

Cost-Effective AI-Driven Indoor Farming Innovations for Sasha Innoworks

Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic
Systems

Technical Report

Prepared for: Sasha Innoworks Pvt. Ltd.

June 27, 2025

Budget: 1,12,000 - 1,62,000 INR

Contents

1	Executive Summary	2
2	Introduction: Strategic Imperatives for Sasha Innworks	2
3	Idea: Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems	2
3.1	Project Focus: Optimizing Lettuce Production	2
3.2	Project Objectives	3
3.3	Technical Scope	3
3.3.1	Hardware Components	3
3.3.2	Software Components	4
3.4	Expected Outcomes & Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)	5
3.5	Cost Analysis: The Most Economical Entry Point	5
3.6	Basic Bill of Materials (BOM) - Comparative Analysis System	5
3.7	Supporting Research & Justification	6
4	Conclusion & Recommendations	6
4.1	Future Research Directions	7
5	Funding Proposal: Advancing Foundational AI-Driven Indoor Farming Research	7
5.1	Purpose of Funding	7
5.2	Amount Requested	7
5.3	Utilization of Funds	7
5.4	Justification for Investment	8
6	Research Papers and Journals	8

1 Executive Summary

This report identifies and refines the single most cost-effective indoor farming innovation poised to significantly enhance operational efficiency and yield for Sasha Innworks: **“Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems.”** This concept represents the most budget-friendly option with explicit cost estimates from the available research, presented entirely in Indian Rupees (INR).

This solution is strategically aligned with Sasha Innworks’ vision for AI-powered precision agriculture, offering an immediate and highly affordable opportunity for foundational research and development. By focusing on this area, the company can gain crucial comparative data, optimize resource utilization, and establish a strong knowledge base for future, more complex advancements, all while maintaining a minimal initial investment.

2 Introduction: Strategic Imperatives for Sasha Innworks

The global agricultural landscape is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by imperatives such as ensuring food security for a growing urban population, adapting to climate change, and optimizing resource utilization. Indoor farming, particularly hydroponics and aeroponics, presents a compelling solution to these challenges by enabling controlled environments that maximize yield per square foot and minimize water usage.

However, the widespread adoption and scalability of indoor farming systems face significant hurdles. Across various indoor farming methodologies, including hydroponics, vertical farming, and aquaponics, persistent challenges include:

- High energy consumption
- Labor-intensive operations
- Inconsistent crop yields
- Demand for specialized technical skills

These are not merely technical obstacles but represent fundamental economic barriers that constrain the broader proliferation and commercial viability of indoor agriculture. High energy costs directly erode profitability, while intensive labor requirements limit scalability and inflate operational expenditures.

Sasha Innworks Pvt. Ltd. is positioned at the forefront of this agricultural revolution, with a clear vision centered on developing AI-powered, robotics-driven precision agriculture systems. This report’s objective is to identify and elaborate on the most cost-effective, impactful indoor farming idea derived from the provided research materials.

3 Idea: Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems

3.1 Project Focus: Optimizing Lettuce Production

This project focuses on the development and comparative analysis of IoT-enabled smart hydroponic and aeroponic systems specifically for optimizing lettuce production. The

rationale behind comparing two leading soilless farming techniques is to gain a comprehensive understanding of their respective advantages and disadvantages, enhance learning, and provide valuable comparative data for future research and market insights.

3.2 Project Objectives

The primary objective is to design, develop, and compare smart hydroponic and aeroponic systems that utilize IoT sensors and machine learning algorithms to optimize lettuce growth while analyzing resource efficiency and yield differences.

Secondary objectives include:

- Creating automated nutrient delivery systems
- Implementing optimized LED lighting
- Developing predictive models for growth comparison
- Analyzing water usage, energy consumption, and yield differences
- Designing a unified monitoring dashboard for both systems

3.3 Technical Scope

The technical scope encompasses both hardware and software components for dual systems:

3.3.1 Hardware Components

System A: NFT Hydroponic Setup

- NFT (Nutrient Film Technique) channels
- 4 growing channels for lettuce (16 plants total)
- Water reservoir with circulation pump
- Rockwool growing medium
- Water quality sensors (pH, EC, temperature, level)
- Environmental sensors (air temp, humidity, light)
- Peristaltic pumps for nutrient dosing
- Water circulation pump with flow control

System B: Aeroponic Setup

- Aeroponic chamber with root suspension
- High-pressure misting system
- 4 growing sites (16 plants total)
- Net pots with minimal growing medium

- High-pressure water pump (40-60 PSI)
- Misting nozzles (5-50 micron droplets)
- Root chamber environmental monitoring
- Misting interval timer control

Shared Components

- Full-spectrum LED grow lights (same for both)
- PWM controllers for intensity adjustment
- Photoperiod timers
- Dual Arduino/Raspberry Pi controllers
- WiFi modules for cloud connectivity
- Shared environmental monitoring
- Centralized data logging system

3.3.2 Software Components

Dual Control System:

- Separate control algorithms for hydroponic and aeroponic systems
- Synchronized environmental controls
- Cross-system data comparison algorithms

Machine Learning:

- Comparative growth prediction models
- System performance optimization
- Resource efficiency analysis
- Anomaly detection for both systems

Unified Interface:

- Comparative dashboard showing both systems
- Real-time performance metrics
- Historical data analysis and trends
- System optimization recommendations

3.4 Expected Outcomes & Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

The project aims to deliver dual functional prototypes, comparative performance data, system optimization algorithms, technical documentation, and research suitable for academic publication, along with scalability recommendations.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):

Growth Performance Comparison:

- Growth rate (days from seed to harvest)
- Yield comparison (fresh weight per plant)
- Root development (mass and health)
- Plant quality (leaf count, color, nutritional content)

Resource Efficiency Analysis:

- Water usage
- Nutrient efficiency
- Energy consumption (per kg of produce)
- System reliability (uptime and maintenance)

Automation Effectiveness:

- Reduction in manual intervention
- System stability (pH and nutrient consistency)
- Predictive accuracy of growth models

3.5 Cost Analysis: The Most Economical Entry Point

The total estimated budget for the “Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems” is approximately **1,12,000 - 1,62,000 INR**. This makes it the most economical option among all detailed ideas.

3.6 Basic Bill of Materials (BOM) - Comparative Analysis System

Component Category	Description	Estimated Cost (INR)
Hydroponic System		
NFT System	NFT channels and reservoir	15,000 - 20,000
Pumps & Controls	Water pumps and controls	8,000 - 12,000
Sensors	Sensors (pH, EC, level)	10,000 - 15,000
Aeroponic System		

Component Category	Description	Estimated Cost (INR)
Pressure System	Pressure pump and chamber	18,000 - 25,000
Misting System	Misting nozzles and fittings	8,000 - 12,000
Controls	Pressure sensors and controls	5,000 - 8,000
Shared Components		
Lighting	LED lighting systems	20,000 - 30,000
Electronics	Controllers and electronics	15,000 - 20,000
Environmental	Environmental sensors	8,000 - 12,000
Miscellaneous	Consumables and misc.	5,000 - 8,000
Total Estimated Cost		1,12,000 - 1,62,000

3.7 Supporting Research & Justification

This project is foundational for understanding the optimal soilless farming method for specific crops and conditions. While the provided research doesn't detail a direct comparative study, it lays the groundwork for the individual components:

- **IEEE Aquaponics:** Provides context on controlled vs. uncontrolled aquaponics, highlighting the benefits of automation and sensor usage, relevant for both systems.
- **MDPI Hydroponics:** Offers insights into smart hydroponic systems, sensor types, and remote monitoring, crucial for the hydroponic setup.
- **Technical Report on Indoor Farming Systems:** This comprehensive report provides definitions, classifications, and technological frameworks relevant to both hydroponic and aeroponic systems.

4 Conclusion & Recommendations

The analysis strongly supports the strategic pursuit of "Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems." This concept stands out due to its exceptional cost-effectiveness, precise alignment with identified industry problems, and direct synergy with Sasha Innoworks' core competencies in AI-powered precision agriculture.

To capitalize on this opportunity, the following actionable next steps are recommended:

Phase 1: Detailed Design & Prototyping (0-3 months) Initiate the comprehensive hardware and software design for both hydroponic and aeroponic systems. This phase should focus on robust sensor-actuator integration and the initial setup of data collection mechanisms.

Phase 2: Pilot Testing & Data Collection (3-9 months) Deploy functional prototypes of both systems in controlled, small-scale indoor farming environments. A

critical objective during this phase will be the systematic collection of comprehensive performance data.

Phase 3: AI/ML Analysis & Optimization (9-12 months) Based on the insights gleaned from pilot data, develop and refine machine learning algorithms for comparative growth prediction and system performance optimization.

Phase 4: Knowledge Dissemination & Future Planning (12-15 months) Document all findings, prepare research for potential academic publication, and develop clear recommendations for which soilless farming method is most suitable for various applications.

4.1 Future Research Directions

For further research and development, it is advisable to:

- **Explore advanced sensor fusion:** Investigating multi-spectral or hyperspectral imaging for granular plant health monitoring
- **Modular design for broader application:** Adapting systems for comprehensive solutions and scalable deployment
- **Digital Twin Integration (Long-term):** Building simplified digital twins for optimization and predictive modeling

5 Funding Proposal: Advancing Foundational AI-Driven Indoor Farming Research

5.1 Purpose of Funding

Sasha Innworks Pvt. Ltd. seeks funding to initiate the research, development, and pilot deployment of a highly cost-effective and impactful AI-driven indoor farming innovation: the “Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems.” This foundational project will enable the company to gain critical insights into optimal soilless farming methodologies.

5.2 Amount Requested

We are requesting a total of **1,62,000 INR** to cover the development and initial pilot testing phases for the “Comparative Analysis of Smart Hydroponic vs Aeroponic Systems” project.

5.3 Utilization of Funds

The requested funds will be strategically allocated across the following key areas:

- **Hardware Procurement (74,000 - 1,07,000 INR):** Acquisition of all necessary components for both hydroponic and aeroponic setups
- **Software Development & Integration:** Development of dual control algorithms, machine learning models, and unified monitoring dashboard

- **Consumables & Miscellaneous (5,000 - 8,000 INR):** Initial plant seedlings, growing mediums, nutrients, and operational expenses
- **Testing & Data Collection:** Resources for rigorous parallel growth cycles and performance validation
- **Project Management & Documentation:** Project oversight, technical documentation, and research preparation

5.4 Justification for Investment

Investing in this project offers a compelling return:

- **Unparalleled Cost-Effectiveness:** Most affordable entry point into AI-driven indoor farming research
- **Foundational Knowledge:** Critical comparative data on efficiency and resource consumption
- **Data-Driven Optimization:** Framework for collecting and analyzing real-world data
- **Strategic Alignment:** Direct support for Sasha Innworks' AI-powered precision agriculture vision
- **Scalability & Future Growth:** Insights for designing commercially viable solutions

6 Research Papers and Journals

For further research and development, the following papers provide foundational and advanced insights relevant to smart indoor farming systems:

1. **IEEE Aquaponics** (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8089192>): Context on controlled vs. uncontrolled aquaponics, automation benefits
2. **MDPI Hydroponics** (<https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0472/13/6/1191>): Insights into smart hydroponic systems, sensor types, and remote monitoring
3. **Technical Report on Indoor Farming Systems:** Comprehensive definitions, classifications, and technological frameworks
4. **Reinforcement Learning in Hydroponics** (<https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/3/42424.pdf>): Application of reinforcement learning for nutrient optimization
5. **Robotic Implementation** (<https://public.eng.fau.edu/design/fcrar2017/papers/RoboticImplementation.pdf>): Upgrading indoor vertical hydroponic farms with robotic automation
6. **Digital Twin for Indoor Farming** (<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00466-023-02421-9>): Smart computer models for improving indoor farming efficiency