Generative Neural Networks

Taxonomy of Generative Models



Taxonomy of Generative Models



Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial /networks, 2017

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

List of All (arXiv) Adversarial Example Papers



https://nicholas.carlini.com/writing/2019/all-adversarial-example-papers.html

Convolution and Deconvolution



Convolution
no padding, no stride



Transposed convolution
no padding, no stride

https://github.com/vdumoulin/conv_arithmetic

Autoencoder



Reconstruction: Autoencoder



Training Autoencoders



Input images



Reconstructed images



Decoder as Generative Model



"Test time":
-> reconstruction from
'random' vector

Decoder as Generative Model



Interpolation between two chair models

[Dosovitsky et al. 14] Learning to Generate Chairs

Decoder as Generative Model

Morphing between chair models

[Dosovitsky et al. 14] Learning to Generate Chairs

Decoder as Generative Model



"Test time":
-> reconstruction from
'random' vector

Latent space z
dim (z) < dim (x)

Reconstruction Loss
Often L2, i.e., sum of squared dist.
-> L2 distributes error equally
-> mean is opt.
-> res. Is blurry

Instead of L2, can we "learn" a loss function?

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)



[Goodfellow et al. 14] GANs (slide McGuinness)

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)



[Goodfellow et al. 14] GANs (slide McGuinness)

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)



[Goodfellow et al. 14/16] GANs

GANs: Loss Functions

Discriminator loss

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log\left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$

binary cross entropy

Generator loss

$$J^{(G)} = -J^{(D)}$$

- Minimax Game:
  - G minimizes probability that D is correct
  - Equilibrium is saddle point of discriminator loss

-> D provides supervision (i.e., gradients) for G

GANs: Loss Functions

Discriminator loss

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log\left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$

Generator loss

$$J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)$$

- Heuristic Method (often used in practice)
  - G maximizes the log-probability of D being mistaken
  - G can still learn even when D rejects all generator samples

Alternating Gradient Updates

- Step 1: Fix G, and perform gradient step to

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log\left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$

- Step 2: Fix D, and perform gradient step to

$$J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)$$

How many steps should do

Vanilla GAN

for number of training iterations **do**

for k steps **do**

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(\boldsymbol{z})$.
- Sample minibatch of m examples $\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}\}$ from data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
- Update the discriminator by ascending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) + \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right) \right].$$

end for

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(\boldsymbol{z})$.
- Update the generator by descending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right).$$

end for

Putting it all Together



$$\min_G \max_D V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})}[\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}\sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})}[\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))].$$

Training a GAN



https://medium.com/ai-society/gans-from-scratch-1-a-deep-introduction-with-code-in-pytorch-and-tensorflow-cb03cdcdba0f

GANs: Loss Functions

Minimax





Heuristic





DCGAN: Generator



Generator of Deep Convolutional GANs

DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

DCGAN: Results



Results on MNIST

DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

DCGAN: Results

Results on CelebA (200k relatively well aligned portrait photos)

DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

DCGAN: Results

Asian face dataset

DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

DCGAN: Results

DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

DCGAN: Results

never reach zero
no gradient for G

Loss of D and G on custom dataset





DCGAN: https://github.com/carpedm20/DCGAN-tensorflow

"Bad" Training Curves



← worse wrong

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/44313306/dcgans-discriminator-getting-too-strong-too-quickly-to-allow-generator-to-learn

"Good" Training Curves



Generator's Error through Time



Discriminator's Error through Time

https://medium.com/ai-society/gans-from-scratch-1-a-deep-introduction-with-code-in-pytorch-and-tensorflow-cb03cdcdba0f

"Good" Training Curves



https://stackoverflow.com/questions/42690721/how-to-interpret-the-discriminators-loss-and-the-generators-loss-in-generative

Training Schedules

- Adaptive schedules

For instance

```
while loss_discriminator > t_d:
    train discriminator
while loss_generator > t_g:
    train generator
```



Weak vs Strong Discriminator

- Need balance ☺
- Discriminator too weak?
  - No good gradients (cannot get better than teacher…)
- Generator too weak?
  - Discriminator will always be right

Mode Collapse

$$\min_G \max_D V(G, D) \neq \max_D \min_G V(G, D)$$

- D in inner loop -> convergence to correct dist.
- G in inner loop -> easy to convergence to one sample

[Metz et al. 16]

Mode Collapse

- Data dim. Fixed (512)
- Performance correlates with # of modes



-> More modes, smaller recovery rate!
-> part of the reason, why we often see GAN-results on specific domains (e.g., faces)

Mode Collapse

- Performance correlates with dim of manifold

-> Larger latent space, more mode collapse



Problems with Global Structure






(Goodfellow 2016)

Problems with Counting






(Goodfellow 2016)

Evaluation of GAN Performance

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Main difficulty of GANs: we don't know how good they are
- People cherry pick results in papers -> some of them will always look good, but how to quantify?
- Do we only memorize or do we generalize?
- GANs are difficult to evaluate! [This et al., ICLR 2016]

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Human evaluation:
  - Every n updates, show a series of predictions
  - Check train curves
  - What does 'look good' mean at the beginning?
    - Need variety!
    - But don't have 'realistic' predictions yet…
  - If it doesn't look good? Go back, try different hyperparameters…

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Inception Score (IS)
  - Measures saliency and diversity
  - Train an accurate classifier
  - Train a image generation model (conditional)
  - Check how accurate the classifier can recognize the generated images
  - Makes some assumptions about data distributions…

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Inception Score (IS)
  - Saliency: check whether the generated images can be classified with high confidence (i.e., high scores only on a single class)
  - Diversity: check whether we obtain samples from all classes

What if we only have one good image per class?

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Frechet Inception Distance (FID)
  - Calculates the feature distance between the real and synthetic distribution (modelled by multivariate Gaussian)
  - Pros:
    - More robust to noise then IS
    - No class concept needs
  - Cons:
    - Still relies on pretrained Inception-V3 model featurs

Evaluation of GAN Performance

- Could also look at discriminator
  - If we end up with a strong discriminator, then generator must also be good
  - Use D features, for classification network
  - Only fine-tune last layer
  - If high class accuracy -> we have a good D and G

Caveat: doesn't seem widespread in the community

Next: Making GANs Work in Practice

- Training / Hyperparameters (most important)
- Choice of loss function
- Choice of architecture

GAN Hacks: Normalize Inputs

- Normalize the inputs between -1 and 1
- Tanh as the last layer of the generator output
- No-brainer ☺

https://github.com/soumith/ganhacks

GAN Hacks: Sampling

- Use a spherical z
- Don't sample from a uniform distribution
- Sample from a Gaussian Distribution



• When doing interpolations, do the interpolation via a great circle, rather than a straight line from point A to point B

• Tom White's Sampling Generative Networks ref
code https://github.com/dribnet/plat has more details

GAN Hacks: BatchNorm

- Use Batch Norm
- Construct different mini-batches for real and fake, i.e. each mini-batch needs to contain only all real images or all generated images.



GAN Hacks: Use ADAM

- See Adam usage [Radford et al. 15]
- SGD for discriminator
- ADAM for generator

GAN Hacks: One-sided Label Smoothing

- Prevent discriminator from giving too large gradient signal to generator:

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim p_{\text{data}}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log\left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$

Some value smaller than 1; e.g.,0.9

-> reduces confidence; i.e., makes disc. 'weaker'
-> encourages 'extreme samples' (prevents extrapolating)

Salimans et al. 17 "Improved Techniques for Training GANs"

GAN Hacks: Historical Generator Batches

Historical Generator Batches



Help stabilize discriminator training in early stage

Srivastava et al. 17 "Learning from Simulated and Unsupervised Images through Adversarial Training"

GAN Hacks: Avoid Sparse Gradients

- Stability of GAN game suffers if gradients are sparse
- LeakyReLU -> good in both G and D
- Downsample -> use average pool, conv+stride
- Upsample -> deconv+stride, PixelShuffle



[Shi et al. 16] https://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.05158.pdf

Exponential Averaging of Weights

- Problem: discriminator is noisy due to SGD

- Rather than taking final result of a GAN, would be biased on last latest iterations (i.e., latest training samples),
- -> exponential average of weights
- -> keep second 'vector' of weights that are averaged
  -> almost no cost, average of weights from last n iters

Other Objective Functions

"heuristic is standard…"

GAN	Discriminator Loss	Generator Loss
MM GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\log(D(x))] - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$
NS GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{NSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\log(D(x))] - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{NSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(D(\hat{x}))]$
WGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$
WGAN GP	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGANGP}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} + \lambda\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[(\|\nabla D(\alpha x + (1-\alpha\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{WGANGP}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$
LS GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{LSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[(D(x)-1)^2] + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{LSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[(D(\hat{x}-1))^2]$
DRAGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{DRAGAN}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} + \lambda\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_d+\mathcal{N}(0,c)}[(\|\nabla D(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{DRAGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$
BEGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{BEGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\|x - \mathrm{AE}(x)\|_1] - k_t\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\|\hat{x} - \mathrm{AE}(\hat{x})\|_1]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{BEGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\|\hat{x} - \mathrm{AE}(\hat{x})\|_1]$

OtherObjective Functions

"heuristic is standard…"

GAN	Discriminator Loss	Generator Loss
MM GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\log(D(x))] - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$
NS GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{NSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\log(D(x))] - \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{NSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(D(\hat{x}))]$
WGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$
WGAN GP	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGANGP}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{WGAN}} + \lambda\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[(\|\nabla D(\alpha x + (1-\alpha\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{WGANGP}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})]$
LS GAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{LSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[(D(x)-1)^2] + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[D(\hat{x})^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{LSGAN}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[(D(\hat{x}-1))^2]$
DRAGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{DRAGAN}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{GAN}} + \lambda\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_d+\mathcal{N}(0,c)}[(\|\nabla D(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{DRAGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\log(1-D(\hat{x}))]$
BEGAN	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{BEGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_d}[\|x-\mathrm{AE}(x)\|_1] - k_t\mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\|\hat{x}-\mathrm{AE}(\hat{x})\|_1]$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{BEGAN}} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{x}\sim p_g}[\|\hat{x}-\mathrm{AE}(\hat{x})\|_1]$

The loss function alone will not make it suddenly work!

hyperparameter search

GAN Losses: EBGAN

- Discriminator is AE (Energy-based GAN)
- a good autoencoder: we want the reconstruction cost D(x) for real images to be low.
- a good critic: we want to penalize the discriminator if the reconstruction error for generated images drops below a value m.

$$\mathcal{L}_D(x,z) = D(x) + [m - D(G(z))]^+$$

$$\mathcal{L}_G(z) = D(G(z))$$

$$D(x) = ||Dec(Enc(x)) - x||$$

where $[\,u\,]^+ = max(0, u)$

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-energy-based-gan-ebgan-boundary-equilibrium-gan-began-4662cceb7824

GAN Losses: BEGAN

- Similar to EBGAN
- Instead of reconstruction loss, measure difference in data distribution of real and generated images



https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-energy-based-gan-ebgan-boundary-equilibrium-gan-began-4662cceb7824

GAN Losses: WGAN

- Earth Mover Distance / Wasserstein Distance



Minimum amount of work to move earth from p(x) to q(x)

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN

two Expectation should match

- Formulate EMD via it's dual:

$$W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_\theta) = \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}_r}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}_\theta}[f(x)]$$

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq |x_1 - x_2|.$$

1-Lipschitz function: upper bound between densities

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq |x_1 - x_2|.$$

f is a critic function, defined by a neural network
-> f needs to be 1-Lipschitz; WGAN restricts max weight value in f; weights of the discriminator must be within a certain range controlled by hyperparameters c

$$w \leftarrow w + \alpha \cdot \mathrm{RMSProp}(w, g_w)$$
$$w \leftarrow \mathrm{clip}(w, -c, c)$$

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN



https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN

	Discriminator/Critic	Generator
GAN	$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) + \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right) \right]$	$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\log\left(D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right)$
WGAN	$\nabla_{w} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[f(x^{(i)}) - f(G(z^{(i)})) \right]$	$\nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -f(G(z^{(i)}))$

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN

Algorithm 1 WGAN, our proposed algorithm. All experiments in the paper used the default values $\alpha = 0.00005$, $c = 0.01$, $m = 64$, $n_{\text{critic}} = 5$.

Require: : α, the learning rate. c, the clipping parameter. m, the batch size. n_{critic}, the number of iterations of the critic per generator iteration.

Require: : w_0, initial critic parameters. θ_0, initial generator's parameters.

while θ has not converged **do**
  for $t = 0, ..., n_{\text{critic}}$ **do**
    Sample $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{m} \sim \mathbb{P}_r$ a batch from the real data.
    Sample $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{m} \sim p(z)$ a batch of prior samples.
    $g_w \leftarrow \nabla_w \left[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_w(x^{(i)}) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_w(g_\theta(z^{(i)}))\right]$
    $w \leftarrow w + \alpha \cdot \text{RMSProp}(w, g_w)$
    $w \leftarrow \text{clip}(w, -c, c)$
  end for
  Sample $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{m} \sim p(z)$ a batch of prior samples.
  $g_\theta \leftarrow -\nabla_\theta \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_w(g_\theta(z^{(i)}))$
  $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \cdot \text{RMSProp}(\theta, g_\theta)$
end while

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN



$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\log\left(1-D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right)$$



$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}-\log\left(D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right)$$

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN



← train until convergence

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_w(x^{(i)}) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_w(g_\theta(z^{(i)}))$$

https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/gan-wasserstein-gan-wgan-gp-6a1a2aa1b490

GAN Losses: WGAN

- + mitigates mode collapse
- + generator still learns when critic performs well
- + actual convergence

- Enforcing Lipschitz constraint is difficult
- Weight clipping is "terrible"
  - -> too high: takes long time to reach limit; slow training
    -> too small: vanishing gradients when layers are big

GAN Losses

- Many more variations!!!
- High-level understanding: “loss” is a meta loss to train the actual loss (i.e., D) to provide gradients for G
- Always start simple: if things don’t converge, don’t randomly shuffle loss around; always try easy things first (AE, VAE, ‘simple heuristic’ GAN)

GAN Architectures

Multiscale GANs



Denton et al, "Deep generative image models using a Laplacian pyramid of adversarial networks", NIPS 2015

Credit: Li/Karpathy/Johnson

Multiscale GANs



Discriminators work at every scale!

Denton et al, NIPS 2015

Credit: Li/Karpathy/Johnson

Progressive Growing GANs



https://github.com/tkarras/progressive_growing_of_gans [Karras et al. 17]

StyleGAN[x] Architectures

StyleGAN Architectures



Progressive GAN

	Method	CelebA-HQ	FFHQ
A	Baseline Progressive GAN [30]	7.79	8.04
B	+ Tuning (incl. bilinear up/down)	6.11	5.25
C	+ Add mapping and styles	5.34	4.85
D	+ Remove traditional input	5.07	4.88
E	+ Add noise inputs	**5.06**	4.42
F	+ Mixing regularization	5.17	**4.40**

StyleGAN[x] Architectures



Progressive GAN

Evolve



StyleGAN

StyleGAN – Mapping Network



Progressive GAN

Evolve



StyleGAN

StyleGAN – Style Normalization



Progressive GAN

Evolve



StyleGAN

StyleGAN – Style Normalization



StyleGAN

$$AdaIN(x, y) = \sigma(y)\left(\frac{x - \mu(x)}{\sigma(x)}\right) + \mu(y)$$

1. x: the activation from the previous layer
2. y: the style features (e.g. extracted from CNN) of your target style image
3. No trainable variables – mean and var directly calculated

StyleGAN – Constant Input



StyleGAN – Style Normalization



StyleGAN – Mixing Regularization



https://medium.com/@steinsfu/stylegan-vs-stylegan2-vs-stylegan2-ada-vs-stylegan3-c5e201329c8a

StyleGAN





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kSLJriaOumA

StyleGAN2 – No Droplets



Normalization

$$AdaIN(x,y) = \sigma(y)\left(\frac{x-\mu(x)}{\sigma(x)}\right) + \mu(y)$$

Modulation

Without Normalization, the droplet artifact disappear



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c-NJtVgJvp0, Stein Sfu StyleGAN1,2,3

StyleGAN2 – Additional Changes

- Remove redundant operations
- Noise added outside of style area
- Normalization and modulation only applied on standard deviation
- Modulation and Convolution combined in single operation
- Training strategy changes, see: https://github.com/NVlabs/stylegan2

StyleGAN2-ADA – Limited Data



The Discriminator latents are directly augmented with probability p.

Better for limited Data

No manual augmentation

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kSLJriaOumA

StyleGAN3 – No Aliasing

StyleGAN3 – No Aliasing







Most Important differences:

- Input constant replaced with continuous Fourier feature
- Remove per pixel noise – no positional references
- Smaller mapping network depth
- Better upsampling with updated approximations of the Fourier low pass filter

Karras et al.: Alias-Free Generative Adversial Networks

Reading Homework

- GANs [Goodfellow et al. 2014] Generative adversarial networks
  - https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2661

- [Radford et al. 2015] Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks
  - https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06434

- [Karras et al. 19] A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks.
  - https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.04948

Thanks for watching!