### Problem 1

*Proof.* Suppose there are  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , which are both MST of a graph G, and has equal weights. Let  $e_1 \in T_1$  be an edge and  $e_1 \notin T_2$ . Then  $T_2 \cup \{e_1\}$  must contain a cycle, and there exist an edge  $e_2$ , in this cycle, is not in  $T_1$ . Then if  $T_2 \cup \{e_1\} - \{e_2\}$  which has a smaller weight than  $T_2$ , but  $T_2$  is a minimum spanning tree. Therefore, we proved by contradiction.

### Problem 2

This problem is actually finding the maximum subarray in array A. We can solve it by dynamic programming through the following algorithm.

### Algorithm 1: Find Maximum Subarray

```
global_maximum = local_maximum = A[0]

for x in A[1:]:
    local_maximum = max(local_maximum + x, x)
    global_maximum = max(global_maximum, local_maximum)

return global_maximum
```

In each step, we check if the current element plus the last largest sum (local\_maximum) is greater than the current maximum (global\_maximum). If yes we update the local\_maximum, otherwise the current element is the largest subarray (therefore the subarray size is 1). Then we update the global\_maximum if there is a new global maximum. Whenever a sub-sequence is encountered which has a negative sum, the next sub-sequence to examine can begin after the end of the sub-sequence which produced the negative sum. In other words, there is no starting point in that sub-sequence which will generate a positive sum. Therefore, they can all be ignored. We prove the correctness of this algorithm by induction.

The runtime of this algorithm is O(n), since it only loops through the array once and contains only constant time operations.

## Problem 3

We first recursively define the value of the optimal solution. Let c(j) be the optimal cost of printing words 1 through j. Given the index of the first word printed on the last line of an optimal solution, we have

$$c(j) = c(i-1) + linecost(i, j).$$

But since we do not know what i is optimal, we need to consider every possible i so that the recursive definition of the optimal cost is

$$c(j) = \min_{1 \le i \le j} \{ c(i-1) + linecost(i,j) \}.$$

To simplify the problem, we defined c(0) = 0, and calculate the values of the array c from index 1 to n, bottom up. We adopt p, to keep track of the actual optimal arrangement of the words, where p(k) is the i which led to the optimal c(k). Then after arrays for c and p computed, the optimal cost is c(n) and the optimal solution can be found by printing words p(n) through n on the last line, words p(p(n) - 1) through p(n) - 1 on the next to last line, and so on. We define an array L such that

$$L[0] = 0$$
  
 $L[i] = L[i-1] + l_i \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{i} l_k,$ 

where L[i] is a cumulative sum of lengths of words 1 through i. The output of this problem is actually a sequence of integers  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ , where  $i \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ldots \leq i_h = n$ . Therefore  $i_j$  is the index of the last word appearing on line j, for  $1 \leq j \leq h$ . The possible output sequence is valid if and only if

$$i_j - (i_{j-1} + 1) + \sum_{k=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_k} l_k \le M,$$

where  $i_{-1} = 0$  for  $1 \le j \le h$ . This ensure the words on any given line fit into the space available for them. To better explain how to solve this problem, we define E as the extra space remaining at the end of a line containing words i through j:

$$E[i,j] \coloneqq M-j+i-(L[j]-L[i-1]).$$

Note that E could be negative. Now we define C - the cost of including a line containing words i through j - as a sum that we are to minimize:

$$linecost(i, j) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } E[i,j] < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } E[i,j] \leq 0, \\ E[i,j]^3 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Algorithm 2: Print-Neatly(l,n,M)

```
let E[i..n, 1..n], C[1..n, 1..n], and c[0..n] be new arrays for i = 1 to n: E[i,i] = M - li for j = i + 1 to n E[i,j] = E[i, j-1] - lj - 1 for i = 1 to n
```

This algorithm takes  $O(n^2)$  time, since each value of c takes up to n calculations as each value of i is considered. But by noticing that at most  $\lfloor (M+1)/2 \rfloor$  words can fit on a single line, we could reduce the running time to O(nM), by considering only the is for which

$$j - \lfloor (M+1)/2 \rfloor + 1 \le i \le j,$$

when calculating each c(j). Since a line with words  $i, \ldots, j$  contains j - i + 1 words, if  $j - i + 1 > \lfloor (M+1)/2 \rfloor$  then we know that  $linecost(i,j) = \infty$ . We only need to compute and keep track of E[i,j] and linecost(i,j) for  $j - i + 1 \leq \lfloor (M+1)/2 \rfloor$ . And for the inner for loop that computes the c[j] and p[j] can be run from  $max(1,j-\lfloor (M+1)/2 \rfloor+1)$  to j.

# Problem 4

For this problem, we want to find the maximum-sized independent set S in a graph G = (V, E) that is a tree with root r, for short we call this tree T. We can apply depth first search to find S in T. We will search each sub-tree and calculate two value:

- 1. A(i), which is the size of the maximum-sized independent set among all sub-trees that rooted in i, such that i is included in this independent set.
- 2. B(i), which is the size of the maximum-sized independent set among all sub-trees that rooted in i, such that i is not included in this independent set.

Therefore, we will have a recursion under two cases:

1. When i is not an element of the so far maximum-sized independent set, we have B(i) as the union of the i's children. Therefore B(i) = max(A(j), B(j))(i), such that j represents all children nodes at i's level.

2. When i is an element of the so far maximum-sized independent set, we have A(i) as the union of i and the maximum-sized independent set of i's grandchildren. Therefore, A(i) = 1 + sum(B(j)) for every j in i.

From above, we derive the recursive equation as follows. Let S(i) be the maximum independent set in the sub-tree rooted at vertex i:

$$size(S(i)) \coloneqq max \{ \sum_{\text{j child of i}} size(S(i)), 1 + \sum_{\text{j grandchild of i}} size(S(i)) \}.$$

### Algorithm 3: MaxIndSet(r)

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{initialize tables a and b with all zeros} \\ \mbox{sum} A = 0 \\ \mbox{sum} B = 0 \\ \mbox{for each non visited i neighbor node do:} \\ \mbox{MaxIndSet(i)} \\ \mbox{sum} A = \mbox{sum} A + \mbox{b['i']} \\ \mbox{sum} B = \mbox{sum} B + \mbox{max(a['i'], b['i'])} \\ \mbox{endfor} \\ \mbox{a['r']} = 1 + \mbox{sum} A \\ \mbox{b['r']} = \mbox{sum} B \\ \mbox{output } \mbox{max(a['r'], b['r'])} \end{array}
```

The element a[i] is the size of S in the sub-tree rooted in i such that  $i \in S$ , and b[i] is the size of S in the sub-tree rooted in i such that  $i \notin S$ . Since for each vertex, the algorithm only looks at its sub-trees, i.e. the children and grandchildren; therefore, each vertex i will be visited when MaxIndSet is processing vertex i, when MaxIndSet is processing i's grandchildren. Therefore, i is looked only three times, which is constant operation, hence the total number of steps is in O(n) since there are n entries to be filled in.