

JAMES K. PRINGLE  
 140.751  
 Dr. Brian Caffo  
 Assignment 1  
 28 September 2012, Friday

2.10 The (lower) incomplete gamma function is defined as  $\Gamma(k, c) = \int_0^c x^{k-1} e^{-x} dx$ . By convention  $\Gamma(k, \infty)$ , the complete gamma function, is written  $\Gamma(k)$ . Consider a density

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} \text{ for } x > 0$$

where  $\alpha$  is a known number.

a. Argue that this is a valid density.

*Solution:* Listed above in the statement of problem a is a density. Call it  $f(x)$ . To show that it is a valid density we need to show that  $f(x) > 0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$ . First, we show that  $f(x)$  is nonnegative. We examine each factor of  $f(x)$  and show they are nonnegative. The function  $\Gamma(k)$  is nonnegative because its integrand,  $x^{k-1} e^{-x}$ , is nonnegative when evaluated on nonnegative  $x$  values. Thus the other two factors of  $f(x)$ , which are precisely  $x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x}$ , are nonnegative. Thus each factor of  $f(x)$  is positive. Now for the integral condition. It follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = 1$$

And hence  $f(x)$  is a density.

b. Write out the survival function associated with this density using gamma functions.

*Solution:* The survival function  $S(x) = 1 - F(x)$ , and  $F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$  for  $x > 0$ . Thus  $S(x) = 1 - \int_0^x \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \Gamma(\alpha, x)$ .

c. Let  $\beta$  be a known number; argue that

$$\frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} \text{ for } x > 0$$

is a valid density. This is known as the **gamma density**.

*Solution:* By the similar reasoning as in a, we know that the new density function is nonnegative. From the above work, and using a  $u$ -substitution,  $u = x/\beta$  and  $u\beta = x$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} dx &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} (u\beta)^{\alpha-1} e^{-u} \beta du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u} du = 1\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that this new density is just a transformation of the original gamma density.

d. Plot the density for different values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

2.15 Let  $U$  be a uniform  $(0, 1)$  random variable. Calculate the distribution function and density of  $U^p$  where  $p$  is a power. What is the name of this density?

*Solution:* Let  $V = U^p$ . The distribution function of  $V$  is  $F(x) = P(V \leq x) = P(U^p \leq x) = P(U \leq x^{1/p})$ . It is given that the distribution of  $U$  is

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

To find  $F(x)$  we look at  $G(x^{1/p})$ . Hence if  $p$  is positive, we have

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ x^{1/p} & \text{if } 0 \leq x^{1/p} \leq 1, \text{ or } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

and the density function is  $f(x) = \frac{1}{p} x^{1/p-1}$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  and 0 elsewhere.

4.4 A particularly sadistic warden has three prisoners, A, B and C. He tells prisoner C that the sentences are such that two prisoners will be executed and let one free, though he will not say who has what sentence. Prisoner C convinces the warden to tell him the identity of one of the prisoners to be executed. The warden has the following strategy, which prisoner C is aware of. If C is sentenced to be let free, the warden flips a coin to pick between A and B and tells prisoner C that person's sentence. If C is sentenced to be executed he gives the identity of whichever of A or B is also sentenced to be executed.

a. Does this new information about one of the other prisoners give prisoner C any more information about his sentence?

*Solution:* Let  $A, B, C$  be the event that prisoner A, B, C, respectively, is condemned, and the complement be the event that the prisoner is set free. It doesn't matter which prisoner C is told about, so let's say A. Hence, if C learns something about A, we can model that with conditional probability.  $P(C|A) = P(C \cap A)/P(A) = (1/3)/(2/3) =$

$1/2$ . Also,  $P(C|A^C) = P(C \cap A^C)/P(A^C) = (1/3)/(1/3) = 1$ . Hence if A is condemned, then we would expect C has a one half chance of dying, and if A is set free, then C is surely going to die. Thus this knowledge doesn't really add any information.

- b. The warder offers to let prisoner C switch sentences with the other prisoner whose sentence he has not identified. Should he switch?

*Solution:* Of course he should switch if A is condemned to die. In that case the probability B lives is  $P(B^C|A) = P(B \cap A)/P(A) = 1/2$  which is a higher probability than the  $1/3$  that C has initially. If A is set free, then it doesn't matter what C does because he will surely die.

- 7.5 Suppose that DBPs drawn from a certain population are normally distributed with a mean of 90 mmHg and standard deviation of 5 mmHg. Suppose that 1,000 people are drawn from this population.

- a. If you had to guess the number of people having DBPs less than 80 mmHg what would you guess?

*Solution:* People with less than 80mmHg DBP have a z-score less than -2. If I had to guess, I would guess  $P(z < -2) = \text{pnorm}(-2) = 0.02275$ .

- b. You draw 25 people from this population. Whats the probability that the sample average is larger than 92 mmHg?

*Solution:*  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ . The z-score for such a sample average is  $z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{92 - 90}{5/5} = 2$ . And  $P(z > 2) = P(z < -2) = 0.02275$ .

- c. You select 5 people from this population. Whats the probability that 4 or more of them have a DBP larger than 100 mmHg?

*Solution:* This is precisely the sum of the probabilities that four of the five have a DBP larger than 100 and that five of the five have DBP larger than 100. Since 100 mmHg is a z-score of 2,  $P(DBP > 100) = P(Z > 2) = 0.02275$ . Thus the sum of two probabilities above is  $P(\text{five of five DBP} > 100) + P(\text{four of five DBP} > 100) = P(z > 2)^5 + \binom{5}{1}P(z > 2)^4(1 - P(z > 2)) = 5 \cdot 0.02275^4 \cdot (1 - 0.02275) = 1.314978e - 06$ .

- 8.6 Often infection rates per time at risk are modelled as Poisson random variables. Let  $X$  be the number of infections and let  $t$  be the person days at risk. Consider the Poisson mass function  $(t\lambda)^x e^{-t\lambda}/x!$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  is called the population incident rate.

- A. Derive the ML estimate for  $\lambda$ .

*Solution:* First we take the log of the mass function, then we differentiate with respect to  $\lambda$  since we want to maximize the mass function

by varying  $\lambda$ . Calculating,  $\log((t\lambda)^x e^{-t\lambda}/x!) = x \log(t\lambda) - t\lambda - \log x!$ . Differentiating and setting it equal to 0, then solving for  $\lambda$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(1/(t\lambda))t - t &= 0 \\ x(1/(t\lambda)) &= 1 \\ x/t &= \lambda \end{aligned}$$

- B. Suppose that 5 infections are recorded per 1000 person-days at risk. Plot the likelihood.

*Solution:* We will plot  $f(\lambda) = (1000\lambda)^5 e^{-1000\lambda}/5!$ .

- C. Suppose that five independent hospitals are monitored and that the infection rate ( $\lambda$ ) is assumed to be the same at all five. Let  $X_i$ ,  $t_i$  be the count of the number of infections and person days at risk in hospital  $i$ . Derive the ML estimate of  $\lambda$ .

*Solution:* Because the hospitals are assumed independent, the joint mass density function is

$$\prod_{i=1}^5 \frac{(t_i \lambda)^{x_i} e^{-t_i \lambda}}{x_i!}$$

As before, we will take the log, then the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$ . The log of the density is

$$\log\left(\prod_{i=1}^5 \frac{(t_i \lambda)^{x_i} e^{-t_i \lambda}}{x_i!}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i \log(t_i \lambda) - t_i \lambda - \log(x_i!))$$

Setting the derivative equal to 0, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i \log(t_i \lambda) - t_i \lambda - \log(x_i!)) \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i/\lambda - t_i) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i / \sum_{i=1}^5 t_i = \lambda$$

is the ML estimate of  $\lambda$ .