

Coin Path

Given an array A (index starts at 1) consisting of N integers: A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N and an integer B . The integer B denotes that from any place (suppose the index is i) in the array A , you can jump to any one of the place in the array A indexed $i+1, i+2, \dots, i+B$ if this place can be jumped to. Also, if you step on the index i , you have to pay A_i coins. If A_i is -1 , it means you can't jump to the place indexed i in the array.

Now, you start from the place indexed 1 in the array A , and your aim is to reach the place indexed N using the minimum coins. You need to return the path of indexes (starting from 1 to N) in the array you should take to get to the place indexed N using minimum coins.

If there are multiple paths with the same cost, return the lexicographically smallest such path.

If it's not possible to reach the place indexed N then you need to return an empty array.

Example 1:

Input: $[1, 2, 4, -1, 2], 2$

Output: $[1, 3, 5]$

Example 2:

Input: $[1, 2, 4, -1, 2], 1$

Output: $[]$

Note:

1. Path Pa_1, Pa_2, \dots, Pa_n is lexicographically smaller than Pb_1, Pb_2, \dots, Pb_m , if and only if at the first i where Pa_i and Pb_i differ, $Pa_i < Pb_i$; when no such i exists, then $n < m$.
2. $A_1 \geq 0$. A_2, \dots, A_N (if exist) will in the range of $[-1, 100]$.
3. Length of A is in the range of $[1, 1000]$.
4. B is in the range of $[1, 100]$.

Solution 1

The following solution is based on that:

If there are two path to reach n , and they have the same optimal cost, then the longer path is lexicographically smaller.

Proof by contradiction:

Assume path P and Q have the same cost, and P is strictly shorter and P is lexicographically smaller.

Since P is lexicographically smaller, P and Q must start to differ at some point.

In other words, there must be i in P and j in Q such that $i < j$ and

$\text{len}([1 \dots i]) == \text{len}([1 \dots j])$

$P = [1 \dots i \dots n]$

$Q = [1 \dots j \dots n]$

Since i is further away from n there need to be no less steps taken to jump from i to n **unless j to n is not optimal**

So $\text{len}([i \dots n]) \geq \text{len}([j \dots n])$

So $\text{len}(P) \geq \text{len}(Q)$ which contradicts the assumption that P is strictly shorter.

For example:

Input: $[1, 4, 2, 2, 0], 2$

Path $P = [1, 2, 5]$

Path $Q = [1, 3, 4, 5]$

They both have the same cost 4 to reach n

They differ at $i = 2$ in P and $j = 3$ in Q

Here Q is longer but not lexicographically smaller.

Why? Because $j = 3$ to $n = 5$ is not optimal.

The optimal path should be $[1, 3, 5]$ where the cost is only 2

```

public List<Integer> cheapestJump(int[] A, int B) {
    int n = A.length;
    int[] c = new int[n]; // cost
    int[] p = new int[n]; // previous index
    int[] l = new int[n]; // length
    Arrays.fill(c, Integer.MAX_VALUE);
    Arrays.fill(p, -1);
    c[0] = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        if (A[i] == -1) continue;
        for (int j = Math.max(0, i - B); j < i; j++) {
            if (A[j] == -1) continue;
            int alt = c[j] + A[i];
            if (alt < c[i] || alt == c[i] && l[i] < l[j] + 1) {
                c[i] = alt;
                p[i] = j;
                l[i] = l[j] + 1;
            }
        }
    }
    List<Integer> path = new ArrayList<>();
    for (int cur = n - 1; cur >= 0; cur = p[cur]) path.add(0, cur + 1);
    return path.get(0) != 1 ? Collections.emptyList() : path;
}

```

written by [yuxiangmusic](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 2

This is a classic DP problem. $dp[k]$ (starting from $k = 0$) is the minimum coins from A_{k+1} to A_n , and $pos[k]$ is the next place to jump from A_{k+1} .

If working backward from $dp[n-1]$ to $dp[0]$, and considering smaller index first, i.e. $i+1$ to $i+B$, there is no need to worry about lexicographical order. I argue $pos[k]$ always holds the lexicographically smallest path from k to $n-1$, i.e. from A_{k+1} to A_n . The prove is as below.

Clearly, when $k = n-1$, it is true because there is only 1 possible path, which is $[n]$. When $k = i$ and $i < n-1$, we search for an index j , which has smallest cost or smallest j if the same cost. If there are ≥ 2 paths having the same minimum cost, for example,

$P = [k+1, j+1, \dots, n]$

$Q = [k+1, m+1, \dots, n]$ ($m > j$)

The path P with smaller index j is always the lexicographically smaller path.

So the argument is true by induction.

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> cheapestJump(vector<int>& A, int B) {
        vector<int> ans;
        if (A.empty() || A.back() == -1) return ans;
        int n = A.size();
        vector<int> dp(n, INT_MAX), pos(n, -1);
        dp[n-1] = A[n-1];
        // working backward
        for (int i = n-2; i >= 0; i--) {
            if (A[i] == -1) continue;
            for (int j = i+1; j <= min(i+B, n-1); j++) {
                if (dp[j] == INT_MAX) continue;
                if (A[i]+dp[j] < dp[i]) {
                    dp[i] = A[i]+dp[j];
                    pos[i] = j;
                }
            }
        }
        // cannot jump to An
        if (dp[0] == INT_MAX) return ans;
        int k = 0;
        while (k != -1) {
            ans.push_back(k+1);
            k = pos[k];
        }
        return ans;
    }
};
```

written by [zestypan](#) original link [here](#)

Solution 3

```
public List<Integer> cheapestJump(int[] A, int B) {

    int n = A.length;
    int[] dp = new int[n], decisions = new int[n];
    dp[n-1] = A[n-1];
    decisions[n-1] = A[n-1] == -1 ? -2 : -1;
    for (int i=n-2; i>=0; i--) {
        int minHopValue = Integer.MAX_VALUE, minHopIndex = -2;
        for (int j=i+1; j<Math.min(n, i+B+1); j++) {
            if (dp[j] < minHopValue && decisions[j] != -2) {
                minHopValue = dp[j];
                minHopIndex = j;
            }
        }
        dp[i] = A[i] + minHopValue;
        decisions[i] = A[i] == -1 ? -2 : minHopIndex;
    }

    // Construct Path
    List<Integer> res = new LinkedList<>();
    if (decisions[0] == -2) return res;
    int k = 0;
    while (k != -1) {
        res.add(k+1);
        k = decisions[k];
    }

    return res;
}
```

written by [compton_scatter](#) original link [here](#)

From [LeetCoder](#).