicate has a boolean result
> java.util.function.Predicate<String> p = s -> list.add(s);
> // Consumer has a void result
> java.util.function.Consumer<String> c = s -> list.add(s);
> ```

> Generally speaking, a lambda of the form `()` -> *expr*, where *expr* is a statement expression, is interpreted as either `()` -> `{ return` *expr;* `}` or `()` -> `{` *expr;* `}`, depending on the target type.

15.27.4   Run-Time Evaluation of Lambda Expressions

At run time, evaluation of a lambda expression is similar to evaluation of a class instance creation expression, insofar as normal completion produces a reference to an object. Evaluation of a lambda expression is distinct from execution of the lambda body.

Either a new instance of a class with the properties below is allocated and initialized, or an existing instance of a class with the properties below is referenced. If a new instance is to be created, but there is insufficient space to allocate the object, evaluation of the lambda expression completes abruptly by throwing an `OutOfMemoryError`.

> This implies that the identity of the result of evaluating a lambda expression (or, of serializing and deserializing a lambda expression) is unpredictable, and therefore identity-

sensitive operations (such as reference equality (§15.21.3), object locking (§14.19), and the `System.identityHashCode` method) may produce different results in different implementations of the Java programming language, or even upon different lambda expression evaluations in the same implementation.

The value of a lambda expression is a reference to an instance of a class with the following properties:

- The class implements the targeted functional interface type and, if the target type is an intersection type, every other interface type mentioned in the intersection.

- Where the lambda expression has type U, for each non-`static` member method m of U:

  If the function type of U has a subsignature of the signature of m, then the class declares a method that overrides m. The method's body has the effect of evaluating the lambda body, if it is an expression, or of executing the lambda body, if it is a block; if a result is expected, it is returned from the method.

  If the erasure of the type of a method being overridden differs in its signature from the erasure of the function type of U, then before evaluating or executing the lambda body, the method's body checks that each argument value is an instance of a subclass or subinterface of the erasure of the corresponding parameter type in the function type of U; if not, a `ClassCastException` is thrown.

- The class overrides no other methods of the targeted functional interface type or other interface types mentioned above, although it may override methods of the `Object` class.

  These rules are meant to offer flexibility to implementations of the Java programming language, in that:

  - A new object need not be allocated on every evaluation.

  - Objects produced by different lambda expressions need not belong to different classes (if the bodies are identical, for example).

  - Every object produced by evaluation need not belong to the same class (captured local variables might be inlined, for example).

  - If an "existing instance" is available, it need not have been created at a previous lambda evaluation (it might have been allocated during the enclosing class's initialization, for example).

  If the targeted functional interface type is a subtype of `java.io.Serializable`, the resulting object will automatically be an instance of a serializable class. Making an object derived from a lambda expression serializable can have extra run time overhead and security implications, so lambda-derived objects are not required to be serializable "by default".

15.28 `switch` **Expressions**

A `switch` expression transfers control to one of several statements or expressions, depending on the value of an expression; all possible values of that expression must be handled, and all of the several statements and expressions must produce a value for the result of the `switch` expression.

SwitchExpression:
  `switch (` *Expression* `)` *SwitchBlock*

The *Expression* is called the *selector expression*. The type of the selector expression must be `char`, `byte`, `short`, `int`, `Character`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Integer`, `String`, or an enum type (§8.9), or a compile-time error occurs.

> The body of both a `switch` expression and a `switch` statement (§14.11) is called a *switch block*. General rules which apply to all switch blocks, whether they appear in `switch` expressions or `switch` statements, are given in §14.11.1. The following productions from §14.11.1 are shown here for convenience:
>
> *SwitchBlock:*
>   `{` *SwitchRule {SwitchRule}* `}`
>   `{` *{SwitchBlockStatementGroup} {SwitchLabel* `:}` `}`
>
> *SwitchRule:*
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *Expression* `;`
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *Block*
>   *SwitchLabel* `->` *ThrowStatement*
>
> *SwitchBlockStatementGroup:*
>   *SwitchLabel* `:` *{SwitchLabel* `:}` *BlockStatements*
>
> *SwitchLabel:*
>   `case` *CaseConstant {* `,` *CaseConstant}*
>   `default`
>
> *CaseConstant:*
>   *ConditionalExpression*

15.28.1   The Switch Block of a `switch` **Expression**

In addition to the general rules for switch blocks (§14.11.1), there are further rules for switch blocks in `switch` expressions. Namely, all of the following must be true for the switch block of a `switch` expression, or a compile-time error occurs:

- If the type of the selector expression is not an enum type, then there is exactly one `default` label associated with the switch block.

- If the type of the selector expression is an enum type, then (i) the set of the `case` constants associated with the switch block includes every enum constant of the enum type, and (ii) at most one `default` label is associated with the switch block.

  > A `default` label is permitted, but not required, when the `case` labels cover all the enum constants.

- If the switch block consists of switch rules, then any switch rule block cannot complete normally (§14.22).

- If the switch block consists of switch labeled statement groups, then the last statement in the switch block cannot complete normally, and the switch block does not have any switch labels after the last switch labeled statement group.

  > `switch` expressions cannot have empty switch blocks, unlike `switch` statements. Furthermore, `switch` expressions differ from `switch` statements in terms of which expressions may appear to the right of an arrow (`->`) in the switch block, that is, which expressions may be used as *switch rule expressions*. In a `switch` expression, any expression may be used as a switch rule expression, but in a `switch` statement, only a statement expression may be used (§14.11.1).

The *result expressions* of a `switch` expression are determined as follows:

- If the switch block consists of switch rules, then each switch rule is considered in turn:

  – If the switch rule is of the form . . . `->` *Expression* `;` then *Expression* is a result expression of the `switch` expression.

  – If the switch rule is of the form . . . `->` *Block* then every expression which is immediately contained in a `yield` statement in *Block* whose yield target is the given `switch` expression, is a result expression of the `switch` expression.

- If the switch block consists of switch labeled statement groups, then every expression immediately contained in a `yield` statement in the switch block whose yield target is the given `switch` expression, is a result expression of the `switch` expression.

It is a compile-time error if a `switch` expression has no result expressions.

A `switch` expression is a poly expression if it appears in an assignment context or an invocation context (§5.2, §5.3). Otherwise, it is a standalone expression.

Where a poly `switch` expression appears in a context of a particular kind with target type *T*, its result expressions similarly appear in a context of the same kind with target type *T*.

A poly `switch` expression is compatible with a target type `T` if each of its result expressions is compatible with `T`.

The type of a poly `switch` expression is the same as its target type.

The type of a standalone `switch` expression is determined as follows:

- If the result expressions all have the same type (which may be the null type (§4.1)), then that is the type of the `switch` expression.

- Otherwise, if the type of each result expression is `boolean` or `Boolean`, then an unboxing conversion (§5.1.8) is applied to each result expression of type `Boolean`, and the `switch` expression has type `boolean`.

- Otherwise, if the type of each result expression is convertible to a numeric type (§5.1.8), then the type of the `switch` expression is the result of general numeric promotion (§5.6) applied to the result expressions.

- Otherwise, boxing conversion (§5.1.7) is applied to each result expression that has a primitive type, after which the type of the `switch` expression is the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to the least upper bound (§4.10.4) of the types of the result expressions.

15.28.2 Run-Time Evaluation of `switch` Expressions

A `switch` expression is evaluated by first evaluating the selector expression. Then:

- If evaluation of the selector expression completes abruptly, then the `switch` expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

- Otherwise, if the result of evaluating the selector expression is `null`, then a `NullPointerException` is thrown and the entire `switch` expression completes abruptly for that reason.

- Otherwise, if the result of evaluating the selector expression is of type `Character`, `Byte`, `Short`, or `Integer`, it is subjected to unboxing conversion (§5.1.8). If this conversion completes abruptly, then the entire `switch` expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

If evaluation of the selector expression completes normally and the result is non-`null`, and the subsequent unboxing conversion (if any) completes normally, then evaluation of the `switch` expression continues by determining if a switch label associated with the switch block matches the value of the selector expression (§14.11.1). Then:

- If no switch label matches, then an `IncompatibleClassChangeError` is thrown and the entire `switch` expression completes abruptly for that reason.

- If a switch label matches, then one of the following applies:

  – If it is the switch label for a switch rule expression, then the expression is evaluated. If the result of evaluation is a value, then the `switch` expression completes normally with the same value.

  – If it is the switch label for a switch rule block, then the block is executed. If this block completes normally, then the `switch` expression completes normally.

  – If it is the switch label for a switch rule `throw` statement, then the `throw` statement is executed.

  – Otherwise, all the statements in the switch block after the matching switch label are executed in order. If these statements complete normally, then the `switch` expression completes normally.

If execution of any statement or expression in the switch block completes abruptly, it is handled as follows:

- If execution of an expression completes abruptly, then the `switch` expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

- If execution of a statement completes abruptly because of a `yield` with value *v*, then the `switch` expression completes normally and the value of the `switch` expression is *v*.

- If execution of a statement completes abruptly for any reason other than a `yield` with a value, then the `switch` expression completes abruptly for the same reason.

15.29   Constant Expressions

> *ConstantExpression:*
>   *Expression*

A *constant expression* is an expression denoting a value of primitive type or a `String` that does not complete abruptly and is composed using only the following:

- Literals of primitive type (§3.10.1, §3.10.2, §3.10.3, §3.10.4), string literals (§3.10.5), and text blocks (§3.10.6)

- Casts to primitive types and casts to type `String` (§15.16)

- The unary operators `+`, `-`, `~`, and `!` (but not `++` or `--`) (§15.15.3, §15.15.4, §15.15.5, §15.15.6)

- The multiplicative operators `*`, `/`, and `%` (§15.17)

- The additive operators `+` and `-` (§15.18)

- The shift operators `<<`, `>>`, and `>>>` (§15.19)

- The relational operators `<`, `<=`, `>`, and `>=` (but not `instanceof`) (§15.20)

- The equality operators `==` and `!=` (§15.21)

- The bitwise and logical operators `&`, `^`, and `|` (§15.22)

- The conditional-and operator `&&` and the conditional-or operator `||` (§15.23, §15.24)

- The ternary conditional operator `? :` (§15.25)

- Parenthesized expressions (§15.8.5) whose contained expression is a constant expression.

- Simple names (§6.5.6.1) that refer to constant variables (§4.12.4).

- Qualified names (§6.5.6.2) of the form *TypeName . Identifier* that refer to constant variables (§4.12.4).

Constant expressions of type `String` are always "interned" so as to share unique instances, using the method `String.intern`.

> Constant expressions are used as `case` labels in `switch` statements and `switch` expressions (§14.11, §15.28) and have a special significance in assignment contexts (§5.2) and the initialization of a class or interface (§12.4.2). They may also govern the ability of a `while`, `do`, or `for` statement to complete normally (§14.22), and the type of a conditional operator `? :` with numeric operands.

Example 15.29-1. Constant Expressions

```
true
(short)(1*2*3*4*5*6)
Integer.MAX_VALUE / 2
2.0 * Math.PI
"The integer " + Long.MAX_VALUE + " is mighty big."
```

Definite Assignment

EVERY local variable declared by a statement (§14.4.2, §14.14.1, §14.14.2, §14.20.3) and every blank `final` field (§4.12.4, §8.3.1.2) must have a *definitely assigned* value when any access of its value occurs.

An access to its value consists of the simple name of the variable (or, for a field, the simple name of the field qualified by `this`) occurring anywhere in an expression except as the left-hand operand of the simple assignment operator `=` (§15.26.1).

For every access of a local variable or blank `final` field x, x must be definitely assigned before the access, or a compile-time error occurs.

Similarly, every blank `final` variable must be assigned at most once; it must be *definitely unassigned* when an assignment to it occurs.

Such an assignment is defined to occur if and only if either the simple name of the variable (or, for a field, its simple name qualified by `this`) occurs on the left hand side of an assignment operator.

For every assignment to a blank `final` variable, the variable must be definitely unassigned before the assignment, or a compile-time error occurs.

The remainder of this chapter is devoted to a precise explanation of the words "definitely assigned before" and "definitely unassigned before".

The idea behind definite assignment is that an assignment to the local variable or blank `final` field must occur on every possible execution path to the access. Similarly, the idea behind definite unassignment is that no other assignment to the blank `final` variable is permitted to occur on any possible execution path to an assignment.

The analysis takes into account the structure of statements and expressions; it also provides a special treatment of the expression operators `&&`, `||`, `!`, and `? :`, and of boolean-valued constant expressions.

Except for the special treatment of the conditional boolean operators `&&`, `||`, and `? :` and of boolean-valued constant expressions, the values of expressions are not taken into account in the flow analysis.

Example 16-1. Definite Assignment Considers Structure of Statements and Expressions

A Java compiler recognizes that `k` is definitely assigned before its access (as an argument of a method invocation) in the code:

```
{
    int k;
    if (v > 0 && (k = System.in.read()) >= 0)
        System.out.println(k);
}
```

because the access occurs only if the value of the expression:

```
v > 0 && (k = System.in.read()) >= 0
```

is `true`, and the value can be `true` only if the assignment to `k` is executed (more properly, evaluated).

Similarly, a Java compiler will recognize that in the code:

```
{
    int k;
    while (true) {
        k = n;
        if (k >= 5) break;
        n = 6;
    }
    System.out.println(k);
}
```

the variable `k` is definitely assigned by the `while` statement because the condition expression `true` never has the value `false`, so only the `break` statement can cause the `while` statement to complete normally, and `k` is definitely assigned before the `break` statement.

On the other hand, the code:

```
{
    int k;
    while (n < 4) {
        k = n;
        if (k >= 5) break;
        n = 6;
    }
    System.out.println(k);  /* k is not "definitely assigned"
                               before this statement */
```

```
    }
```

must be rejected by a Java compiler, because in this case the `while` statement is not guaranteed to execute its body as far as the rules of definite assignment are concerned.

Example 16-2. Definite Assignment Does Not Consider Values of Expressions

A Java compiler must produce a compile-time error for the code:

```
{
    int k;
    int n = 5;
    if (n > 2)
        k = 3;
    System.out.println(k);  /* k is not "definitely assigned"
                               before this statement */
}
```

even though the value of `n` is known at compile time, and in principle it can be known at compile time that the assignment to `k` will always be executed (more properly, evaluated). A Java compiler must operate according to the rules laid out in this section. The rules recognize only constant expressions; in this example, the expression `n > 2` is not a constant expression as defined in §15.29.

As another example, a Java compiler will accept the code:

```
void flow(boolean flag) {
    int k;
    if (flag)
        k = 3;
    else
        k = 4;
    System.out.println(k);
}
```

as far as definite assignment of `k` is concerned, because the rules outlined in this section allow it to tell that `k` is assigned no matter whether the `flag` is `true` or `false`. But the rules do not accept the variation:

```
void flow(boolean flag) {
    int k;
    if (flag)
        k = 3;
    if (!flag)
        k = 4;
    System.out.println(k);  /* k is not "definitely assigned"
                               before this statement */
}
```

and so compiling this program must cause a compile-time error to occur.

Example 16-3. Definite Unassignment

A Java compiler will accept the code:

```
void unflow(boolean flag) {
    final int k;
    if (flag) {
        k = 3;
        System.out.println(k);
    }
    else {
        k = 4;
        System.out.println(k);
    }
}
```

as far as definite unassignment of `k` is concerned, because the rules outlined in this section allow it to tell that `k` is assigned at most once (indeed, exactly once) no matter whether the `flag` is `true` or `false`. But the rules do not accept the variation:

```
void unflow(boolean flag) {
    final int k;
    if (flag) {
        k = 3;
        System.out.println(k);
    }
    if (!flag) {
        k = 4;
        System.out.println(k);  /* k is not "definitely unassigned"
                                       before this statement */
    }
}
```

and so compiling this program must cause a compile-time error to occur.

In order to precisely specify all the cases of definite assignment, the rules in this section define several technical terms:

- whether a variable is *definitely assigned before* a statement or expression
- whether a variable is *definitely unassigned before* a statement or expression
- whether a variable is *definitely assigned after* a statement or expression
- whether a variable is *definitely unassigned after* a statement or expression

For boolean-valued expressions, the last two are refined into four cases:

- whether a variable is *definitely assigned after* the expression *when true*
- whether a variable is *definitely unassigned after* the expression *when true*

- whether a variable is *definitely assigned after* the expression *when false*

- whether a variable is *definitely unassigned* after the expression *when false*

Here, *when true* and *when false* refer to the value of the expression.

> For example, the local variable `k` is definitely assigned a value after evaluation of the expression:
>
> ```
> a && ((k=m) > 5)
> ```
>
> when the expression is `true` but not when the expression is `false` (because if `a` is false, then the assignment to `k` is not necessarily executed (more properly, evaluated)).

The phrase "*v* is definitely assigned after *x*" (where *v* is a local variable and *x* is a statement or expression) means "*v* is definitely assigned after *x* if *x* completes normally". If *x* completes abruptly, the assignment need not have occurred, and the rules stated here take this into account.

> A peculiar consequence of this definition is that "*v* is definitely assigned after `break;`" is always true! Because a `break` statement never completes normally, it is vacuously true that *v* has been assigned a value if the `break` statement completes normally.

The statement "*v* is definitely unassigned after *x*" (where *v* is a variable and *x* is a statement or expression) means "*v* is definitely unassigned after *x* if *x* completes normally".

> An even more peculiar consequence of this definition is that "*v* is definitely unassigned after `break;`" is always true! Because a `break` statement never completes normally, it is vacuously true that *v* has not been assigned a value if the `break` statement completes normally. (For that matter, it is also vacuously true that the moon is made of green cheese if the `break` statement completes normally.)

In all, there are four possibilities for a variable *v* after a statement or expression has been executed:

- *v* is definitely assigned and is not definitely unassigned.

  (The flow analysis rules prove that an assignment to *v* has occurred.)

- *v* is definitely unassigned and is not definitely assigned.

  (The flow analysis rules prove that an assignment to *v* has not occurred.)

- *v* is not definitely assigned and is not definitely unassigned.

  (The rules cannot prove whether or not an assignment to *v* has occurred.)

- *v* is definitely assigned and is definitely unassigned.

(It is impossible for the statement or expression to complete normally.)

To shorten the rules, the customary abbreviation "iff" is used to mean "if and only if". We also use an abbreviation convention: if a rule contains one or more occurrences of "[un]assigned" then it stands for two rules, one with every occurrence of "[un]assigned" replaced by "definitely assigned" and one with every occurrence of "[un]assigned" replaced by "definitely unassigned".

> For example:
>
> - *v* is [un]assigned after an empty statement iff it is [un]assigned before the empty statement.
>
> should be understood to stand for two rules:
>
> - *v* is definitely assigned after an empty statement iff it is definitely assigned before the empty statement.
> - *v* is definitely unassigned after an empty statement iff it is definitely unassigned before the empty statement.

Throughout the rest of this chapter, we will, unless explicitly stated otherwise, write *v* to represent a local variable or blank `final` field which is in scope (§6.3). Likewise, we will use *a*, *b*, *c*, and *e* to represent expressions, and *S* and *T* to represent statements. We will use the phrase "*a* is *v*" to mean that *a* is either the simple name of the variable *v*, or *v*'s simple name qualified by `this` (ignoring parentheses). We will use the phrase "*a* is not *v*" to mean the negation of "*a* is *v*".

> The definite unassignment analysis of loop statements raises a special problem. Consider the statement `while (e) S`. In order to determine whether *v* is definitely unassigned within some subexpression of *e*, we need to determine whether *v* is definitely unassigned before *e*. One might argue, by analogy with the rule for definite assignment (§16.2.10), that *v* is definitely unassigned before *e* iff it is definitely unassigned before the `while` statement. However, such a rule is inadequate for our purposes. If *e* evaluates to `true`, the statement *S* will be executed. Later, if *v* is assigned by *S*, then in the following iteration(s) *v* will have already been assigned when *e* is evaluated. Under the rule suggested above, it would be possible to assign *v* multiple times, which is exactly what we have sought to avoid by introducing these rules.
>
> A revised rule would be: "*v* is definitely unassigned before *e* iff it is definitely unassigned before the `while` statement and definitely unassigned after *S*". However, when we formulate the rule for *S*, we find: "*v* is definitely unassigned before *S* iff it is definitely unassigned after *e* when true". This leads to a circularity. In effect, *v* is definitely unassigned *before* the loop condition *e* only if it is unassigned *after* the loop as a whole!
>
> We break this vicious circle using a hypothetical analysis of the loop condition and body. For example, if we assume that *v* is definitely unassigned before *e* (regardless of whether *v*

really is definitely unassigned before *e*), and can then prove that *v* was definitely unassigned after *e* then we know that *e* does not assign *v*. This is stated more formally as:

Assuming *v* is definitely unassigned before *e*, *v* is definitely unassigned after *e*.

Variations on the above analysis are used to define well founded definite unassignment rules for all loop statements in the Java programming language.

16.1 Definite Assignment and Expressions

16.1.1 Boolean Constant Expressions

- *v* is [un]assigned after any constant expression (§15.29) whose value is `true` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned after any constant expression whose value is `false` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned after any constant expression whose value is `true` when true iff *v* is [un]assigned before the constant expression.

- *v* is [un]assigned after any constant expression whose value is `false` when false iff *v* is [un]assigned before the constant expression.

- *v* is [un]assigned after a boolean-valued constant expression *e* iff *v* is [un]assigned after *e* when true and *v* is [un]assigned after *e* when false.

    This is equivalent to saying that *v* is [un]assigned after *e* iff *v* is [un]assigned before *e*.

Because a constant expression whose value is `true` never has the value `false`, and a constant expression whose value is `false` never has the value `true`, the first two rules are vacuously satisfied. They are helpful in analyzing expressions involving the operators `&&` (§16.1.2), `||` (§16.1.3), `!` (§16.1.4), and `? :` (§16.1.5).

16.1.2 Conditional-And Operator `&&`

- *v* is [un]assigned after *a* `&&` *b* (§15.23) when true iff *v* is [un]assigned after *b* when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned after *a* `&&` *b* when false iff *v* is [un]assigned after *a* when false and *v* is [un]assigned after *b* when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *a* iff *v* is [un]assigned before *a* `&&` *b*.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *b* iff *v* is [un]assigned after *a* when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a && b` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a && b` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `a && b` when false.

16.1.3 Conditional-Or Operator `||`

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a || b` (§15.24) when true iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `b` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a || b` when false iff *v* is [un]assigned after `b` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `a` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `a || b`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `b` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a || b` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a || b` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `a || b` when false.

16.1.4 Logical Complement Operator `!`

- *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` (§15.15.6) when true iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` when false iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `a` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `!a`.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` when false.

    This is equivalent to saying that *v* is [un]assigned after `!a` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a`.

16.1.5 Conditional Operator `? :`

Suppose that `b` and `c` are boolean-valued expressions.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` (§15.25) when true iff *v* is [un]assigned after `b` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `c` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` when false iff *v* is [un]assigned after `b` when false and *v* is [un]assigned after `c` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `a` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `a ? b : c`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `b` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `c` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` when true and *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` when false.

Suppose that `b` and `c` are expressions that are not boolean-valued.

- *v* is [un]assigned after `a ? b : c` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `b` and *v* is [un]assigned after `c`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `a` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `a ? b : c`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `b` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `c` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `a` when false.

16.1.6 `switch` **Expressions**

Suppose that a `switch` expression (§15.28) has result expressions e_1, ..., e_n, all of which are boolean-valued.

The following rules apply only if the switch block of the `switch` expression consists of switch labeled statement groups (§14.11.1):

- *v* is definitely assigned after a `switch` expression when true iff for every `yield` statement with expression `e` (§14.21) in the switch block that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely assigned after `e` when true.

- *v* is definitely assigned after a `switch` expression when false iff for every `yield` statement with expression `e` in the switch block that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely assigned after `e` when false.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after a switch expression when true iff for every `yield` statement with expression `e` in the switch block that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely unassigned before the `yield` statement and *v* is definitely unassigned after `e` when true.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after a switch expression when false iff for every `yield` statement with expression `e` in the switch block that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely unassigned before the `yield` statement and *v* is definitely unassigned after `e` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the selector expression iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `switch` expression.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the first statement of the first switch labeled statement group in the switch block iff *v* is [un]assigned after the selector expression.

721

- *v* is [un]assigned before the first statement of any switch labeled statement group other than the first iff *v* is [un]assigned after the selector expression and *v* is [un]assigned after the preceding statement.

The following rules apply only if the switch block of the `switch` expression consists of switch rules (§14.11.1):

- *v* is definitely assigned after a `switch` expression when true iff for every switch rule, one of the following is true:

  - It introduces a switch rule expression *e* and *v* is definitely assigned after *e* when true.

  - It introduces a switch rule block *B* and for every `yield` statement with expression *e* contained in *B* that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely assigned after *e* when true.

  - It introduces a switch rule `throw` statement.

- *v* is definitely assigned after a `switch` expression when false iff for every switch rule, one of the following is true:

  - It introduces a switch rule expression *e* and *v* is definitely assigned after *e* when false.

  - It introduces a switch rule block *B* and for every `yield` statement with expression *e* contained in *B* that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely assigned after *e* when false.

  - It introduces a switch rule `throw` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after a `switch` expression when true iff for every switch rule, one of the following is true:

  - It introduces a switch rule expression *e* and *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* when true.

  - It introduces a switch rule block *B* and for every `yield` statement with expression *e* contained in *B* that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely unassigned before the `yield` statement and *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* when true.

  - It introduces a switch rule `throw` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after a `switch` expression when false iff for every switch rule, one of the following is true:

  - It introduces a switch rule expression *e* and *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* when false.

  - It introduces a switch rule block *B* and for every `yield` statement with expression *e* contained in *B* that may exit the `switch` expression, *v* is definitely unassigned before the `yield` statement and *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* when false.

  - It introduces a switch rule `throw` statement.

- *v* is [un]assigned before any switch rule expression or switch rule statement in the switch block iff *v* is [un]assigned after the selector expression.

Suppose that a `switch` expression has result expressions $e_1, ..., e_n$, not all of which are boolean-valued.

- *v* is [un]assigned after a `switch` expression iff all of the following are true:

  - *v* is [un]assigned before every `yield` statement that may exit the `switch` expression.

  - For each switch rule in the switch block, *v* is [un]assigned after the switch rule expression, switch rule block, or switch rule `throw` statement introduced by the switch rule.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the selector expression of a `switch` expression iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `switch` expression.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the switch rule expression, switch rule block, or switch rule `throw` statement introduced by a switch rule in the switch block iff *v* is [un]assigned after the selector expression of the `switch` expression.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the first block statement of a switch labeled statement group in the switch block iff both of the following are true:

  - *v* is [un]assigned after the selector expression of the `switch` expression.

  - If the switch labeled statement group is not the first in the switch block, *v* is [un]assigned after the last block statement of the preceding switch labeled statement group.

- *v* is [un]assigned before a block statement that is not the first of a switch labeled statement group in the switch block iff *v* is [un]assigned after the preceding block statement.

16.1.7   Other Expressions of Type `boolean`

Suppose that `e` is an expression of type `boolean` and is not a boolean constant expression, logical complement expression `!a`, conditional-and expression `a && b`, conditional-or expression `a || b`, or conditional expression `a ? b : c`.

- `v` is [un]assigned after `e` when true iff `v` is [un]assigned after `e`.

- `v` is [un]assigned after `e` when false iff `v` is [un]assigned after `e`.

16.1.8   Assignment Expressions

Consider an assignment expression `a = b`, `a += b`, `a -= b`, `a *= b`, `a /= b`, `a %= b`, `a <<= b`, `a >>= b`, `a >>>= b`, `a &= b`, `a |= b`, or `a ^= b` (§15.26).

- `v` is definitely assigned after the assignment expression iff either:

  – `a` is `v`, or

  – `v` is definitely assigned after `b`.

- `v` is definitely unassigned after the assignment expression iff `a` is not `v` and `v` is definitely unassigned after `b`.

- `v` is [un]assigned before `a` iff `v` is [un]assigned before the assignment expression.

- `v` is [un]assigned before `b` iff `v` is [un]assigned after `a`.

  Note that if `a` is `v` and `v` is not definitely assigned before a compound assignment such as `a &= b`, then a compile-time error will necessarily occur. The first rule for definite assignment stated above includes the disjunct "`a` is `v`" even for compound assignment expressions, not just simple assignments, so that `v` will be considered to have been definitely assigned at later points in the code. Including the disjunct "`a` is `v`" does not affect the binary decision as to whether a program is acceptable or will result in a compile-time error, but it affects how many different points in the code may be regarded as erroneous, and so in practice it can improve the quality of error reporting. A similar remark applies to the inclusion of the conjunct "`a` is not `v`" in the first rule for definite unassignment stated above.

16.1.9   Operators `++` and `--`

- `v` is definitely assigned after `++a` (§15.15.1), `--a` (§15.15.2), `a++` (§15.14.2), or `a--` (§15.14.3) iff either `a` is `v` or `v` is definitely assigned after the operand expression.

- `v` is definitely unassigned after `++a`, `--a`, `a++`, or `a--` iff `a` is not `v` and `v` is definitely unassigned after the operand expression.

- `v` is [un]assigned before `a` iff `v` is [un]assigned before `++a`, `--a`, `a++`, or `a--`.

16.1.10   Other Expressions

If an expression is not a boolean constant expression, and is not a preincrement expression `++a`, predecrement expression `--a`, postincrement expression `a++`, postdecrement expression `a--`, logical complement expression `!a`, conditional-and expression `a && b`, conditional-or expression `a || b`, conditional expression `a ? b : c`, assignment expression, or lambda expression, then the following rules apply:

- If the expression has no subexpressions, *v* is [un]assigned after the expression iff *v* is [un]assigned before the expression.

    > This case applies to literals, names, `this` (both qualified and unqualified), unqualified class instance creation expressions with no arguments, array creation expressions with initializers that contain no expressions, superclass field access expressions, unqualified and type-qualified method invocation expressions with no arguments, superclass method invocation expressions with no arguments, and superclass and type-qualified method reference expressions.

- If the expression has subexpressions, *v* is [un]assigned after the expression iff *v* is [un]assigned after its rightmost immediate subexpression.

    > There is a piece of subtle reasoning behind the assertion that a variable *v* can be known to be definitely unassigned after a method invocation expression. Taken by itself, at face value and without qualification, such an assertion is not always true, because an invoked method can perform assignments. But it must be remembered that, for the purposes of the Java programming language, the concept of definite unassignment is applied only to blank `final` variables. If *v* is a blank `final` local variable, then only the method to which its declaration belongs can perform assignments to *v*. If *v* is a blank `final` field, then only a constructor or an initializer for the class containing the declaration for *v* can perform assignments to *v*; no method can perform assignments to *v*. Finally, explicit constructor invocations (§8.8.7.1) are handled specially (§16.9); although they are syntactically similar to expression statements containing method invocations, they are not expression statements and therefore the rules of this section do not apply to explicit constructor invocations.

If an expression is a lambda expression, then the following rules apply:

- *v* is [un]assigned after the expression iff *v* is [un]assigned before the expression.

- *v* is definitely assigned before the expression or block that is the lambda body (§15.27.2) iff *v* is definitely assigned before the lambda expression.

    > No rule allows *v* to be definitely unassigned before a lambda body. This is by design: a variable that was definitely unassigned before the lambda body may end up being assigned to later on, so we cannot conclude that the variable will be unassigned when the body is executed.

For any immediate subexpression *y* of an expression *x*, where *x* is not a lambda expression, *v* is [un]assigned before *y* iff one of the following is true:

- *y* is the leftmost immediate subexpression of *x* and *v* is [un]assigned before *x*.

- y is the right-hand operand of a binary operator and v is [un]assigned after the left-hand operand.

- x is an array access, y is the subexpression within the brackets, and v is [un]assigned after the subexpression before the brackets.

- x is a primary method invocation expression, y is the first argument expression in the method invocation expression, and v is [un]assigned after the primary expression that computes the target object.

- x is a method invocation expression or a class instance creation expression; y is an argument expression, but not the first; and v is [un]assigned after the argument expression to the left of y.

- x is a qualified class instance creation expression, y is the first argument expression in the class instance creation expression, and v is [un]assigned after the primary expression that computes the qualifying object.

- x is an array creation expression; y is a dimension expression, but not the first; and v is [un]assigned after the dimension expression to the left of y.

- x is an array creation expression initialized via an array initializer; y is the array initializer in x; and v is [un]assigned after the dimension expression to the left of y.

16.2   Definite Assignment and Statements

16.2.1   Empty Statements

- v is [un]assigned after an empty statement (§14.6) iff it is [un]assigned before the empty statement.

16.2.2   Blocks

- A blank `final` member field v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before the block (§14.2) that is the body of any method in the scope of v and before the declaration of any class declared within the scope of v.

- A local variable v is definitely unassigned (and moreover is not definitely assigned) before the block that is the body of the constructor, method, instance initializer or static initializer that declares v.

- Let C be a class declared within the scope of v. Then v is definitely assigned before the block that is the body of any constructor, method, instance initializer, or static initializer declared in C iff v is definitely assigned before the declaration of C.

    > Note that there are no rules that would allow us to conclude that v is definitely unassigned before the block that is the body of any constructor, method, instance initializer, or static initializer declared in C. We can informally conclude that v is not definitely unassigned before the block that is the body of any constructor, method, instance initializer, or static initializer declared in C, but there is no need for such a rule to be stated explicitly.

- v is [un]assigned after an empty block iff v is [un]assigned before the empty block.

- v is [un]assigned after a non-empty block iff v is [un]assigned after the last statement in the block.

- v is [un]assigned before the first statement of the block iff v is [un]assigned before the block.

- v is [un]assigned before any other statement S of the block iff v is [un]assigned after the statement immediately preceding S in the block.

We say that v is definitely unassigned everywhere in a block B iff:

- v is definitely unassigned before B.

- v is definitely assigned after e in every assignment expression $v = e$, v += e, v -= e, v *= e, v /= e, v %= e, v <<= e, v >>= e, v >>>= e, v &= e, v |= e, or v ^= e that occurs in B.

- v is definitely assigned before every expression $++v$, $--v$, $v++$, or $v--$ that occurs in B.

    > These conditions are counterintuitive and require some explanation. Consider a simple assignment $v = e$. If v is definitely assigned after e, then either:
    >
    > - The assignment occurs in dead code, and v is vacuously definitely assigned. In this case, the assignment will not actually take place, and we can assume that v is not being assigned by the assignment expression. Or:
    >
    > - v was already assigned by an earlier expression prior to e. In this case the current assignment will cause a compile-time error.
    >
    > So, we can conclude that if the conditions are met by a program that causes no compile time error, then any assignments to v in B will not actually take place at run time.

16.2.3   Local Class and Interface Declarations

- v is [un]assigned after a local class or interface declaration (§14.3) iff v is [un]assigned before the local class or interface declaration.

16.2.4   Local Variable Declaration Statements

- v is [un]assigned after a local variable declaration statement (§14.4.2) that contains no variable initializers iff v is [un]assigned before the local variable declaration statement.

- v is definitely assigned after a local variable declaration statement that contains at least one variable initializer iff either v is definitely assigned after the last variable initializer in the local variable declaration statement or the last variable initializer in the declaration is in the declarator that declares v.

- v is definitely unassigned after a local variable declaration statement that contains at least one variable initializer iff v is definitely unassigned after the last variable initializer in the local variable declaration statement and the last variable initializer in the declaration is not in the declarator that declares v.

- v is [un]assigned before the first variable initializer in a local variable declaration statement iff v is [un]assigned before the local variable declaration statement.

- v is definitely assigned before any variable initializer e other than the first one in the local variable declaration statement iff either v is definitely assigned after the variable initializer to the left of e or the initializer expression to the left of e is in the declarator that declares v.

- v is definitely unassigned before any variable initializer e other than the first one in the local variable declaration statement iff v is definitely unassigned after the variable initializer to the left of e and the initializer expression to the left of e is not in the declarator that declares v.

16.2.5   Labeled Statements

- v is [un]assigned after a labeled statement $L : S$ (where L is a label) (§14.7) iff v is [un]assigned after S and v is [un]assigned before every `break` statement that may exit the labeled statement $L : S$.

- v is [un]assigned before S iff v is [un]assigned before $L : S$.

16.2.6   Expression Statements

- *v* is [un]assigned after an expression statement `e;` (§14.8) iff it is [un]assigned after `e`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `e` iff it is [un]assigned before `e;`.

16.2.7   `if` Statements

The following rules apply to a statement `if (e) S` (§14.9.1):

- *v* is [un]assigned after `if (e) S` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `S` and *v* is [un]assigned after `e` when false.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `e` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `if (e) S`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `S` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `e` when true.

The following rules apply to a statement `if (e) S else T` (§14.9.2):

- *v* is [un]assigned after `if (e) S else T` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `S` and *v* is [un]assigned after `T`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `e` iff *v* is [un]assigned before `if (e) S else T`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `S` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `e` when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned before `T` iff *v* is [un]assigned after `e` when false.

16.2.8   `assert` Statements

The following rules apply both to a statement `assert` e_1 and to a statement `assert` $e_1 : e_2$ (§14.10):

- *v* is [un]assigned before e_1 iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `assert` statement.

- *v* is definitely assigned after the `assert` statement iff *v* is definitely assigned before the `assert` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after the `assert` statement iff *v* is definitely unassigned before the `assert` statement and *v* is definitely unassigned after e_1 when true.

The following rule applies to a statement `assert` $e_1 : e_2$:

- *v* is [un]assigned before e_2 iff *v* is [un]assigned after e_1 when false.

16.2.9 `switch` **Statements**

- v is [un]assigned after a `switch` statement (§14.11) iff all of the following are true:

  - v is [un]assigned before every `break` statement (§14.15) that may exit the `switch` statement.

  - For each switch rule (§14.11.1) in the switch block, v is [un]assigned after the switch rule expression, switch rule block, or switch rule `throw` statement introduced by the switch rule.

  - If there is a switch labeled statement group in the switch block, then v is [un]assigned after the last block statement of the last switch labeled statement group.

  - If there is no `default` label in the switch block, or if the switch block ends with a switch label followed by the } separator, then v is [un]assigned after the selector expression.

- v is [un]assigned before the selector expression of a `switch` statement iff v is [un]assigned before the `switch` statement.

- v is [un]assigned before the switch rule expression, switch rule block, or switch rule `throw` statement introduced by a switch rule in the switch block iff v is [un]assigned after the selector expression of the `switch` statement.

- v is [un]assigned before the first block statement of a switch labeled statement group in the switch block iff both of the following are true:

  - v is [un]assigned after the selector expression of the `switch` statement.

  - If the switch labeled statement group is not the first in the switch block, v is [un]assigned after the last block statement of the preceding switch labeled statement group.

- v is [un]assigned before a block statement that is not the first of a switch labeled statement group in the switch block iff v is [un]assigned after the preceding block statement.

16.2.10   `while` **Statements**

- v is [un]assigned after `while (e) S` (§14.12) iff v is [un]assigned after e when false and v is [un]assigned before every `break` statement for which the `while` statement is the break target.

- *v* is definitely assigned before *e* iff *v* is definitely assigned before the `while` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned before *e* iff all of the following are true:

  - *v* is definitely unassigned before the `while` statement.

  - Assuming *v* is definitely unassigned before *e*, *v* is definitely unassigned after *s*.

  - Assuming *v* is definitely unassigned before *e*, *v* is definitely unassigned before every `continue` statement for which the `while` statement is the continue target.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *s* iff *v* is [un]assigned after *e* when true.

16.2.11   `do` Statements

- *v* is [un]assigned after `do` *s* `while (e);` (§14.13) iff *v* is [un]assigned after *e* when false and *v* is [un]assigned before every `break` statement for which the `do` statement is the break target.

- *v* is definitely assigned before *s* iff *v* is definitely assigned before the `do` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned before *s* iff all of the following are true:

  - *v* is definitely unassigned before the `do` statement.

  - Assuming *v* is definitely unassigned before *s*, *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* when true.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *e* iff *v* is [un]assigned after *s* and *v* is [un]assigned before every `continue` statement for which the `do` statement is the continue target.

16.2.12   `for` Statements

The rules herein cover the basic `for` statement (§14.14.1). Since the enhanced `for` statement (§14.14.2) is defined by translation to a basic `for` statement, no special rules need to be provided for it.

- *v* is [un]assigned after a `for` statement iff both of the following are true:

  - Either a condition expression is not present or *v* is [un]assigned after the condition expression when false.

  - *v* is [un]assigned before every `break` statement for which the `for` statement is the break target.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the initialization part of the `for` statement iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `for` statement.

- *v* is definitely assigned before the condition part of the `for` statement iff *v* is definitely assigned after the initialization part of the `for` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned before the condition part of the `for` statement iff both of the following are true:

  - *v* is definitely unassigned after the initialization part of the `for` statement.

  - Assuming *v* is definitely unassigned before the condition part of the `for` statement, *v* is definitely unassigned after the incrementation part of the `for` statement.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the contained statement iff either of the following is true:

  - A condition expression is present and *v* is [un]assigned after the condition expression when true.

  - No condition expression is present and *v* is [un]assigned before the condition part of the `for` statement.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the incrementation part of the `for` statement iff *v* is [un]assigned after the contained statement and *v* is [un]assigned before every `continue` statement for which the `for` statement is the continue target.

16.2.12.1   *Initialization Part of* `for` *Statement*

- If the initialization part of the `for` statement is a local variable declaration statement, the rules of §16.2.4 apply.

- Otherwise, if the initialization part is empty, then *v* is [un]assigned after the initialization part iff *v* is [un]assigned before the initialization part.

- Otherwise, three rules apply:

  - *v* is [un]assigned after the initialization part iff *v* is [un]assigned after the last expression statement in the initialization part.

  - *v* is [un]assigned before the first expression statement in the initialization part iff *v* is [un]assigned before the initialization part.

  - *v* is [un]assigned before an expression statement *s* other than the first in the initialization part iff *v* is [un]assigned after the expression statement immediately preceding *s*.

16.2.12.2 *Incrementation Part of* `for` *Statement*

- If the incrementation part of the `for` statement is empty, then *v* is [un]assigned after the incrementation part iff *v* is [un]assigned before the incrementation part.

- Otherwise, three rules apply:

  - *v* is [un]assigned after the incrementation part iff *v* is [un]assigned after the last expression statement in the incrementation part.

  - *v* is [un]assigned before the first expression statement in the incrementation part iff *v* is [un]assigned before the incrementation part.

  - *v* is [un]assigned before an expression statement *s* other than the first in the incrementation part iff *v* is [un]assigned after the expression statement immediately preceding *s*.

16.2.13 `break`, `yield`, `continue`, `return`, **and** `throw` **Statements**

- By convention, we say that *v* is [un]assigned after any `break`, `yield`, `continue`, `return`, or `throw` statement (§14.15, §14.21, §14.16, §14.17, §14.18).

  > The notion that a variable is "[un]assigned after" a statement or expression really means "is [un]assigned after the statement or expression completes normally". Because a `break`, `yield`, `continue`, `return`, or `throw` statement never completes normally, it vacuously satisfies this notion.

- In a `yield` statement with expression *e*, or a `return` statement with expression *e*, or a `throw` statement with expression *e*, *v* is [un]assigned before *e* iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `yield`, `return`, or `throw` statement.

16.2.14 `synchronized` **Statements**

- *v* is [un]assigned after `synchronized (e) S` (§14.19) iff *v* is [un]assigned after *S*.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *e* iff *v* is [un]assigned before the statement `synchronized (e) S`.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *S* iff *v* is [un]assigned after *e*.

16.2.15 `try` **Statements**

The rules herein cover the `try-catch` and `try-catch-finally` statements (§14.20.1, §14.20.2). Since the `try-with-resources` statement (§14.20.3) is defined by translation to a `try-catch-finally` statement, no special rules need to be provided for it.

733

These rules apply to every `try` statement (§14.20), whether or not it has a `finally` block:

- *v* is [un]assigned before the `try` block iff *v* is [un]assigned before the `try` statement.

- *v* is definitely assigned before a `catch` block iff *v* is definitely assigned before the `try` block.

- *v* is definitely unassigned before a `catch` block iff all of the following are true:

  - *v* is definitely unassigned after the `try` block.

  - *v* is definitely unassigned before every `return` statement that belongs to the `try` block.

  - *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* in every statement of the form `throw e` that belongs to the `try` block.

  - *v* is definitely unassigned after every `assert` statement that occurs in the `try` block.

  - *v* is definitely unassigned before every `break` statement that belongs to the `try` block and whose break target contains (or is) the `try` statement.

  - *v* is definitely unassigned before every `continue` statement that belongs to the `try` block and whose continue target contains the `try` statement.

If a `try` statement does not have a `finally` block, then this rule also applies:

- *v* is [un]assigned after the `try` statement iff *v* is [un]assigned after the `try` block and *v* is [un]assigned after every `catch` block in the `try` statement.

If a `try` statement does have a `finally` block, then these rules also apply:

- *v* is definitely assigned after the `try` statement iff at least one of the following is true:

  - *v* is definitely assigned after the `try` block and *v* is definitely assigned after every `catch` block in the `try` statement.

  - *v* is definitely assigned after the `finally` block.

- *v* is definitely unassigned after the `try` statement iff *v* is definitely unassigned after the `finally` block.

- *v* is definitely assigned before the `finally` block iff *v* is definitely assigned before the `try` statement.

- *v* is definitely unassigned before the `finally` block iff all of the following are true:

  – *v* is definitely unassigned after the `try` block.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned before every `return` statement that belongs to the `try` block.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned after *e* in every statement of the form `throw e` that belongs to the `try` block.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned after every `assert` statement that occurs in the `try` block.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned before every `break` statement that belongs to the `try` block and whose break target contains (or is) the `try` statement.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned before every `continue` statement that belongs to the `try` block and whose continue target contains the `try` statement.

  – *v* is definitely unassigned after every `catch` block of the `try` statement.

16.3 Definite Assignment and Parameters

- A formal parameter *v* of a method or constructor (§8.4.1, §8.8.1) is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before the body of the method or constructor.

- An exception parameter *v* of a `catch` clause (§14.20) is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before the body of the `catch` clause.

16.4 Definite Assignment and Array Initializers

- *v* is [un]assigned after an empty array initializer (§10.6) iff *v* is [un]assigned before the empty array initializer.

- *v* is [un]assigned after a non-empty array initializer iff *v* is [un]assigned after the last variable initializer in the array initializer.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the first variable initializer of the array initializer iff *v* is [un]assigned before the array initializer.

- *v* is [un]assigned before any other variable initializer *e* of the array initializer iff *v* is [un]assigned after the variable initializer to the left of *e* in the array initializer.

16.5   Definite Assignment and Enum Constants

The rules determining when a variable is definitely assigned or definitely unassigned before an enum constant (§8.9.1) are given in §16.8.

> This is because an enum constant is essentially a `static final` field (§8.3.1.1, §8.3.1.2) that is initialized with a class instance creation expression (§15.9).

- *v* is definitely assigned before the declaration of a class body of an enum constant with no arguments that is declared within the scope of *v* iff *v* is definitely assigned before the enum constant.

- *v* is definitely assigned before the declaration of a class body of an enum constant with arguments that is declared within the scope of *v* iff *v* is definitely assigned after the last argument expression of the enum constant

The definite assignment/unassignment status of any construct within the class body of an enum constant is governed by the usual rules for classes.

- *v* is [un]assigned before the first argument to an enum constant iff it is [un]assigned before the enum constant.

- *v* is [un]assigned before *y* (an argument of an enum constant, but not the first) iff *v* is [un]assigned after the argument to the left of *y*.

16.6   Definite Assignment and Anonymous Classes

- *v* is definitely assigned before an anonymous class declaration (§15.9.5) that is declared within the scope of *v* iff *v* is definitely assigned after the class instance creation expression that declares the anonymous class.

> It should be clear that if an anonymous class is implicitly defined by an enum constant, the rules of §16.5 apply.

16.7   Definite Assignment and Member Classes and Interfaces

Let c be a class, and let v be a blank `final` field of c. Then:

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover, not definitely unassigned) before the declaration of any member class or interface (§8.5, §9.5) of c.

Let c be a class declared within the scope of v. Then:

- v is definitely assigned before the declaration of a member class or interface of c iff v is definitely assigned before the declaration of c.

16.8   Definite Assignment and Static Initializers

Let c be a class declared within the scope of v. Then:

- v is definitely assigned before an enum constant (§8.9.1) or static variable initializer (§8.3.2) of c iff v is definitely assigned before the declaration of c.

  > Note that there are no rules that would allow us to conclude that v is definitely unassigned before a static variable initializer or enum constant. We can informally conclude that v is not definitely unassigned before any static variable initializer of c, but there is no need for such a rule to be stated explicitly.

Let c be a class, and let v be a blank `static final` member field of c, declared in c. Then:

- v is definitely unassigned (and moreover is not definitely assigned) before the leftmost enum constant, static initializer (§8.7), or static variable initializer of c.

- v is [un]assigned before an enum constant, static initializer, or static variable initializer of c other than the leftmost iff v is [un]assigned after the preceding enum constant, static initializer, or static variable initializer of c.

Let c be a class, and let v be a blank `static final` member field of c, declared in a superclass of c. Then:

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before every enum constant of c.

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before the block that is the body of a static initializer of c.

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before every static variable initializer of c.

16.9   Definite Assignment, Constructors, and Instance Initializers

Let c be a class declared within the scope of v. Then:

- v is definitely assigned before an instance variable initializer (§8.3.2) of c iff v is definitely assigned before the declaration of c.

  > Note that there are no rules that would allow us to conclude that v is definitely unassigned before an instance variable initializer. We can informally conclude that v is not definitely unassigned before any instance variable initializer of c, but there is no need for such a rule to be stated explicitly.

Let c be a class, and let v be a blank `final` non-`static` member field of c, declared in c. Then:

- v is definitely unassigned (and moreover is not definitely assigned) before the leftmost instance initializer (§8.6) or instance variable initializer of c.

- v is [un]assigned before an instance initializer or instance variable initializer of c other than the leftmost iff v is [un]assigned after the preceding instance initializer or instance variable initializer of c.

The following rules hold within the constructors (§8.8.7) of class c:

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) after an alternate constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1).

- v is definitely unassigned (and moreover is not definitely assigned) before an explicit or implicit superclass constructor invocation (§8.8.7.1).

- If c has no instance initializers or instance variable initializers, then v is not definitely assigned (and moreover is definitely unassigned) after an explicit or implicit superclass constructor invocation.

- If c has at least one instance initializer or instance variable initializer then v is [un]assigned after an explicit or implicit superclass constructor invocation iff v is [un]assigned after the rightmost instance initializer or instance variable initializer of c.

Let c be a class, and let v be a blank `final` member field of c, declared in a superclass of c. Then:

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before the block that is the body of a constructor or instance initializer of c.

- v is definitely assigned (and moreover is not definitely unassigned) before every instance variable initializer of c.

CHAPTER 17

Threads and Locks

WHILE most of the discussion in the preceding chapters is concerned only with the behavior of code as executed a single statement or expression at a time, that is, by a single *thread*, the Java Virtual Machine can support many threads of execution at once. These threads independently execute code that operates on values and objects residing in a shared main memory. Threads may be supported by having many hardware processors, by time-slicing a single hardware processor, or by time-slicing many hardware processors.

Threads are represented by the `Thread` class. The only way for a user to create a thread is to create an object of this class; each thread is associated with such an object. A thread will start when the `start()` method is invoked on the corresponding `Thread` object.

The behavior of threads, particularly when not correctly synchronized, can be confusing and counterintuitive. This chapter describes the semantics of multithreaded programs; it includes rules for which values may be seen by a read of shared memory that is updated by multiple threads. As the specification is similar to the *memory models* for different hardware architectures, these semantics are known as the *Java programming language memory model*. When no confusion can arise, we will simply refer to these rules as "the memory model".

These semantics do not prescribe how a multithreaded program should be executed. Rather, they describe the behaviors that multithreaded programs are allowed to exhibit. Any execution strategy that generates only allowed behaviors is an acceptable execution strategy.

17.1   Synchronization

The Java programming language provides multiple mechanisms for communicating between threads. The most basic of these methods is *synchronization*, which is implemented using *monitors*. Each object in Java is associated with a monitor, which a thread can *lock* or *unlock*. Only one thread at a time may hold a lock on a monitor. Any other threads attempting to lock that monitor are blocked until they can obtain a lock on that monitor. A thread *t* may lock a particular monitor multiple times; each unlock reverses the effect of one lock operation.

The `synchronized` statement (§14.19) computes a reference to an object; it then attempts to perform a lock action on that object's monitor and does not proceed further until the lock action has successfully completed. After the lock action has been performed, the body of the `synchronized` statement is executed. If execution of the body is ever completed, either normally or abruptly, an unlock action is automatically performed on that same monitor.

A `synchronized` method (§8.4.3.6) automatically performs a lock action when it is invoked; its body is not executed until the lock action has successfully completed. If the method is an instance method, it locks the monitor associated with the instance for which it was invoked (that is, the object that will be known as `this` during execution of the body of the method). If the method is `static`, it locks the monitor associated with the `Class` object that represents the class in which the method is defined. If execution of the method's body is ever completed, either normally or abruptly, an unlock action is automatically performed on that same monitor.

The Java programming language neither prevents nor requires detection of deadlock conditions. Programs where threads hold (directly or indirectly) locks on multiple objects should use conventional techniques for deadlock avoidance, creating higher-level locking primitives that do not deadlock, if necessary.

Other mechanisms, such as reads and writes of `volatile` variables and the use of classes in the `java.util.concurrent` package, provide alternative ways of synchronization.

17.2   Wait Sets and Notification

Every object, in addition to having an associated monitor, has an associated *wait set*. A wait set is a set of threads.

When an object is first created, its wait set is empty. Elementary actions that add threads to and remove threads from wait sets are atomic. Wait sets are manipulated solely through the methods `Object.wait`, `Object.notify`, and `Object.notifyAll`.

Wait set manipulations can also be affected by the interruption status of a thread, and by the `Thread` class's methods dealing with interruption. Additionally, the `Thread` class's methods for sleeping and joining other threads have properties derived from those of wait and notification actions.

17.2.1 Wait

Wait actions occur upon invocation of `wait()`, or the timed forms `wait(long millisecs)` and `wait(long millisecs, int nanosecs)`.

> A call of `wait(long millisecs)` with a parameter of zero, or a call of `wait(long millisecs, int nanosecs)` with two zero parameters, is equivalent to an invocation of `wait()`.

A thread *returns normally* from a wait if it returns without throwing an `InterruptedException`.

Let thread *t* be the thread executing the `wait` method on object *m*, and let *n* be the number of lock actions by *t* on *m* that have not been matched by unlock actions. One of the following actions occurs:

- If *n* is zero (i.e., thread *t* does not already possess the lock for target *m*), then an `IllegalMonitorStateException` is thrown.

- If this is a timed wait and the `nanosecs` argument is not in the range of `0-999999` or the `millisecs` argument is negative, then an `IllegalArgumentException` is thrown.

- If thread *t* is interrupted, then an `InterruptedException` is thrown and *t*'s interruption status is set to false.

- Otherwise, the following sequence occurs:

    1. Thread *t* is added to the wait set of object *m*, and performs *n* unlock actions on *m*.

    2. Thread *t* does not execute any further instructions until it has been removed from *m*'s wait set. The thread may be removed from the wait set due to any one of the following actions, and will resume sometime afterward:

        – A `notify` action being performed on *m* in which *t* is selected for removal from the wait set.

        – A `notifyAll` action being performed on *m*.

        – An `interrupt` action being performed on *t*.

        – If this is a timed wait, an internal action removing *t* from *m*'s wait set that occurs after at least `millisecs` milliseconds plus `nanosecs` nanoseconds elapse since the beginning of this wait action.

        – An internal action by the implementation. Implementations are permitted, although not encouraged, to perform "spurious wake-ups", that is, to remove threads from wait sets and thus enable resumption without explicit instructions to do so.

            > Notice that this provision necessitates the Java coding practice of using `wait` only within loops that terminate only when some logical condition that the thread is waiting for holds.

        Each thread must determine an order over the events that could cause it to be removed from a wait set. That order does not have to be consistent with other orderings, but the thread must behave as though those events occurred in that order.

        For example, if a thread *t* is in the wait set for *m*, and then both an interrupt of *t* and a notification of *m* occur, there must be an order over these events. If the interrupt is deemed to have occurred first, then *t* will eventually return from `wait` by throwing `InterruptedException`, and some other thread in the wait set for *m* (if any exist at the time of the notification) must receive the notification. If the notification is deemed to have occurred first, then *t* will eventually return normally from `wait` with an interrupt still pending.

    3. Thread *t* performs *n* lock actions on *m*.

    4. If thread *t* was removed from *m*'s wait set in step 2 due to an interrupt, then *t*'s interruption status is set to false and the `wait` method throws `InterruptedException`.

17.2.2   Notification

Notification actions occur upon invocation of methods `notify` and `notifyAll`.

Let thread *t* be the thread executing either of these methods on object *m*, and let *n* be the number of lock actions by *t* on *m* that have not been matched by unlock actions. One of the following actions occurs:

- If *n* is zero, then an `IllegalMonitorStateException` is thrown.

  This is the case where thread *t* does not already possess the lock for target *m*.

- If *n* is greater than zero and this is a `notify` action, then if *m*'s wait set is not empty, a thread *u* that is a member of *m*'s current wait set is selected and removed from the wait set.

  There is no guarantee about which thread in the wait set is selected. This removal from the wait set enables *u*'s resumption in a wait action. Notice, however, that *u*'s lock actions upon resumption cannot succeed until some time after *t* fully unlocks the monitor for *m*.

- If *n* is greater than zero and this is a `notifyAll` action, then all threads are removed from *m*'s wait set, and thus resume.

  Notice, however, that only one of them at a time will lock the monitor required during the resumption of wait.

17.2.3   Interruptions

Interruption actions occur upon invocation of `Thread.interrupt`, as well as methods defined to invoke it in turn, such as `ThreadGroup.interrupt`.

Let *t* be the thread invoking *u*`.interrupt`, for some thread *u*, where *t* and *u* may be the same. This action causes *u*'s interruption status to be set to true.

Additionally, if there exists some object *m* whose wait set contains *u*, then *u* is removed from *m*'s wait set. This enables *u* to resume in a wait action, in which case this wait will, after re-locking *m*'s monitor, throw `InterruptedException`.

Invocations of `Thread.isInterrupted` can determine a thread's interruption status. The `static` method `Thread.interrupted` may be invoked by a thread to observe and clear its own interruption status.

17.2.4   Interactions of Waits, Notification, and Interruption

The above specifications allow us to determine several properties having to do with the interaction of waits, notification, and interruption.

If a thread is both notified and interrupted while waiting, it may either:

- return normally from `wait`, while still having a pending interrupt (in other words, a call to `Thread.interrupted` would return true)

- return from `wait` by throwing an `InterruptedException`.

The thread may not reset its interrupt status and return normally from the call to `wait`.

Similarly, notifications cannot be lost due to interrupts. Assume that a set *s* of threads is in the wait set of an object *m*, and another thread performs a `notify` on *m*. Then either:

- at least one thread in *s* must return normally from `wait`, or

- all of the threads in *s* must exit `wait` by throwing `InterruptedException`.

Note that if a thread is both interrupted and woken via `notify`, and that thread returns from `wait` by throwing an `InterruptedException`, then some other thread in the wait set must be notified.

17.3   Sleep and Yield

`Thread.sleep` causes the currently executing thread to sleep (temporarily cease execution) for the specified duration, subject to the precision and accuracy of system timers and schedulers. The thread does not lose ownership of any monitors, and resumption of execution will depend on scheduling and the availability of processors on which to execute the thread.

It is important to note that neither `Thread.sleep` nor `Thread.yield` have any synchronization semantics. In particular, the compiler does not have to flush writes cached in registers out to shared memory before a call to `Thread.sleep` or `Thread.yield`, nor does the compiler have to reload values cached in registers after a call to `Thread.sleep` or `Thread.yield`.

> For example, in the following (broken) code fragment, assume that `this.done` is a non-volatile `boolean` field:

```
while (!this.done)
    Thread.sleep(1000);
```

The compiler is free to read the field `this.done` just once, and reuse the cached value in each execution of the loop. This would mean that the loop would never terminate, even if another thread changed the value of `this.done`.

17.4   Memory Model

A *memory model* describes, given a program and an execution trace of that program, whether the execution trace is a legal execution of the program. The Java programming language memory model works by examining each read in an execution trace and checking that the write observed by that read is valid according to certain rules.

The memory model describes possible behaviors of a program. An implementation is free to produce any code it likes, as long as all resulting executions of a program produce a result that can be predicted by the memory model.

This provides a great deal of freedom for the implementor to perform a myriad of code transformations, including the reordering of actions and removal of unnecessary synchronization.

Example 17.4-1. Incorrectly Synchronized Programs May Exhibit Surprising Behavior

The semantics of the Java programming language allow compilers and microprocessors to perform optimizations that can interact with incorrectly synchronized code in ways that can produce behaviors that seem paradoxical. Here are some examples of how incorrectly synchronized programs may exhibit surprising behaviors.

Consider, for example, the example program traces shown in Table 17.4-A. This program uses local variables `r1` and `r2` and shared variables `A` and `B`. Initially, `A == B == 0`.

Table 17.4-A. Surprising results caused by statement reordering - original code

Thread 1	Thread 2
1: `r2 = A;`	3: `r1 = B;`
2: `B = 1;`	4: `A = 2;`

It may appear that the result `r2 == 2` and `r1 == 1` is impossible. Intuitively, either instruction 1 or instruction 3 should come first in an execution. If instruction 1 comes first, it should not be able to see the write at instruction 4. If instruction 3 comes first, it should not be able to see the write at instruction 2.

If some execution exhibited this behavior, then we would know that instruction 4 came before instruction 1, which came before instruction 2, which came before instruction 3, which came before instruction 4. This is, on the face of it, absurd.

However, compilers are allowed to reorder the instructions in either thread, when this does not affect the execution of that thread in isolation. If instruction 1 is reordered with instruction 2, as shown in the trace in Table 17.4-B, then it is easy to see how the result `r2 == 2` and `r1 == 1` might occur.

Table 17.4-B. Surprising results caused by statement reordering - valid compiler transformation

Thread 1	Thread 2
`B = 1;`	`r1 = B;`
`r2 = A;`	`A = 2;`

To some programmers, this behavior may seem "broken". However, it should be noted that this code is improperly synchronized:

- there is a write in one thread,

- a read of the same variable by another thread,

- and the write and read are not ordered by synchronization.

This situation is an example of a *data race* (§17.4.5). When code contains a data race, counterintuitive results are often possible.

Several mechanisms can produce the reordering in Table 17.4-B. A Just-In-Time compiler in a Java Virtual Machine implementation may rearrange code, or the processor. In addition, the memory hierarchy of the architecture on which a Java Virtual Machine implementation is run may make it appear as if code is being reordered. In this chapter, we shall refer to anything that can reorder code as a *compiler*.

Another example of surprising results can be seen in Table 17.4-C. Initially, `p == q` and `p.x == 0`. This program is also incorrectly synchronized; it writes to shared memory without enforcing any ordering between those writes.

Table 17.4-C. Surprising results caused by forward substitution

Thread 1	Thread 2
`r1 = p;`	`r6 = p;`
`r2 = r1.x;`	`r6.x = 3;`
`r3 = q;`	
`r4 = r3.x;`	
`r5 = r1.x;`	

One common compiler optimization involves having the value read for `r2` reused for `r5`: they are both reads of `r1.x` with no intervening write. This situation is shown in Table 17.4-D.

Table 17.4-D. Surprising results caused by forward substitution

Thread 1	Thread 2
`r1 = p;`	`r6 = p;`
`r2 = r1.x;`	`r6.x = 3;`
`r3 = q;`	
`r4 = r3.x;`	
`r5 = r2;`	

Now consider the case where the assignment to `r6.x` in Thread 2 happens between the first read of `r1.x` and the read of `r3.x` in Thread 1. If the compiler decides to reuse the value of `r2` for the `r5`, then `r2` and `r5` will have the value `0`, and `r4` will have the value `3`. From the perspective of the programmer, the value stored at `p.x` has changed from `0` to `3` and then changed back.

The memory model determines what values can be read at every point in the program. The actions of each thread in isolation must behave as governed by the semantics of that thread, with the exception that the values seen by each read are determined by the memory model. When we refer to this, we say that the program obeys *intra-thread semantics*. Intra-thread semantics are the semantics for single-threaded programs, and allow the complete prediction of the behavior of a thread based on the values seen by read actions within the thread. To determine if the actions of thread *t* in an execution are legal, we simply evaluate the implementation of thread *t* as it would be performed in a single-threaded context, as defined in the rest of this specification.

Each time the evaluation of thread *t* generates an inter-thread action, it must match the inter-thread action *a* of *t* that comes next in program order. If *a* is a read, then further evaluation of *t* uses the value seen by *a* as determined by the memory model.

This section provides the specification of the Java programming language memory model except for issues dealing with `final` fields, which are described in §17.5.

The memory model specified herein is not fundamentally based in the object-oriented nature of the Java programming language. For conciseness and simplicity in our examples, we often exhibit code fragments without class or method definitions, or explicit dereferencing. Most examples consist of two or more threads containing statements with access to local variables, shared global variables, or instance fields of an object. We typically use variables names such as `r1` or `r2` to indicate variables local to a method or thread. Such variables are not accessible by other threads.

749

17.4.1   Shared Variables

Memory that can be shared between threads is called *shared memory* or *heap memory*.

All instance fields, `static` fields, and array elements are stored in heap memory. In this chapter, we use the term *variable* to refer to both fields and array elements.

Local variables (§14.4), formal method parameters (§8.4.1), and exception handler parameters (§14.20) are never shared between threads and are unaffected by the memory model.

Two accesses to (reads of or writes to) the same variable are said to be *conflicting* if at least one of the accesses is a write.

17.4.2   Actions

An *inter-thread action* is an action performed by one thread that can be detected or directly influenced by another thread. There are several kinds of inter-thread action that a program may perform:

- *Read* (normal, or non-volatile). Reading a variable.

- *Write* (normal, or non-volatile). Writing a variable.

- *Synchronization actions*, which are:

  - *Volatile read*. A volatile read of a variable.

  - *Volatile write*. A volatile write of a variable.

  - *Lock*. Locking a monitor

  - *Unlock*. Unlocking a monitor.

  - The (synthetic) first and last action of a thread.

  - Actions that start a thread or detect that a thread has terminated (§17.4.4).

- *External Actions*. An external action is an action that may be observable outside of an execution, and has a result based on an environment external to the execution.

- *Thread divergence actions* (§17.4.9). A thread divergence action is only performed by a thread that is in an infinite loop in which no memory, synchronization, or external actions are performed. If a thread performs a thread

divergence action, it will be followed by an infinite number of thread divergence actions.

> Thread divergence actions are introduced to model how a thread may cause all other threads to stall and fail to make progress.

This specification is only concerned with inter-thread actions. We do not need to concern ourselves with intra-thread actions (e.g., adding two local variables and storing the result in a third local variable). As previously mentioned, all threads need to obey the correct intra-thread semantics for Java programs. We will usually refer to inter-thread actions more succinctly as simply *actions*.

An action *a* is described by a tuple $< t, k, v, u >$, comprising:

- *t* - the thread performing the action

- *k* - the kind of action

- *v* - the variable or monitor involved in the action.

  For lock actions, *v* is the monitor being locked; for unlock actions, *v* is the monitor being unlocked.

  If the action is a (volatile or non-volatile) read, *v* is the variable being read.

  If the action is a (volatile or non-volatile) write, *v* is the variable being written.

- *u* - an arbitrary unique identifier for the action

An external action tuple contains an additional component, which contains the results of the external action as perceived by the thread performing the action. This may be information as to the success or failure of the action, and any values read by the action.

Parameters to the external action (e.g., which bytes are written to which socket) are not part of the external action tuple. These parameters are set up by other actions within the thread and can be determined by examining the intra-thread semantics. They are not explicitly discussed in the memory model.

In non-terminating executions, not all external actions are observable. Non-terminating executions and observable actions are discussed in §17.4.9.

17.4.3   Programs and Program Order

Among all the inter-thread actions performed by each thread *t*, the *program order* of *t* is a total order that reflects the order in which these actions would be performed according to the intra-thread semantics of *t*.

A set of actions is *sequentially consistent* if all actions occur in a total order (the execution order) that is consistent with program order, and furthermore, each read *r* of a variable *v* sees the value written by the write *w* to *v* such that:

- *w* comes before *r* in the execution order, and

- there is no other write *w'* such that *w* comes before *w'* and *w'* comes before *r* in the execution order.

Sequential consistency is a very strong guarantee that is made about visibility and ordering in an execution of a program. Within a sequentially consistent execution, there is a total order over all individual actions (such as reads and writes) which is consistent with the order of the program, and each individual action is atomic and is immediately visible to every thread.

If a program has no data races, then all executions of the program will appear to be sequentially consistent.

Sequential consistency and/or freedom from data races still allows errors arising from groups of operations that need to be perceived atomically and are not.

> If we were to use sequential consistency as our memory model, many of the compiler and processor optimizations that we have discussed would be illegal. For example, in the trace in Table 17.4-C, as soon as the write of 3 to `p.x` occurred, subsequent reads of that location would be required to see that value.

17.4.4   Synchronization Order

Every execution has a *synchronization order*. A synchronization order is a total order over all of the synchronization actions of an execution. For each thread *t*, the synchronization order of the synchronization actions (§17.4.2) in *t* is consistent with the program order (§17.4.3) of *t*.

Synchronization actions induce the *synchronized-with* relation on actions, defined as follows:

- An unlock action on monitor *m synchronizes-with* all subsequent lock actions on *m* (where "subsequent" is defined according to the synchronization order).

- A write to a volatile variable *v* (§8.3.1.4) *synchronizes-with* all subsequent reads of *v* by any thread (where "subsequent" is defined according to the synchronization order).

- An action that starts a thread *synchronizes-with* the first action in the thread it starts.

- The write of the default value (zero, `false`, or `null`) to each variable *synchronizes-with* the first action in every thread.

  > Although it may seem a little strange to write a default value to a variable before the object containing the variable is allocated, conceptually every object is created at the start of the program with its default initialized values.

- The final action in a thread `T1` *synchronizes-with* any action in another thread `T2` that detects that `T1` has terminated.

  > `T2` may accomplish this by calling `T1.isAlive()` or `T1.join()`.

- If thread `T1` interrupts thread `T2`, the interrupt by `T1` *synchronizes-with* any point where any other thread (including `T2`) determines that `T2` has been interrupted (by having an `InterruptedException` thrown or by invoking `Thread.interrupted` or `Thread.isInterrupted`).

The source of a *synchronizes-with* edge is called a *release*, and the destination is called an *acquire*.

17.4.5   Happens-before Order

Two actions can be ordered by a *happens-before* relationship. If one action *happens-before* another, then the first is visible to and ordered before the second.

If we have two actions *x* and *y*, we write *hb(x, y)* to indicate that *x happens-before y*.

- If *x* and *y* are actions of the same thread and *x* comes before *y* in program order, then *hb(x, y)*.

- There is a *happens-before* edge from the end of a constructor of an object to the start of a finalizer (§12.6) for that object.

- If an action *x synchronizes-with* a following action *y*, then we also have *hb(x, y)*.

- If *hb(x, y)* and *hb(y, z)*, then *hb(x, z)*.

The `wait` methods of class `Object` (§17.2.1) have lock and unlock actions associated with them; their *happens-before* relationships are defined by these associated actions.

It should be noted that the presence of a *happens-before* relationship between two actions does not necessarily imply that they have to take place in that order in an implementation. If the reordering produces results consistent with a legal execution, it is not illegal.

> For example, the write of a default value to every field of an object constructed by a thread need not happen before the beginning of that thread, as long as no read ever observes that fact.

More specifically, if two actions share a *happens-before* relationship, they do not necessarily have to appear to have happened in that order to any code with which they do not share a *happens-before* relationship. Writes in one thread that are in a data race with reads in another thread may, for example, appear to occur out of order to those reads.

The *happens-before* relation defines when data races take place.

A set of synchronization edges, *S*, is *sufficient* if it is the minimal set such that the transitive closure of *S* with the program order determines all of the *happens-before* edges in the execution. This set is unique.

It follows from the above definitions that:

- An unlock on a monitor *happens-before* every subsequent lock on that monitor.

- A write to a `volatile` field (§8.3.1.4) *happens-before* every subsequent read of that field.

- A call to `start()` on a thread *happens-before* any actions in the started thread.

- All actions in a thread *happen-before* any other thread successfully returns from a `join()` on that thread.

- The default initialization of any object *happens-before* any other actions (other than default-writes) of a program.

When a program contains two conflicting accesses (§17.4.1) that are not ordered by a happens-before relationship, it is said to contain a *data race*.

The semantics of operations other than inter-thread actions, such as reads of array lengths (§10.7), executions of checked casts (§5.5, §15.16), and invocations of virtual methods (§15.12), are not directly affected by data races.

> Therefore, a data race cannot cause incorrect behavior such as returning the wrong length for an array.

A program is *correctly synchronized* if and only if all sequentially consistent executions are free of data races.

If a program is correctly synchronized, then all executions of the program will appear to be sequentially consistent (§17.4.3).

This is an extremely strong guarantee for programmers. Programmers do not need to reason about reorderings to determine that their code contains data races. Therefore they do not need to reason about reorderings when determining whether their code is correctly synchronized. Once the determination that the code is correctly synchronized is made, the programmer does not need to worry that reorderings will affect his or her code.

A program must be correctly synchronized to avoid the kinds of counterintuitive behaviors that can be observed when code is reordered. The use of correct synchronization does not ensure that the overall behavior of a program is correct. However, its use does allow a programmer to reason about the possible behaviors of a program in a simple way; the behavior of a correctly synchronized program is much less dependent on possible reorderings. Without correct synchronization, very strange, confusing and counterintuitive behaviors are possible.

We say that a read *r* of a variable *v* is allowed to observe a write *w* to *v* if, in the *happens-before* partial order of the execution trace:

- *r* is not ordered before *w* (i.e., it is not the case that *hb(r, w)*), and

- there is no intervening write *w'* to *v* (i.e. no write *w'* to *v* such that *hb(w, w')* and *hb(w', r)*).

Informally, a read *r* is allowed to see the result of a write *w* if there is no *happens-before* ordering to prevent that read.

A set of actions *A* is *happens-before consistent* if for all reads *r* in *A*, where *W(r)* is the write action seen by *r*, it is not the case that either *hb(r, W(r))* or that there exists a write *w* in *A* such that *w.v = r.v* and *hb(W(r), w)* and *hb(w, r)*.

In a *happens-before consistent* set of actions, each read sees a write that it is allowed to see by the *happens-before* ordering.

Example 17.4.5-1. Happens-before Consistency

For the trace in Table 17.4.5-A, initially `A == B == 0`. The trace can observe `r2 == 0` and `r1 == 0` and still be *happens-before consistent*, since there are execution orders that allow each read to see the appropriate write.

Table 17.4.5-A. Behavior allowed by happens-before consistency, but not sequential consistency.

Thread 1	Thread 2
B = 1;	A = 2;
r2 = A;	r1 = B;

Since there is no synchronization, each read can see either the write of the initial value or the write by the other thread. An execution order that displays this behavior is:

```
1: B = 1;
3: A = 2;
2: r2 = A;  // sees initial write of 0
4: r1 = B;  // sees initial write of 0
```

Another execution order that is happens-before consistent is:

```
1: r2 = A;  // sees write of A = 2
3: r1 = B;  // sees write of B = 1
2: B = 1;
4: A = 2;
```

In this execution, the reads see writes that occur later in the execution order. This may seem counterintuitive, but is allowed by *happens-before* consistency. Allowing reads to see later writes can sometimes produce unacceptable behaviors.

17.4.6   Executions

An execution *E* is described by a tuple *< P, A, po, so, W, V, sw, hb >*, comprising:

- *P* - a program

- *A* - a set of actions

- *po* - program order, which for each thread *t*, is a total order over all actions performed by *t* in *A*

- *so* - synchronization order, which is a total order over all synchronization actions in *A*

- *W* - a write-seen function, which for each read *r* in *A*, gives *W(r)*, the write action seen by *r* in *E*.

- *V* - a value-written function, which for each write *w* in *A*, gives *V(w)*, the value written by *w* in *E*.

- *sw* - synchronizes-with, a partial order over synchronization actions

- *hb* - happens-before, a partial order over actions

Note that the synchronizes-with and happens-before elements are uniquely determined by the other components of an execution and the rules for well-formed executions (§17.4.7).

An execution is *happens-before consistent* if its set of actions is *happens-before consistent* (§17.4.5).

17.4.7   Well-Formed Executions

We only consider well-formed executions. An execution $E = < P, A, po, so, W, V, sw, hb >$ is well formed if the following are true:

1. Each read sees a write to the same variable in the execution.

    All reads and writes of volatile variables are volatile actions. For all reads r in A, we have $W(r)$ in A and $W(r).v = r.v$. The variable $r.v$ is volatile if and only if r is a volatile read, and the variable $w.v$ is volatile if and only if w is a volatile write.

2. The happens-before order is a partial order.

    The happens-before order is given by the transitive closure of synchronizes-with edges and program order. It must be a valid partial order: reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.

3. The execution obeys intra-thread consistency.

    For each thread t, the actions performed by t in A are the same as would be generated by that thread in program-order in isolation, with each write w writing the value $V(w)$, given that each read r sees the value $V(W(r))$. Values seen by each read are determined by the memory model. The program order given must reflect the program order in which the actions would be performed according to the intra-thread semantics of P.

4. The execution is *happens-before consistent* (§17.4.6).

5. The execution obeys synchronization-order consistency.

    For all volatile reads r in A, it is not the case that either $so(r, W(r))$ or that there exists a write w in A such that $w.v = r.v$ and $so(W(r), w)$ and $so(w, r)$.

17.4.8   Executions and Causality Requirements

We use $f|_d$ to denote the function given by restricting the domain of f to d. For all x in d, $f|_d(x) = f(x)$, and for all x not in d, $f|_d(x)$ is undefined.

We use $p|_d$ to represent the restriction of the partial order p to the elements in d. For all x, y in d, $p(x,y)$ if and only if $p|_d(x,y)$. If either x or y are not in d, then it is not the case that $p|_d(x,y)$.

A well-formed execution $E = < P, A, po, so, W, V, sw, hb >$ is validated by *committing* actions from A. If all of the actions in A can be committed, then the execution satisfies the causality requirements of the Java programming language memory model.

Starting with the empty set as C_0, we perform a sequence of steps where we take actions from the set of actions A and add them to a set of committed actions C_i to get a new set of committed actions C_{i+1}. To demonstrate that this is reasonable, for each C_i we need to demonstrate an execution E containing C_i that meets certain conditions.

Formally, an execution E *satisfies the causality requirements of the Java programming language memory model* if and only if there exist:

- Sets of actions C_0, C_1, ... such that:

  - C_0 is the empty set

  - C_i is a proper subset of C_{i+1}

  - $A = \cup (C_0, C_1, ...)$

  If A is finite, then the sequence C_0, C_1, ... will be finite, ending in a set $C_n = A$.

  If A is infinite, then the sequence C_0, C_1, ... may be infinite, and it must be the case that the union of all elements of this infinite sequence is equal to A.

- Well-formed executions E_1, ..., where $E_i = \,< P, A_i, po_i, so_i, W_i, V_i, sw_i, hb_i >$.

Given these sets of actions C_0, ... and executions E_1, ... , every action in C_i must be one of the actions in E_i. All actions in C_i must share the same relative happens-before order and synchronization order in both E_i and E. Formally:

1. C_i is a subset of A_i

2. $hb_i|_{C_i} = hb|_{C_i}$

3. $so_i|_{C_i} = so|_{C_i}$

The values written by the writes in C_i must be the same in both E_i and E. Only the reads in C_{i-1} need to see the same writes in E_i as in E. Formally:

4. $V_i|_{C_i} = V|_{C_i}$

5. $W_i|_{C_{i-1}} = W|_{C_{i-1}}$

All reads in E_i that are not in C_{i-1} must see writes that happen-before them. Each read r in C_i - C_{i-1} must see writes in C_{i-1} in both E_i and E, but may see a different write in E_i from the one it sees in E. Formally:

6. For any read r in A_i - C_{i-1}, we have $hb_i(W_i(r),\ r)$

7. For any read r in $(C_i$ - $C_{i-1})$, we have $W_i(r)$ in C_{i-1} and $W(r)$ in C_{i-1}

Given a set of sufficient synchronizes-with edges for E_i, if there is a release-acquire pair that happens-before (§17.4.5) an action you are committing, then that pair must be present in all E_j, where $j \geq i$. Formally:

8. Let ssw_i be the sw_i edges that are also in the transitive reduction of hb_i but not in *po*. We call ssw_i the *sufficient synchronizes-with edges for* E_i. If $ssw_i(x, y)$ and $hb_i(y, z)$ and z in C_i, then $sw_j(x, y)$ for all $j \geq i$.

   If an action y is committed, all external actions that happen-before y are also committed.

9. If y is in C_i, x is an external action and $hb_i(x, y)$, then x in C_i.

   Example 17.4.8-1. Happens-before Consistency Is Not Sufficient

   Happens-before consistency is a necessary, but not sufficient, set of constraints. Merely enforcing happens-before consistency would allow for unacceptable behaviors - those that violate the requirements we have established for programs. For example, happens-before consistency allows values to appear "out of thin air". This can be seen by a detailed examination of the trace in Table 17.4.8-A.

   Table 17.4.8-A. Happens-before consistency is not sufficient

Thread 1	Thread 2
`r1 = x;`	`r2 = y;`
`if (r1 != 0) y = 1;`	`if (r2 != 0) x = 1;`

   The code shown in Table 17.4.8-A is correctly synchronized. This may seem surprising, since it does not perform any synchronization actions. Remember, however, that a program is correctly synchronized if, when it is executed in a sequentially consistent manner, there are no data races. If this code is executed in a sequentially consistent way, each action will occur in program order, and neither of the writes will occur. Since no writes occur, there can be no data races: the program is correctly synchronized.

   Since this program is correctly synchronized, the only behaviors we can allow are sequentially consistent behaviors. However, there is an execution of this program that is happens-before consistent, but not sequentially consistent:

   ```
   r1 = x;  // sees write of x = 1
   y = 1;
   r2 = y;  // sees write of y = 1
   x = 1;
   ```

   This result is happens-before consistent: there is no happens-before relationship that prevents it from occurring. However, it is clearly not acceptable: there is no sequentially consistent execution that would result in this behavior. The fact that we allow a read to see a write that comes later in the execution order can sometimes thus result in unacceptable behaviors.

Although allowing reads to see writes that come later in the execution order is sometimes undesirable, it is also sometimes necessary. As we saw above, the trace in Table 17.4.5-A requires some reads to see writes that occur later in the execution order. Since the reads come first in each thread, the very first action in the execution order must be a read. If that read cannot see a write that occurs later, then it cannot see any value other than the initial value for the variable it reads. This is clearly not reflective of all behaviors.

We refer to the issue of when reads can see future writes as *causality*, because of issues that arise in cases like the one found in Table 17.4.8-A. In that case, the reads cause the writes to occur, and the writes cause the reads to occur. There is no "first cause" for the actions. Our memory model therefore needs a consistent way of determining which reads can see writes early.

Examples such as the one found in Table 17.4.8-A demonstrate that the specification must be careful when stating whether a read can see a write that occurs later in the execution (bearing in mind that if a read sees a write that occurs later in the execution, it represents the fact that the write is actually performed early).

The memory model takes as input a given execution, and a program, and determines whether that execution is a legal execution of the program. It does this by gradually building a set of "committed" actions that reflect which actions were executed by the program. Usually, the next action to be committed will reflect the next action that can be performed by a sequentially consistent execution. However, to reflect reads that need to see later writes, we allow some actions to be committed earlier than other actions that happen-before them.

Obviously, some actions may be committed early and some may not. If, for example, one of the writes in Table 17.4.8-A were committed before the read of that variable, the read could see the write, and the "out-of-thin-air" result could occur. Informally, we allow an action to be committed early if we know that the action can occur without assuming some data race occurs. In Table 17.4.8-A, we cannot perform either write early, because the writes cannot occur unless the reads see the result of a data race.

17.4.9   Observable Behavior and Nonterminating Executions

For programs that always terminate in some bounded finite period of time, their behavior can be understood (informally) simply in terms of their allowable executions. For programs that can fail to terminate in a bounded amount of time, more subtle issues arise.

The observable behavior of a program is defined by the finite sets of external actions that the program may perform. A program that, for example, simply prints "Hello" forever is described by a set of behaviors that for any non-negative integer i, includes the behavior of printing "Hello" i times.

Termination is not explicitly modeled as a behavior, but a program can easily be extended to generate an additional external action *executionTermination* that occurs when all threads have terminated.

We also define a special *hang* action. If behavior is described by a set of external actions including a *hang* action, it indicates a behavior where after the external actions are observed, the program can run for an unbounded amount of time without performing any additional external actions or terminating. Programs can hang if all threads are blocked or if the program can perform an unbounded number of actions without performing any external actions.

A thread can be blocked in a variety of circumstances, such as when it is attempting to acquire a lock or perform an external action (such as a read) that depends on external data.

An execution may result in a thread being blocked indefinitely and the execution's not terminating. In such cases, the actions generated by the blocked thread must consist of all actions generated by that thread up to and including the action that caused the thread to be blocked, and no actions that would be generated by the thread after that action.

To reason about observable behaviors, we need to talk about sets of observable actions.

If O is a set of observable actions for an execution E, then set O must be a subset of E's actions, A, and must contain only a finite number of actions, even if A contains an infinite number of actions. Furthermore, if an action y is in O, and either $hb(x, y)$ or $so(x, y)$, then x is in O.

Note that a set of observable actions are not restricted to external actions. Rather, only external actions that are in a set of observable actions are deemed to be observable external actions.

A behavior B is an allowable behavior of a program P if and only if B is a finite set of external actions and either:

- There exists an execution E of P, and a set O of observable actions for E, and B is the set of external actions in O (If any threads in E end in a blocked state and O contains all actions in E, then B may also contain a *hang* action); or

- There exists a set O of actions such that B consists of a *hang* action plus all the external actions in O and for all $k \geq |O|$, there exists an execution E of P with actions A, and there exists a set of actions O' such that:

  – Both O and O' are subsets of A that fulfill the requirements for sets of observable actions.

  – $O \subseteq O' \subseteq A$

  – $|O'| \geq k$

  – $O' - O$ contains no external actions

    Note that a behavior B does not describe the order in which the external actions in B are observed, but other (internal) constraints on how the external actions are generated and performed may impose such constraints.

17.5 `final` **Field Semantics**

Fields declared final are initialized once, but never changed under normal circumstances. The detailed semantics of `final` fields are somewhat different from those of normal fields. In particular, compilers have a great deal of freedom to move reads of `final` fields across synchronization barriers and calls to arbitrary or unknown methods. Correspondingly, compilers are allowed to keep the value of a `final` field cached in a register and not reload it from memory in situations where a non-`final` field would have to be reloaded.

`final` fields also allow programmers to implement thread-safe immutable objects without synchronization. A thread-safe immutable object is seen as immutable by all threads, even if a data race is used to pass references to the immutable object between threads. This can provide safety guarantees against misuse of an immutable class by incorrect or malicious code. `final` fields must be used correctly to provide a guarantee of immutability.

An object is considered to be *completely initialized* when its constructor finishes. A thread that can only see a reference to an object after that object has been completely initialized is guaranteed to see the correctly initialized values for that object's `final` fields.

The usage model for `final` fields is a simple one: Set the `final` fields for an object in that object's constructor; and do not write a reference to the object being constructed in a place where another thread can see it before the object's constructor is finished. If this is followed, then when the object is seen by another thread, that thread will always see the correctly constructed version of that object's `final` fields.

It will also see versions of any object or array referenced by those `final` fields that are at least as up-to-date as the `final` fields are.

Example 17.5-1. `final` **Fields In The Java Memory Model**

The program below illustrates how `final` fields compare to normal fields.

```
class FinalFieldExample {
    final int x;
    int y;
    static FinalFieldExample f;

    public FinalFieldExample() {
        x = 3;
        y = 4;
    }

    static void writer() {
        f = new FinalFieldExample();
    }

    static void reader() {
        if (f != null) {
            int i = f.x;  // guaranteed to see 3
            int j = f.y;  // could see 0
        }
    }
}
```

The class `FinalFieldExample` has a `final int` field x and a non-`final int` field y. One thread might execute the method `writer` and another might execute the method `reader`.

Because the `writer` method writes f *after* the object's constructor finishes, the `reader` method will be guaranteed to see the properly initialized value for `f.x`: it will read the value 3. However, `f.y` is not `final`; the `reader` method is therefore not guaranteed to see the value 4 for it.

Example 17.5-2. `final` **Fields For Security**

`final` fields are designed to allow for necessary security guarantees. Consider the following program. One thread (which we shall refer to as thread 1) executes:

```
Global.s = "/tmp/usr".substring(4);
```

while another thread (thread 2) executes

```
String myS = Global.s;
if (myS.equals("/tmp"))System.out.println(myS);
```

String objects are intended to be immutable and string operations do not perform
synchronization. While the String implementation does not have any data races, other
code could have data races involving the use of String objects, and the memory model
makes weak guarantees for programs that have data races. In particular, if the fields of the
String class were not final, then it would be possible (although unlikely) that thread 2
could initially see the default value of 0 for the offset of the string object, allowing it to
compare as equal to "/tmp". A later operation on the String object might see the correct
offset of 4, so that the String object is perceived as being "/usr". Many security features
of the Java programming language depend upon String objects being perceived as truly
immutable, even if malicious code is using data races to pass String references between
threads.

17.5.1   Semantics of `final` Fields

Let *o* be an object, and *c* be a constructor for *o* in which a `final` field *f* is written.
A *freeze* action on `final` field *f* of *o* takes place when *c* exits, either normally or
abruptly.

Note that if one constructor invokes another constructor, and the invoked
constructor sets a `final` field, the freeze for the `final` field takes place at the end
of the invoked constructor.

For each execution, the behavior of reads is influenced by two additional partial
orders, the dereference chain *dereferences( )* and the memory chain *mc( )*, which are
considered to be part of the execution (and thus, fixed for any particular execution).
These partial orders must satisfy the following constraints (which need not have
a unique solution):

- Dereference Chain: If an action *a* is a read or write of a field or element of an
  object *o* by a thread *t* that did not initialize *o*, then there must exist some read *r*
  by thread *t* that sees the address of *o* such that *r dereferences(r, a)*.

- Memory Chain: There are several constraints on the memory chain ordering:

  - If *r* is a read that sees a write *w*, then it must be the case that *mc(w, r)*.

  - If *r* and *a* are actions such that *dereferences(r, a)*, then it must be the case that
    mc(r, a).

  - If *w* is a write of the address of an object *o* by a thread *t* that did not initialize
    o, then there must exist some read *r* by thread *t* that sees the address of *o* such
    that *mc(r, w)*.

Given a write *w*, a freeze *f*, an action *a* (that is not a read of a `final` field), a read
r₁ of the `final` field frozen by *f*, and a read *r₂* such that *hb(w, f)*, *hb(f, a)*, *mc(a, r₁)*,
and *dereferences(r₁, r₂)*, then when determining which values can be seen by *r₂*,

we consider *hb(w, r₂)*. (This *happens-before* ordering does not transitively close with other *happens-before* orderings.)

Note that the *dereferences* order is reflexive, and r_1 can be the same as r_2.

For reads of `final` fields, the only writes that are deemed to come before the read of the `final` field are the ones derived through the `final` field semantics.

17.5.2   Reading `final` Fields During Construction

A read of a `final` field of an object within the thread that constructs that object is ordered with respect to the initialization of that field within the constructor by the usual *happens-before* rules. If the read occurs after the field is set in the constructor, it sees the value the `final` field is assigned, otherwise it sees the default value.

17.5.3   Subsequent Modification of `final` Fields

In some cases, such as deserialization, the system will need to change the `final` fields of an object after construction. `final` fields can be changed via reflection and other implementation-dependent means. The only pattern in which this has reasonable semantics is one in which an object is constructed and then the `final` fields of the object are updated. The object should not be made visible to other threads, nor should the `final` fields be read, until all updates to the `final` fields of the object are complete. Freezes of a `final` field occur both at the end of the constructor in which the `final` field is set, and immediately after each modification of a `final` field via reflection or other special mechanism.

Even then, there are a number of complications. If a `final` field is initialized to a constant expression (§15.29) in the field declaration, changes to the `final` field may not be observed, since uses of that `final` field are replaced at compile time with the value of the constant expression.

Another problem is that the specification allows aggressive optimization of `final` fields. Within a thread, it is permissible to reorder reads of a `final` field with those modifications of a `final` field that do not take place in the constructor.

Example 17.5.3-1. Aggressive Optimization of `final` Fields

```
class A {
    final int x;
    A() {
        x = 1;
    }

    int f() {
```

```
            return d(this,this);
        }

        int d(A a1, A a2) {
            int i = a1.x;
            g(a1);
            int j = a2.x;
            return j - i;
        }

        static void g(A a) {
            // uses reflection to change a.x to 2
        }
    }
```

In the `d` method, the compiler is allowed to reorder the reads of `x` and the call to `g` freely. Thus, `new A().f()` could return `-1`, `0`, or `1`.

An implementation may provide a way to execute a block of code in a `final`-*field-safe context*. If an object is constructed within a `final`-field-safe context, the reads of a `final` field of that object will not be reordered with modifications of that `final` field that occur within that `final`-field-safe context.

A `final`-field-safe context has additional protections. If a thread has seen an incorrectly published reference to an object that allows the thread to see the default value of a `final` field, and then, within a `final`-field-safe context, reads a properly published reference to the object, it will be guaranteed to see the correct value of the `final` field. In the formalism, code executed within a `final`-field-safe context is treated as a separate thread (for the purposes of `final` field semantics only).

In an implementation, a compiler should not move an access to a `final` field into or out of a `final`-field-safe context (although it can be moved around the execution of such a context, so long as the object is not constructed within that context).

> One place where use of a `final`-field-safe context would be appropriate is in an executor or thread pool. By executing each `Runnable` in a separate `final`-field-safe context, the executor could guarantee that incorrect access by one `Runnable` to a object *o* will not remove `final` field guarantees for other `Runnable`s handled by the same executor.

17.5.4   Write-Protected Fields

Normally, a field that is `final` and `static` may not be modified. However, `System.in`, `System.out`, and `System.err` are `static final` fields that, for legacy reasons, must be allowed to be changed by the methods `System.setIn`, `System.setOut`, and `System.setErr`. We refer to these fields as being *write-protected* to distinguish them from ordinary `final` fields.

The compiler needs to treat these fields differently from other `final` fields. For example, a read of an ordinary `final` field is "immune" to synchronization: the barrier involved in a lock or volatile read does not have to affect what value is read from a `final` field. Since the value of write-protected fields may be seen to change, synchronization events should have an effect on them. Therefore, the semantics dictate that these fields be treated as normal fields that cannot be changed by user code, unless that user code is in the `System` class.

17.6 Word Tearing

One consideration for implementations of the Java Virtual Machine is that every field and array element is considered distinct; updates to one field or element must not interact with reads or updates of any other field or element. In particular, two threads that update adjacent elements of a byte array separately must not interfere or interact and do not need synchronization to ensure sequential consistency.

Some processors do not provide the ability to write to a single byte. It would be illegal to implement byte array updates on such a processor by simply reading an entire word, updating the appropriate byte, and then writing the entire word back to memory. This problem is sometimes known as *word tearing*, and on processors that cannot easily update a single byte in isolation some other approach will be required.

Example 17.6-1. Detection of Word Tearing

The following program is a test case to detect word tearing:

```
public class WordTearing extends Thread {
    static final int LENGTH = 8;
    static final int ITERS  = 1000000;
    static byte[] counts     = new byte[LENGTH];
    static Thread[] threads = new Thread[LENGTH];

    final int id;
    WordTearing(int i) {
        id = i;
    }

    public void run() {
        byte v = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < ITERS; i++) {
            byte v2 = counts[id];
            if (v != v2) {
                System.err.println("Word-Tearing found: " +
                            "counts[" + id + "] = "+ v2 +
                            ", should be " + v);
```

```
                    return;
                }
                v++;
                counts[id] = v;
            }
        }

        public static void main(String[] args) {
            for (int i = 0; i < LENGTH; ++i)
                (threads[i] = new WordTearing(i)).start();
        }
    }
```

This makes the point that bytes must not be overwritten by writes to adjacent bytes.

17.7   Non-Atomic Treatment of `double` and `long`

For the purposes of the Java programming language memory model, a single write to a non-volatile `long` or `double` value is treated as two separate writes: one to each 32-bit half. This can result in a situation where a thread sees the first 32 bits of a 64-bit value from one write, and the second 32 bits from another write.

Writes and reads of volatile `long` and `double` values are always atomic.

Writes to and reads of references are always atomic, regardless of whether they are implemented as 32-bit or 64-bit values.

> Some implementations may find it convenient to divide a single write action on a 64-bit `long` or `double` value into two write actions on adjacent 32-bit values. For efficiency's sake, this behavior is implementation-specific; an implementation of the Java Virtual Machine is free to perform writes to `long` and `double` values atomically or in two parts.

> Implementations of the Java Virtual Machine are encouraged to avoid splitting 64-bit values where possible. Programmers are encouraged to declare shared 64-bit values as `volatile` or synchronize their programs correctly to avoid possible complications.

Type Inference

$\mathbf{A}$ variety of compile-time analyses require reasoning about types that are not yet known. Principal among these are generic method applicability testing (§18.5.1) and generic method invocation type inference (§18.5.2). In general, we refer to the process of reasoning about unknown types as *type inference*.

At a high level, type inference can be decomposed into three processes:

- *Reduction* takes a compatibility assertion about an expression or type, called a *constraint formula*, and reduces it to a set of *bounds* on *inference variables*. Often, a constraint formula reduces to *other* constraint formulas, which must be recursively reduced. A procedure is followed to identify these additional constraint formulas and, ultimately, to express via a bound set the conditions under which the choices for inferred types would render each constraint formula true.

- *Incorporation* maintains a set of inference variable bounds, ensuring that these are consistent as new bounds are added. Because the bounds on one variable can sometimes impact the possible choices for another variable, this process propagates bounds between such interdependent variables.

- *Resolution* examines the bounds on an inference variable and determines an *instantiation* that is compatible with those bounds. It also decides the order in which interdependent inference variables are to be resolved.

These processes interact closely: reduction can trigger incorporation; incorporation may lead to further reduction; and resolution may cause further incorporation.

- §18.1 more precisely defines the concepts used as intermediate results and the notation used to express them.

- §18.2 describes reduction in detail.

- §18.3 describes incorporation in detail.

- §18.4 describes resolution in detail.

- §18.5 defines how these inference tools are used to solve certain compile-time analysis problems.

  In comparison to the Java SE 7 Edition of *The Java® Language Specification*, important changes to inference include:

  - Adding support for lambda expressions and method references as method invocation arguments.

  - Generalizing to define inference in terms of poly expressions, which may not have well-defined types until *after* inference is complete. This has the notable effect of improving inference for nested generic method and diamond constructor invocations.

  - Describing how inference is used to handle wildcard-parameterized functional interface target types and most specific method analysis.

  - Clarifying the distinction between invocation applicability testing (which involves only the invocation arguments) and invocation type inference (which incorporates a target type).

  - Delaying resolution of all inference variables, even those with lower bounds, until invocation type inference, in order to get better results.

  - Improving inference behavior for interdependent (or self-dependent) variables.

  - Eliminating bugs and potential sources of confusion. This revision more carefully and precisely handles the distinction between specific conversion contexts and subtyping, and describes reduction by paralleling the corresponding non-inference relations. Where there are intentional departures from the non-inference relations, these are explicitly identified as such.

  - Laying a foundation for future evolution: enhancements to or new applications of inference will be easier to integrate into the specification.

18.1   Concepts and Notation

This section defines *inference variables*, *constraint formulas*, and *bounds*, as the terms will be used throughout this chapter. It also presents notation.

18.1.1   Inference Variables

Inference variables are *meta-variables* for types - that is, they are special names that allow abstract reasoning about types. To distinguish them from *type variables*, inference variables are represented with Greek letters, principally α.

The term "type" is used loosely in this chapter to include type-like syntax that contains inference variables. The term *proper type* excludes such "types"

that mention inference variables. Assertions that involve inference variables are assertions about every proper type that can be produced by replacing each inference variable with a proper type.

18.1.2   Constraint Formulas

Constraint formulas are assertions of compatibility or subtyping that may involve inference variables. The formulas may take one of the following forms:

- ‹*Expression* → `T`›: An expression is compatible in a loose invocation context with type `T` (§5.3).

- ‹`S` → `T`›: A type `S` is compatible in a loose invocation context with type `T` (§5.3).

- ‹`S <: T`›: A reference type `S` is a subtype of a reference type `T` (§4.10).

- ‹`S <= T`›: A type argument `S` is contained by a type argument `T` (§4.5.1).

- ‹`S = T`›: A type `S` is the same as a type `T` (§4.3.4), or a type argument `S` is the same as type argument `T`.

- ‹*LambdaExpression* →$_{throws}$ `T`›: The checked exceptions thrown by the body of the *LambdaExpression* are declared by the `throws` clause of the function type derived from `T`.

- ‹*MethodReference* →$_{throws}$ `T`›: The checked exceptions thrown by the referenced method are declared by the `throws` clause of the function type derived from `T`.

> Examples of constraint formulas:
>
> - From `Collections.singleton("hi")`, we have the constraint formula ‹`"hi"` → α›. Through reduction, this will become the constraint formula: ‹`String <:` α›.
>
> - From `Arrays.asList(1, 2.0)`, we have the constraint formulas ‹`1` → α› and ‹`2.0` → α›. Through reduction, these will become the constraint formulas ‹`int` → α› and ‹`double` → α›, and then ‹`Integer <:` α› and ‹`Double <:` α›.
>
> - From the target type of the constructor invocation `List<Thread> lt = new ArrayList<>()`, we have the constraint formula ‹`ArrayList<`α`>` → `List<Thread>`›. Through reduction, this will become the constraint formula ‹α `<= Thread`›, and then ‹α `= Thread`›.

18.1.3   Bounds

During the inference process, a set of *bounds* on inference variables is maintained. A bound has one of the following forms:

- `S = T`, where at least one of `S` or `T` is an inference variable: `S` is the same as `T`.

- S <: T, where at least one of S or T is an inference variable: S is a subtype of T.

- *false*: No valid choice of inference variables exists.

- G<α_1, ..., α_n> = capture(G<A_1, ..., A_n>): The variables α_1, ..., α_n represent the result of capture conversion (§5.1.10) applied to G<A_1, ..., A_n> (where A_1, ..., A_n may be types or wildcards and may mention inference variables).

- throws α: The inference variable α appears in a throws clause.

A bound is *satisfied* by an inference variable substitution if, after applying the substitution, the assertion is true. The bound *false* can never be satisfied.

Some bounds relate an inference variable to a proper type. Let T be a proper type. Given a bound of the form $\alpha = T$ or $T = \alpha$, we say T is an *instantiation* of α. Similarly, given a bound of the form α <: T, we say T is a *proper upper bound* of α, and given a bound of the form T <: α, we say T is a *proper lower bound* of α.

Other bounds relate two inference variables, or an inference variable to a type that contains inference variables. Such bounds, of the form $S = T$ or S <: T, are called *dependencies*.

A bound of the form G<α_1, ..., α_n> = capture(G<A_1, ..., A_n>) indicates that α_1, ..., α_n are placeholders for the results of capture conversion. This is necessary because capture conversion can only be performed on a proper type, and the inference variables in A_1, ..., A_n may not yet be resolved.

A bound of the form throws α is purely informational: it directs resolution to optimize the instantiation of α so that, if possible, it is not a checked exception type.

An important intermediate result of inference is a *bound set*. It is sometimes convenient to refer to an *empty* bound set with the symbol *true*; this is merely out of convenience, and the two are interchangeable.

Examples of bound sets:

- { α = String } contains a single bound, instantiating α as String.

- { Integer <: α, Double <: α, α <: Object } describes two proper lower bounds and one proper upper bound for α.

- { α <: Iterable<?>, β <: Object, α <: List<β> } describes a proper upper bound for each of α and β, along with a dependency between them.

- { } contains no bounds nor dependencies, and can be referred to as *true*.

- { *false* } expresses the fact that no satisfactory instantiation exists.

When inference begins, a bound set is typically generated from a list of type parameter declarations P_1, ..., P_p and associated inference variables α_1, ..., α_p. Such a bound set is generated as follows. For each l ($1 \leq l \leq p$):

- If P_l has no *TypeBound*, the bound α_l <: Object appears in the set.

- Otherwise, for each type T delimited by & in the *TypeBound*, the bound α_l <: $T[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_p:=\alpha_p]$ appears in the set; if this results in no proper upper bounds for α_l (only dependencies), then the bound α_l <: Object also appears in the set.

18.2   Reduction

Reduction is the process by which a set of constraint formulas (§18.1.2) is simplified to produce a bound set (§18.1.3).

Each constraint formula is considered in turn. The rules in this section specify how the formula is reduced to one or both of:

- A bound or bound set, which is to be incorporated with the "current" bound set. Initially, the current bound set is empty.

- Further constraint formulas, which are to be reduced recursively.

Reduction completes when no further constraint formulas remain to be reduced.

> The results of a reduction step are always *soundness-preserving*: if an inference variable instantiation satisfies the reduced constraints and bounds, it will also satisfy the original constraint. On the other hand, reduction is not *completeness-preserving*: there may exist inference variable instantiations that satisfy the original constraint but *do not* satisfy a reduced constraint or bound. This is due to inherent limitations of the algorithm, along with a desire to avoid undue complexity. One effect is that there are expressions for which type argument inference fails to find a solution, but that can be well-typed if the programmer explicitly inserts appropriate types.

18.2.1   Expression Compatibility Constraints

A constraint formula of the form ‹*Expression* → T› is reduced as follows:

- If T is a proper type, the constraint reduces to *true* if the expression is compatible in a loose invocation context with T (§5.3), and *false* otherwise.

- Otherwise, if the expression is a standalone expression (§15.2) of type S, the constraint reduces to ‹S → T›.

- Otherwise, the expression is a poly expression (§15.2). The result depends on the form of the expression:

  - If the expression is a parenthesized expression of the form (*Expression'*), the constraint reduces to ‹*Expression'* → `T`›.

  - If the expression is a class instance creation expression or a method invocation expression, the constraint reduces to the bound set `B₃` which would be used to determine the expression's compatibility with target type `T`, as defined in §18.5.2.1. (For a class instance creation expression, the corresponding "method" used for inference is defined in §15.9.3.)

    This bound set may contain new inference variables, as well as dependencies between these new variables and the inference variables in `T`.

  - If the expression is a conditional expression of the form e_1 ? e_2 : e_3, the constraint reduces to two constraint formulas, ‹e_2 → `T`› and ‹e_3 → `T`›.

  - If the expression is a lambda expression or a method reference expression, the result is specified below.

  - If the expression is a `switch` expression with result expressions e_1, ..., e_n, the constraint reduces to *n* constraint formulas, ‹e_1 → `T`›, ..., ‹e_n → `T`›.

  By treating nested generic method invocations as poly expressions, we improve the behavior of inference for nested invocations. For example, the following is illegal in Java SE 7 but legal in Java SE 8:

  ```
  ProcessBuilder b = new ProcessBuilder(Collections.emptyList());
    // ProcessBuilder's constructor expects a List<String>
  ```

  When *both* the outer and the nested invocation require inference, the problem is more difficult. For example:

  ```
  List<String> ls = new ArrayList<>(Collections.emptyList());
  ```

  Our approach is to "lift" the bounds inferred for the nested invocation (simply { α <: `Object` } in the case of `emptyList`) into the outer inference process (in this case, trying to infer β where the constructor is for type `ArrayList<`β`>`). We also infer dependencies between the nested inference variables and the outer inference variables (the constraint ‹`List<`α`>` → `Collection<`β`>`› would reduce to the dependency $\alpha = \beta$). In this way, resolution of the inference variables in the nested invocation can wait until additional information can be inferred from the outer invocation (based on the assignment target, β = `String`).

A constraint formula of the form ‹*LambdaExpression* → `T`›, where `T` mentions at least one inference variable, is reduced as follows:

- If T is not a functional interface type (§9.8), the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, let T' be the ground target type derived from T, as specified in §15.27.3. If §18.5.3 is used to derive a functional interface type which is parameterized, then the test that $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$ is a subtype of $F<A_1, ..., A_m>$ is not performed (instead, it is asserted with a constraint formula below). Let the target function type for the lambda expression be the function type of T'. Then:

  - If no valid function type can be found, the constraint reduces to *false*.

  - Otherwise, the congruence of *LambdaExpression* with the target function type is asserted as follows:

    › If the number of lambda parameters differs from the number of parameter types of the function type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

    › If the lambda expression is implicitly typed and one or more of the function type's parameter types is not a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

      > This condition never arises in practice, due to the handling of implicitly typed lambda expressions in §18.5.1 and the substitution applied to the target type in §18.5.2.2.

    › If the function type's result is `void` and the lambda body is neither a statement expression nor a void-compatible block, the constraint reduces to *false*.

    › If the function type's result is not `void` and the lambda body is a block that is not value-compatible, the constraint reduces to *false*.

    › Otherwise, the constraint reduces to all of the following constraint formulas:

      » If the lambda parameters have explicitly declared types $F_1, ..., F_n$ and the function type has parameter types $G_1, ..., G_n$, then (i) for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), ‹$F_i = G_i$›, and (ii) ‹T' <: T›.

      » If the function type's return type is a (non-`void`) type R, assume the lambda's parameter types are the same as the function type's parameter types. Then:

        • If R is a proper type, and if the lambda body or some result expression in the lambda body is not compatible in an assignment context with R, then *false*.

        • Otherwise, if R is not a proper type, then where the lambda body has the form *Expression*, the constraint ‹*Expression* → R›; or where the lambda body is a block with result expressions $e_1, ..., e_m$, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), ‹e_i → R›.

The key piece of information to derive from a compatibility constraint involving a lambda expression is the set of bounds on inference variables appearing in the target function type's return type. This is crucial, because functional interfaces are often generic, and many methods operating on these types are generic, too.

In the simplest case, a lambda expression may simply provide a lower bound for an inference variable:

```
<T> List<T> makeThree(Factory<T> factory) { ... }
String s = makeThree(() -> "abc").get(2);
```

In more complex cases, a result expression may be a poly expression - perhaps even another lambda expression - and so the inference variable might be passed through multiple constraint formulas with different target types before a bound is produced.

Most of the work described in this section precedes assertions about the result expressions; its purpose is to derive the lambda expression's function type, and to check for expressions that are clearly disqualified from compatibility.

We do *not* attempt to produce bounds on inference variables that appear in the target function type's throws clause. This is because exception containment is not part of compatibility (§15.27.3) - in particular, it must not influence method applicability (§18.5.1). However, we *do* get bounds on these variables later, because invocation type inference (§18.5.2.2) produces exception containment constraint formulas (§18.2.5).

Note that if the target type is an inference variable, or if the target type's parameter types contain inference variables, we produce *false*. During invocation type inference (§18.5.2.2), extra substitutions are performed in order to instantiate these inference variables, thus avoiding this scenario. (In other words, reduction will, in practice, never be "invoked" with a target type of one of these forms.)

Finally, note that the result expressions of a lambda expression are required by §15.27.3 to be compatible in an assignment context with the target type's return type, R. If R is a proper type, such as Byte derived from Function<α,Byte>, then assignability is easy enough to test, and reduction does so above. If R is not a proper type, such as α derived from Function<String,α>, then we make the simplifying assumption above that loose invocation compatibility will be sufficient. The difference between assignment compatibility and loose invocation compatibility is that only assignment allows narrowing of constant expressions, such as Byte b = 100;. Consequently, our simplifying assumption is not completeness-preserving: given target return type α and an integer literal result expression 100, it is conceivable that α could be instantiated to Byte, but reduction will not in fact produce such a bound.

A constraint formula of the form ‹*MethodReference* → T›, where T mentions at least one inference variable, is reduced as follows:

- If T is not a functional interface type, or if T is a functional interface type that does not have a function type (§9.9), the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if there does not exist a potentially applicable method for the method reference when targeting T, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if the method reference is exact (§15.13.1), then let P_1, ..., P_n be the parameter types of the function type of T, and let F_1, ..., F_k be the parameter types of the potentially applicable method. The constraint reduces to a new set of constraints, as follows:

  – In the special case where $n = k+1$, the parameter of type P_1 is to act as the target reference of the invocation. The method reference expression necessarily has the form *ReferenceType :: [TypeArguments] Identifier*. The constraint reduces to ‹P_1 <: *ReferenceType*› and, for all i ($2 \le i \le n$), ‹$P_i \rightarrow F_{i-1}$›.

    In all other cases, $n = k$, and the constraint reduces to, for all i ($1 \le i \le n$), ‹$P_i \rightarrow F_i$›.

  – If the function type's result is not void, let R be its return type. Then, if the result of the potentially applicable compile-time declaration is void, the constraint reduces to *false*. Otherwise, the constraint reduces to ‹$R' \rightarrow R$›, where R' is the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to the return type of the potentially applicable compile-time declaration.

- Otherwise, the method reference is inexact, and:

  – If one or more of the function type's parameter types is not a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

    > This condition never arises in practice, due to the handling of inexact method references in §18.5.1 and the substitution applied to the target type in §18.5.2.2.

  – Otherwise, a search for a compile-time declaration is performed, as specified in §15.13.1. If there is no compile-time declaration for the method reference, the constraint reduces to *false*. Otherwise, there is a compile-time declaration, and: (let R be the result of the function type)

    › If R is void, the constraint reduces to *true*.

    › Otherwise, if the method reference expression elides *TypeArguments*, and the compile-time declaration is a generic method, and the return type of the compile-time declaration mentions at least one of the method's type parameters, then:

      » If R mentions one of the type parameters of the function type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

        > In this case, a constraint in terms of R might lead an inference variable to be bound by an out-of-scope type variable. Since instantiating an inference variable

> with an out-of-scope type variable is nonsensical, we prefer to avoid the situation by giving up immediately whenever the possibility arises. This simplification is not completeness-preserving.

» If R does not mention one of the type parameters of the function type, then the constraint reduces to the bound set B_3 which would be used to determine the method reference's compatibility when targeting the return type of the function type, as defined in §18.5.2.1. B_3 may contain new inference variables, as well as dependencies between these new variables and the inference variables in T.

> The strategy used to determine a return type for a generic referenced method follows the pattern used earlier in this section for generic method invocations. This may involve "lifting" bounds into the outer context and inferring dependencies between the two sets of inference variables.

› Otherwise, let R' be the result of applying capture conversion (§5.1.10) to the return type of the invocation type (§15.12.2.6) of the compile-time declaration. If R' is `void`, the constraint reduces to *false*; otherwise, the constraint reduces to ‹$R' \to R$›.

18.2.2   Type Compatibility Constraints

A constraint formula of the form ‹$S \to T$› is reduced as follows:

- If S and T are proper types, the constraint reduces to *true* if S is compatible in a loose invocation context with T (§5.3), and *false* otherwise.

- Otherwise, if S is a primitive type, let S' be the result of applying boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to S. Then the constraint reduces to ‹$S' \to T$›.

- Otherwise, if T is a primitive type, let T' be the result of applying boxing conversion (§5.1.7) to T. Then the constraint reduces to ‹$S = T'$›.

- Otherwise, if T is a parameterized type of the form $G\texttt{<}T_1, ..., T_n\texttt{>}$, and there exists no type of the form $G\texttt{<}...\texttt{>}$ that is a supertype of S, but the raw type G is a supertype of S, then the constraint reduces to *true*.

- Otherwise, if T is an array type of the form $G\texttt{<}T_1, ..., T_n\texttt{>}\texttt{[]}^k$, and there exists no type of the form $G\texttt{<}...\texttt{>}\texttt{[]}^k$ that is a supertype of S, but the raw type $G\texttt{[]}^k$ is a supertype of S, then the constraint reduces to *true*. (The notation $\texttt{[]}^k$ indicates an array type of k dimensions.)

- Otherwise, the constraint reduces to ‹$S \texttt{ <: } T$›.

The fourth and fifth cases are implicit uses of unchecked conversion (§5.1.9). These, along with any use of unchecked conversion in the first case, may result in

compile-time unchecked warnings, and may influence a method's invocation type (§15.12.2.6).

> Boxing T to T' is not completeness-preserving; for example, if T were `long`, S might be instantiated to `Integer`, which is not a subtype of `Long` but could be unboxed and then widened to `long`. We avoid this problem in most cases by giving special treatment to inference-variable return types that we know are already constrained to be certain boxed primitive types; see §18.5.2.1.

> Similarly, the treatment of unchecked conversion sacrifices completeness in cases in which T is not a parameterized type (for example, if T is an inference variable). It is not usually clear in such situations whether the unchecked conversion is necessary or not. Since unchecked conversions introduce unchecked warnings, inference prefers to avoid them unless it is clearly necessary.

18.2.3   Subtyping Constraints

A constraint formula of the form ‹S <: T› is reduced as follows:

- If S and T are proper types, the constraint reduces to *true* if S is a subtype of T (§4.10), and *false* otherwise.

- Otherwise, if S is the null type, the constraint reduces to *true*.

- Otherwise, if T is the null type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if S is an inference variable, α, the constraint reduces to the bound α <: T.

- Otherwise, if T is an inference variable, α, the constraint reduces to the bound S <: α.

- Otherwise, the constraint is reduced according to the form of T:

  - If T is a parameterized class or interface type, or an inner class type of a parameterized class or interface type (directly or indirectly), let A_1, ..., A_n be the type arguments of T. Among the supertypes of S, a corresponding class or interface type is identified, with type arguments B_1, ..., B_n. If no such type

exists, the constraint reduces to *false*. Otherwise, the constraint reduces to the following new constraints: for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), ‹B_i <= A_i›.

– If T is any other class or interface type, then the constraint reduces to *true* if T is among the supertypes of S, and *false* otherwise.

– If T is an array type, T'[], then among the supertypes of S that are array types, a most specific type is identified, S'[] (this may be S itself). If no such array type exists, the constraint reduces to *false*. Otherwise:

  › If neither S' nor T' is a primitive type, the constraint reduces to ‹S' <: T'›.

  › Otherwise, the constraint reduces to *true* if S' and T' are the same primitive type, and *false* otherwise.

– If T is a type variable, there are three cases:

  › If S is an intersection type of which T is an element, the constraint reduces to *true*.

  › Otherwise, if T has a lower bound, B, the constraint reduces to ‹S <: B›.

  › Otherwise, the constraint reduces to *false*.

– If T is an intersection type, I_1 & ... & I_n, the constraint reduces to the following new constraints: for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), ‹S <: I_i›.

A constraint formula of the form ‹S <= T›, where S and T are type arguments (§4.5.1), is reduced as follows:

• If T is a type:

  – If S is a type, the constraint reduces to ‹$S = T$›.

  – If S is a wildcard, the constraint reduces to *false*.

• If T is a wildcard of the form ?, the constraint reduces to *true*.

• If T is a wildcard of the form ? extends T':

  – If S is a type, the constraint reduces to ‹S <: T'›.

  – If S is a wildcard of the form ?, the constraint reduces to ‹Object <: T'›.

  – If S is a wildcard of the form ? extends S', the constraint reduces to ‹S' <: T'›.

  – If S is a wildcard of the form ? super S', the constraint reduces to ‹Object $= T'$›.

- If T is a wildcard of the form `? super T'`:

  - If S is a type, the constraint reduces to ‹`T'` `<:` `S`›.

  - If S is a wildcard of the form `? super S'`, the constraint reduces to ‹`T'` `<:` `S'`›.

  - Otherwise, the constraint reduces to *false*.

18.2.4 Type Equality Constraints

A constraint formula of the form ‹$S = T$›, where S and T are types, is reduced as follows:

- If S and T are proper types, the constraint reduces to *true* if S is the same as T (§4.3.4), and *false* otherwise.

- Otherwise, if S or T is the null type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if S is an inference variable, α, and T is not a primitive type, the constraint reduces to the bound $\alpha = T$.

- Otherwise, if T is an inference variable, α, and S is not a primitive type, the constraint reduces to the bound $S = \alpha$.

- Otherwise, if S and T are class or interface types with the same erasure, where S has type arguments B_1, ..., B_n and T has type arguments A_1, ..., A_n, the constraint reduces to the following new constraints: for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), ‹$B_i = A_i$›.

- Otherwise, if S and T are array types, S'`[]` and T'`[]`, the constraint reduces to ‹$S' = T'$›.

- Otherwise, if S and T are intersection types, a correspondence between the elements of S and the elements of T is established. An element of S, S_i, corresponds to an element of T, T_j, if S_i and T_j are either the same type, or both parameterizations of the same generic class or interface, or both array types.

  If each element of S corresponds to exactly one element of T, and vice versa, then the constraint reduces to the following new constraints: for each element S_i of S and the corresponding element T_j of T, ‹$S_i = T_j$›. If not, the constraint reduces to *false*.

  > This rule does not accommodate inference variables appearing directly as elements of an intersection type (rather than nested in a parameterized type). Due to the restrictions on type parameter declarations (§4.4), such intersection types do not arise in practice.

- Otherwise, the constraint reduces to *false*.

A constraint formula of the form ‹S = T›, where S and T are type arguments (§4.5.1), is reduced as follows:

- If S and T are types, the constraint is reduced as described above.

- If S has the form ? and T has the form ?, the constraint reduces to *true*.

- If S has the form ? and T has the form ? extends T', the constraint reduces to ‹Object = T'›.

- If S has the form ? extends S' and T has the form ?, the constraint reduces to ‹S' = Object›.

- If S has the form ? extends S' and T has the form ? extends T', the constraint reduces to ‹S' = T'›.

- If S has the form ? super S' and T has the form ? super T', the constraint reduces to ‹S' = T'›.

- Otherwise, the constraint reduces to *false*.

18.2.5   Checked Exception Constraints

A constraint formula of the form ‹*LambdaExpression* →*throws* T› is reduced as follows:

- If T is not a functional interface type (§9.8), the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, let the target function type for the lambda expression be determined as specified in §15.27.3. If no valid function type can be found, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if the lambda expression is implicitly typed, and one or more of the function type's parameter types is not a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

  This condition never arises in practice, due to the substitution applied to the target type in §18.5.2.2.

- Otherwise, if the function type's return type is neither void nor a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

  This condition never arises in practice, due to the substitution applied to the target type in §18.5.2.2.

- Otherwise, let E_1, ..., E_n be the types in the function type's throws clause that are *not* proper types. If the lambda expression is implicitly typed, let its parameter types be the function type's parameter types. If the lambda body is a poly

expression or a block containing a poly result expression, let the targeted return type be the function type's return type. Let X_1, ..., X_m be the checked exception types that the lambda body can throw (§11.2). Then there are two cases:

– If $n = 0$ (the function type's `throws` clause consists only of proper types), then if there exists some i ($1 \le i \le m$) such that X_i is not a subtype of any proper type in the `throws` clause, the constraint reduces to *false*; otherwise, the constraint reduces to *true*.

– If $n > 0$, the constraint reduces to a set of subtyping constraints: for all i ($1 \le i \le m$), if X_i is not a subtype of any proper type in the `throws` clause, then the constraints include, for all j ($1 \le j \le n$), ‹X_i `<:` E_j›. In addition, for all j ($1 \le j \le n$), the constraint reduces to the bound `throws` E_j.

A constraint formula of the form ‹*MethodReference* $\rightarrow_{throws}$ T› is reduced as follows:

- If T is not a functional interface type, or if T is a functional interface type but does not have a function type (§9.9), the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, let the target function type for the method reference expression be the function type of T. If the method reference is inexact (§15.13.1) and one or more of the function type's parameter types is not a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, if the method reference is inexact and the function type's result is neither `void` nor a proper type, the constraint reduces to *false*.

- Otherwise, let E_1, ..., E_n be the types in the function type's `throws` clause that are *not* proper types. Let X_1, ..., X_m be the checked exceptions in the `throws` clause of the invocation type of the method reference's compile-time declaration (§15.13.2) (as derived from the function type's parameter types and return type). Then there are two cases:

  – If $n = 0$ (the function type's `throws` clause consists only of proper types), then if there exists some i ($1 \le i \le m$) such that X_i is not a subtype of any proper type in the `throws` clause, the constraint reduces to *false*; otherwise, the constraint reduces to *true*.

  – If $n > 0$, the constraint reduces to a set of subtyping constraints: for all i ($1 \le i \le m$), if X_i is not a subtype of any proper type in the `throws` clause, then the constraints include, for all j ($1 \le j \le n$), ‹X_i `<:` E_j›. In addition, for all j ($1 \le j \le n$), the constraint reduces to the bound `throws` E_j.

  Constraints on checked exceptions are handled separately from constraints on return types, because return type compatibility influences applicability of methods (§18.5.1),

while exceptions only influence the invocation type after overload resolution is complete (§18.5.2). This could be simplified by including exception compatibility in the definition of lambda expression compatibility (§15.27.3), but this would lead to possibly surprising cases in which exceptions that can be thrown by an explicitly typed lambda body change overload resolution.

The exceptions thrown by a lambda body cannot be determined until (i) the parameter types of the lambda are known, and (ii) the target type of result expressions in the body is known. (The second requirement is to account for generic method invocations in which, for example, the same type parameter appears in the return type and the `throws` clause.) Hence, we require both of these, as derived from the target type T, to be proper types.

One consequence is that lambda expressions returned from *other* lambda expressions cannot generate constraints from their thrown exceptions. These constraints can only be generated from top-level lambda expressions.

Note that the handling of the case in which more than one inference variable appears in a function type's `throws` clause is not completeness-preserving. Either variable may, on its own, satisfy the constraint that each checked exception be declared, but we cannot be sure which one is intended. So, for predictability, we constrain them both.

18.3   Incorporation

As bound sets are generated and grown during inference, it is possible that new bounds can be inferred based on the assertions of the original bounds. The process of *incorporation* identifies these new bounds and adds them to the bound set.

Incorporation can happen in two scenarios. One scenario is that the bound set contains complementary pairs of bounds; this implies new constraint formulas, as specified in §18.3.1. The other scenario is that the bound set contains a bound involving capture conversion; this implies new bounds and may imply new constraint formulas, as specified in §18.3.2. In both scenarios, any new constraint formulas are reduced, and any new bounds are added to the bound set. This may trigger further incorporation; ultimately, the set will reach a fixed point and no further bounds can be inferred.

If incorporation of a bound set has reached a fixed point, and the set does not contain the bound *false*, then the bound set has the following properties:

- For each combination of a proper lower bound `L` and a proper upper bound `U` of an inference variable, `L <: U`.

- If every inference variable mentioned by a bound has an instantiation, the bound is satisfied by the corresponding substitution.

- Given a dependency α = β, every bound of α matches a bound of β, and vice versa.

- Given a dependency α <: β, every lower bound of α is a lower bound of β, and every upper bound of β is an upper bound of α.

  The assertion that incorporation reaches a fixed point oversimplifies the matter slightly. Building on the work of Kennedy and Pierce, *On Decidability of Nominal Subtyping with Variance*, this property can be proven by making the argument that the set of types that may appear in the bound set is finite. The argument relies on two assumptions:

  - New capture variables are not generated when reducing subtyping constraints (§18.2.3).

  - Expansive inheritance paths are not pursued.

  This specification does not currently guarantee these properties (it is imprecise about the handling of wildcards when reducing subtyping constraints, and does not detect expansive inheritance paths), but may do so in a future version. (This is not a new problem: the Java subtyping algorithm is also at risk of non-termination.)

18.3.1 Complementary Pairs of Bounds

(In this section, `S` and `T` are inference variables or types, and `U` is a proper type. For conciseness, a bound of the form α = `T` may also match a bound of the form `T` = α.)

When a bound set contains a pair of bounds that match one of the following rules, a new constraint formula is implied:

- α = `S` and α = `T` imply ‹`S` = `T`›

- α = `S` and α <: `T` imply ‹`S` <: `T`›

- α = `S` and `T` <: α imply ‹`T` <: `S`›

- `S` <: α and α <: `T` imply ‹`S` <: `T`›

- α = `U` and `S` = `T` imply ‹`S`[α:=`U`] = `T`[α:=`U`]›

- α = `U` and `S` <: `T` imply ‹`S`[α:=`U`] <: `T`[α:=`U`]›

When a bound set contains a pair of bounds α <: `S` and α <: `T`, and there exists a supertype of `S` of the form `G`<`S₁`, ..., `Sₙ`> and a supertype of `T` of the form `G`<`T₁`, ..., `Tₙ`> (for some generic class or interface, `G`), then for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), if S_i and T_i are types (not wildcards), the constraint formula ‹S_i = T_i› is implied.

18.3.2   Bounds Involving Capture Conversion

When a bound set contains a bound of the form $G<\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n> = $ capture($G<A_1, ..., A_n>$), new bounds are implied and new constraint formulas may be implied, as follows.

Let $P_1, ..., P_n$ represent the type parameters of G and let $B_1, ..., B_n$ represent the bounds of these type parameters. Let θ represent the substitution $[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_n:=\alpha_n]$. Let R be a type that is *not* an inference variable (but is not necessarily a proper type).

A set of bounds on $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ is implied, generated from the declared bounds of $P_1, ..., P_n$ as specified in §18.1.3.

In addition, for all i ($1 \le i \le n$):

- If A_i is not a wildcard, then the bound $\alpha_i = A_i$ is implied.

- If A_i is a wildcard of the form ?:

  - $\alpha_i = R$ implies the bound *false*

  - $\alpha_i <: R$ implies the constraint formula ‹B_i θ <: R›

  - $R <: \alpha_i$ implies the bound *false*

- If A_i is a wildcard of the form ? extends T:

  - $\alpha_i = R$ implies the bound *false*

  - If B_i is Object, then $\alpha_i <: R$ implies the constraint formula ‹T <: R›

  - If T is Object, then $\alpha_i <: R$ implies the constraint formula ‹B_i θ <: R›

  - $R <: \alpha_i$ implies the bound *false*

- If A_i is a wildcard of the form ? super T:

  - $\alpha_i = R$ implies the bound *false*

  - $\alpha_i <: R$ implies the constraint formula ‹B_i θ <: R›

  - $R <: \alpha_i$ implies the constraint formula ‹R <: T›

18.4   Resolution

Given a bound set that does not contain the bound *false*, a subset of the inference variables mentioned by the bound set may be *resolved*. This means that a satisfactory instantiation may be added to the set for each inference variable, until all the requested variables have instantiations.

Dependencies in the bound set may require that the variables be resolved in a particular order, or that additional variables be resolved. Dependencies are specified as follows:

- Given a bound of one of the following forms, where T is either an inference variable β or a type that mentions β:

  - $\alpha = T$

  - $\alpha \mathrel{<:} T$

  - $T = \alpha$

  - $T \mathrel{<:} \alpha$

  If α appears on the left-hand side of another bound of the form $G{<}{...}, \alpha, {...}{>} =$ capture($G{<}{...}{>}$), then β depends on the resolution of α. Otherwise, α depends on the resolution of β.

- An inference variable α appearing on the left-hand side of a bound of the form $G{<}{...}, \alpha, {...}{>} =$ capture($G{<}{...}{>}$) depends on the resolution of every other inference variable mentioned in this bound (on both sides of the = sign).

- An inference variable α depends on the resolution of an inference variable β if there exists an inference variable γ such that α depends on the resolution of γ and γ depends on the resolution of β.

- An inference variable α depends on the resolution of itself.

Given a set of inference variables to resolve, let V be the union of this set and all variables upon which the resolution of at least one variable in this set depends.

If every variable in V has an instantiation, then resolution succeeds and this procedure terminates.

Otherwise, let $\{\, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \,\}$ be a non-empty subset of uninstantiated variables in V such that (i) for all i ($1 \le i \le n$), if α_i depends on the resolution of a variable β, then either β has an instantiation or there is some j such that $\beta = \alpha_j$; and (ii) there exists no non-empty proper subset of $\{\, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \,\}$ with this property. Resolution proceeds by generating an instantiation for each of $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ based on the bounds in the bound set:

- If the bound set does not contain a bound of the form G<..., α_i, ...> = capture(G<...>) for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), then a candidate instantiation T_i is defined for each α_i:

  - If α_i has one or more *proper* lower bounds, L_1, ..., L_k, then $T_i = \mathrm{lub}(L_1, ..., L_k)$ (§4.10.4).

  - Otherwise, if the bound set contains throws α_i, and each proper upper bound of α_i is a supertype of RuntimeException, then $T_i =$ RuntimeException.

  - Otherwise, where α_i has *proper* upper bounds U_1, ..., U_k, $T_i = \mathrm{glb}(U_1, ..., U_k)$ (§5.1.10).

  The bounds $\alpha_1 = T_1$, ..., $\alpha_n = T_n$ are incorporated with the current bound set.

  If the result does not contain the bound *false*, then the result becomes the new bound set, and resolution proceeds by selecting a new set of variables to instantiate (if necessary), as described above.

  Otherwise, the result contains the bound *false*, so a second attempt is made to instantiate { α_1, ..., α_n } by performing the step below.

- If the bound set contains a bound of the form G<..., α_i, ...> = capture(G<...>) for some i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), or;

  If the bound set produced in the step above contains the bound *false*;

  then let Y_1, ..., Y_n be fresh type variables whose bounds are as follows:

  - For all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), if α_i has one or more *proper* lower bounds L_1, ..., L_k, then let the lower bound of Y_i be $\mathrm{lub}(L_1, ..., L_k)$; if not, then Y_i has no lower bound.

  - For all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), where α_i has upper bounds U_1, ..., U_k, let the upper bound of Y_i be $\mathrm{glb}(U_1\, \theta, ..., U_k\, \theta)$, where θ is the substitution [α_1:=Y_1, ..., α_n:=Y_n].

  If the type variables Y_1, ..., Y_n do not have well-formed bounds (that is, a lower bound is not a subtype of an upper bound, or an intersection type is inconsistent), then resolution fails.

  Otherwise, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), all bounds of the form G<..., α_i, ...> = capture(G<...>) are removed from the current bound set, and the bounds $\alpha_1 = Y_1$, ..., $\alpha_n = Y_n$ are incorporated.

  If the result does not contain the bound *false*, then the result becomes the new bound set, and resolution proceeds by selecting a new set of variables to instantiate (if necessary), as described above.

  Otherwise, the result contains the bound *false*, and resolution fails.

The first method of instantiating an inference variable derives the instantiation from that variable's bounds. Sometimes, however, complex dependencies mean that the result is not within the variable's bounds. In that case, a different method of instantiation is performed, analogous to capture conversion (§5.1.10): fresh type variables are introduced, with bounds derived from the bounds of the inference variables. Note that the lower bounds of these "capture" variables are computed using only proper types: this is important in order to avoid attempts to perform typing computations on uninstantiated type variables.

18.5 Uses of Inference

Using the inference processes defined above, the following analyses are performed at compile time.

18.5.1 Invocation Applicability Inference

Given a method invocation that provides no explicit type arguments, the process to determine whether a potentially applicable generic method m is applicable is as follows:

- Where P_1, ..., P_p ($p \geq 1$) are the type parameters of m, let α_1, ..., α_p be inference variables, and let θ be the substitution $[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_p:=\alpha_p]$.

- An initial bound set, B_0, is generated from the declared bounds of P_1, ..., P_p, as described in §18.1.3.

- For all i ($1 \leq i \leq p$), if P_i appears in the `throws` clause of m, then the bound `throws` α_i is implied. These bounds, if any, are incorporated with B_0 to produce a new bound set, B_1.

- A set of constraint formulas, C, is generated as follows.

  Let F_1, ..., F_n be the formal parameter types of m, and let e_1, ..., e_k be the actual argument expressions of the invocation. Then:

  – To test for *applicability by strict invocation*:

    If $k \neq n$, or if there exists an i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that e_i is pertinent to applicability (§15.12.2.2) and either (i) e_i is a standalone expression of a primitive type but F_i is a reference type, or (ii) F_i is a primitive type but e_i is not a standalone

expression of a primitive type; then the method is not applicable and there is no need to proceed with inference.

Otherwise, C includes, for all i ($1 \le i \le k$) where e_i is pertinent to applicability, $\langle e_i \rightarrow F_i \, \theta \rangle$.

– To test for *applicability by loose invocation*:

If $k \ne n$, the method is not applicable and there is no need to proceed with inference.

Otherwise, C includes, for all i ($1 \le i \le k$) where e_i is pertinent to applicability, $\langle e_i \rightarrow F_i \, \theta \rangle$.

– To test for *applicability by variable arity invocation*:

Let $F'_1, ..., F'_k$ be the first k variable arity parameter types of m (§15.12.2.4). C includes, for all i ($1 \le i \le k$) where e_i is pertinent to applicability, $\langle e_i \rightarrow F'_i \, \theta \rangle$.

- C is reduced (§18.2) and the resulting bounds are incorporated with B_1 to produce a new bound set, B_2.

- Finally, the method m is applicable if B_2 does not contain the bound *false* and resolution of all the inference variables in B_2 succeeds (§18.4).

Consider the following method invocation and assignment:

```
List<Number> ln = Arrays.asList(1, 2.0);
```

A most specific applicable method for the invocation must be identified as described in §15.12. The only potentially applicable method (§15.12.2.1) is declared as follows:

```
public static <T> List<T> asList(T... a)
```

Trivially (because of its arity), this method is neither applicable by strict invocation (§15.12.2.2) nor applicable by loose invocation (§15.12.2.3). But since there are no other candidates, in a third phase the method is checked for applicability by variable arity invocation.

The initial bound set, B, is a trivial upper bound for a single inference variable, α:

$\{\ \alpha <: \text{Object}\ \}$

The initial constraint formula set is as follows:

$\{\ \langle 1 \rightarrow \alpha \rangle,\ \langle 2.0 \rightarrow \alpha \rangle\ \}$

These are reduced to a new bound set, B_1:

```
{ α <: Object, Integer <: α, Double <: α }
```

Then, to test whether the method is applicable, we attempt to resolve these bounds. We succeed, producing the rather complex instantiation

```
α = Number & Comparable<? extends Number & Comparable<?>>
```

We have thus demonstrated that the method is applicable; since no other candidates exist, it is the most specific applicable method. Still, the type of the method invocation, and its compatibility with the target type in the assignment, is not determined until further inference can occur, as described in the next section.

18.5.2   Invocation Type Inference

Given a method invocation expression that provides no explicit type arguments, and a corresponding most specific applicable generic method *m*, the process to infer the invocation type (§15.12.2.6) of the chosen method may require resolving additional constraints, both to assert compatibility with a target type and to assert validity of the method invocation's argument expressions.

> It is important to note that multiple "rounds" of inference are involved in finding the type of a method invocation. This is necessary, for example, to allow a target type to influence the type of the invocation without allowing it to influence the choice of an applicable method. The first round (§18.5.1) produces a bound set and tests that a resolution exists, but does not commit to that resolution. Subsequent rounds reduce additional constraints until a final resolution step determines the "real" type of the expression.

18.5.2.1   *Poly Method Invocation Compatibility*

If the method invocation expression is a poly expression (§15.12), its compatibility with a target type T is determined as follows.

If the method invocation expression appears in a strict invocation context and T is a primitive type, the expression is not compatible with T.

Otherwise:

- Let B_2 be the bound set produced by reduction in order to demonstrate that *m* is applicable in §18.5.1.

  (While it was necessary in §18.5.1 to demonstrate that the inference variables in B_2 could be resolved, in order to establish applicability, the instantiations produced by this resolution step are *not* considered part of B_2.)

- Let B_3 be the bound set derived from B_2 as follows.

  Let R be the return type of m, and let θ be the substitution $[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_p:=\alpha_p]$ defined in §18.5.1 to replace the type parameters of m with inference variables, and let T be the invocation's target type. Then:

  – If unchecked conversion was necessary for the method to be applicable during constraint set reduction in §18.5.1, the constraint formula ‹$|R| \rightarrow T$› is reduced and incorporated with B_2.

  – Otherwise, if $R\,\theta$ is a parameterized type, G<A_1, ..., A_n>, and one of A_1, ..., A_n is a wildcard, then, for fresh inference variables β_1, ..., β_n, the constraint formula ‹G<β_1, ..., β_n> $\rightarrow T$› is reduced and incorporated, along with the bound G<β_1, ..., β_n> = capture(G<A_1, ..., A_n>), with B_2.

  – Otherwise, if $R\,\theta$ is an inference variable α, and one of the following is true:

    › T is a reference type, but is not a wildcard-parameterized type, and either (i) B_2 contains a bound of one of the forms $\alpha = S$ or S <: α, where S is a wildcard-parameterized type, or (ii) B_2 contains two bounds of the forms S_1 <: α and S_2 <: α, where S_1 and S_2 have supertypes that are two different parameterizations of the same generic class or interface.

    › T is a parameterization of a generic class or interface, G, and B_2 contains a bound of one of the forms $\alpha = S$ or S <: α, where there exists no type of the form G<...> that is a supertype of S, but the raw type $|G$<...>$|$ is a supertype of S.

    › T is a primitive type, and one of the primitive wrapper classes mentioned in §5.1.7 is an instantiation, upper bound, or lower bound for α in B_2.

    then α is resolved in B_2, and where the capture of the resulting instantiation of α is U, the constraint formula ‹$U \rightarrow T$› is reduced and incorporated with B_2.

  – Otherwise, the constraint formula ‹$R\,\theta \rightarrow T$› is reduced and incorporated with B_2.

- The method invocation expression is compatible with T if B_3 does not contain the bound *false* and resolution of all the inference variables in B_3 succeeds (§18.4).

  Consider the example from the previous section:

  ```
  List<Number> ln = Arrays.asList(1, 2.0);
  ```

  The most specific applicable method was identified as:

  ```
  public static <T> List<T> asList(T... a)
  ```

In order to complete type-checking of the method invocation, we must determine whether it is compatible with its target type, List<Number>.

The bound set used to demonstrate applicability in the previous section, B_2, was:

{ α <: Object, Integer <: α, Double <: α }

The new constraint formula set is as follows:

{ ‹List<α> → List<Number>› }

This compatibility constraint produces an equality bound for α, which is included in the new bound set, B_3:

{ α <: Object, Integer <: α, Double <: α, α = Number }

These bounds are trivially resolved:

α = Number

Finally, we perform a substitution on the declared return type of asList to determine that the method invocation has type List<Number>; clearly, this is compatible with the target type.

This inference strategy is different than the Java SE 7 Edition of *The Java® Language Specification*, which would have instantiated α based on its lower bounds (before even considering the invocation's target type), as we did in the previous section. This would result in a type error, since the resulting type is not a subtype of List<Number>.

Under various special circumstances, based on the bounds appearing in B_2, we eagerly resolve an inference variable that appears as the return type of the invocation. This is to avoid unfortunate situations in which the usual constraint, ‹R θ → T›, is not completeness-preserving. It is, unfortunately, possible that by eagerly resolving the variable, we are unable to make use of bounds that would be inferred later. It is also possible that, in some cases, bounds that will later be inferred from the invocation arguments (such as implicitly typed lambda expressions) would have caused a different outcome if they had been present in B_2. Despite these limitations, the strategy allows for reasonable outcomes in typical use cases, and is backwards compatible with the algorithm in the Java SE 7 Edition of *The Java® Language Specification*.

18.5.2.2 *Additional Argument Constraints*

The invocation type for the chosen method is determined after considering additional constraints that may be implied by the argument expressions of the method invocation expression, as follows:

- If the method invocation expression is a poly expression, let B_3 be the bound set generated in §18.5.2.1 to demonstrate compatibility with the actual target type of the method invocation.

  If the method invocation expression is not a poly expression, let B_3 be the same as the bound set produced by reduction in order to demonstrate that m is applicable in §18.5.1.

  (While it was necessary in §18.5.1 and §18.5.2.1 to demonstrate that the inference variables in the bound set could be resolved, the instantiations produced by these resolution steps are *not* considered part of B_3.)

- A set of constraint formulas, C, is generated as follows.

  Let e_1, ..., e_k be the actual argument expressions of the method invocation expression.

  If m is applicable by strict or loose invocation, let F_1, ..., F_k be the formal parameter types of m; if m is applicable by variable arity invocation, let F_1, ..., F_k the first k variable arity parameter types of m (§15.12.2.4).

  Let θ be the substitution $[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_p:=\alpha_p]$ defined in §18.5.1 to replace the type parameters of m with inference variables.

  Then, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq k$):

  – If e_i is not pertinent to applicability, C contains $\langle e_i \to F_i\ \theta \rangle$.

  – Additional constraints may be included, depending on the form of e_i:

    › If e_i is a *LambdaExpression*, C contains $\langle \textit{LambdaExpression} \to_{throws} F_i\ \theta \rangle$, and the lambda body is searched for additional constraints:

      » For a block lambda body, the search is applied recursively to each of its result expressions.

      » For a poly class instance creation expression or a poly method invocation expression , C contains all the constraint formulas that would appear in the

set C generated by §18.5.2 when inferring the poly expression's invocation type.

» For a parenthesized expression, the search is applied recursively to the contained expression.

» For a conditional expression, the search is applied recursively to the second and third operands.

» For a lambda expression, the search is applied recursively to the lambda body.

» For a `switch` expression, the search is applied recursively to each of its result expressions.

› If e_i is a *MethodReference*, C contains ‹*MethodReference* $\rightarrow_{throws}$ F_i θ›.

› If e_i is a poly class instance creation expression or a poly method invocation expression, C contains all the constraint formulas that would appear in the set C generated by §18.5.2 when inferring the poly expression's invocation type.

› If e_i is a parenthesized expression, these rules are applied recursively to the contained expression.

› If e_i is a conditional expression, these rules are applied recursively to the second and third operands.

› If e_i is a `switch` expression, these rules are applied recursively to each of its result expressions.

- While C is not empty, the following process is repeated, starting with the bound set B_3 and accumulating new bounds into a "current" bound set, ultimately producing a new bound set, B_4:

  1. A subset of constraints is selected in C, satisfying the property that, for each constraint, no input variable can influence an output variable of another constraint in C. The terms *input variable* and *output variable* are defined below. An inference variable α *can influence* an inference variable β if α depends on the resolution of β (§18.4), or vice versa; or if there exists a third inference variable γ such that α can influence γ and γ can influence β.

     If this subset is empty, then there is a cycle (or cycles) in the graph of dependencies between constraints. In this case, the constraints in C that participate in a dependency cycle (or cycles) and do not depend on any

constraints outside of the cycle (or cycles) are considered. A single constraint is selected from these considered constraints, as follows:

– If any of the considered constraints have the form ‹*Expression* → *T*›, then the selected constraint is the considered constraint of this form that contains the expression to the left (§3.5) of the expression of every other considered constraint of this form.

– If no considered constraint has the form ‹*Expression* → *T*›, then the selected constraint is the considered constraint that contains the expression to the left of the expression of every other considered constraint.

2. The selected constraint(s) are removed from C.

3. The input variables α_1, ..., α_m of all the selected constraint(s) are resolved.

4. Where T_1, ..., T_m are the instantiations of α_1, ..., α_m, the substitution $[\alpha_1:=T_1, ..., \alpha_m:=T_m]$ is applied to every constraint.

5. The constraint(s) resulting from substitution are reduced and incorporated with the current bound set.

• Finally, if B_4 does not contain the bound *false*, the inference variables in B_4 are resolved.

If resolution succeeds with instantiations T_1, ..., T_p for inference variables α_1, ..., α_p, let θ' be the substitution $[P_1:=T_1, ..., P_p:=T_p]$. Then:

– If unchecked conversion was necessary for the method to be applicable during constraint set reduction in §18.5.1, then the parameter types of the invocation type of m are obtained by applying θ' to the parameter types of m's type, and the return type and thrown types of the invocation type of m are given by the erasure of the return type and thrown types of m's type.

– If unchecked conversion was not necessary for the method to be applicable, then the invocation type of m is obtained by applying θ' to the type of m.

If B_4 contains the bound *false*, or if resolution fails, then a compile-time error occurs.

The process of reducing additional argument constraints may require carefully ordering constraint formulas of the forms ‹*Expression* → *T*›, ‹*LambdaExpression* →*throws T*›, and ‹*MethodReference* →*throws T*›. To facilitate this ordering, the *input variables* of these constraints are defined as follows:

- For ‹*LambdaExpression* → *T*›:

  - If *T* is an inference variable, it is the (only) input variable.

  - If *T* is a functional interface type, and a function type can be derived from *T* (§15.27.3), then the input variables include (i) if the lambda expression is implicitly typed, the inference variables mentioned by the function type's parameter types; and (ii) if the function type's return type, *R*, is not `void`, then for each result expression *e* in the lambda body (or for the body itself if it is an expression), the input variables of ‹*e* → *R*›.

  - Otherwise, there are no input variables.

- For ‹*LambdaExpression* →*throws* *T*›:

  - If *T* is an inference variable, it is the (only) input variable.

  - If *T* is a functional interface type, and a function type can be derived, as described in §15.27.3, the input variables include (i) if the lambda expression is implicitly typed, the inference variables mentioned by the function type's parameter types; and (ii) the inference variables mentioned by the function type's return type.

  - Otherwise, there are no input variables.

- For ‹*MethodReference* → *T*›:

  - If *T* is an inference variable, it is the (only) input variable.

  - If *T* is a functional interface type with a function type, and if the method reference is inexact (§15.13.1), the input variables are the inference variables mentioned by the function type's parameter types.

  - Otherwise, there are no input variables.

- For ‹*MethodReference* →*throws* *T*›:

  - If *T* is an inference variable, it is the (only) input variable.

  - If *T* is a functional interface type with a function type, and if the method reference is inexact (§15.13.1), the input variables are the inference variables mentioned by the function type's parameter types and the function type's return type.

  - Otherwise, there are no input variables.

- For ‹*Expression* → *T*›, if *Expression* is a parenthesized expression:

  Where the contained expression of *Expression* is *Expression*', the input variables are the input variables of ‹*Expression*' → *T*›.

797

- For ‹*ConditionalExpression* → T›:

  Where the conditional expression has the form e_1 ? e_2 : e_3, the input variables are the input variables of ‹$e_2 \rightarrow T$› and ‹$e_3 \rightarrow T$›.

- For ‹*SwitchExpression* → T›:

  Where the `switch` expression has result expressions e_1, ..., e_n, the input variables are, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), the input variables of ‹$e_i \rightarrow T$›.

- For all other constraint formulas, there are no input variables.

The *output variables* of these constraints are all inference variables mentioned by the type on the right-hand side of the constraint, T, that are not input variables.

18.5.3   Functional Interface Parameterization Inference

Where a lambda expression with explicit parameter types P_1, ..., P_n targets a functional interface type $F<A_1, ..., A_m>$ with at least one wildcard type argument, then a parameterization of F may be derived as the ground target type of the lambda expression as follows.

Let Q_1, ..., Q_k be the parameter types of the function type of the type $F<\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m>$, where α_1, ..., α_m are fresh inference variables.

If $n \neq k$, no valid parameterization exists. Otherwise, a set of constraint formulas is formed with, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), ‹$P_i = Q_i$›. This constraint formula set is reduced to form the bound set B.

If B contains the bound *false*, no valid parameterization exists. Otherwise, a new parameterization of the functional interface type, $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$, is constructed as follows, for $1 \leq i \leq m$:

- If B contains an instantiation (§18.1.3) for α_i, T, then $A'_i = T$.

- Otherwise, $A'_i = A_i$.

If $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$ is not a well-formed type (that is, the type arguments are not within their bounds), or if $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$ is not a subtype of $F<A_1, ..., A_m>$, no valid parameterization exists. Otherwise, the inferred parameterization is either $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$, if all the type arguments are types, or the non-wildcard parameterization (§9.9) of $F<A'_1, ..., A'_m>$, if one or more type arguments are still wildcards.

> In order to determine the function type of a wildcard-parameterized functional interface, we have to "instantiate" the wildcard type arguments with specific types. The "default" approach is to simply replace the wildcards with their bounds, as described in §9.8, but this

produces spurious errors in cases where a lambda expression has explicit parameter types that do *not* correspond to the wildcard bounds. For example:

```
Predicate<? super Integer> p = (Number n) -> n.equals(23);
```

The lambda expression is a `Predicate<Number>`, which is a subtype of `Predicate<? super Integer>` but not `Predicate<Integer>`. The analysis in this section is used to infer that `Number` is an appropriate choice for the type argument to `Predicate`.

That said, the analysis here, while described in terms of general type inference, is intentionally quite simple. The only constraints are equality constraints, which means that reduction amounts to simple pattern matching. A more powerful strategy might also infer constraints from the body of the lambda expression. But, given possible interactions with inference for surrounding and/or nested generic method invocations, this would introduce a lot of extra complexity.

18.5.4   More Specific Method Inference

When testing that one applicable method is *more specific* than another (§15.12.2.5), where the second method is generic, it is necessary to test whether some instantiation of the second method's type parameters can be inferred to make the first method more specific than the second.

Let m_1 be the first method and m_2 be the second method. Where m_2 has type parameters P_1, ..., P_p, let α_1, ..., α_p be inference variables, and let θ be the substitution $[P_1:=\alpha_1, ..., P_p:=\alpha_p]$.

Let e_1, ..., e_k be the argument expressions of the corresponding invocation. Then:

- If m_1 and m_2 are applicable by strict or loose invocation (§15.12.2.2, §15.12.2.3), then let S_1, ..., S_k be the formal parameter types of m_1, and let T_1, ..., T_k be the result of θ applied to the formal parameter types of m_2.

- If m_1 and m_2 are applicable by variable arity invocation (§15.12.2.4), then let S_1, ..., S_k be the first *k* variable arity parameter types of m_1, and let T_1, ..., T_k be the result of θ applied to the first *k* variable arity parameter types of m_2.

  Note that no substitution is applied to S_1, ..., S_k; even if m_1 is generic, the type parameters of m_1 are treated as type variables, not inference variables.

The process to determine if m_1 is more specific than m_2 is as follows:

- First, an initial bound set, B, is generated from the declared bounds of P_1, ..., P_p, as specified in §18.1.3.

• Second, for all i ($1 \leq i \leq k$), a set of constraint formulas or bounds is generated.

If T_i is a proper type, the result is *true* if S_i is more specific than T_i for e_i (§15.12.2.5), and *false* otherwise. (Note that S_i is always a proper type.)

Otherwise, if S_i and T_i are not both functional interface types, the constraint formula ‹S_i <: T_i› is generated.

Otherwise, if the interface of S_i is a superinterface or a subinterface of the interface of T_i (or, where S_i or T_i is an intersection type, some interface of S_i is a superinterface or a subinterface of some interface of T_i), the constraint formula ‹S_i <: T_i› is generated.

Otherwise, let MT_S be the function type of the capture of S_i, let MT_S' be the function type of S_i (without capture), and let MT_T be the function type of T_i. If MT_S and MT_T have a different number of formal parameters or type parameters, or if MT_S and MT_S' do not have the same type parameters (§8.4.4), the result is *false*. Otherwise, the following constraint formulas or bounds are generated from the type parameters, formal parameter types, and return types of MT_S and MT_T:

– Let A_1, ..., A_n be the type parameters of MT_S, and let B_1, ..., B_n be the type parameters of MT_T.

Let θ' be the substitution [B_1:=A_1, ..., B_n:=A_n]. Then, for all j ($1 \leq j \leq n$):

› If the bound of A_j mentions one of A_1, ..., A_n, and the bound of B_j is a not proper type, *false*.

› Otherwise, where X is the bound of A_j and Y is the bound of B_j, ‹$X = Y\ \theta'$›.

If the bound A_j mentions one of A_1, ..., A_n, and the bound of B_j is not a proper type, then producing an equality constraint would raise the possibility of an inference variable being bounded by an out-of-scope type variable. Since instantiating an inference variable with an out-of-scope type variable is nonsensical, we prefer to avoid the situation by giving up immediately whenever the possibility arises. This

simplification is not completeness-preserving. (The same comment applies to the treatment of formal parameter types and return types below.)

– Let U_1, ..., U_k be the formal parameter types of MT_S, and let V_1, ..., V_k be the formal parameter types of MT_T. Then, for all j $(1 \leq j \leq k)$:

  › If U_j mentions one of A_1, ..., A_n, and V_j is not a proper type, *false*.

  › Otherwise, ‹V_j θ' <: U_j›, and, where U_1', ..., U_k' are the formal parameter types of MT_S', and A_1', ..., A_n' are the type parameters of MT_S', ‹V_j[B_1:=A_1', ..., B_n:=A_n'] = U_j'›

– Let R_S be the return type of MT_S, and let R_T be the return type of MT_T. Then:

  › If R_S mentions one of A_1, ..., A_n, and R_T is not a proper type, *false*.

  › Otherwise, if e_i is an explicitly typed lambda expression:

    » If R_T is void, *true*.

    » Otherwise, if R_S and R_T are functional interface types, and e_i has at least one result expression, then for each result expression in e_i, this entire

second step is repeated to infer constraints under which R_S is more specific than R_T θ' for the given result expression.

> » Otherwise, if R_S is a primitive type and R_T is not, and e_i has at least one result expression, and each result expression of e_i is a standalone expression (§15.2) of a primitive type, *true*.
>
> » Otherwise, if R_T is a primitive type and R_S is not, and e_i has at least one result expression, and each result expression of e_i is either a standalone expression of a reference type or a poly expression, *true*.
>
> » Otherwise, ‹R_S <: R_T θ'›.

> › Otherwise, if e_i is an exact method reference:
>
> > » If R_T is `void`, *true*.
> >
> > » Otherwise, if R_S is a primitive type and R_T is not, and the compile-time declaration for e_i has a primitive return type, *true*.
> >
> > » Otherwise if R_T is a primitive type and R_S is not, and the compile-time declaration for e_i has a reference return type, *true*.
> >
> > » Otherwise, ‹R_S <: R_T θ'›.

> › Otherwise, if e_i is a parenthesized expression, these rules for constraints derived from R_S and R_T are applied recursively for the contained expression.
>
> › Otherwise, if e_i is a conditional expression, these rules for constraints derived from R_S and R_T are applied recursively for each of the second and third operands.
>
> › Otherwise, if e_i is a `switch` expression, these rules for constraints derived from R_S and R_T are applied recursively for each of its result expressions.
>
> › Otherwise, *false*.

- Third, if m_2 is applicable by variable arity invocation and has $k+1$ parameters, then where S_{k+1} is the $k+1$'th variable arity parameter type of m_1 and T_{k+1} is the result of θ applied to the $k+1$'th variable arity parameter type of m_2, the constraint ‹S_{k+1} <: T_{k+1}› is generated.

- Fourth, the generated bounds and constraint formulas are reduced and incorporated with B to produce a bound set B'.

  If B' does not contain the bound *false*, and resolution of all the inference variables in B' succeeds, then m_1 is more specific than m_2.

  Otherwise, m_1 is not more specific than m_2.

Syntax

THIS chapter repeats the syntactic grammar given in Chapters 4, 6-10, 14, and 15, as well as key parts of the lexical grammar from Chapter 3, using the notation from §2.4.

Productions from §3 (*Lexical Structure*)

Identifier:
    IdentifierChars but not a *ReservedKeyword* or *BooleanLiteral*
        or *NullLiteral*

IdentifierChars:
    JavaLetter {JavaLetterOrDigit}

JavaLetter:
    any Unicode character that is a "Java letter"

JavaLetterOrDigit:
    any Unicode character that is a "Java letter-or-digit"

TypeIdentifier:
    Identifier but not `permits`, `record`, `sealed`, `var`, or `yield`

UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier:
    Identifier but not `yield`

Literal:
   IntegerLiteral
   FloatingPointLiteral
   BooleanLiteral
   CharacterLiteral
   StringLiteral
   TextBlock
   NullLiteral

Productions from §4 (*Types, Values, and Variables*)

Type:
   PrimitiveType
   ReferenceType

PrimitiveType:
   {Annotation} NumericType
   {Annotation} `boolean`

NumericType:
   IntegralType
   FloatingPointType

IntegralType:
   (one of)
   `byte short int long char`

FloatingPointType:
   (one of)
   `float double`

ReferenceType:
   ClassOrInterfaceType
   TypeVariable
   ArrayType

ClassOrInterfaceType:
   ClassType
   InterfaceType

ClassType:
  {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  PackageName . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  ClassOrInterfaceType . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]

InterfaceType:
  ClassType

TypeVariable:
  {Annotation} TypeIdentifier

ArrayType:
  PrimitiveType Dims
  ClassOrInterfaceType Dims
  TypeVariable Dims

Dims:
  {Annotation} [ ] *{{Annotation}* [ ]*}*

TypeParameter:
  {TypeParameterModifier} TypeIdentifier [TypeBound]

TypeParameterModifier:
  Annotation

TypeBound:
  extends *TypeVariable*
  extends *ClassOrInterfaceType {AdditionalBound}*

AdditionalBound:
  & *InterfaceType*

TypeArguments:
  < TypeArgumentList >

TypeArgumentList:
  TypeArgument { , TypeArgument}

TypeArgument:
  ReferenceType
  Wildcard

Wildcard:
  {Annotation} ? *[WildcardBounds]*

WildcardBounds:
  `extends` *ReferenceType*
  `super` *ReferenceType*

Productions from §6 (*Names*)

ModuleName:
  Identifier
  ModuleName . *Identifier*

PackageName:
  Identifier
  PackageName . *Identifier*

TypeName:
  TypeIdentifier
  PackageOrTypeName . *TypeIdentifier*

ExpressionName:
  Identifier
  AmbiguousName . *Identifier*

MethodName:
  UnqualifiedMethodIdentifier

PackageOrTypeName:
  Identifier
  PackageOrTypeName . *Identifier*

AmbiguousName:
  Identifier
  AmbiguousName . *Identifier*

Productions from §7 (*Packages and Modules*)

CompilationUnit:
  OrdinaryCompilationUnit
  ModularCompilationUnit

OrdinaryCompilationUnit:
  [PackageDeclaration] {ImportDeclaration}
  {TopLevelClassOrInterfaceDeclaration}

ModularCompilationUnit:
  {ImportDeclaration} ModuleDeclaration

PackageDeclaration:
  {PackageModifier} `package` *Identifier { . Identifier} ;*

PackageModifier:
  Annotation

ImportDeclaration:
  SingleTypeImportDeclaration
  TypeImportOnDemandDeclaration
  SingleStaticImportDeclaration
  StaticImportOnDemandDeclaration

SingleTypeImportDeclaration:
  `import` *TypeName ;*

TypeImportOnDemandDeclaration:
  `import` *PackageOrTypeName . * ;*

SingleStaticImportDeclaration:
  `import static` *TypeName . Identifier ;*

StaticImportOnDemandDeclaration:
  `import static` *TypeName . * ;*

TopLevelClassOrInterfaceDeclaration:
  ClassDeclaration
  InterfaceDeclaration
  ;

ModuleDeclaration:
  {Annotation} [`open`*] * `module` *Identifier { . Identifier}*
    { {ModuleDirective} }

ModuleDirective:
  `requires` *{RequiresModifier} ModuleName* `;`
  `exports` *PackageName [*`to`* ModuleName { , ModuleName}]* `;`
  `opens` *PackageName [*`to`* ModuleName { , ModuleName}]* `;`
  `uses` *TypeName* `;`
  `provides` *TypeName* `with` *TypeName { , TypeName}* `;`

RequiresModifier:
  (one of)
  `transitive static`

Productions from §8 (*Classes*)

ClassDeclaration:
  NormalClassDeclaration
  EnumDeclaration
  RecordDeclaration

NormalClassDeclaration:
  {ClassModifier} `class` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
    [ClassExtends] [ClassImplements] [ClassPermits] ClassBody

ClassModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public` `protected` `private`
  `abstract` `static` `final` `sealed` `non-sealed` `strictfp`

TypeParameters:
  < TypeParameterList >

TypeParameterList:
  TypeParameter { , TypeParameter}

ClassExtends:
  `extends` *ClassType*

ClassImplements:
  `implements` *InterfaceTypeList*

InterfaceTypeList:
  InterfaceType { , InterfaceType}

ClassPermits:
  `permits` *TypeName { , TypeName}*

ClassBody:
  { *{ClassBodyDeclaration}* }

ClassBodyDeclaration:
  ClassMemberDeclaration
  InstanceInitializer
  StaticInitializer
  ConstructorDeclaration

ClassMemberDeclaration:
  FieldDeclaration
  MethodDeclaration
  ClassDeclaration
  InterfaceDeclaration
  ;

FieldDeclaration:
  {FieldModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorList ;

FieldModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public` `protected` `private`
  `static` `final` `transient` `volatile`

VariableDeclaratorList:
  VariableDeclarator { , VariableDeclarator}

VariableDeclarator:
  VariableDeclaratorId [= VariableInitializer]

VariableDeclaratorId:
  Identifier [Dims]

VariableInitializer:
  Expression
  ArrayInitializer

UnannType:
  UnannPrimitiveType
  UnannReferenceType

UnannPrimitiveType:
  NumericType
  `boolean`

UnannReferenceType:
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType
  UnannTypeVariable
  UnannArrayType

UnannClassOrInterfaceType:
  UnannClassType
  UnannInterfaceType

UnannClassType:
  TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  PackageName . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier [TypeArguments]
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType . {Annotation} TypeIdentifier
    [TypeArguments]

UnannInterfaceType:
  UnannClassType

UnannTypeVariable:
  TypeIdentifier

UnannArrayType:
  UnannPrimitiveType Dims
  UnannClassOrInterfaceType Dims
  UnannTypeVariable Dims

MethodDeclaration:
  {MethodModifier} MethodHeader MethodBody

MethodModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation public protected private
  abstract static final synchronized native strictfp

MethodHeader:
  Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]
  TypeParameters {Annotation} Result MethodDeclarator [Throws]

Result:
  UnannType
  void

MethodDeclarator:
  Identifier (*[ReceiverParameter ,] [FormalParameterList]*) *[Dims]*

ReceiverParameter:
  {Annotation} UnannType [Identifier .] this

FormalParameterList:
  FormalParameter { , FormalParameter}

FormalParameter:
  {VariableModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorId
  VariableArityParameter

VariableArityParameter:
  {VariableModifier} UnannType {Annotation} . . . Identifier

VariableModifier:
  Annotation
  final

Throws:
  throws *ExceptionTypeList*

ExceptionTypeList:
  ExceptionType { , ExceptionType}

ExceptionType:
  ClassType
  TypeVariable

MethodBody:
  Block
  ;

InstanceInitializer:
  Block

StaticInitializer:
  static *Block*

ConstructorDeclaration:
  {ConstructorModifier} ConstructorDeclarator [Throws] ConstructorBody

ConstructorModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation public protected private

ConstructorDeclarator:
  [TypeParameters] SimpleTypeName
     (*[ReceiverParameter ,] [FormalParameterList]*)

SimpleTypeName:
  TypeIdentifier

ConstructorBody:
  { *[ExplicitConstructorInvocation] [BlockStatements]* }

ExplicitConstructorInvocation:
  [TypeArguments] this (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  [TypeArguments] super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  ExpressionName . *[TypeArguments]* super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;
  Primary . *[TypeArguments]* super (*[ArgumentList]*) ;

EnumDeclaration:
  {ClassModifier} enum *TypeIdentifier [ClassImplements] EnumBody*

EnumBody:
  { *[EnumConstantList] [,] [EnumBodyDeclarations]* }

EnumConstantList:
  EnumConstant { , EnumConstant}

EnumConstant:
  {EnumConstantModifier} Identifier [(*[ArgumentList]*) *] [ClassBody]*

EnumConstantModifier:
  Annotation

EnumBodyDeclarations:
  ; *{ClassBodyDeclaration}*

RecordDeclaration:
  {ClassModifier} record *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters] RecordHeader*
    [ClassImplements] RecordBody

RecordHeader:
  (*[RecordComponentList]*)

RecordComponentList:
  RecordComponent { , RecordComponent}

RecordComponent:
  {RecordComponentModifier} UnannType Identifier
  VariableArityRecordComponent

VariableArityRecordComponent:
  {RecordComponentModifier} UnannType {Annotation} . . . Identifier

RecordComponentModifier:
  Annotation

RecordBody:
  { *{RecordBodyDeclaration}* }

RecordBodyDeclaration:
  ClassBodyDeclaration
  CompactConstructorDeclaration

CompactConstructorDeclaration:
  {ConstructorModifier} SimpleTypeName ConstructorBody

Productions from §9 (*Interfaces*)

InterfaceDeclaration:
  NormalInterfaceDeclaration
  AnnotationInterfaceDeclaration

NormalInterfaceDeclaration:
  {InterfaceModifier} `interface` *TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters]*
    [InterfaceExtends] [InterfacePermits] InterfaceBody

InterfaceModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public` `protected` `private`
  `abstract static sealed non-sealed strictfp`

InterfaceExtends:
  `extends` *InterfaceTypeList*

InterfacePermits:
  `permits` *TypeName {* `,` *TypeName}*

InterfaceBody:
  { *{InterfaceMemberDeclaration}* }

InterfaceMemberDeclaration:
  ConstantDeclaration
  InterfaceMethodDeclaration
  ClassDeclaration
  InterfaceDeclaration
  ;

ConstantDeclaration:
  {ConstantModifier} UnannType VariableDeclaratorList ;

ConstantModifier:
  (one of)
  Annotation `public`
  `static final`

InterfaceMethodDeclaration:
 {InterfaceMethodModifier} MethodHeader MethodBody

InterfaceMethodModifier:
 (one of)
 Annotation `public` `private`
 `abstract` `default` `static` `strictfp`

AnnotationInterfaceDeclaration:
 {InterfaceModifier} `@` `interface` *TypeIdentifier AnnotationInterfaceBody*

AnnotationInterfaceBody:
 `{` *{AnnotationInterfaceMemberDeclaration}* `}`

AnnotationInterfaceMemberDeclaration:
 AnnotationInterfaceElementDeclaration
 ConstantDeclaration
 ClassDeclaration
 InterfaceDeclaration
 `;`

AnnotationInterfaceElementDeclaration:
 {AnnotationInterfaceElementModifier} UnannType Identifier `(` `)` *[Dims]*
   [DefaultValue] `;`

AnnotationInterfaceElementModifier:
 (one of)
 Annotation `public`
 `abstract`

DefaultValue:
 `default` *ElementValue*

817

Annotation:
  NormalAnnotation
  MarkerAnnotation
  SingleElementAnnotation

NormalAnnotation:
  @ *TypeName* ⟨ *[ElementValuePairList]* ⟩

ElementValuePairList:
  ElementValuePair { , ElementValuePair}

ElementValuePair:
  Identifier = ElementValue

ElementValue:
  ConditionalExpression
  ElementValueArrayInitializer
  Annotation

ElementValueArrayInitializer:
  { *[ElementValueList] [,]* }

ElementValueList:
  ElementValue { , ElementValue}

MarkerAnnotation:
  @ *TypeName*

SingleElementAnnotation:
  @ *TypeName* ⟨ *ElementValue* ⟩

Productions from §10 (*Arrays*)

ArrayInitializer:
  { *[VariableInitializerList] [,]* }

VariableInitializerList:
  VariableInitializer { , VariableInitializer}

Productions from §14 (*Blocks, Statements, and Patterns*)

Block:
    { *[BlockStatements]* }

BlockStatements:
    BlockStatement {BlockStatement}

BlockStatement:
    LocalClassOrInterfaceDeclaration
    LocalVariableDeclarationStatement
    Statement

LocalClassOrInterfaceDeclaration:
    ClassDeclaration
    NormalInterfaceDeclaration

LocalVariableDeclarationStatement:
    LocalVariableDeclaration ;

LocalVariableDeclaration:
    {VariableModifier} LocalVariableType VariableDeclaratorList

LocalVariableType:
    UnannType
    var

Statement:
    StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement
    LabeledStatement
    IfThenStatement
    IfThenElseStatement
    WhileStatement
    ForStatement

StatementNoShortIf:
    StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement
    LabeledStatementNoShortIf
    IfThenElseStatementNoShortIf
    WhileStatementNoShortIf
    ForStatementNoShortIf

StatementWithoutTrailingSubstatement:
  Block
  EmptyStatement
  ExpressionStatement
  AssertStatement
  SwitchStatement
  DoStatement
  BreakStatement
  ContinueStatement
  ReturnStatement
  SynchronizedStatement
  ThrowStatement
  TryStatement
  YieldStatement

EmptyStatement:
  ;

LabeledStatement:
  Identifier : *Statement*

LabeledStatementNoShortIf:
  Identifier : *StatementNoShortIf*

ExpressionStatement:
  StatementExpression ;

StatementExpression:
  Assignment
  PreIncrementExpression
  PreDecrementExpression
  PostIncrementExpression
  PostDecrementExpression
  MethodInvocation
  ClassInstanceCreationExpression

IfThenStatement:
　`if (` *Expression* `)` *Statement*

IfThenElseStatement:
　`if (` *Expression* `)` *StatementNoShortIf* `else` *Statement*

IfThenElseStatementNoShortIf:
　`if (` *Expression* `)` *StatementNoShortIf* `else` *StatementNoShortIf*

AssertStatement:
　`assert` *Expression* `;`
　`assert` *Expression* `:` *Expression* `;`

SwitchStatement:
　`switch (` *Expression* `)` *SwitchBlock*

SwitchBlock:
　`{` *SwitchRule {SwitchRule}* `}`
　`{` *{SwitchBlockStatementGroup} {SwitchLabel* `:`*}* `}`

SwitchRule:
　SwitchLabel `->` *Expression* `;`
　SwitchLabel `->` *Block*
　SwitchLabel `->` *ThrowStatement*

SwitchBlockStatementGroup:
　SwitchLabel `:` *{SwitchLabel* `:`*} BlockStatements*

SwitchLabel:
　`case` *CaseConstant {* `,` *CaseConstant}*
　`default`

CaseConstant:
　ConditionalExpression

WhileStatement:
  while (*Expression*) *Statement*

WhileStatementNoShortIf:
  while (*Expression*) *StatementNoShortIf*

DoStatement:
  do *Statement* while (*Expression*) ;

ForStatement:
  BasicForStatement
  EnhancedForStatement

ForStatementNoShortIf:
  BasicForStatementNoShortIf
  EnhancedForStatementNoShortIf

BasicForStatement:
  for (*[ForInit]* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *Statement*

BasicForStatementNoShortIf:
  for (*[ForInit]* ; *[Expression]* ; *[ForUpdate]*) *StatementNoShortIf*

ForInit:
  StatementExpressionList
  LocalVariableDeclaration

ForUpdate:
  StatementExpressionList

StatementExpressionList:
  StatementExpression { , *StatementExpression}*

EnhancedForStatement:
  for (*LocalVariableDeclaration* : *Expression*)
    Statement

EnhancedForStatementNoShortIf:
  for (*LocalVariableDeclaration* : *Expression*)
    StatementNoShortIf

BreakStatement:
  `break` *[Identifier]* `;`

YieldStatement:
  `yield` *Expression* `;`

ContinueStatement:
  `continue` *[Identifier]* `;`

ReturnStatement:
  `return` *[Expression]* `;`

ThrowStatement:
  `throw` *Expression* `;`

SynchronizedStatement:
  `synchronized` `(` *Expression* `)` *Block*

TryStatement:
  try *Block Catches*
  try *Block [Catches] Finally*
  TryWithResourcesStatement

Catches:
  CatchClause {CatchClause}

CatchClause:
  catch (*CatchFormalParameter*) *Block*

CatchFormalParameter:
  {VariableModifier} CatchType VariableDeclaratorId

CatchType:
  UnannClassType {| ClassType}

Finally:
  finally *Block*

TryWithResourcesStatement:
  try *ResourceSpecification Block [Catches] [Finally]*

ResourceSpecification:
  (*ResourceList [;]*)

ResourceList:
  Resource {; Resource}

Resource:
  LocalVariableDeclaration
  VariableAccess

Pattern:
  TypePattern

TypePattern:
  LocalVariableDeclaration

Productions from §15 (*Expressions*)

Primary:
  PrimaryNoNewArray
  ArrayCreationExpression

PrimaryNoNewArray:
  Literal
  ClassLiteral
  `this`
  TypeName `.` `this`
  `(` *Expression* `)`
  ClassInstanceCreationExpression
  FieldAccess
  ArrayAccess
  MethodInvocation
  MethodReference

ClassLiteral:
  TypeName *{*`[ ]`*}* `.` `class`
  NumericType *{*`[ ]`*}* `.` `class`
  `boolean` *{*`[ ]`*}* `.` `class`
  `void` `.` `class`

ClassInstanceCreationExpression:
  UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression
  ExpressionName `.` *UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression*
  Primary `.` *UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression*

UnqualifiedClassInstanceCreationExpression:
  `new` *[TypeArguments]*
    ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate `(` *[ArgumentList]* `)` *[ClassBody]*

ClassOrInterfaceTypeToInstantiate:
  {Annotation} Identifier { . {Annotation} Identifier}
    [TypeArgumentsOrDiamond]

TypeArgumentsOrDiamond:
  TypeArguments
  `<>`

ArrayCreationExpression:
  ArrayCreationExpressionWithoutInitializer
  ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer

ArrayCreationExpressionWithoutInitializer:
  new *PrimitiveType DimExprs [Dims]*
  new *ClassOrInterfaceType DimExprs [Dims]*

ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer:
  new *PrimitiveType Dims ArrayInitializer*
  new *ClassOrInterfaceType Dims ArrayInitializer*

DimExprs:
  DimExpr {DimExpr}

DimExpr:
  {Annotation} [*Expression*]

ArrayAccess:
  ExpressionName [*Expression*]
  PrimaryNoNewArray [*Expression*]
  ArrayCreationExpressionWithInitializer [*Expression*]

FieldAccess:
  Primary . *Identifier*
  super . *Identifier*
  TypeName . super . *Identifier*

MethodInvocation:
  MethodName (*[ArgumentList]*)
  TypeName . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
  ExpressionName . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
  Primary . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
  super . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)
  TypeName . super . *[TypeArguments] Identifier* (*[ArgumentList]*)

ArgumentList:
  Expression { , *Expression}*

MethodReference:
  ExpressionName :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
  Primary :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
  ReferenceType :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
  super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
  TypeName . super :: *[TypeArguments] Identifier*
  ClassType :: *[TypeArguments]* new
  ArrayType :: new

Expression:
  LambdaExpression
  AssignmentExpression

LambdaExpression:
  LambdaParameters -> *LambdaBody*

LambdaParameters:
  ⟨ *[LambdaParameterList]* ⟩
  Identifier

LambdaParameterList:
  LambdaParameter { , *LambdaParameter}*
  Identifier { , *Identifier}*

LambdaParameter:
  {VariableModifier} LambdaParameterType VariableDeclaratorId
  VariableArityParameter

LambdaParameterType:
  UnannType
  `var`

LambdaBody:
  Expression
  Block

AssignmentExpression:
  ConditionalExpression
  Assignment

Assignment:
  LeftHandSide AssignmentOperator Expression

LeftHandSide:
  ExpressionName
  FieldAccess
  ArrayAccess

AssignmentOperator:
  (one of)
  = *= /= %= += -= <<= >>= >>>= &= ^= |=

ConditionalExpression:
  ConditionalOrExpression
  ConditionalOrExpression ? *Expression* : *ConditionalExpression*
  ConditionalOrExpression ? *Expression* : *LambdaExpression*

ConditionalOrExpression:
  ConditionalAndExpression
  ConditionalOrExpression || *ConditionalAndExpression*

ConditionalAndExpression:
  InclusiveOrExpression
  ConditionalAndExpression && *InclusiveOrExpression*

InclusiveOrExpression:
  ExclusiveOrExpression
  InclusiveOrExpression | *ExclusiveOrExpression*

ExclusiveOrExpression:
  AndExpression
  ExclusiveOrExpression ^ *AndExpression*

AndExpression:
  EqualityExpression
  AndExpression & *EqualityExpression*

EqualityExpression:
  RelationalExpression
  EqualityExpression `==` *RelationalExpression*
  EqualityExpression `!=` *RelationalExpression*

RelationalExpression:
  ShiftExpression
  RelationalExpression `<` *ShiftExpression*
  RelationalExpression `>` *ShiftExpression*
  RelationalExpression `<=` *ShiftExpression*
  RelationalExpression `>=` *ShiftExpression*
  InstanceofExpression

InstanceofExpression:
  RelationalExpression `instanceof` *ReferenceType*
  RelationalExpression `instanceof` *Pattern*

ShiftExpression:
  AdditiveExpression
  ShiftExpression `<<` *AdditiveExpression*
  ShiftExpression `>>` *AdditiveExpression*
  ShiftExpression `>>>` *AdditiveExpression*

AdditiveExpression:
  MultiplicativeExpression
  AdditiveExpression `+` *MultiplicativeExpression*
  AdditiveExpression `–` *MultiplicativeExpression*

MultiplicativeExpression:
  UnaryExpression
  MultiplicativeExpression `*` *UnaryExpression*
  MultiplicativeExpression `/` *UnaryExpression*
  MultiplicativeExpression `%` *UnaryExpression*

UnaryExpression:
  PreIncrementExpression
  PreDecrementExpression
  `+` *UnaryExpression*
  `–` *UnaryExpression*
  UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus

PreIncrementExpression:
  ++ *UnaryExpression*

PreDecrementExpression:
  -- *UnaryExpression*

UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus:
  PostfixExpression
  ~ *UnaryExpression*
  ! *UnaryExpression*
  CastExpression
  SwitchExpression

PostfixExpression:
  Primary
  ExpressionName
  PostIncrementExpression
  PostDecrementExpression

PostIncrementExpression:
  PostfixExpression ++

PostDecrementExpression:
  PostfixExpression --

CastExpression:
  (*PrimitiveType*) *UnaryExpression*
  (*ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}*) *UnaryExpressionNotPlusMinus*
  (*ReferenceType {AdditionalBound}*) *LambdaExpression*

SwitchExpression:
  switch (*Expression*) *SwitchBlock*

ConstantExpression:
  Expression

Appendix A. Limited License Grant

ORACLE AMERICA, INC. IS WILLING TO LICENSE THIS SPECIFICATION TO YOU ONLY UPON THE CONDITION THAT YOU ACCEPT ALL OF THE TERMS CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT ("AGREEMENT"). PLEASE READ THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT CAREFULLY.

Specification: JSR-395 Java SE 20
Version: 20
Status: Final Release
Release: March 2023

LIMITED LICENSE GRANTS

1. License for Evaluation Purposes. Specification Lead hereby grants you a fully-paid, non-exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide, limited license (without the right to sublicense), under Specification Lead's applicable intellectual property rights to view, download, use and reproduce the Specification only for the purpose of internal evaluation. This includes (i) developing applications intended to run on an implementation of the Specification, provided that such applications do not themselves implement any portion(s) of the Specification, and (ii) discussing the Specification with any third party; and (iii) excerpting brief portions of the Specification in oral or written communications which discuss the Specification provided that such excerpts do not in the aggregate constitute a significant portion of the Specification.

2. License for the Distribution of Compliant Implementations. Specification Lead also grants you a perpetual, non-exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide, fully paid-up, royalty free, limited license (without the right to sublicense) under any applicable copyrights or, subject to the provisions of subsection 4 below, patent rights it may have covering the Specification to create and/or distribute an Independent Implementation of the Specification that: (a) fully implements the Specification including all its required interfaces and functionality; (b) does not modify, subset, superset or otherwise extend the Licensor Name Space, or include any public or protected packages, classes, Java interfaces, fields or methods within

the Licensor Name Space other than those required/authorized by the Specification or Specifications being implemented; and (c) passes the Technology Compatibility Kit (including satisfying the requirements of the applicable TCK Users Guide) for such Specification ("Compliant Implementation"). In addition, the foregoing license is expressly conditioned on your not acting outside its scope. No license is granted hereunder for any other purpose (including, for example, modifying the Specification, other than to the extent of your fair use rights, or distributing the Specification to third parties). Also, no right, title, or interest in or to any trademarks, service marks, or trade names of Specification Lead or Specification Lead's licensors is granted hereunder. Java, and Java-related logos, marks and names are trademarks or registered trademarks of Oracle America, Inc. in the U.S. and other countries.

3. Pass-through Conditions. You need not include limitations (a)-(c) from the previous paragraph or any other particular "pass through" requirements in any license You grant concerning the use of your Independent Implementation or products derived from it. However, except with respect to Independent Implementations (and products derived from them) that satisfy limitations (a)-(c) from the previous paragraph, You may neither: (a) grant or otherwise pass through to your licensees any licenses under Specification Lead's applicable intellectual property rights; nor (b) authorize your licensees to make any claims concerning their implementation's compliance with the Specification in question.

4. Reciprocity Concerning Patent Licenses.

a. With respect to any patent claims covered by the license granted under subparagraph 2 above that would be infringed by all technically feasible implementations of the Specification, such license is conditioned upon your offering on fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory terms, to any party seeking it from You, a perpetual, non-exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide license under Your patent rights which are or would be infringed by all technically feasible implementations of the Specification to develop, distribute and use a Compliant Implementation.

b. With respect to any patent claims owned by Specification Lead and covered by the license granted under subparagraph 2, whether or not their infringement can be avoided in a technically feasible manner when implementing the Specification, such license shall terminate with respect to such claims if You initiate a claim against Specification Lead that it has, in the course of performing its responsibilities as the Specification Lead, induced any other entity to infringe Your patent rights.

c. Also with respect to any patent claims owned by Specification Lead and covered by the license granted under subparagraph 2 above, where the infringement of

such claims can be avoided in a technically feasible manner when implementing the Specification such license, with respect to such claims, shall terminate if You initiate a claim against Specification Lead that its making, having made, using, offering to sell, selling or importing a Compliant Implementation infringes Your patent rights.

5. Definitions. For the purposes of this Agreement: "Independent Implementation" shall mean an implementation of the Specification that neither derives from any of Specification Lead's source code or binary code materials nor, except with an appropriate and separate license from Specification Lead, includes any of Specification Lead's source code or binary code materials; "Licensor Name Space" shall mean the public class or interface declarations whose names begin with "java", "javax", "com.oracle", "com.sun" or their equivalents in any subsequent naming convention adopted by Oracle America, Inc. through the Java Community Process, or any recognized successors or replacements thereof; and "Technology Compatibility Kit" or "TCK" shall mean the test suite and accompanying TCK User's Guide provided by Specification Lead which corresponds to the Specification and that was available either (i) from Specification Lead 120 days before the first release of Your Independent Implementation that allows its use for commercial purposes, or (ii) more recently than 120 days from such release but against which You elect to test Your implementation of the Specification.

This Agreement will terminate immediately without notice from Specification Lead if you breach the Agreement or act outside the scope of the licenses granted above.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

THE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS". SPECIFICATION LEAD MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT (INCLUDING AS A CONSEQUENCE OF ANY PRACTICE OR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SPECIFICATION), OR THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE SPECIFICATION ARE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE. This document does not represent any commitment to release or implement any portion of the Specification in any product. In addition, the Specification could include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors.

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

TO THE EXTENT NOT PROHIBITED BY LAW, IN NO EVENT WILL SPECIFICATION LEAD OR ITS LICENSORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOST REVENUE,

PROFITS OR DATA, OR FOR SPECIAL, INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, HOWEVER CAUSED AND REGARDLESS OF THE THEORY OF LIABILITY, ARISING OUT OF OR RELATED IN ANY WAY TO YOUR HAVING, IMPLEMENTING OR OTHERWISE USING THE SPECIFICATION, EVEN IF SPECIFICATION LEAD AND/OR ITS LICENSORS HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

You will indemnify, hold harmless, and defend Specification Lead and its licensors from any claims arising or resulting from: (i) your use of the Specification; (ii) the use or distribution of your Java application, applet and/or implementation; and/or (iii) any claims that later versions or releases of any Specification furnished to you are incompatible with the Specification provided to you under this license.

RESTRICTED RIGHTS LEGEND

U.S. Government: If this Specification is being acquired by or on behalf of the U.S. Government or by a U.S. Government prime contractor or subcontractor (at any tier), then the Government's rights in the Software and accompanying documentation shall be only as set forth in this license; this is in accordance with 48 C.F.R. 227.7201 through 227.7202-4 (for Department of Defense (DoD) acquisitions) and with 48 C.F.R. 2.101 and 12.212 (for non-DoD acquisitions).

REPORT

If you provide Specification Lead with any comments or suggestions concerning the Specification ("Feedback"), you hereby: (i) agree that such Feedback is provided on a non-proprietary and non-confidential basis, and (ii) grant Specification Lead a perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, fully paid-up, irrevocable license, with the right to sublicense through multiple levels of sublicensees, to incorporate, disclose, and use without limitation the Feedback for any purpose.

GENERAL TERMS

Any action related to this Agreement will be governed by California law and controlling U.S. federal law. The U.N. Convention for the International Sale of Goods and the choice of law rules of any jurisdiction will not apply.

The Specification is subject to U.S. export control laws and may be subject to export or import regulations in other countries. Licensee agrees to comply strictly with all such laws and regulations and acknowledges that it has the responsibility to obtain such licenses to export, re-export or import as may be required after delivery to Licensee.

This Agreement is the parties' entire agreement relating to its subject matter. It supersedes all prior or contemporaneous oral or written communications, proposals, conditions, representations and warranties and prevails over any conflicting or additional terms of any quote, order, acknowledgment, or other communication between the parties relating to its subject matter during the term of this Agreement. No modification to this Agreement will be binding, unless in writing and signed by an authorized representative of each party.