Data Summary

Luis M. B. Varona^{1,2}

Otoha Hanatani³

March 4, 2025

This report provides a summary of the Government of New Brunswick [GNB] panel data used in our correlated random-effects [CRE] regression analysis of municipal tax rates on police spending. We present below our included variables, preliminary summary statistics, and our data cleaning process.

Variables of Interest

The response variable we aim to model is the **Average Tax Rate**, or **ATR** (given in %), regressed on the following explanatory variables using correlated random-effects:

- Police Spending/Capita, or PSC CAD/person
- Tax Base for Rate/Capita, or TBC CAD/person
- Non-Police Expenditure/Capita, or NEC CAD/person, disaggregated:
 - General Government, or GGS
 - Fire Protection, or FPS
 - Water Cost Transfer, or WCT
 - Emergency Measures, or EMS
 - Other Protection, or OPS
 - Transportation, or TRS
 - Environmental Health, or EHS
 - Public Health, or PHS
 - Environmental Development, or EDS
 - Recreation & Cultural, or RCS
 - Debt Costs, or DBC
 - Transfers, or TRN
 - Deficits, or DFC
- Non-Warrant Revenue/Capita, or NRC CAD/person, disaggregated:
 - $Unconditional\ Grant,\ {\rm or}\ UGR$
 - Services to Other Governments, or OGS
 - Sale of Services, or SOS
 - Own-Source Revenue, or OSR
 - Conditional Transfers, or CTR
 - Other Transfers, or OTR
 - Biennial Surplus, or BIS
- Population, or POP persons (from the latest census data)
- Policing Provider boolean, three categories:
 - Provincial Police Service Agreement, or PPSA (control, excluded to avoid collinearity)
 - Municipal Police Service Agreement, or MPSA (included indicator)
 - Municipal Police, or MPSA (included indicator)

¹Department of Politics & International Relations, Mount Allison University, Sackville, NB E4L 1A7

²Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Mount Allison University, Sackville, NB E4L 1E6

³Department of Economics, Mount Allison University, Sackville, NB E4L 1A7

Summary Statistics

Summary statistics of our panel data are included in the current directory at data_summary_by_stat.xlsx and data_summary_by_year.xlsx. The former workbook contains one worksheet with all years for each summary statistic, whereas the latter contains one worksheet with all summary statistics for each year. The years covered are 2000–2004 and 2006–2018, and the summary statistics provided are

- Count number of non-null observations in a column (by year)
- Null Count number of null observations in a column (by year)
- Mean mean of a column (by year)
- Std. Dev. standard deviation of a column (by year)
- Minimum minimum value of a column (by year)
- 25% 1st quartile of a column (by year)
- Median median of a column (by year)
- 75% 3rd quartile of a column (by year)
- Maximum maximum value of a column (by year)

Data Pipeline

Data Collection and Sources

We use an unbalanced panel of annual data from 2000–2018 on New Brunswick municipalities, received via personal correspondence with the GNB and Dr. Craig Brett of Mount Allison University; however, this data is also publicly available at ("2000–2018 Annual Reports of Municipal Statistics for New Brunswick" 2000), albeit in a less structured format. (The year 2005 is excluded due to missing/improperly formatted tokens, but we may coordinate further with the GNB to obtain this data in the future.) Each set of annual data contains 95 to 103 municipalities, with a total of 104 unique municipalities across all years.

This is supplemented by 2024 data on municipal policing provider agreements (Anderson 2025). We map this data backwards to municipal jurisdictions and boundaries from previous years and integrate indicators as time-constant variables into our panel as described below.

Data Cleaning and Organization

The original Excel files extracted from .zip archives provided by the GNB and the UMNB are contained in the data_raw directory. These contain annual data from 2000–2022 on New Brunswick municipalities, as well as 2024 data on municipal policing providers. Given that some of these files are .xls and .xlw workbooks, we copy and convert them all to .xlsx format in the data_xlsx directory. The raw_to_xlsx.py script is used for this purpose.

Files in data_xlsx are then cleaned and organized by xlsx_to_clean.py (and its helper scripts in helper_scripts/xlsx_to_clean). Finding that data from 2005 and 2019–2022 is unusable due to missing/improperly formatted tokens, our output (placed in the data_clean directory) excludes these time periods. No data from the original files is discarded during this process (save for metadata and notes)—simply reorganized into parseable form.

Addressing inconsistent municipality naming conventions across years/categories and concatenating all annual panels within each category (budget expenditures, budget revenues, comparative demographics, and tax bases), the clean_to_final.py script then writes all four resulting worksheets—plus a fifth for provider data—to a single data_master.xlsx workbook in data_final. (The new municipal naming convention is also used to map provider data on newer, reformed 2024 municipalities and districts to past jurisdictions.)

The clean_to_final.py script in question (and its helpers in helper_scripts/clean_to_final) also joins the five worksheets from data_master.xlsx into a single worksheet in the data_final.xlsx workbook (in the same directory). This process also involves computing new variables from existing columns as needed (e.g., Police Spending/Capita from Police Spending and Population). This final dataset is then used for our CRE regression analysis, delineated in the following section. (It is also used to obtain the summary statistics mentioned above.)

CRE Model Specifications

We shall use a series of F-tests to compare a base (restricted) model with aggregated NEC and NRC data to two partially restricted models and one unrestricted model with disaggregated NEC and/or NRC data. Our decision to use a CRE model rather than a fixed-effects (FE) one arises from the presence of MPSA and MUNI—the demeaning process in FE models fails to deal with such time-constant variables, but this is not a problem in CRE models.

Additionally, we shall experiment with functional form on each of the proposals presented below before deciding on our final model. Based on prior research into tax rate regression analyses, a log transformation may prove prudent.

Base (Restricted) Model

Our base (restricted) correlated random-effects model is as follows (for each municipality i and year t):

$$ATR_{it} = \beta_1 PSC_{it} + \beta_2 TBC_{it} + \beta_3 NEC_{it} + \beta_4 NRC_{it} + \beta_5 POP_{it} + \beta_6 MPSA_i + \beta_7 MUNI_i + \alpha_i + u_{it},$$

where α_i denotes the municipality-specific effect and u_{it} denotes the error term.

Partially Restricted Model (with NRC)

The first partially restricted model, which disaggregates NEC but not NRC, is given by

$$\begin{split} ATR_{it} &= \beta_1' PSC_{it} + \beta_2' TBC_{it} + \\ & \left[\beta_{3,1}' GGS_{it} + \beta_{3,2}' FPS_{it} + \beta_{3,3}' WCT_{it} + \beta_{3,4}' EMS_{it} + \beta_{3,5}' OPS_{it} + \\ & \beta_{3,6}' TRS_{it} + \beta_{3,7}' EHS_{it} + \beta_{3,8}' PHS_{it} + \beta_{3,9}' EDS_{it} + \\ & \beta_{3,10}' RCS_{it} + \beta_{3,11}' DBC_{it} + \beta_{3,12}' TRN_{it} + \beta_{3,13}' DFC_{it} \right] + \\ & \beta_4' NRC_{it} + \beta_5' POP_{it} + \beta_6' MPSA_i + \beta_7' MUNI_i + \alpha_i' + u_{it}'. \end{split}$$

Partially Restricted Model (with NEC)

The second partially restricted model, which disaggregates NRC but not NEC, is given by

$$\begin{split} ATR_{it} &= \beta_{1}'' PSC_{it} + \beta_{2}'' TBC_{it} + \beta_{3}'' NEC_{it} + \\ & \left[\beta_{4,1}'' UGR_{it} + \beta_{4,2}'' OGS_{it} + \beta_{4,3}'' SOS_{it} + \beta_{4,4}'' OSR_{it} + \beta_{4,5}'' CTR_{it} + \\ & \beta_{4,6}'' OTR_{it} + \beta_{4,7}'' BIS_{it} \right] + \\ & \beta_{5}'' POP_{it} + \beta_{6}'' MPSA_{i} + \beta_{7}'' MUNI_{i} + \alpha_{i}'' + u_{it}''. \end{split}$$

Unrestricted Model

The fully unrestricted model, which disaggregates both NEC and NRC, is given by

$$\begin{split} ATR_{it} &= \beta_{1}'''PSC_{it} + \beta_{2}'''TBC_{it} + \\ & \left[\beta_{3,1}'''GGS_{it} + \beta_{3,2}'''FPS_{it} + \beta_{3,3}'''WCT_{it} + \beta_{3,4}'''EMS_{it} + \beta_{3,5}'''OPS_{it} + \\ & \beta_{3,6}'''TRS_{it} + \beta_{3,7}'''EHS_{it} + \beta_{3,8}'''PHS_{it} + \beta_{3,9}''EDS_{it} + \\ & \beta_{3,10}'''RCS_{it} + \beta_{3,11}''DBC_{it} + \beta_{3,12}''TRN_{it} + \beta_{3,13}''DFC_{it} \right] + \\ & \left[\beta_{4,1}'''UGR_{it} + \beta_{4,2}''OGS_{it} + \beta_{4,3}'''SOS_{it} + \beta_{4,4}'''SOS_{it} + \beta_{4,5}'''CTR_{it} + \\ & \beta_{4,6}'''OTR_{it} + \beta_{4,7}'''BIS_{it} \right] + \\ & \beta_{5}'''POP_{it} + \beta_{6}'''MPSA_{i} + \beta_{7}'''MUNI_{i} + \alpha_{i''}'' + u_{it}'''. \end{split}$$

References

"2000–2018 Annual Reports of Municipal Statistics for New Brunswick." 2000. Fredericton, NB: Government of New Brunswick.

Anderson, Amy. 2025. "Personal Correspondence with Amy Anderson."