Introduction

The objective of this assignment is to perform automated variable selection techniques for identifying the "best" regression model for predicting sale price for homes in the Ames, lowa area. The first phase includes the assessment of which predictor variables, based on common sense and business justification, made sense to include in a predictive model. After conducting some preliminary exploratory data analysis (EDA), it was concluded that the following predictor variable candidates would be considered in the model:

X1-GrLivArea

X2-LotArea

X3-AgeAtSale

X4-TotalBsmtSF

X5-total baths calc

X6-TotRmsAbvGrd

X7-highend_ind Neighborhood grouping

X8-midend_ind Neighborhood grouping

X9-good_heating

X10-excl_kitchen kitchen quality

X11-central air

X12-fireplace_ind

X13-garage_ind

X14-good_basement_ind

X15-concr foundation

X16-quality index

X17-brick_exterior

X18-lot_frontage

X19-new_bldg

X20-old_bldg

X21-pos_cond

X22-recent_remodel

Training data set

The data set has been split in test/train data set as shown below. We will test the model accuracy by training the model on the 70% of the data set and validating its accuracy on remaining 30%.

train	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	470	31.89	470	31.89
1	1004	68.11	1474	100.00

Adjusted R-Squared Model (Model_AdjR2)

The results of the adjusted R-squared variable selection method determined that not all predictor variables candidates (X1-X22) should be included in the final model. The adjusted R-squared of the

final model was 0.8941. Table 1 below, you can see the summary output of the variable selection process with the final result in the first row.

Number	Adjusted R-	R-	
Model	Square	Square	Variables in Model
20	0.8941	0.8962	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond recent_remodel
21	0.8941	0.8963	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen central_air fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond recent_remodel
19	0.8940	0.8960	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond
20	0.8940	0.8961	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen central_air fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond
21	0.8940	0.8962	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg old_bldg pos_cond recent_remodel
22	0.8940	0.8963	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen central_air fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg old_bldg pos_cond recent_remodel

The adjusted R-squared model suggest to exclude variables central_air(X11) and old_bldg(X20).

Maximum R-Squared Model (Model_MaxR)

Like the adjusted R-squared variable selection method, the results of the maximum R-squared variable selection method determined that not all predictor variable candidates (X1-X22) should be included in the final model. The R-squared of the final model was 0.8963. In Table below, we can see the ANOVA and parameter estimates for the final suggested model from the maximum R-squared variable selection method. The model suggests excluding variables central_air(X11) and old_bldg(X20) as none of them is statistically significant.

			Ana	lysis of Va	arian						
	Source	DF		Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F Va	lue	Pr	> F	
1	Model	22	4.194	377E12	1.90	6535E11	385	.41	<.00	01	
E	Error	981	4.852	826E11	49	94681557					
(Corrected Total	1003	4.67	966E12							
Variab	le		neter imate	Stand	lard rror	Type I	ISS	FV	alue	Pı	r > F
Interce	ept	-5	3934	9640.19	669	15483684	1932	3	1.30	<.0	0001
GrLiv <i>A</i>	Area	69.5	4801	3.31	138	2.182108	E11	44	1.11	<.0	0001
LotAre	a	1.9	6205	0.29	212	22315866	8889	4	5.11	<.0	0001
AgeAt	Sale	-219.32033		56.96	199	7333533	380	1	4.82	0.0	0001
TotalB	smtSF	38.28898		2.33774		1.327032E11		26	8.26	<.0	0001
total_baths_calc		5132.6	7410	1289.54	560	7836816679		1	5.84	<.0	0001
TotRmsAbvGrd		-3580.0	2397	933.47	814	7275942	2985	14	4.71	0.0	0001
highen	nd_ind	3	5391	3828.79	777	42266488	5117	8	5.44	<.0	0001
miden	d_ind	12984		3049.24	304	8968871	1691	18	8.13	<.0	0001
good_	heating	3955.1	4707	1827.02	742	2318253	3160		4.69	0.0	306
excl_k	citchen	3	5186	3692.97	613	44907956	3022	9	0.78	<.0	0001
centra	l_air	3782.4	6140	4414.59	196	363156	308	(0.73	0.3	918
firepla	ce_ind	7284.9	9790	1647.75	170	9669433	3752	19	9.55	<.0	0001
garage	e_ind	7991.8	8535	5133.16	659	1199094	722		2.42	0.1	1198
good_	basement_ind	1	5397	1926.24	636	31606635	334	6	3.89	<.0	0001
concr_	_foundation	7407.0	5451	2225.57	792	5479377	7099	1	1.08	0.0	0009
quality	_index	1349.9	5149	98.00	211	93862295	314	18	9.74	<.0	0001
brick_	exterior	1	0199	4163.31	178	2968885	5202		8.00	0.0	145
lot_fro	ntage	-6946.6	7150	4483.66	691	1187442	2423		2.40	0.1	216
new_b	oldg	9269.6	2301	2581.11	490	6380213	3124	1	2.90	0.0	0003
old_bl	dg	76.5	4691	3854.21	978	195	123	(0.00	0.9	9842
pos_c	ond	6411.9	7868	4269.05	417	1115954	1603		2.26	0.1	334
recent	t_remodel	2454.9	7983	1910.88	693	816491	359		1.65	0.1	1992
	The at		del is 1	the best 2	2-vai	6.052, 948 iable mode	el four	nd.			

Mallow's Cp Model (Model_MCp)

As with the previous two models, the results of the Mallow's Cp variable selection method also determined that not all predictor variable candidates (X1-X22) should be included in the final model. The Mallow's Cp of the final model was 19.3852. In Table 3 below, we can see some of the output from the Mallow's Cp variable selection process. The best model is shown in the first row of the table.

Number in Model	C(p)	R- Square	Variables in Model
19	19.3852	0.8960	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index_brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond
18	19.5649	0.8958	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior new_bldg pos_cond
18	19.6215	0.8958	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond
18	19.6531	0.8958	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index_brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg
20	19.7446	0.8962	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg pos_cond recent_remodel
17	19.7519	0.8956	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior new_bldg pos_cond
17	19.8901	0.8956	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior lot_frontage new_bldg
17	19.9387	0.8956	GrLivArea LotArea AgeAtSale TotalBsmtSF total_baths_calc TotRmsAbvGrd highend_ind midend_ind good_heating excl_kitchen fireplace_ind garage_ind good_basement_ind concr_foundation quality_index brick_exterior new_bldg

Apart from old_bldg(X20) and central_air(X11) the model suggest to exclude recent_remodel(X22) variable.

Forward Selection Model (Model_F)

Like proceeding variable selection methods, the results of the forward variable selection method also determined that not all predictor variable candidates (X1-X22) should be included in the final model. In table below, we can see the summary of the forward selection method and you'll notice that the p-value from the nested F-tests did not increase until the 10th variable was entered into the model. For this method, we chose a *slentry* value of 0.15 as our threshold for variables to be allowed to enter into the model.

	No other variable m	et the 0.15	NΛ eignifican	ce level for a	entry into t	he model	
	No other variable in	01 1110 0110	oo organiioun		may mao	ino moden	
		Summar	y of Forward	d Selection			
Step	Variable Entered	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F
1	GrLivArea	1	0.5387	0.5387	3363.84	1170.14	<.0001
2	TotalBsmtSF	2	0.1817	0.7204	1646.54	650.78	<.0001
3	AgeAtSale	3	0.0616	0.7820	1066.27	282.34	<.0001
4	quality_index	4	0.0484	0.8304	610.084	285.35	<.0001
5	excl_kitchen	5	0.0269	0.8574	357.259	188.49	<.0001
6	highend_ind	6	0.0107	0.8681	258.062	80.84	<.0001
7	good_basement_ind	7	0.0100	0.8781	165.040	82.08	<.0001
8	LotArea	8	0.0065	0.8846	105.460	56.14	<.0001
9	fireplace_ind	9	0.0021	0.8868	87.3370	18.67	<.0001
10	concr_foundation	10	0.0014	0.8881	76.5495	12.00	0.0006
11	midend_ind	11	0.0017	0.8898	62.7638	15.02	0.0001
12	total_baths_calc	12	0.0012	0.8910	53.2835	11.03	0.0009
13	new_bldg	13	0.0015	0.8925	41.0800	13.83	0.0002
14	TotRmsAbvGrd	14	0.0015	0.8940	28.4736	14.41	0.0002
15	brick_exterior	15	0.0007	0.8947	24.3117	6.11	0.0136
16	good_heating	16	0.0007	0.8953	20.1251	6.17	0.0132
17	pos_cond	17	0.0003	0.8956	19.7519	2.37	0.1241
18	garage_ind	18	0.0002	0.8958	19.5649	2.19	0.1396
19	lot_frontage	19	0.0002	0.8960	19.3852	2.18	0.1400

The model suggest exclusion of central_air(X11), old_bldg(X20), recent_remodel(X22) variables

Backward Model (Model_B)

Also this time the results of the backward variable selection method determined that not all predictor variable candidates (X1-X22) should be included in the final model. In table below, we can see the summary of the beckward elimination method. For this method, we chose a *slentry* value of 0.15 as our threshold for variables to be allowed to enter into the model.

Backward Elimination: Step 3 Variable recent_remodel Removed: R-Square = 0.8960 and C(p) = 19.3852 Analysis of Variance Sum of F Value Source DF Squares Square Pr > F 19 4.193197E12 2.206946E11 446.41 <.0001 Error 984 4.864625E11 494372477 Corrected Total 1003 4.67966E12

Variable	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Type II SS	F Value	Pr > F
Intercept	-49213	8604.19466	16173318918	32.71	<.0001
GrLivArea	69.37933	3.30577	2.17756E11	440.47	<.0001
LotArea	1.96529	0.29142	22484324653	45.48	<.0001
AgeAtSale	-235.30525	48.18330	11790290034	23.85	<.0001
TotalBsmtSF	38.10275	2.33151	1.320365E11	267.08	<.0001
total_baths_calc	5199.85003	1285.76114	8085657317	16.36	<.0001
TotRmsAbvGrd	-3631.66316	928.73408	7559318441	15.29	<.0001
highend_ind	35586	3825.09585	42787872651	86.55	<.0001
midend_ind	12819	2979.75470	9149682815	18.51	<.0001
good_heating	4705.84648	1759.11934	3537848163	7.16	0.0076
excl_kitchen	35274	3679.21696	45440631704	91.92	<.0001
fireplace_ind	7054.77178	1631.23665	9246689699	18.70	<.0001
garage_ind	7631.59505	5101.66229	1106270945	2.24	0.1350
good_basement_ind	15356	1920.78023	31595902404	63.91	<.0001
concr_foundation	7584.67800	2140.86293	6205129619	12.55	0.0004
quality_index	1383.79985	95.28834	1.042609E11	210.90	<.0001
brick_exterior	10375	4156.52181	3080233308	6.23	0.0127
lot_frontage	-6588.78684	4461.34659	1078284276	2.18	0.1400
new_bldg	9952.69529	2487.88429	7911800844	16.00	<.0001
pos_cond	6428.73949	4267.55870	1121881359	2.27	0.1323

Bounds on condition number: 4.333, 670.15

		Summa	ry of Backwa	rd Eliminati	on		
Step	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F
1	old_bldg	21	0.0000	0.8963	21.0004	0.00	0.9842
2	central_air	20	0.0001	0.8962	19.7446	0.74	0.3883
3	recent_remodel	19	0.0002	0.8960	19.3852	1.64	0.2003

The model suggest exclusion of central_air(X11), old_bldg(X20), recent_remodel(X22) variables

Stepwise Selection Model (Model_S)

The stepwise selection method was the final option used for model selection. As with previous methods, the stepwise selection method indicated that not all predictor variable candidates should remain in the model. The stepwise variable selection summary is shown below.

Bounds on condition number: 4.333, 670.15

All variables left in the model are significant at the 0.1500 level.

No other variable met the 0.1500 significance level for entry into the model.

		Sum	mary of St	epwise Sele	ction			
Step	Variable Entered	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F
1	GrLivArea		1	0.5387	0.5387	3363.84	1170.14	<.0001
2	TotalBsmtSF		2	0.1817	0.7204	1646.54	650.78	<.0001
3	AgeAtSale		3	0.0616	0.7820	1066.27	282.34	<.0001
4	quality_index		4	0.0484	0.8304	610.084	285.35	<.0001
5	excl_kitchen		5	0.0269	0.8574	357.259	188.49	<.0001
6	highend_ind		6	0.0107	0.8681	258.062	80.84	<.0001
7	good_basement_ind		7	0.0100	0.8781	165.040	82.08	<.0001
8	LotArea		8	0.0065	0.8846	105.460	56.14	<.0001
9	fireplace_ind		9	0.0021	0.8868	87.3370	18.67	<.0001
10	concr_foundation		10	0.0014	0.8881	76.5495	12.00	0.0006
11	midend_ind		11	0.0017	0.8898	62.7638	15.02	0.000
12	total_baths_calc		12	0.0012	0.8910	53.2835	11.03	0.0009
13	new_bldg		13	0.0015	0.8925	41.0800	13.83	0.0002
14	TotRmsAbvGrd		14	0.0015	0.8940	28.4736	14.41	0.0002
15	brick_exterior		15	0.0007	0.8947	24.3117	6.11	0.0136
16	good_heating		16	0.0007	0.8953	20.1251	6.17	0.0132
17	pos_cond		17	0.0003	0.8956	19.7519	2.37	0.1241
18	garage_ind		18	0.0002	0.8958	19.5649	2.19	0.1396
19	lot_frontage		19	0.0002	0.8960	19.3852	2.18	0.1400

At the 0.1500 level variable X20-X22 were excluded from the model.

Model Comparison

There has been slight variation in variable selection between models . Table below shows model fit criteria from the models created using the training sample. Based on the results, we can see that the

		Model_AdjR2	Model_MaxR	Model_MCp	Model_F	Model_B	Model_S
Train	Predictors excluded	X11,X20	X11,X20	X11,X20,X22	X11,X20,X22	X11,X20,X22	X11,X20,X22
Train	Adjusted R2	0.8941	0.8940	0.8940	0.8940	0.8940	0.8940
Train	AIC	20118.9967	20122.23	20118.67	20118.67	20118.67	20118.67
Train	BIC	20121.9478	20125.31	20121.51	20121.51	20121.51	20121.51
Train	Mallow's Cp	19.7446	23	19.3852	19.3852	19.3852	19.3852
Train	MAE	15879.15	15866.46	15907.54	15907.54	15907.54	15907.54
Train	MSE	483716094	483349211	484524420	484524420	484524420	484524420
Test	MAE	15052.86	15016.78	15107.78	15107.78	15107.78	15107.78
Test	MSE	426320079	424752379	430209443	430209443	430209443	430209443

predictive ability of the final model built from the training sample performed very well with the test sample data.

Multicollinearity

Values 10 or above for variance inflation analysis may cause serious problem for data analysis. It seems that there are no immediate risk for multicollinearity even though the value for AgeAtSale is a little bit high.

		Parameter	Estimates			
Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t	Variance Inflation
Intercept	1	-53934	9640.19669	-5.59	<.0001	0
GrLivArea	1	69.54801	3.31138	21.00	<.0001	3.93902
LotArea	1	1.96205	0.29212	6.72	<.0001	1.35207
AgeAtSale	1	-219.32033	56.96199	-3.85	0.0001	6.05198
TotalBsmtSF	1	38.28898	2.33774	16.38	<.0001	1.59373
total_baths_calc	1	5132.67410	1289.54560	3.98	<.0001	2.58350
TotRmsAbvGrd	1	-3580.02397	933.47814	-3.84	0.0001	2.82916
highend_ind	1	35391	3828.79777	9.24	<.0001	1.48772
midend_ind	1	12984	3049.24304	4.26	<.0001	1.95722
good_heating	1	3955.14707	1827.02742	2.16	0.0306	1.67886
excl_kitchen	1	35186	3692.97613	9.53	<.0001	1.43325
central_air	1	3782.46140	4414.59196	0.86	0.3918	1.22050
fireplace_ind	1	7284.99790	1647.75170	4.42	<.0001	1.31963
garage_ind	1	7991.88535	5133.16659	1.56	0.1198	1.09518
good_basement_ind	1	15397	1926.24636	7.99	<.0001	1.59566
concr_foundation	1	7407.05451	2225.57792	3.33	0.0009	2.51224
quality_index	1	1349.95149	98.00211	13.77	<.0001	1.40617
brick_exterior	1	10199	4163.31178	2.45	0.0145	1.05267
lot_frontage	1	-6946.67150	4483.66691	-1.55	0.1216	1.02925
new_bldg	1	9269.62301	2581.11490	3.59	0.0003	1.72775
old_bldg	1	76.54691	3854.21978	0.02	0.9842	2.36132
pos_cond	1	6411.97868	4269.05417	1.50	0.1334	1.07222
recent_remodel	1	2454.97983	1910.88693	1.28	0.1992	1.81775

Pearson Correlation analysis reveals that correlation between AgeAtSale and other variables is within -0.7 and 0.7. The highest value of 0.65 is between AgeAtSale and concr_foundation. The table below shows variables with highest Pearson Correlation.

Pearson Correlation Coefficients, N = 1474 Prob > r under H0: Rho=0								
	AgeAtSale	total_baths_calc	midend_ind	concr_foundation	old_bldg			
AgeAtSale	1.00000	-0.60325 <.0001	-0.60669 <.0001	-0.65950 <.0001	0.62522 <.0001			
total_baths_calc	-0.60325 <.0001	1.00000	0.33514 <.0001	0.47357 <.0001	-0.29533 <.0001			
midend_ind	-0.60669 <.0001	0.33514 <.0001	1.00000	0.20778 <.0001	-0.59951 <.0001			
concr_foundation	-0.65950 <.0001	0.47357 <.0001	0.20778 <.0001	1.00000	-0.18892 <.0001			
old_bldg	0.62522 <.0001	-0.29533 <.0001	-0.59951 <.0001	-0.18892 <.0001	1.00000			

Operational validation

To assess the operational accuracy of the final model, we placed the predictive scores, absolute value for each observation's actual vs predicted value for the response variable SalePrice, into three categories: Grade 1 (within 10% of the observed value), Grade 2 (between 10-15% of the observed value), Grade 3 (everything else). Below the results for each one of the models.

Model_AdjR2 Prediction Grade Cumulative Cumulative Prediction_Grade Frequency Percent Frequency Percent Grade 1 68.94 68.94 Grade 2 79 16.81 403 85.74 Grade 3 67 470 14.26 100.00

Prediction_Grade	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Grade 1	328	69.79	328	69.79
Grade 2	74	15.74	402	85.53
Grade 3	68	14.47	470	100.00

Prediction_Grade	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Grade 1	327	69.57	327	69.57
Grade 2	74	15.74	401	85.3
Grade 3	69	14.68	470	100.00

Desdiction Code	E	Darrant	Cumulative	Cumulative
Prediction_Grade	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Grade 1	327	69.57	327	69.57
Grade 2	74	15.74	401	85.32
Grade 3	69	14.68	470	100.00

Model_B Prediction Grade				
Prediction_Grade	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Grade 1	327	69.57	327	69.57
Grade 2	74	15.74	401	85.32
Grade 3	69	14.68	470	100.00

Prediction_Grade	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Grade 1	327	69.57	327	69.57
Grade 2	74	15.74	401	85.32
Grade 3	69	14.68	470	100.00

Based on the results of the prediction scores above, we can see that 85% of the predicted values were within 15% of the observed value.

Conclusion

In order to see effects of the automated variable selection I had to choose quite a few variables. The model might be hard to interpret for the business users, although it performs quite well. It has been proven that simpler models repeatedly run on larger data sets perform better in the long run.

It is quite clear how automated variable selection can be valuable when designing a predictive model. That should be combined with domain knowledge of stakeholders in order to achieve the best results.