Homework 3 600.482/682 Deep Learning Spring 2020

March 1, 2020

Due Sun. 03/01/2020 11:59:00pm. Please submit a latex generated PDF to Gradescope with entry code 9G83Y7

- 1. We have talked about backpropagation in class. And here is a supplementary material for calculating the gradient for backpropagation (https://piazza.com/class_profile/get_resource/k5so7na4z3n3st/k70myseadzj6bz). Please study this material carefully before you start this exercise. Suppose P = WX and L = f(P) which is a loss function.
 - (a) Please show that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} X^T$. Show each step of your derivation.

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix}, X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y = WX = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}x_{11} + w_{12}x_{21} & w_{11}x_{12} + w_{12}x_{22} & w_{11}x_{13} + w_{12}x_{23} \\ w_{21}x_{11} + w_{22}x_{21} & w_{21}x_{12} + w_{22}x_{22} & w_{21}x_{13} + w_{22}x_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

By chain rule, we know that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial W},$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{11}} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{12}} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{13}} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{21}} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{22}} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{23}} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial w_{11}} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{11}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial w_{11}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{11}} x_{11} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{12}} x_{12} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{13}} x_{13}$$

Generalize for all $w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{21}, w_{22}$, we get:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{11}} x_{11} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{12}} x_{12} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{13}} x_{13} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{11}} x_{21} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{12}} x_{22} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{13}} x_{23} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{21}} x_{11} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{22}} x_{12} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{23}} x_{13} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{21}} x_{21} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{22}} x_{22} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{23}} x_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

Thus, we derive that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y} X^T$, where

$$X^T = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{21} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) Suppose the loss function is L2 loss. L2 loss is defined as $L(y, \hat{y}) = ||y - \hat{y}||^2$ where y is the groundtruth; \hat{y} is the prediction. Given the following initialization of W and X,

please calculate the updated W after one iteration. (step size = 0.1)

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, X = (\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Y = (\mathbf{y_1}, \mathbf{y_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 1 \\ 1 & -1.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla W = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} X^T$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 6.2 \\ 6 & 4.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$W = W - 0.1 \nabla W$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix} - 0.1 \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 6.2 \\ 6 & 4.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0.04 & 0.62 \\ 0.6 & 0.42 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.26 & -0.12 \\ -0.8 & -0.02 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. In this exercise, we will explore how vanishing and exploding gradients affect the learning process. Consider a simple, 1-dimensional, 3 layer network with data $x \in \mathbb{R}$, prediction $\hat{y} \in [0,1]$, true label $y \in \{0,1\}$, and weights $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, where weights are initialized randomly via $\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. We will use the sigmoid activation function σ between all layers, and the cross entropy loss function $L(y,\hat{y}) = -(y\log(\hat{y}) + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y}))$. This network can be represented as: $\hat{y} = \sigma(w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)))$. Note that for this problem, we are not including a bias term.
 - (a) Compute the derivative for a sigmoid. What are the values of the extrema of this derivative, and when are they reached?

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \right) = \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})^2} = \frac{1 + e^{-z} - 1}{(1 + e^{-z})^2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} - \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \right)^2 = \sigma - \sigma^2$$
$$= \sigma (1 - \sigma)$$

The values of this derivative range from (0, 1/4]. The maximum 1/4 is reached when z = 0, making $\sigma = 1/2$. However, the derivative will approach to minimum 0 really fast, e.g. $z = \pm 10$, but will never reach.

(b) Consider a random initialization of w₁ = 0.25, w₂ = −0.11, w₃ = 0.78, and a sample from the data set (x = 0.63, y = 1). Using backpropagation, compute the gradients for each weight. What have you noticed about the magnitude of the gradient? Now consider that we want to switch to a regression task and use a similar network structure as we did above: we remove the final sigmoid activation, so our new network is defined as ŷ = w₃ · σ(w₂ · σ(w₁ · x)), where predictions ŷ ∈ R and targets y ∈ R; we use the L2 loss function instead of cross entropy: L(y, ŷ) = (y − ŷ)². Derive the gradient of the loss function with respect to each of the weights w₁, w₂, w₃.

for each weight, the gradient should be:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} * \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_i}$$

Therefore we first consider:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -\frac{y}{\hat{y}} + \frac{1-y}{1-\hat{y}}$$

we first set

$$f_1 = w_1 \cdot x = 0.1575,$$

$$f_2 = w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x) = w_2 \cdot \sigma(f_1) = -0.0593,$$

$$f_3 = w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)) = w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) = 0.3784$$

Then for expanded w_1 ,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial \sigma(f_3)}{\partial f_3} \cdot \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial f_2} \cdot \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial f_1} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial w_1}$$

For w_1 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_1} = [\sigma(f_3) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_3))] \cdot [w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_2))] \cdot [w_2 \cdot \sigma(f_1) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_1))] \cdot x$$
$$= [0.24126] \cdot [0.78 \cdot 0.24978] \cdot [-0.11 \cdot 0.2485] \cdot 0.63 = -0.00081$$

For w_2 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_2} = [\sigma(f_3) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_3))] \cdot [w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_2))] \cdot [\sigma(w_1 \cdot x)]$$
$$= [0.24126] \cdot [0.78 \cdot 0.24978] \cdot [0.5393] = 0.02535$$

For w_3 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_3} = [\sigma(f_3) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_3))] \cdot [\sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x))]$$
$$= [0.24126] \cdot [0.4852] = 0.1171$$

Then $\hat{y} = \sigma(f_3) = 0.5935$, and we get $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -\frac{1}{\hat{y}} + \frac{1-1}{1-\hat{y}} = -1.6849$. Thus, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = 0.001364$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -0.04271$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -0.19723$. We noticed that the magnitudes are very small, and significantly decrease as we back-

propagate earlier weights.

(c) Consider again the random initialization of $w_1 = 0.25, w_2 = -0.11, w_3 = 0.78,$ and a sample from the data set (x = 0.63, y = 128). Using backpropagation, compute the gradients for each weight. What have you noticed about the magnitude of the gradient?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -2(y - \hat{y})$$

we first set

$$f_1 = w_1 \cdot x = 0.1575,$$

$$f_2 = w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x) = w_2 \cdot \sigma(f_1) = -0.0593,$$

$$f_3 = w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)) = w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) = 0.3784$$

Then for expanded w_1 ,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_1} = w_3 \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma(f_2)}{\partial f_2} \cdot \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial f_1} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial w_1}$$

For w_1 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_1} = [w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_2))] \cdot [w_2 \cdot \sigma(f_1) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_1))] \cdot x$$
$$= [0.78 \cdot 0.24978] \cdot [-0.11 \cdot 0.2485] \cdot 0.63 = -0.003354$$

For w_2 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_2} = [\sigma(f_3) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_3))] \cdot [w_3 \cdot \sigma(f_2) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_2))] \cdot [\sigma(w_1 \cdot x)]$$
$$= [0.78 \cdot 0.24978] \cdot [0.5393] = 0.1051$$

For w_3 , we get:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_3} = [\sigma(f_3) \cdot (1 - \sigma(f_3))] \cdot [\sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x))]$$
$$= [0.4852]$$

Then $\hat{y}=f_3=0.3784$, and we get $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}}=-2(y-\hat{y})=-255.2431$. Thus, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}=0.8562$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2}=-26.8184$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3}=-123.8373$. The magnitude still decrease a lot as backpropagate into earlier layers, but the magnitude are not smaller than 0 anymore.