Source Coding _____

Introduction Diagram of a general communication system. Discrete sources output of the source is in discrete time and discrete valued. Source Coding representation of information sources in bits. Source Code Function $C: U \mapsto \{0, 1\}^* = \{\emptyset, 0, 1, 00, ...\}.$ Non-Singular Codes A code C is singular if $\exists u \neq v / C(u) = C(v)$. A code C is non-singular if it is not singular. With a code C define for a positive integer $n: C^n: U^n \mapsto \{0,1\}^*$ as $C^{n}(u_{1}, u_{2}, ..., u_{n}) = C(u_{1})C(u_{2})...C(u_{n})$ $C^*: U^* \mapsto \{0,1\}^*$ as $C^*(u_1u_2...u_n) = C(u_1)C(u_2)...C(u_n)$ Uniquely Decodable Codes A code ${\cal C}$ is said to be

uniquals decodable if C^* is non-singular. We want our codes to be uniquals decodable. Prefix-Free Codes A sequence $u_1,...u_n$ is a prefix of $v_1, ..., v_n$ if $n \ge m/u_1 = v_1, ..., u_m = v_m$. A code

C is said to be *prefix-free* if $\forall u \neq v \ C(u)$ is not a prefix of C(v). Theorem A prefix-free code is uniquely decodable. (In a binary-tree representation of a PF code all

codewords are found on the leaves). Kraft's Inequality for PF Codes Theorem If C is PF then $Kraftsum(C) \triangleq \sum_{u \in U} 2^{-length(C(u))} \leq 1$. $Proposition \ Kraftsum(C^n) = [Kraftsum(C)]^n$. Kraft's Inequality for extensions of codes *Proposition* Suppose $C: U \mapsto \{0,1\}^*$ is a non-singular code then

 $Kraftsum(C) = \sum_{u \in U} 2^{-length(C(u))} \le$ 1 + max [length(C(u))]

Kraft's Inequality for uniquely decodable codes Theorem If C is a uniquely decodable code then Kraftsum(C) < 1. Corollary If C is a uniquely decodable code then there exists a PF code C^\prime such that lenath(C(u)) = lenath(C'(u)).

Reverse Kraft's inequality Theorem Given an alphabet U and a function

 $\begin{array}{l} l: u \mapsto \{0,1,2,3,\ldots\}/\sum_{u \in U} 2^{-length(C(u))} \leq 1 \\ \text{then there exist a PF code } C: U \mapsto \{0,1\}^*/ \ \forall u \in U \end{array}$ length(C(u)) = l(u)

Sources A source producer a sequence $u_1, u_2, u_3, ...$ each $u_i \in U$ being random variables. A memory-less source is one where $u_1, u_2, ...$ are independent. A stationary source is one where each $(u_i, ..., u_{i+n-1})$ has the same statistics as $(u_1, ..., u_n)$ for each i and each n. A memory-less and stationary source is equivalent to $u_1, u_2, ...$ are independent, identically distributed (iid).

Expected codeword length E[length(C(u))] average number of bits/letter the code uses to represent the source. We want to minimize it and C to be uniquely decodable.

_ Entropy ____

Lemma $ln(z) \le z^{-1}$ with eq if z = 1. Property $0 \le H(U) \le log|U|$ Entropy as a lower-bound to the expected codeword length Theorem For any uniquely

decodable code ${\cal C}$ for a source ${\cal U}$, we have $E\left[length(C(u))\right] \ge \sum_{u} p(u)log_2 \frac{1}{p(u)} \triangleq H(u)$ Existence of PF codes with average length at most entropy + 1 Theorem Given source U there exists a PF code C s.t. E[length(C(u))] > H(u) + 1Entropy of multiple random variables *Property* Suppose U and V are ind. RV. Then H(UV) = H(U) + H(V). Observe Suppose we have $U_1U_2...$ iid. If we use a code C to represent n letters at time., we will have $H(U_1...U_n) <$ $E[length(C(U_1...U_n))] < H(U_1...U_n) + 1$. Also $\frac{1}{2}H(U_1...U_n) = H(U_1)$ (iid of U). Properties of optimal codes 1 If p(u) < p(v) then l(u) > l(v). 2 In an optimal PF code there are more than 2 longest codewords. If not the longest codeword can be shortered without violating the PF condition. 3 Among optimal codes, there is one for the two least probable symbols are siblings. Huffman procedure Procedure to design the optimal code. 1 Given prob $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-1}, p_k$. Start with the two smallest prob. 2 Group them together as the binary descendant of a node. 3 Repeat until one node is left.

Equivalence of PF codes and strategy for guessing via binary questions TODO

Interpretation of entropy as expected number of questions for guessing the random variable TODO

Mutual Information

Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information Conditional Entropy

 $H(U|V=v) = \sum_{u} p(u|v) \log \frac{1}{p(u|v)}$ $H(U|V) = \sum_{v} p(v)H(U|V=v)$. Conjecture $H(U|V) \leq H(U)$. Mutual Information I(U;V) = H(U) + H(V) - H(UV) is the saving in the number of questions to given U by the knowledge of V. Lemma Suppose W is an alphabet and p and q are two prob distribution in W. Then, $\sum_{w} p(w) log \frac{p(w)}{q(w)} \geq 0$ with eq. iff p = q. Theorem I(U;V) > 0 with eq iff U and V are independent. Conditional Mutual Information I(U; V|W) = H(U|W) + H(V|W) - H(UV|W) =

H(U|W) - H(U|VW) = H(V|W) - H(V|UW)Theorem I(U;V|W) > 0 with eq. iff U,V are independent conditional in $W \equiv U - V - W$. Chain Rules for entropy and mutual information

Theorem

H(UV) = H(U) + H(V|U) = H(V) + H(U|V)Theorem $H(U_1...U_n) =$ $H(U_1) + H(U_2|U_1) + ... + H(U_n|U_1...U_{n-1})$

Theorem $I(U_1...U_n, V) = I(U_1; V) + ... + I(U_n; V | U_1...U_{n-1})$ Review of Markov Chain Suppose X.Y.Z are RVs.

We can write p(xyz) = p(x)p(y|x)p(z|xy). Because $p(y|x) = \frac{p(xy)}{p(x)}. \text{ If } X - Y - Z \text{ then}$

p(xyz) = p(x)p(y|x)p(z|y). Suppose

 $U_1 - U_2 - ... - U_n$ then $H(U_1...U_n) = H(U_1) + H(U_2|U_1) + ... + H(U_n|U_{n-1})$ Data Processing Inequality Theorem Suppose U - V - W then I(U; W) < I(U; V) Corollary If U-V-W then I(U;W) < I(V;W). Corollary If U - V - W - X then $I(U; X) \leq I(V; W)$. I(UV; W) = I(U; W) + I(V; W|U) =I(V;W) + I(U;W|V). Entropy Rate Given a stochastic process $U_1, U_2...$ we

 $H(U) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(u_1...u_n)$ if the limit exists. Entropy Rate of Stationary Processes Theorem If u_1, u_2, \dots is stationary process, then the entropy rate

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(u_1...u_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} H(u_n|u_1...u_{n-1})$ Coding Theorem for Stationary Sources Theorem If U_1, U_2, \dots is a stationary process with entropy rate H, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a source code $C_n:U^n\to\{0,1\}^*$ s.t. the average code length is less than $H + \varepsilon$

Fixed-to-Fixed Length Source Codes Codes of type $U \to \{0,1\}^* \text{ or } U^n \to \{0,1\}^*$

______ Typicality _

Typicality TODO Properties of Typical Sets TODO

define its entropy rate

Asymptotic Equipartition Property TODO Source Coding by AEP TODO

Variable-to-Fixed Length Source Codes TODO Valid and Prefix-Free Dictionaries TODO Relationship between word- and letter-entropies for

valid, prefix-free dictionaries TODO

_____ Tunstall procedure

Tunstall procedure TODO Analysis of Tunstall procedure TODO Universal Source Coding TODO LempelZiv method TODO

Analysis of LempelZiv TODO

Information-Lossless FSM Compressors TODO Lower bound on the output length of an IL FSM Compressor TODO

LZ Compressibility of sequences TODO Optimality of LempelZiv TODO

___ Channels

Communication Channels TODO Discrete Memoryless Channels TODO Examples of Discrete Memoryless Channels (BSC and BEC) TODO Transmission with or without feedback TODO Channel Capacity TODO Fano's Inequality TODO Converse to the Channel Coding Theorem TODO Proof of the Channel Coding Theorem TODO Capacity of BSC and BEC TODO Jensen's Inequality TODO Concavity of Mutual Information in Input Distribution TODO

KKT Conditions TODO

KKT Conditions (cont'd) TODO

Application of KKT: Capacity of Z Channel TODO

Continuous Alphabet: Differential Entropy TODO

Properties of differential entropy TODO

Entropy-typical sequences TODO

Quantization TODO

Entropy of Gaussian distribution TODO

Capacity under cost constraint TODO

Capacity of AWGN TODO

Converse to the channel coding theorem with cost constraint TODO

Parallel Gaussian channels (water-filling) TODO

Proof of Channel Coding Theorem for general channels via Threshold Decoding TODO

Channel Codes TODO

Minimum Distance TODO

Singleton Bound TODO

Sphere-packing Bound TODO

GilbertVarshamov Bound TODO

Linear Codes TODO

Generator Matrix TODO

Parity-check Matrix TODO

Hamming Codes TODO

ReedSolomon Codes TODO

Polar Codes TODO



Most content taken from the lecture notes of Emre Telatar's Information Theory and Coding class at EPFL, 2015.

Rendered December 30, 2015. Written by Lucile Madoulaud.