

Calculus II

Alessio Esposito

May 19, 2023

Theorem 1. A is closed \iff every accumulation point for A is in A

Proof. " \implies " Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $A = A \cup \partial A$.

Then $\forall p \in \bar{D}(A)$, $C_r(p) \setminus_p \cap A \neq \emptyset \ \forall C \in \mathcal{C}_p$.

if $p \notin A$ then $C_r(p)$ has elements that don't belong to $A \Rightarrow p \in \partial A$.

" \impliedby " Let $p \in \partial A \Rightarrow \forall C \in \mathcal{C}_p$ of center r with $r \in \mathbb{R}$ by definition we can find some $x \in C \setminus_p \cap A$, so that means $p \in \bar{D}(A) \Rightarrow p \in A$. \square

1 Limits

Definition 1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and (x_0, y_0) an accumulation point for A . we define A^* as follows:

$A^* = \{(\rho, \theta) \in [0, +\infty) \times [0, 2\pi] : (x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta), y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta)) \in A\}$.

Proposition 1. Lets suppose that exist a circle C of center (x_0, y_0) such that $C \setminus_{\{(x_0, y_0)\}} \subseteq A$ let r be the radius of the circle and as a consequence $(0, r] \times [0, 2\pi] \subseteq A^*$

Proof. Let $C \setminus_{\{(x_0, y_0)\}}$ and $\begin{cases} 0 < \rho \leq r \\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \end{cases}$ if $(\rho, \theta) \in (0, r] \times [0, 2\pi]$

then $(x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta), y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta)) \in C \setminus_{\{(x_0, y_0)\}} \subseteq A \Rightarrow (\rho, \theta) \in A^*$. \square

Definition 2. Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\forall \rho \in (0, r]$ we define $\varphi_\theta(\rho) = F(\rho, \theta)$ if $\rho \in (0, r]$, $(\rho, \theta) \in A^*$ so the $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\rho) = l \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$.

If that limit exists that means $\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0 \ \forall \rho \in (0, r]$ with $\rho < \delta \ \ |\varphi_\theta - l| < \varepsilon$.

We say that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\rho) = l \in \mathbb{R}$ Uniformly With Respect To (U.W.R.T.) θ .

Theorem 2. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with (x_0, y_0) accumulation point for A .

Follows the equivalence:

$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} f(x, y) = l \in \bar{\mathbb{R}} \iff \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = l \text{ U.W.R.T. } \theta$.

Proof. Let $l \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$.

" \implies " $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} f(x, y) = l$ so $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall (x, y) \in A$

with $\|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)\| < \delta, \ |f(x, y) - l| < \varepsilon$.

We have to prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], \ \forall \rho \in (0, r]$

with $\rho < \delta \ \ |F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0, \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi], \ \rho \in (0, r]$ with $\rho < \delta$. we create the system that changes the coordinates from cartesian to polars:

$$\begin{cases} x = x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta) \\ y = y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta) \end{cases} \quad \rho = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}$$

$\rho \in (0, r], \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \in (0, r] \times [0, 2\pi] \subseteq A^*, \ (\rho, \theta) \in A^* \Rightarrow (x, y) \in A$.

Now $0 < \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} = \rho < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x, y) - l| < \varepsilon$.

$\Rightarrow |f(x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta), y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta)) - l| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \varepsilon$.

" \impliedby " $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta \leq r : \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\forall \rho$ with $0 < \rho < \delta \Rightarrow$

$|F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \varepsilon$.

We have to prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall (x, y) \in A$ with

$\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} = \|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x, y) - l| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0, \ \delta \leq r, \ (x, y) \in A, \ \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} < \delta$, we switch coordinates with ρ and θ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x = x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta) \\ y = y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta) \end{cases} \quad \rho = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}$$

$0 < \rho < \delta \leq r \Rightarrow \rho \in (0, r), \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.

We notice that $|F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \varepsilon$, so $|f(x_0 + \rho \cos(\theta), y_0 + \rho \sin(\theta)) - l| < \varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow |f(x, y) - l| < \varepsilon$. \square

Definition 3. We say that $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ is admissible if $0 \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}(A_\theta)$.

Definition 4. Let's suppose that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = l \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\forall \rho \in (0, r]$, $\varphi(\rho) = \sup \{|F(\rho, \theta) - l| : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$

Theorem 3. $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = l \in \mathbb{R}$ U.W.R.T. $\theta \iff \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\rho) = 0$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\forall \rho \in (0, r]$ with $\rho < \delta$ $|F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ so $|\varphi(\rho)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\rho) = 0$. $(\Leftarrow) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \forall \rho \in (0, r]$ with $\rho < \delta$ $\varphi(\rho) < \varepsilon$ but $|F(\rho, \theta) - l| \leq \varphi(\rho) \forall \theta$ so if $\rho \in (0, r]$ and $\rho < \delta$ $|F(\rho, \theta) - l| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = l$ U.W.R.T. θ □

Corollary 1. $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = l \in \mathbb{R}$ U.W.R.T. $\theta \iff \exists$ a function $\psi(\rho)$ such that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \psi(\rho) = 0$ and $\forall \theta$ $|F(\rho, \theta) - l| \leq \psi(\rho)$.

Corollary 2. Let's suppose that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = +\infty$.

$\forall \rho \in (0, r]$ let $h(\rho) = \inf\{F(\rho, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ so then $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = +\infty$ U.W.R.T. $\theta \iff \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} h(\rho) = +\infty$

Obs 1. $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = +\infty$ U.W.R.T. $\theta \iff \exists$ a function $K(\rho)$ s.t.

$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} K(\rho) = +\infty$ and $F(\rho, \theta) \geq K(\rho)$

Corollary 3. Let's suppose that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = -\infty$.

$\forall \rho \in (0, r]$ let $g(\rho) = \sup\{F(\rho, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ so then $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\rho, \theta) = -\infty$ U.W.R.T. $\theta \iff \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} g(\rho) = -\infty$

Definition 5. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A open.

let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$, $\varphi(x) = f(x, y_0)$ and $\psi = f(x_0, y)$. A is open that means that those two functions are well defined.

Differentiability

Definition 6. Let be $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A Open. Let $\bar{x} \in A$ and let $i \leq n$, we denote as $\varphi_i(x_i) = f(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_{i-1}, \bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$. Notice that \bar{x} is an internal point so then it exist an interval where φ_i is well defined.

Definition 7. We say that f is partially derivable with respect to the variable x_i in the point \bar{x} if φ_i is derivable in that point. We denote as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ the partial derivative with respect to x_i in the point \bar{x} .

Definition 8. The gradient of a function in n variables is defined as follows:

$$\nabla f : \bar{x} \in A \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A open, and let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$.
 $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0)) \in \mathcal{G}(f)$. The equation of the plane that passes for
 $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ is $Z = f(x_0, y_0) + a(X - x_0) + b(Y - y_0)$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 9. We say that f is partially derivable with respect to x in (x_0, y_0) if φ is differentiable in x_0 . in that case we φ is the partial derivative of f in the variable x and its written $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

Definition 10. We define the gradient as $\nabla f : (x, y) \in A \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Definition 11. We say that f is differentiable in the point (x_0, y_0) if exists $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0) - a(X - x_0) - b(Y - y_0)}{\|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)\|} = 0 \quad (\Delta)$$

f is differentiable in the point (x_0, y_0) if exists a plane that passes in the point $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ that approximates the graph of the function f .

Proposition 2. If f is differentiable in the point (x_0, y_0) , f is partially derivable with respect to x and y such that $a = \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}$ and $b = \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}$

Definition 12. if f is differentiable in a point $(x, y) \in A$, the differential in the point is defined as follows:

$$d_{(x, y)} f : (h, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} h + \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} k \in \mathbb{R}$$

Definition 13. More in general if $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in A$:

$$d_{\mathbf{x}}^r f : h \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = r}} \frac{r!}{i_1! \dots i_n!} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_n}}(\mathbf{x}) h_1^{i_1}, \dots, h_n^{i_n} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Corollary 4. f is differentiable in the point $(x_0, y_0) \iff f$ is partially derivable in the point (x_0, y_0) and the (Δ) is true.

Definition 14. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A open and $k > 0$ a positive integer. let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ and if f has differentiable derivatives of order $k - 1$ we define the "k-grade Taylor polinomia" as follows:

$$P_k(x, y) = f(x_0, y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i!} d_{(x_0, y_0)}^i f(x - x_0, y - y_0)$$

Theorem 4. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A . If $\exists \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ in A and are continuos in a point (x_0, y_0) , then the function is differentiable in (x_0, y_0) .

Proof. We have to prove that:

$$\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}(x - x_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}(y - y_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}} = 0$$

Lets add and subtract $f(x, y_0)$, so one has:

$$f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0) = f(x, y) - f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)$$

We call $\varphi(t) = f(x, t)$ where $t \in I[y, y_0]$ and $I[y, y_0] = \begin{cases} [y, y_0] & y \leq y_0 \\ [y_0, y] & y_0 \leq y \end{cases}$

φ is derivable and for the Lagrange theorem $\exists y_1 \in I[y, y_0] : \varphi(y) - \varphi(y_0) = \dot{\varphi}(y_1)(y - y_0)$. So one has $f(x, y) - f(x, y_0) = \frac{\partial f(x, y_1)}{\partial y}(y - y_0)$, and we repeat the same reasoning for the other variable and one will have $f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial f(x_1, y_0)}{\partial x}(x - x_0)$ We have then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}(x - x_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}(y - y_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{\frac{\partial f(x_1, y_0)}{\partial x}(x - x_0) - \frac{\partial f(x, y_1)}{\partial y}(y - y_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x}(x - x_0) - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y}(y - y_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{\left(\frac{\partial f(x_1, y_0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x} \right)(x - x_0) + \left(\frac{\partial f(x, y_1)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y} \right)(y - y_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

The last member is increased by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial f(x_1, y_0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x} \right| \frac{|x - x_0|}{\|(x - x_0, y - y_0)\|} + \left| \frac{\partial f(x, y_1)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y} \right| \frac{|y - y_0|}{\|(x - x_0, y - y_0)\|} \leq \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\partial f(x_1, y_0)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f(x, y_1)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y} \right| \end{aligned}$$

And since $x \rightarrow x_0 \Rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_0$ and $y \rightarrow y_1 \Rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_0$ so the second member of the inequality is equal to zero. □

Theorem 5. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A open. If the function is differentiable in a point $\mathbf{x} \in A$ then is continuos in that point.

Proof. Since we have:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathbf{x}} \frac{f(x) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} (x_i - \mathbf{x}_i)}{\|x - \mathbf{x}\|} = 0$$

If we fix an $\varepsilon = 1$ there exists $\delta > 0 : \forall x \in A$ with $0 < \|x - \mathbf{x}\| < \delta$ one has:

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})}{\|x - \mathbf{x}\|} \right| < \varepsilon \implies |f(x) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})| < \|x - \mathbf{x}\|$$

So we have the the following:

$$|f(x) - f(\mathbf{x})| - |\nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})| \leq |f(x) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})| < \|x - \mathbf{x}\| \triangle$$

The \triangle implies that $|f(x) - f(\mathbf{x})| - |\nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})| \leq |\nabla f(\mathbf{x})(x - \mathbf{x})| + \|x - \mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \|x - \mathbf{x}\| + \|x - \mathbf{x}\|$. The last member of the inequality is equal to $\|x - \mathbf{x}\| (\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| + 1) \triangle$ so, if $0 < \|x - \mathbf{x}\| < \delta$ then by calling the $\triangle = c$ one finally has:

$$0 < |f(x) - f(\mathbf{x})| \leq c \|x - \mathbf{x}\| \rightarrow 0 \Leftarrow x \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(\mathbf{x})| \rightarrow 0$$

Or equivalently: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathbf{x}} f(x) = f(\mathbf{x})$. □

Theorem (Schwartz).¹ Let $f : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function in two variables defined on a open set Ω .

If f admits continuous second derivatives in the point $(f \in C^2(\Omega))$ then $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$.

Proof. Let $p = (x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$ and chose two real numbers $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that $(x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \delta) \times (y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta) \subset \Omega$. That is possible since Ω is Open. Lets also define the two functions F and G as follows:

$$F : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$G : (-\delta, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

In the way that:

$$F(t) = f(x_0 + t, y_0 + s) - f(x_0 + t, y_0) \quad \forall s \in (-\delta, \delta)$$

$$G(s) = f(x_0 + t, y_0 + s) - f(x_0, y_0 + s) \quad \forall t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

It can be easily proved that: $F(t) - F(0) = G(s) - G(0)$ also if we apply the Lagrange theorem two times one has: $F(t) - F(0) = t\dot{F}(\xi_1)$ with $t\dot{F}(\xi_1)$ equal to: $t \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0 + \xi_1, y_0 + s) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0 + \xi_1, y_0) \right] = ts \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x_0 + \xi_1, y_0 + \sigma_1)$. The same reasoning can be applied to $G(s) - G(0)$ obtaining: $st \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_0 + \xi_2, y_0 + \sigma_2)$ with $\xi_i \in (0, t)$ and $\sigma_i \in (0, s)$ where without loss of generality we can say $t, s > 0$.

Thinking about $t \rightarrow 0$ and $s \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_i \rightarrow 0$ with the continuity of the two derivatives one has: $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_0, y_0)$. \square

Directional Derivatives

If we take $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on an open set A , $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ and a vector of unitary norm $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2)$, the Directional derivative of $f(x_0, y_0)$ along the direction \vec{v} can be defined as the limit if it exists and its finite:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}}(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tv_1, y_0 + tv_2) - f(x_0, y_0)}{t}$$

Study of the maxima and minima

Definition 15. If a partial derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ of a function $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is partially derivable with respect to x in a point $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ we say that f is partially derivable two times with respect to x in the point (x_0, y_0) and it will be denoted as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} f_x(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_0, y_0)$.

The same goes for the other partial derivatives: $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_x = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_y = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}, \dots$

Definition 16. We define the hessian matrix as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 17. The determinant of $\mathcal{D}^2 f$ is:

$$\mathcal{H}(x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and is called the Hessian determinant.

Definition 18. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ is maxima (minima) for f if $\forall (x, y) \in A$, $f(x, y) \leq f(x_0, y_0)$ ($f(x, y) \geq f(x_0, y_0)$).

Theorem 6. If f is continuous and A is compact, f admits minima and maxima.

Theorem 7. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $(x_0, y_0) \in \dot{A}$ a relative extreme and let f be partially derivable in (x_0, y_0) , so then $\frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial y} = 0$.

The points where the partial derivatives are 0 are said "critical points" of f , $(x_0, y_0) \in \dot{A}$ is an extreme relative $\Rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ is a critical point for f (\Leftarrow).

Obs 2. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ and let $g(x, y) = f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)$, (x_0, y_0) is a relative minimum (relative maximum) for $f \iff \exists$ a circle C of center (x_0, y_0) such that $g \geq 0$ ($g \leq 0$).

Theorem 8. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $(x_0, y_0) \in \dot{A}$ a relative extreme $\implies \mathcal{H}(x_0, y_0) \geq 0$.

Theorem 9. Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathbf{C}^2$. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in \dot{A}$ a critical point and lets suppose that $\mathcal{H}(x_0, y_0) > 0 \implies (x_0, y_0)$ is a relative extreme and is maximum or minimum depending on $\frac{\partial^2 f(x_0, y_0)}{\partial x^2}$ be < 0 or > 0 .

¹ Ω this time is used instead of A

Implicit functions

By defining a function $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the expression: $f(x, y) = 0 \diamond$ means that one can consider the variable x as a parameter and y as unknown and the question is when, $\forall x \exists! y$ such that the \diamond is true.

Definition 19. The equation defines implicitly y as a function of x if $\forall x, \exists! y : f(x, y) = 0$ in that case the function g is defined as:

$$g : x \mapsto y \Rightarrow f(x, y) = 0$$

Proposition 3. The equation $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ defines implicitly y as a function of x , the set of all the zeros of f is equal to the graph of the implicit function.

Proof. (x_0, y_0) is a zero of $f \iff f(x_0, y_0) = 0 \iff y_0 = g(x_0) \iff (x_0, y_0) \in Gr(g)$ □

Theorem (Implicit Functions). Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ lets suppose that f and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ are continuous. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ be a zero of the function where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. **i.** Then there exists an open interval I of center x_0 and an open interval J of center $y_0 : I \times J \subseteq A$ and $\forall x \in I, \exists! y \in J : f(x, y) = 0$. **ii.** Also if $g : I \rightarrow J$ is the implicit function, g is continuous and $g(x_0) = y_0$. **iii.** In addition if $\exists \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, g is derivable and $\forall x \in I, \dot{g}(x) = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, g(x))}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, g(x))}$. **iv.** Furthermore $f \in C^k$, then $g \in C^k$.

Proof. **i.** By hypothesis $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \neq 0$. Lets suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) > 0$, so for the sign permanence theorem there exists a rectangle $R_0 = [x_0 - \alpha, x_0 + \alpha] \times [y_0 - \beta, y_0 + \beta] \subseteq A : \forall (x, y) \in R_0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) > 0$. Let $\varphi : y \in [y_0 - \beta, y_0 + \beta] \mapsto f(x_0, y)$, by hypothesis $\exists \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ so, by definition φ is derivable and $\dot{\varphi}(y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y) > 0 \Rightarrow \varphi$ is strictly growing. $\varphi(y_0) = f(x_0, y_0) = 0, \varphi(y_0 + b) > \varphi(y_0) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x_0, y_0 + b) > 0$ and $f(x_0, y_0 - b) < 0$. Lets define:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 : x \in [x_0 - \alpha, x_0 + \alpha] &\mapsto f(x, y_0 - b) \\ \varphi_2 : x \in [x_0 - \alpha, x_0 + \alpha] &\mapsto f(x, y_0 + b) \end{aligned}$$

φ_1 and φ_2 are continuous because f is continuous and $\varphi_1(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0 - b) < 0$ and $\varphi_2(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0 + b) > 0$ so there exists an interval $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \subseteq [x_0 - \alpha, x_0 + \alpha] : \forall x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta], \varphi_1(x) < 0$ and $\varphi_2(x) > 0$. Now $\forall x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta], f(x, y_0 - b) < 0$ and $f(x, y_0 + b) > 0$ if we take an $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ and define:

$$\psi : y \in [y_0 - b, y_0 + b] \mapsto f(x, y)$$

One has that ψ is derivable and $\dot{\psi}(y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) > 0$ that implies ψ is strictly growing and also continuous. $\psi(y_0 - b) = f(x, y_0 - b) < 0$ and $\psi(y_0 + b) = f(x, y_0 + b) > 0$ for the zeros theorem, $\exists y \in (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ where $\psi(y) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x, y) = 0$. Also y is unique since ψ is strictly growing and that means it can't become zero in two different points. **ii.** Let $g : I \mapsto J$ the implicit function defined by the equation $f(x, y) = 0$. $\forall x \in I, f(x, g(x)) = 0$ and $f(x_0, y_0) \Rightarrow y_0 = g(x_0)$ we have to demonstrate that g is continuous, so let $\bar{x} \in I$ and the claim is $\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} g(x) = g(\bar{x})$. $\forall x \in I, g(x)$ and $g(\bar{x})$ are two distinct points of $J \Rightarrow K[g(x), g(\bar{x})] \subseteq J$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \circ & & \bullet & & \bullet & & \bullet & & \circ \\ y_0 - b & & g(x) & & y_0 & & g(\bar{x}) & & y_0 + b \end{array}$$

$\forall x \in I$, let $\psi : y \in K[g(x), g(\bar{x})] \mapsto f(x, y)$ □

Bound extremes

Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with A open. If $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ then we define $E_0 = \{x \in A : g(x) = 0\}$. $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$ so $g(x) = 0$ is equivalent to say:

$$\begin{cases} g_1(x) = 0 \\ g_2(x) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ g_m(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 20. A point $x_0 \in E_0$ is said to be bound maximum (bound minimum) if $\forall x \in E_0 f(x) \leq f(x_0)$ ($f(x) \geq f(x_0)$).

Theorem (Lagrange Multipliers). Let $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g \in C^1$ in an open set A .

Let $E_0 = \{(x, y) \in A : g(x, y) = 0\}$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in E_0$ a bound relative extreme for f and suppose that $\nabla g(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ then $\exists! \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : (x_0, y_0)$ be a critical point for the function $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x, y) + \lambda g(x, y) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0 \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0 \right)$.

Proof. **Existence** Since $\nabla g(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ we can assume $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. $g(x, y) = 0$ verifies the conditions of the implicit functions theorem ($g \in C^1, g(x_0, y_0) = 0, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$), so for that theorem \exists an open interval I of center x_0 and an open interval J of center $y_0 : I \times J \subseteq A$ and $\forall x \in I \exists! y \in J : g(x, y) = 0$ also the implicit function $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ is C^1 . $\varphi(x_0) = y_0$ and $\forall x \in I$ one has:

$$\dot{\varphi}(x) = -\frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, \varphi(x))}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x))}$$

Lets suppose for example that (x_0, y_0) is a bound relative minimum, then there exists a rectangle $I' \times J' \subseteq I \times J$ of center (x_0, y_0) such that $\forall (x, y) \in (I' \times J') \cap E_0 \Rightarrow f(x, y) \leq f(x_0, y_0)$. φ is continuous in x_0 , J' is an interval of center $y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \exists I'' \subseteq I'$ of center $x_0 : \forall x \in I'' \varphi(x) \in J'$. $\forall x \in I'' (x, \varphi(x)) \in (I' \times J') \cap E_0$. $\varphi : x \mapsto y$ such that $g(x_0, y_0) = 0$ so $g(x, \varphi(x)) = 0 \forall x \in I$. $\forall x \in I'' f(x, \varphi(x)) \leq f(x_0, y_0)$. $\forall x \in I''$ we define $\psi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x))$ such that $\forall x \in I''$ we have $(x, \varphi(x)) \in I' \times J' \subseteq I \times J \subseteq A$ and that means $\forall x \psi(x) \leq f(x_0, y_0) = \psi(x_0) \Rightarrow x_0$ is a bound relative maximum for ψ and is internal to I'' . $\psi \in C^1$ since is a composition of C^1 functions so is derivable and $\forall x \in I''$:

$$\dot{\psi}(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, \varphi(x)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x))\dot{\varphi}(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, \varphi(x)) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x)) \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, \varphi(x))}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, \varphi(x))}$$

Since ψ is derivable, and x_0 is a maximum, for feramat theorem one has $\dot{\psi}(x_0) = 0$ with:

$$\dot{\psi}(x_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)} = 0 \iff \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0$$

Equivalently we can use this expression:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

And if thats is true that implies $\exists(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (0, 0)$ such that one of the two coloums is **l.d.** ² $\implies \lambda_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0$.

If $\lambda_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ but thats impossible since the hypotesis impose it to be different from zero, so it must be $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and if we chose $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$ we have $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x, y) + \lambda g(x, y) = 0$.

Uniqueness Let $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x, y) + \lambda g(x, y) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x, y) + \bar{\lambda} g(x, y) = 0$. If we subtract member to member the last equations one has:

$$(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \bar{\lambda}$$

□

²Linearly dependent