

# Lecture 26

Ciprian M Crainiceanu

Department of Biostatistics  
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health  
Johns Hopkins University

December 13, 2013

# Table of contents

- 1 Table of contents
- 2 Outline
- 3 Nonparametric tests
- 4 Sign test
- 5 Signed rank test
- 6 Monte Carlo
- 7 Independent groups
- 8 Mann/Whitney test
- 9 Monte Carlo
- 10 Permutation tests

# Outline

- ① Distribution-free tests
- ② Sign test
- ③ Sign rank test
- ④ Rank sum test
- ⑤ Discussion of non-parametric tests

# Nonparametric tests

- “Distribution free” methods require fewer assumptions than parametric methods
- Focus on testing rather than estimation
- Not sensitive to outlying observations
- Especially useful for cruder data (like ranks)
- “Throws away” some of the information in the data
- May be less powerful than parametric counterparts, when the parametric assumptions are true
- For large samples, are equally efficient to parametric counterparts

Fish	SR	P	Diff	Sgn rank	Fish	SR	P	Diff	Sng rank
1	.32	.39	.07	+15.5	13	.20	.22	.02	+6.5
2	.40	.47	.07	+15.5	14	.31	.30	-.01	-2.5
3	.11	.11	.00		15	.62	.60	-.02	-6.5
4	.47	.43	-.04	-11.0	16	.52	.53	.01	+2.5
5	.32	.42	.10	+20.0	17	.77	.85	.08	+17.5
6	.35	.30	-.05	-13.5	18	.23	.21	-.02	-6.5
7	.32	.43	.11	+20.0	19	.30	.33	.03	+9.0
8	.63	.98	.35	+23.0	20	.70	.57	-.13	-21.0
9	.50	.86	.36	+24.0	21	.41	.43	.02	+6.5
10	.60	.79	.19	+22.0	22	.53	.49	-.04	-11.0
11	.38	.33	-.05	-13.5	23	.19	.20	.01	+2.5
12	.46	.45	-.01	-2.5	24	.31	.35	.04	+11.0
					25	.48	.40	-.08	-17.5

Measurements are mercury levels in fish (ppm)

Data from Rice Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis; second  
edition

# Alternatives to the paired t-test

Table of  
contents

Outline

Nonparametric  
tests

Sign test

Signed rank  
test

Monte Carlo

Independent  
groupsMann/Whitney  
test

Monte Carlo

Permutation  
tests

- Let  $D_i = \text{difference (P - SR)}$
- Let  $\theta$  be the population median of the  $D_i$
- $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus  $H_a : \theta \neq 0$  (or  $>$  or  $<$ )
- Notice that  $\theta = 0$  iff  $p = P(D > 0) = .5$
- Let  $X$  be the number of times  $D > 0$ 
  - $X$  is then binomial( $n, p$ )
- The sign test tests whether  $H_0 : p = .5$  using  $X$

## Example

Table of  
contents

Outline

Nonparametric  
tests

Sign test

Signed rank  
test

Monte Carlo

Independent  
groupsMann/Whitney  
test

Monte Carlo

Permutation  
tests

- $\theta = \text{median difference } p - sr$
- $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus  $H_a : \theta \neq 0$
- Number of instances where the difference is bigger than 0 is 15 out of 25 trials
- `binom.test(15, 25)`  
p-value = 0.4244
- Or we could have used large sample tests for a binomial proportion `prop.test(15, 25, p = .5)`  
X-squared = 0.64, df = 1, p-value = 0.4237

- Magnitude of the differences is discarded
  - Perhaps too much information lost
- Could easily have tested  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  by calculating the number of times  $D > \theta_0$  and performing a binomial test
  - We can invert these tests to get a distribution free confidence interval for the median



# Signed rank test

- Wilcoxon's statistic uses the information in the **signed ranks** of the differences
- Saves some of the information regarding the magnitude of the differences
- Still tests  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus the three alternatives
- Appropriately normalized, the test statistic follows a normal distribution
- Also the exact small sample distribution of the signed rank statistic is known (if there are no ties)

# Signed rank procedure

- 1 Take the paired differences
- 2 Take the absolute values of the differences
- 3 Rank these absolute values, throwing out the 0s
- 4 Multiply the ranks by the sign of the difference (+1 for a positive difference and -1 for a negative difference)
- 5 Calculate the rank sum  $W_+$  of the positive ranks

# Signed rank procedure

- If  $\theta > 0$  then  $W_+$  should be large
- If  $\theta < 0$  then  $W_+$  should be small
- Properly normalized,  $W_+$  follows a large sample normal distribution
- For small sample sizes,  $W_+$  has an exact distribution under the null hypothesis
- Can get critical values from tables in the textbook

# Monte Carlo

- Assume no ties
- Simulate  $n$  observations from any distribution that has  $\theta = 0$  as its median
- Rank the absolute value of the data, retain the signs, calculate the signed rank statistic
- Apply this procedure over and over, the proportion of time that the observed test statistic is larger or smaller (depending on the hypothesis) is a Monte Carlo approximation to the P-value

# Monte Carlo

- Here's a slightly more elegant way to simulate from the null distribution
- Consider the ranks  $1, \dots, n$
- Randomly assign the signs as binary with probability .5 of being positive and .5 of being negative
- Calculate the signed rank statistic
- Apply this procedure over and over, the proportion of time that the observed test statistic is larger or smaller (depending on the hypothesis) is a Monte Carlo approximation to the P-value

Large sample distribution of  $W_+$ Table of  
contents

Outline

Nonparametric  
tests

Sign test

Signed rank  
test

Monte Carlo

Independent  
groupsMann/Whitney  
test

Monte Carlo

Permutation  
tests

- Under  $H_0$  and if there are no ties
  - $E(W_+) = n(n+1)/4$
  - $Var(W_+) = n(n+1)(2n+1)/24$
  - $TS = \{W_+ - E(W_+)\}/Sd(W_+) \rightarrow \text{Normal}(0, 1)$
- There is a correction term necessary for ties
- Without ties, it's possible to do an exact (small sample) test

## Example

```
diff <- c(.07, .07, .00, -.04, ...)  
wilcox.test(diff, exact = FALSE)
```

- $H_0 : \text{Med diff} = 0$  versus  $H_a : \text{Med diff} \neq 0$
- $W_+ = 194.5$
- $E(W_+) = 24 \times 25/4 = 150$
- $\text{Var}(W_+) = 24 \times 25 \times 49/24 = 1,225$
- $TS = (194.5 - 150)/\sqrt{1,224} = 1.27$
- P-value = .20
- R's P-value (uses correction for ties) = 0.21

# Methods for unpaired samples

Comparing two measuring techniques A and B  
Units are in deg C per gram

Method A		Method B
79.98	80.05	80.02
80.04	80.03	79.94
80.02	80.02	79.98
80.04	80.00	79.97
80.03	80.02	79.97
80.03		80.03
80.04		79.95
79.97		79.97

Data from Rice Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis;  
second edition



# The Mann/Whitney test

- Tests whether or not the two treatments have the same location
- Assumes independent identically distributed errors, not necessarily normal
- Null hypothesis can also be written more generally as a stochastic shift for two arbitrary distributions
- Test uses the sum of the ranks obtained by discarding the treatment labels
- Also called the Wilcoxon rank sum test

# The Mann-Whitney test

- Procedure

- ① Discard the treatment labels
- ② Rank the observations
- ③ Calculate the sum of the ranks in the first treatment
- ④ Either
  - calculate the asymptotic normal distribution of this statistic
  - compare with the exact distribution under the null hypothesis

Method A		Method B
7.5	21.0	11.5
19.0	15.5	1.0
11.5	11.5	7.5
19.0	9.0	4.5
15.5	11.5	4.5
15.5		15.5
19.0		2.0
4.5		4.5
180		51

Sum has to add up to  $21 \times 22/2 = 231$

Gauss supposedly came up with this in grade school

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + n \\
 x &= n + n-1 + n-2 + n-3 + \dots + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$2x = n+1 + n+1 + n+1 + n+1 + \dots + n+1$$

$$\text{So } 2x = n(n+1) / 2$$

$$\text{So } x = n(n+1) / 2$$

- Let  $W$  be the sum of the ranks for the first treatment ( $A$ )
- Let  $n_A$  and  $n_B$  be the sample sizes
- Then
  - $E(W) = n_A(n_A + n_B + 1)/2$
  - $\text{Var}(W) = n_A n_B (n_A + n_B + 1)/12$
  - $TS = \{W - E(W)\} / \text{Sd}(W) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$
- Also the exact distribution of  $W$  can be calculated

## Example

Table of  
contents

Outline

Nonparametric  
tests

Sign test

Signed rank  
test

Monte Carlo

Independent  
groupsMann/Whitney  
test

Monte Carlo

Permutation  
tests

- $W = 51$
- $E(W) = 8(8 + 13 + 1)/2 = 88$
- $Sd(W) = \sqrt{8 \times 13(8 + 13 + 1)/12} = 13.8$
- $TS = (51 - 88)/13.8 = -2.68$
- Two-sided P-value = .007
- R function `wilcox.test` will perform the test

# Monte Carlo

- Note that under  $H_0$ , the two groups are **exchangeable**
- Therefore, any allocation of the ranks between the two groups is equally likely
- Procedure: Take the ranks  $1, \dots, N_A + N_B$  and permute them
- Take the first  $N_A$  ranks and allocate them to Group A; allocate the remainder to Group B
- Calculate the test statistic
- Repeat this process over and over; the proportion of times the test statistic is larger or smaller (depending on the alternative) than the observed value is an exact P-value

# Notes about nonpar tests

- Tend to be more robust to outliers than parametric counterparts
- Do not require normality assumptions
- Usually have exact small-sample versions
- Are often based on ranks rather than the raw data
- Loss in power over parametric counterparts is often not bad
- Nonpar tests are not assumption free



# Permutation tests

- Permutation tests are similar to the rank-sum tests, though they use the actual data rather than the ranks
- That is, consider the null hypothesis that the distribution of the observations from each group is the same
- Then, the group labels are irrelevant
- We then discard the group levels and permute the combined data
- Split the permuted data into two groups with  $n_A$  and  $n_B$  observations (say by always treating the first  $n_A$  observations as the first group)
- Evaluate the probability of getting a statistic as large or large than the one observed
- An example statistic would be the difference in the averages between the two groups; one could also use a t-statistic

- This is an easy way to produce a null distribution for a test of equal distributions
- Similar in flavor to the bootstrap
- This procedure produces an exact test
- Less robust, but more powerful than the rank sum tests
- Very popular in genomic applications

Histogram of simTheta

