Multiple Linear Regression: Categorical Predictors

Author: Nicholas G Reich, Jeff Goldsmith

This material is part of the statsTeachR project

Made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/deed.en_US

Today's Lecture

■ Global F tests: review and examples

Addressing multiple comparisons

You should be concerned about Family-Wise Error Rates!

Three general approaches

- Do nothing in a reasonable way
 - ▶ Don't trust scientifically implausible results
 - Don't over-emphasize isolated findings
- Correct for multiple comparisons
 - ▶ Often, use the Bonferroni correction and use $\alpha_i = \alpha/k$ for each test
 - ▶ Thanks to the Bonferroni inequality, this gives an overall $FWER \leq \alpha$
- Use a global test

Global tests: an overview/review

Compare a smaller "null" model to a larger "alternative" model

- Smaller model must be nested in the larger model
- That is, the smaller model must be a special case of the larger model
- For both models, the RSS gives a general idea about how well the model is fitting
- In particular, something like

$$\frac{RSS_S - RSS_L}{RSS_I}$$

compares the relative RSS of the models

Global F tests: a common categorical example

"Null" Model: $dis_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 nut_i$

"Null" Model + Educ: $dis_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 nut_i + \beta_2 educ_{6,i} + \cdots + \beta_{15} educ_{14,i}$

```
mlrNull <- lm(disease ~ nutrition, data = dat)</pre>
mlr1 <- lm(disease ~ nutrition + factor(education), data = dat)
summary(mlr1)$coef
##
                      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                      34.66557
                                          7.1878 2.042e-10
                                 4.82285
## nutrition
                     -0.04542
                                  0.01829 -2.4836 1.490e-02
## factor(education)6 -0.91672
                                  7.55158 -0.1214 9.037e-01
## factor(education)7 18.52195
                                  5.86892 3.1559 2.191e-03
## factor(education)8 13.01127
                                  5.23270 2.4865 1.479e-02
## factor(education)9 16.90911
                                  5.23535 3.2298 1.742e-03
## factor(education)10 22.07698
                                  5.08983 4.3375 3.828e-05
## factor(education)11 21.89305
                                  5.26040
                                          4.1619 7.332e-05
## factor(education)12 24.86794
                                  5.55041
                                          4.4804 2.231e-05
## factor(education)13 19.72658
                                  6.76774 2.9148 4.513e-03
## factor(education)14 20.74128
                                  9.57768 2.1656 3.305e-02
```

Global F tests: a common categorical example

"Null" Model: $dis_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 nut_i$

"Null" Model + Educ: $dis_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 nut_i + \beta_2 educ_{6,i} + \cdots + \beta_{15} educ_{14,i}$

```
mlrNull <- lm(disease ~ nutrition, data = dat)
mlr1 <- lm(disease ~ nutrition + factor(education), data = dat)
anova(mlrNull, mlr1)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: disease ~ nutrition
## Model 2: disease ~ nutrition + factor(education)
## Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
## 1 97 9193
## 2 88 6022 9 3171 5.15 1.3e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Global F tests

A couple of important special cases for the F test

- The null model contains the intercept only
 - ▶ When people say ANOVA, this is often what they mean (although all F tests are based on an analysis of variance)
- The null model and the alternative model differ only by one term
 - ► Gives a way of testing for a single coefficient
 - lacktriangle Turns out to be equivalent to a two-sided t-test: $t_{df_l}^2 \sim F_{1,df_L}$

Lung data: single coefficient test

The F test is equivalent to the t test when there's only one parameter of interest

```
mlrNull <- lm(disease ~ nutrition, data = dat)
mlr2 <- lm(disease ~ nutrition + airqual, data = dat)
anova(mlrNull, mlr2)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: disease ~ nutrition
## Model 2: disease ~ nutrition + airqual
## Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
## 1 97 9193
## 2 96 5970 1 3223 51.8 1.3e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
summary(mlr2)$coef
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 37.6254 2.43946 15.42 9.946e-28
## nutrition -0.0347 0.01692 -2.05 4.307e-02
## airqual 0.3611 0.05016 7.20 1.347e-10
```

Today's Big Ideas

F tests can control for multiple comparisons!

■ hands-on example

Today's Big Ideas

■ Global tests: examples and special circumstances