
Hashtag so people find it

Key visual (an annotated table)


tagged the journal and others for visibility



Look at Table 5. First it's amazing to see a paper w/ >1,100 vented #Covid19 pts. But of these 1151 vented pts, *at a median follow-up time of $4.5d^*$ only 38 went home, 282 died, while 831 were still hospitalized. 282/(38+282) = 88% mortality. But thats *quite* misleading $\sqrt{4/x}$



JAMA and 7 others






John W Scott, MD MPH @DrJohnScott · Apr 23, 2020

A better conclusion?

- "Among the 1,151 pts who were mechanically ventilated, at a median follow up of 4.5 days, 3% had gone home, 25% had died, & 72% were still in the hospital".
- "The eventual mortaily rate will thus range between 25% (282/1151) and 97% (1113/1151)." (5/7)



Indication that this is a thread (so there is additional information in the tweets before and following)

A Correction has been published | View Article

This Issue

Views **48,123** | Citations **139** | Altmetric **484**

Correction

FREE

April 24, 2020

Clarification of Mortality Rate and Data in Abstract, Results, and Table 2

JAMA. 2020;323(20):2098. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.7681