



**SAPIENZA**  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

# A Comparative Study of Machine Learning Models for Kinect Skeleton Data in Movement Classification

Faculty of Information Engineering, Computer Science and Statistics  
Bachelor's Degree in Computer Science

**Lucian Dorin Crainic**

ID number 1938430

Advisor

Prof. Maurizio Mancini

Academic Year 2023/2024

Thesis not yet defended

---

**A Comparative Study of Machine Learning Models for Kinect Skeleton Data in Movement Classification**

Bachelor's Thesis. Sapienza University of Rome

© 2022 Lucian Dorin Crainic. All rights reserved

This thesis has been typeset by  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and the Sapthesis class.

Author's email: [crainic.lucian@gmail.com](mailto:crainic.lucian@gmail.com)

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Statement . . . . .	1
1.2	Literature Review . . . . .	1
1.3	Dataset Overview . . . . .	1
1.4	Aims and Objectives of the Study . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Dataset Analysis</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Data Collection Methodology . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Microsoft Kinect . . . . .	2
2.1.2	Recording Setup . . . . .	2
2.2	Data Structure and Attributes . . . . .	2
2.3	Participants Characteristics . . . . .	2
2.4	Movements Visualization . . . . .	2
2.5	Data Processing . . . . .	2
2.5.1	Data Cleaning . . . . .	2
2.5.2	Data Normalization . . . . .	2
2.5.3	Data Transformation . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Overview of Models Analyzed . . . . .	3
3.1.1	Scikit-Learn . . . . .	3
3.1.2	Models Selection . . . . .	3
3.2	Selected Models for In-Depth Examination . . . . .	3
3.2.1	Support Vector Machine . . . . .	3
3.2.2	Random Forest . . . . .	3
3.2.3	Gradient Boosting . . . . .	3
3.2.4	Logistic Regression . . . . .	3
3.2.5	Linear Discriminant Analysis . . . . .	3
3.2.6	Multi-layer Perceptron . . . . .	3
3.3	Data Splitting Methods . . . . .	3
3.3.1	Ineffective Approach . . . . .	3
3.3.2	Effective Approach . . . . .	3
3.3.3	Sequential Data Approach . . . . .	3
3.4	Feature Engineering . . . . .	3
3.4.1	Feature Selection . . . . .	3

---

<b>4</b>	<b>Results and Discussion</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Evaluation of Movement Classification Models . . . . .	4
4.1.1	Evaluation Metrics . . . . .	4
4.1.2	Evaluation Results . . . . .	4
4.2	Comparative Analysis . . . . .	4
4.3	Discussion of Key Findings . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Summary of Findings . . . . .	5
5.2	Implications of the Research . . . . .	5
5.3	Recommendations for Future Research . . . . .	5
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>6</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

1.2 Literature Review

1.3 Dataset Overview

1.4 Aims and Objectives of the Study

## Chapter 2

# Dataset Analysis

### 2.1 Data Collection Methodology

#### 2.1.1 Microsoft Kinect

#### 2.1.2 Recording Setup

### 2.2 Data Structure and Attributes

### 2.3 Participants Characteristics

### 2.4 Movements Visualization

### 2.5 Data Processing

#### 2.5.1 Data Cleaning

#### 2.5.2 Data Normalization

#### 2.5.3 Data Transformation

## Chapter 3

# Methodology

### 3.1 Overview of Models Analyzed

#### 3.1.1 Scikit-Learn

#### 3.1.2 Models Selection

### 3.2 Selected Models for In-Depth Examination

#### 3.2.1 Support Vector Machine

#### 3.2.2 Random Forest

#### 3.2.3 Gradient Boosting

#### 3.2.4 Logistic Regression

#### 3.2.5 Linear Discriminant Analysis

#### 3.2.6 Multi-layer Perceptron

### 3.3 Data Splitting Methods

#### 3.3.1 Ineffective Approach

#### 3.3.2 Effective Approach

#### 3.3.3 Sequential Data Approach

### 3.4 Feature Engineering

#### 3.4.1 Feature Selection

## Chapter 4

# Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Evaluation of Movement Classification Models

#### 4.1.1 Evaluation Metrics

#### 4.1.2 Evaluation Results

### 4.2 Comparative Analysis

### 4.3 Discussion of Key Findings



## Chapter 5

# Conclusions and Future Work

### 5.1 Summary of Findings

### 5.2 Implications of the Research

### 5.3 Recommendations for Future Research

## Bibliography